

GEF-8 REQUEST FOR Chemicals and Waste enabling activity

Proposal for Funding Under the GET
Processing Type: Expedite

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: ENABLING ACTIVITY SUMMARY	3
A. Funding Elements	4
B. Enabling Activity Summary	5
ENABLING ACTIVITY COMPONENTS	6
SECTION 2: ENABLING ACTIVITY SUPPORTING INFORMATION	9
C. Eligibility Criteria	9
D. Institutional Framework	9
E. Monitoring and Evaluation Plan	11
SECTION 3: INFORMATION TABLES.....	13
F. GEF Financing Resources Requested by Agency, Country and Programming of Funds.....	13
G. Rio Markers.....	13
H. Record of Endorsement of GEF Operational Focal Point(s) on Behalf of the Government(s):	13
ANNEX A: RESPONSES TO STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS	13
ANNEX B: PROJECT BUDGET TABLE	13
ANNEX C: ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS.....	19

SECTION 1: ENABLING ACTIVITY SUMMARY

Enabling Activity Title	
Minamata Initial Assessment and National Action Plan for the Artisanal and Small-scale Gold Mining (ASGM) Sector in Equatorial Guinea	
Country(ies)	GEF Enabling Activity ID
Equatorial Guinea	11921
GEF Agency(ies):	GEF Agency Enabling Activity ID
UNEP	
Submission Date	Expected Implementation Start
3/19/2025	7/1/2025
Project Executing Entity(s):	Executing Partner Type
Ministry of Environment, Agriculture, Husbandry, Fisheries and Forestry of Equatorial Guinea	Government
GEF Focal Area (s)	Expected Duration (In Months)
Chemicals and Waste	36
Type of Report(s)	Expected Report Submission to Convention
Minamata Initial Assessment (MIA)	8/17/2027
ASGM National Action Plan (ASGM NAP)	8/17/2027

A. Funding Elements

GEF-8 Program	Trust Fund	GEF Financing (\$)
CW-EA	GET	700,000.00
Total Enabling Activity Cost		700,000.00

Does the enabling activity deviate from typical cost ranges? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please describe

B. Enabling Activity Summary

Enabling Activity Objective

To assist the Government of Equatorial Guinea in the development of its Minamata Initial Assessment (MIA) and National Action Plan for the Artisanal and Small-scale Gold Mining (ASGM) sector, raise awareness on the Minamata Convention, and to build national capacity for the early implementation of the National Action Plan (NAP) and the Minamata Convention

Enabling Activity Summary

Component 1: Global Technical Support for MIA and NAP Development

Output 1.1: Training and guidance provided to relevant stakeholders in Equatorial Guinea to develop and implement a MIA and NAP as per Annex C of the Minamata Convention.

Activities:

1.1.1: Enhance the existing roster of experts, ensuring gender balance and inclusion of experts with experience in gender and women participation; collection and development of tools and methodologies for MIA and NAP development that incorporate gender-sensitive approaches.

1.1.2: Quality check of the MIA and NAP project products including e.g. inventory of national sources national overview of the ASGM sector, draft of the MIA and NAP documents and final quality check, ensuring the inclusion and analysis of gender-disaggregated data and gender-specific risks, roles and impacts.

1.1.3: Technical support and capacity building on key elements of the NAP as needed, including e.g., baseline inventories of mercury use in ASGM.

1.1.4: Knowledge management and information exchange through the UNEP Global Mercury Partnership website and or Partners websites and tools, ensuring lessons learned and best practices in integrating gender equality and supporting women in ASGM are captured and disseminated widely.

1.1.5: MIA and NAP data extraction, analysis, and visualization to draw lessons learned and recommendations, identifying opportunities to strengthen women's participation and reduce vulnerabilities in the ASGM sector.

Component 2: MIA and NAP development

Output 2.1: MIA and NAP effectively developed as per Annex C of the Minamata Convention

Activities:

2.1.1: National Inception workshop conducted to (i) develop ToRs for the Stakeholder Advisory Group; (ii) agree on the budget allocation and workplan for the project; and finally (iii) develop an awareness raising strategy on mercury use in ASGM and its environmental and health impacts to be implemented throughout the whole project (iv) develop a gender strategy to be implemented throughout the project; (v) develop a capacity building plan for a more effective participation of key stakeholders in the development of the MIA and NAP project.

2.1.2: Development of the national overview of the sources of use of mercury in the country and the profile of the ASGM sector according to the MIA and NAP guidance, including gender considerations.

2.1.3: Development of MIA and NAP draft reports.

Component 3: MIA validation and NAP endorsement and submission to the Minamata Secretariat

Output 3.1: Technical support provided to Equatorial Guinea to facilitate the MIA validation and NAP endorsement and submission to the Minamata Convention Secretariat

Activities:

3.1.1: Organize national consultations and trainings to finalize the MIA and NAP reports, raise awareness, build capacity for early implementation, and agree on a roadmap for MIA validation and NAP endorsement and submission to the Minamata Convention Secretariat (special attention will be paid to the participation of women across consultations and trainings).

3.1.2: Organize a final national workshop to identify lessons learned and opportunities for future cooperation in MIA and NAP implementation including gender-related aspects.

3.1.3: Submit the endorsed MIA and NAP reports to the Minamata Convention Secretariat (note: NAP is an obligation under the Minamata Convention; however, MIA is optional and recommended for submission to the Secretariat upon completion).

Component 4: Monitoring and Evaluation

Output 4.1: Status of project implementation and verification of use of funds accessed regularly and communicated to the GEF.

Activities:

4.1.1: The EA develops and submits technical and financial reports regularly to UNEP using UNEP's templates.

4.1.2: The EA submits project progress to UNEP and GEF yearly.

4.1.3: Develop and submit final report and financial statement of accounts to UNEP at project end.

4.1.4: The EA submits final financial audit reports to UNEP.

Output 4.2: Operational completion report conducted and made publicly available

Activities:

4.2.1: Independent consultant carries out the operational completion report upon the request of UNEP Task Manager, which is made publicly available in the GEF projects website

ENABLING ACTIVITY COMPONENTS

Component 1: Global Technical Support for MIA and NAP development

GEF Enabling Activity Financing (\$): 65,000.00

Outcome:

Outcome 1: Equatorial Guinea is enabled to develop and implement its MIA and NAP and contribute to the protection of the human health and the environment the risks posed by unintentional and intentional emissions and releases, unsound use and management of mercury

Output:

Output 1.1: Training and guidance provided to relevant national stakeholders in Equatorial Guinea to develop and implement a NAP as per Annex C of the Minamata Convention

Component 2: MIA and NAP development

GEF Enabling Activity Financing (\$): 480,000.00

Outcome:

Outcome 2: Equatorial Guinea effectively develops the MIA and NAP

Output:

Output 2.1: Identified and strengthened national coordination mechanisms and stakeholder advisory groups that will guide the project implementation

Output 2.2: National institutional and regulatory framework and national capacities on mercury management assessed

Output 2.3: National inventories of mercury sources and releases and strategy for the identification of mercury contaminated sites developed

Output 2.4: MIA and NAP reports drafted as per Annex C of the Minamata Convention

Component 3: MIA validation and NAP endorsement and submission to the Minamata Secretariat

GEF Enabling Activity Financing (\$): 72,000.00

Outcome:

Outcome 3: Equatorial Guinea submits the MIA and NAP reports to the Minamata Convention Secretariat

Output:

Output 3.1: Technical support provided to Equatorial Guinea to facilitate the MIA validation and NAP endorsement and submission to the Minamata Convention Secretariat

M&E

GEF Enabling Activity Financing (\$): 20,000.00

Outcome:

Outcome 4: The project achieves results on time through effective monitoring and evaluation

Output:

Output 4.1: Status of project implementation and verification of use of funds accessed regularly and communicated to the GEF

Output 4.2: Independent operational completion report conducted and made publicly available

Component Balances

Project Components	GEF Enabling Activity Financing (\$)
Component 1: Global Technical Support for MIA and NAP development	65,000.00
Component 2: MIA and NAP development	480,000.00

Component 3: MIA validation and NAP endorsement and submission to the Minamata Secretariat	72,000.00
M&E	20,000.00
Subtotal	637,000.00
Project Management Cost	63,000.00
Total Enabling Activity Cost	700,000.00

Please provide justification

SECTION 2: ENABLING ACTIVITY SUPPORTING INFORMATION

C. Eligibility Criteria

Please provide eligibility information for this enabling activity.

The Minamata Convention on Mercury is a Multilateral Environmental Agreement (MEA) whose objective is to protect human health and the environment from anthropogenic emissions and releases of mercury and mercury compounds.

On 24 December 2018, the Government of Equatorial Guinea deposited its instrument of accession and became the 116th Party to the Minamata Convention, thereby qualifying for GEF support and financial resources to implement the Convention's enabling activities. As proof of its commitment to the agreement and its obligations, the government now seeks to develop a Minamata Initial Assessment (MIA) and a National Action Plan (NAP) as a first steps towards implementation. As the country has not yet conducted a comprehensive study on mercury and its compounds, this is the first project implemented in Equatorial Guinea related to this issue.

On 18th August 2024, Equatorial Guinea notified the Minamata Secretariat that ‘‘artisanal and small-scale gold mining and processing in its territory is more that insignificant’’. Hence, according to Article 7 of the Convention, the country shall develop and implement a National Action Plan in accordance with Annex C and submit it no later than three years from the notification.

In the particular case of the NAP development, there are numerous challenges associated to the ASGM sector in Equatorial Guinea, including but not limited to: (a) the prevalence of accidents and deaths due to poor practices and techniques; (b) the use of environmentally unfriendly and unhealthy methods; (c) the loss of revenues, taxes, and employment opportunities generated by formal mining; and (d) the links to illicit activities and human rights violations from criminal groups.

Therefore, a holistic, nuanced and multi-faceted approach is required from the government, the private sector and civil society to address the lack of formalization and environmental and social challenges of the ASGM sector in the country, including the potential widespread use of mercury.

D. Institutional Framework

Describe the institutional arrangements for implementation of the enabling activity.

Implementing Agency (IA): This project will be implemented by UNEP Chemicals and Waste - GEF Unit (Chemicals and Health Branch, Industry and Economy Division). As Implementing Agency, UNEP will be responsible for the overall project supervision, overseeing the project progress through the monitoring and evaluation of project activities and progress reports, including on technical issues.

Executing Agency (EA): The Ministry of Environment, Agriculture, Husbandry, Fisheries and Forestry of Equatorial Guinea, through the Climate Change and Environment Directorate, led by the Minamata Convention Focal Point Office, will manage and be responsible for the project activities on a day-to-day basis. It will establish the necessary managerial and technical teams to execute the project (gender balanced). It will search for and hire any consultants necessary for technical activities and supervise their work. A dedicated gender expert will be recruited to ensure gender is mainstreamed in the project and its main

outcomes, the Minamata Initial Assessment (MIA) and the National Action Plan on ASGM. It will acquire equipment and monitor the project; in addition, it will organize independent audits to guarantee proper use of GEF funds. Financial transactions, audits and reports will be done according to national regulations and UN Environment procedures. The Ministry will provide regular (quarterly and annual) administrative, progress and financial reports to UNEP.

National Coordination Mechanism (NCM): will meet regularly during project implementation. The Committee will have gender-balanced composition and include key national stakeholders and will evaluate the progress of the project and will take the necessary measures to guarantee the fulfillment of its goals and objectives. Gender expert(s) will be included in the national coordination mechanism. The NCM will take decisions on the project in line with the project objectives and these decisions will be implemented by the Ministry of Environment.

Stakeholder Advisory Group (SAG): This group will include relevant stakeholders in Equatorial Guinea who possess significant knowledge and information, and whose collaboration and cooperation will be needed for the successful formulation and future implementation of the MIA and NAP project. Particular attention will be paid to women organization or interest groups. The SAG will engage at regular intervals (quarterly or bi-annually) and during all phases of the project development and will provide feedback on these documents as needed.

Key stakeholders include the relevant governmental entities (Ministry of Forests and Environment, Ministry of Mines and Hydrocarbons, Ministry of Finance, Economy and Planning, Ministry of Public Health, Law enforcement agencies, Ministry of Social Affairs and Gender Equality); community leaders and local government from ASGM regions; miner representatives (ASGM miners, miners' cooperatives and associations); gold processors; technical experts; environmental and human health organizations; academic and research institutes (National University of Equatorial Guinea, Afro-American University of Central Africa, AAUCA, among others); representatives from large scale mining; police and custom officials; financial/banking sector (National Bank of Equatorial Guinea, BGFI Equatorial Guinea, Commercial Bank Equatorial Guinea, CBGE, among others); private sector (industries using or emitting mercury, importers and distributors of mercury-added products, waste and recycling companies) development organizations; women's interest groups (to be confirmed), and representatives of vulnerable communities and groups, minority groups and civil society organizations. Governmental entities will play central roles in policy development and oversight, interministerial coordination and resource mobilization; local governments and community leaders will provide on-the-ground perspectives and facilitate culturally appropriate engagement; academic institutions and technical experts will contribute research and baseline data to inform decision-making; ASGM miners, cooperatives and actors along the gold supply chain will bring insights on the ASGM operations and socioeconomic drivers of mercury use requiring close collaboration in both the preparation of the baseline and the definition of strategies within the NAP; representatives from the private sector will support the design of economic incentives and mercury-free supply chains, and women's groups and women-led organizations will highlight the gender dimensions of ASGM and ensure the NAP promotes gender equity and addresses specific vulnerabilities. A detailed list of stakeholders and their roles will be elaborated at inception and means of engagement and frequency will be defined in line with the NAP Guidance Document. However, the engagement approaches will include formal technical working groups, interministerial coordination meetings, capacity building workshops, field missions, community dialogues and culturally appropriate consultations, among others. Participatory design, gender- and youth-sensitive approaches and the use of local languages and accessible formats will be part of the stakeholder engagement. Their participation will be monitored throughout implementation.

Global Mercury Partnership (GMP): Hosted by UNEP, the partnership works closely with stakeholders to assist in the timely ratification and effective implementation of the Minamata Convention. One of its key focus areas is reducing mercury use from the artisanal and small-scale gold mining sector. The GMP has actively assisted countries in their efforts to minimize mercury use and releases from the ASGM sector, eliminate the worst practices, and explore innovative market-based approaches for a sustainable transition away from mercury. Under this project, the GMP will receive dedicated funds to provide technical and strategic support to the Government of Equatorial Guinea. It will also ensure that Equatorial Guinea benefits from the expertise and experience of its members. Additionally, the GMP will submit financial and progress reports in a consolidated format to both the Implementing Agency (IA) and the Executing Agency (EA) to ensure transparency and effective project monitoring.




Figure 1: Institutional Arrangements Diagram

E. Monitoring and Evaluation Plan

Describe the budgeted M&E plan.

Day-to-day project management and monitoring will be the responsibility of the Executing Agency. The project monitoring will start with the inception workshop and the development of a detailed workplan, budget and monitoring and evaluation plan with key stakeholders.

A gender strategy will be developed by a gender expert during inception to be implemented and monitored throughout the project. Key stakeholders will follow training on Gender Dimensions in Waste and ASGM before working on the strategy. Furthermore, a national focal point will be identified in the Project Steering Committee (PSC) to follow up on the implementation of the gender strategy and its main results.

The Executing Agency will develop and submit to UNEP technical and financial reports every quarter describing the progress according to the workplan and budget, identifying obstacles occurred during implementation and the remediation actions to be taken.

UNEP as IA will monitor the project progress according to the workplan on a regular basis and provide guidance to the Executing Agency to progress according to the workplan. Yearly during the GEF PIR, UNEP will transmit information about the status of the project implementation and the disbursements made.

Monthly calls between the Executing Agency and the Implementing Agency will be agreed upon if the project is not progressing according to the work plan.

The final report and final statement of accounts developed by the Executing Agency at the end of the project closes the Executing Agency monitoring activities for this project. The final financial audit will review the use of project funds against budget and assess the probity of expenditure and transactions. The final audit is to be developed by an independent audit authority (a recognized firm of public accountants or, for governments, a government auditor). The final audit is to be sent to UNEP up to six months after the technical completion of the project.

Templates for the quarterly progress and financial report, final report and final statement of accounts will be provided by UNEP. There is no template for the final financial audit.

An operational completion report (OCR) will take place at the end of project implementation, at the latest 6 months after completion of the project. An independent consultant will be responsible for the TR and liaise with the UNEP Task Manager throughout the process.

The OCR will provide an independent assessment of project performance (in terms of relevance, effectiveness and efficiency), and determine the likelihood of impact and sustainability. It will have two primary purposes: (i) to provide evidence of results to meet accountability requirements, and (ii) to promote learning, feedback, and knowledge sharing through results and lessons learned among UNEP and executing partner.

The direct costs of the review will be charged against the project review budget. The OCR report will be sent to project stakeholders for comments. Formal comments on the report will be shared by the independent consultant in an open and transparent manner. Project performance will be assessed against standard review criteria using a six-point rating scheme. The final determination of project ratings will be made by the independent consultant when the review report is finalized. The review report will be publicly disclosed and will be followed by a recommendation compliance process.

SECTION 3: INFORMATION TABLES

F. GEF Financing Resources Requested by Agency, Country and Programming of Funds

GEF Agency	Trust Fund	Country/ Regional/ Global	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	GEF Enabling Activity Financing (\$)	Agency Fee (\$)	Total (\$)
UNEP	GET	Equatorial Guinea	Chemicals and Waste	Mercury	700,000.00	66,500.00	766,500.00
Total GEF Resources					700,000.00	66,500.00	766,500.00

Sources of Funds for Country Star Allocation

GEF Agency	Trust Fund	Country/ Regional/ Global	Focal Area	Sources of Funds	Total(\$)
Total GEF Resources					0.00

G. Rio Markers

Climate Change Mitigation	Climate Change Adaptation	Biodiversity	Desertification
No Contribution 0	No Contribution 0	No Contribution 0	No Contribution 0

H. Record of Endorsement of GEF Operational Focal Point(s) on Behalf of the Government(s):

Please attach the *Operational Focal Point endorsement letter(s)* with this template.

Name	Position	Ministry	Date (MM/DD/YYYY)
Mr. Antonio Micha Ondo Angue	National Director	National Institute for the Conservation of the Environment Ministry of Environment, Agriculture, Husbandry, Fisheries and Forestry of Equatorial Guinea	11/27/2024

ANNEX A: RESPONSES TO STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS

Describe how the enabling activity has addressed comments from stakeholders, including Council Members, Convention Secretariats, and STAP (if applicable).

ANNEX B: PROJECT BUDGET TABLE

Attach the project budget table.

BUDGET ALLOCATION BY PROJECT COMPONENT							
	Component 1	Component 2	Component 3	Component 4			
	Global Technical Support for MIA and NAP development	MIA and NAP development	MIA and NAP Submission	Monitoring and Evaluation	Project Management Costs (PMC)	Total	Responsible Entity
	Output 1.1	Output 2.1	Output 3.1	Output 4.1 and 4.2			
	US\$	US\$		US\$	US\$	US\$	
PROJECT PERSONNEL COMPONENT							
Project Personnel							
Project coordinator					30,000	30,000	EA (Min of Env)
Project assistant						0	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	0	0	0	30,000	30,000	
Consultants w/m							
Int'l consultant for inventory training and development or review	0	60,000				60,000	UNEP GMP (KRU)
National consultants for national activities		236,000				236,000	EA (Min of Env)
Expert on MIA and NAP development and validation	65,000					65,000	UNEP GMP (KRU)
Sub-Total	65,000	296,000	0	0	0	361,000	
Administrative Support							
Project Financial Officer/Assistant					23,000	23,000	EA (Min of Env)
Travel on official business (above staff)							
Travel Project coordinator/project staff		80,000				80,000	EA (Min of Env)

Sub-Total	0	80,000	0	0	23,000	103,000	
Component Total	65,000	376,000	0	0	53,000	494,000	
SUB CONTRACT COMPONENT							
Sub contracts (UN Organizations)							
UN Sub-contract						0	
Sub-total	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Component Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TRAINING COMPONENT							
Group training (field trips, WS, etc.)							
Training on inventory development (incl. Provision of materials)		52,000				52,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	52,000	0	0	0	52,000	
Meetings/conferences							
National project inception workshop			15,000			15,000	EA (Min of Env)
Final national lessons learned workshop			20,000			20,000	EA (Min of Env)
National Coordination Mechanisms meetings			16,000			16,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	0	51,000	0	0	51,000	
Component Total	0	52,000	51,000	0	0	103,000	
EQUIPMENT and PREMISES COMPONENT							
Expendable equipment (under 1,500 \$)							
Field technical equipment and operational costs		33,000				33,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	33,000		0	0	33,000	
Non expendable equipment							

Computer, fax, photocopier, projector		10,000				10,000	EA (Min of Env)
Software		3,000				3,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	13,000		0	0	13,000	
Component Total	0	46,000		0	0	46,000	
MISCELLANEOUS COMPONENT							
Reporting costs (publications, maps, NL)							
Summary reports, visualization and diffusion of results			6,000			6,000	EA (Min of Env)
Preparation of final report			10,000			10,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	0	16,000	0	0	16,000	
Sundry (communications, postages)							
Communications (postage, bank transfers, etc)		6,000	5,000			11,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-total	0	6,000	5,000	0	0	11,000	
Evaluation							
Independent Terminal Evaluation				20,000		20,000	UNEP CW
Independent Financial Audit					10,000	10,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	0		20,000	10,000	30,000	
Component Total	0	6,000	21,000	20,000	10,000	57,000	
TOTAL	65,000	480,000	72,000	20,000	63,000	700,000	

BUDGET ALLOCATION BY PROJECT COMPONENT							
	Component 1	Component 2	Component 3	Component 4			
	Global Technical Support for MIA and NAP development	MIA and NAP development	MIA and NAP Submission	Monitoring and Evaluation	Project Management Costs (PMC)	Total	Responsible Entity

	Output 1.1	Output 2.1	Output 3.1	Output 4.1 and 4.2			
	US\$	US\$		US\$	US\$	US\$	
PROJECT PERSONNEL COMPONENT							
Project Personnel							
Project coordinator					30,000	30,000	EA (Min of Env)
Project assistant						0	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	0	0	0	30,000	30,000	
Consultants w/m							
Int'l consultant for inventory training and development or review	0	60,000				60,000	UNEP GMP (KRU)
National consultants for national activities		236,000				236,000	EA (Min of Env)
Expert on MIA and NAP development and validation	65,000					65,000	UNEP GMP (KRU)
Sub-Total	65,000	296,000	0	0	0	361,000	
Administrative Support							
Project Financial Officer/Assistant					23,000	23,000	EA (Min of Env)
Travel on official business (above staff)							
Travel Project coordinator/project staff		80,000				80,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	80,000	0	0	23,000	103,000	
Component Total	65,000	376,000	0	0	53,000	494,000	
SUB CONTRACT COMPONENT							
Sub contracts (UN Organizations)							
UN Sub-contract						0	
Sub-total	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Component Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TRAINING COMPONENT							

Group training (field trips, WS, etc.)							
Training on inventory development (incl. Provision of materials)		52,000				52,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	52,000	0	0	0	52,000	
Meetings/conferences							
National project inception workshop			15,000			15,000	EA (Min of Env)
Final national lessons learned workshop			20,000			20,000	EA (Min of Env)
National Coordination Mechanisms meetings			16,000			16,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	0	51,000	0	0	51,000	
Component Total	0	52,000	51,000	0	0	103,000	
EQUIPMENT and PREMISES COMPONENT							
Expendable equipment (under 1,500 \$)							
Field technical equipment and operational costs		33,000				33,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	33,000		0	0	33,000	
Non expendable equipment							
Computer, fax, photocopier, projector		10,000				10,000	EA (Min of Env)
Software		3,000				3,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	13,000		0	0	13,000	
Component Total	0	46,000		0	0	46,000	
MISCELLANEOUS COMPONENT							
Reporting costs (publications, maps, NL)							
Summary reports, visualization and diffusion of results			6,000			6,000	EA (Min of Env)

Preparation of final report			10,000			10,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	0	16,000	0	0	16,000	
Sundry (communications, postages)							
Communications (postage, bank transfers, etc)		6,000	5,000			11,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-total	0	6,000	5,000	0	0	11,000	
Evaluation							
Independent Terminal Evaluation				20,000		20,000	UNEP CW
Independent Financial Audit					10,000	10,000	EA (Min of Env)
Sub-Total	0	0		20,000	10,000	30,000	
Component Total	0	6,000	21,000	20,000	10,000	57,000	
TOTAL	65,000	480,000	72,000	20,000	63,000	700,000	

ANNEX C: ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS

Attached any screening documents or other ESS related documents (if applicable). ESS screening is not required for EAs but should be included if its available.

☐ Check this box is ESS screening is not required per Agency's regulations

Title

MIA NAP Equatorial Guinea - Annex C - Safeguard Risk Identification Form (SRIF)