

TERMINAL EVALUATION

Project ID:	9408
Project Name:	Preventing COSTS of Invasive Alien Species (IAS) in Barbados and the OECS Countries
Countr(ies):	Regional, Antigua and Barbuda, Barbados, St. Kitts and Nevis, Dominica, St. Lucia, St. Vincent and Grenadines, Grenada, Global
Implementing Agency:	UNEP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. OVERVIEW	3
A. Description	3
B. Key Dates	3
C. Disbursements	3
II. PROGRESS STATUS AND ISSUES	4
A. Main Terminal Evaluation Findings	4
B. Stakeholder Engagement	4
C. Gender Equality	4
D. Knowledge Management	4
III. CORE INDICATORS	4
IV: CO FINANCING	6
V: ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS	7
VI. ANNEX	7

I. Overview

A. Description

Project name

Preventing COSTS of Invasive Alien Species (IAS) in Barbados and the OECS Countries

Country

Regional, Antigua and Barbuda, Barbados, St. Kitts and Nevis, Dominica, St. Lucia, St. Vincent and Grenadines, Grenada, Global

GEF ID

9408

Implementing Agency

UNEP

Executing Entity

Antigua and Barbuda Ministry of Health and the Environment,
Barbados Ministry of Agriculture, Food Fisheries and Water
Resource Management; St Kitts and Nevis Ministry of
Sustainable Development; and CABI

Trust Fund

GET

Project Type

FSP

Objective

Prevention, early detection, control and management frameworks for invasive alien species (IAS) that emphasize a risk management approach by focusing on the highest risk invasion pathways of Barbados and OECS countries.

B. Key Dates

CEO Endorsement/Approval

4/24/2018

Agency Approval

7/9/2018

Implementation Start

7/11/2018

First Disbursement

8/7/2018

Expected MTR

12/1/2021

MTR Submission

9/29/2026

Actual MTR

12/1/2021

Expected Completion

12/31/2025

Actual Completion

12/31/2025

Actual TE

8/3/2026

TE Submission

9/29/2026

Final Disbursement

C. Disbursements

Project Financing
4,104,000.00

Cumulative Disbursement
3,384,278.00

II. PROGRESS STATUS AND ISSUES

A. Main Terminal Evaluation Findings

The project conducted 3 critical situation analysis to gather information on the baseline situation of IAS management which were used in developing the IAS strategic plan and Action Plans and Conservation and Environment Acts. These documents were submitted to the authority but were not approved yet. Awareness program conducted but no assessment conducted to measure the impact of the program. Capacity enhancement trainings conducted and also personnel from entry points were provided with tablets containing IAS Apps but trained staffs were transferred and Apps were not in use. Mongoose and Monkey management programs and Gecko programs were effective. Construction of bio-secure fence was not complete. Recovery of key species is observed in Redonda island. Cost recovery mechanism could not be established. Similarly, Trust fund created at regional level was not able to raise fund. Personnel were trained on Biosecurity protocol based on best practices.

B. Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholders other than the private sectors were engaged in the project. Private sector was only engaged in the project steering committee but not in any of the on the ground activities.

C. Gender Equality

Gender equity was maintained. *Project staffs and beneficiaries had greater participation of women. Some of the indicators were gender wise aggregated.*

D. Knowledge Management

The challenges and outcomes regarding the project's completed knowledge Management approach includes transfer of staff from points entry which created knowledge gaps. Tools developed were not in use. No assessment was carried out to assess impact of awareness activities. Some IAS strategy and action plans and legal documents were not approved/adopted by project closure.

III. Core Indicators

Indicator 4 Area of landscapes under improved practices (hectares; excluding protected areas)

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
	113200	66100	3937.3

Indicator 4.1 Area of landscapes under improved management to benefit biodiversity (hectares, qualitative assessment, non-certified)

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
	113,200.00	66,100.00	3,937.30

Indicator 4.2 Area of landscapes under third-party certification incorporating biodiversity considerations

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)

Type/Name of Third Party Certification

Indicator 4.3 Area of landscapes under sustainable land management in production systems

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)

Indicator 4.4 Area of High Conservation Value or other forest loss avoided

Disaggregation Type	Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)

Indicator 4.5 Terrestrial OECMs supported

Name of the OECMs	WDPA-ID	Total Ha (Expected at PIF)	Total Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Total Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Total Ha (Achieved at TE)

Documents (Document(s) that justifies the HCVF)

Title

Indicator 11 People benefiting from GEF-financed investments

	Number (Expected at PIF)	Number (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Number (Achieved at MTR)	Number (Achieved at TE)
Female				
Male				
Total	0	0	0	0

IV: Co Financing

Sources of Co-financing	Name of Co-financier	Type of Co-financing	Investment Mobilized	Anticipated at CEO(\$)	Materialized at MTR(\$)	Materialized at TE(\$)
Recipient Country Government	Antigua and Barbuda	In-kind	Investment mobilized	1,185,000.00	618,200.00	618,200.00
Recipient Country Government	Barbados	In-kind	Investment mobilized	1,727,412.00	4,882,140.05	5,760,358.00
Recipient Country Government	Grenada	In-kind	Investment mobilized	105,000.00	92,823.00	92,823.00
Recipient Country Government	St. Kitts and Nevis	In-kind	Investment mobilized	727,602.00	840,882.00	840,882.00
Recipient Country Government	St. Lucia	In-kind		300,000.00		562,362.00
Recipient Country Government	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	In-kind	Investment mobilized	301,101.00	124,007.00	124,007.00
Civil Society Organization	CAB International	In-kind		300,000.00		
Civil Society Organization	Flora and Fauna International	In-kind		240,000.00	148,236.00	148,236.00
Recipient Country Government	International organization	In-kind		100,000.00		
Recipient Country Government	USDA APHIS	Grant		213,000.00		
Recipient Country Government		Grant		380,000.00		
Civil Society Organization	CCN	In-kind		300,000.00		

GEF Agency	FAO	In-kind		255,000.00		
Civil Society Organization	Flora and Fauna International	In-kind		260,000.00		
Recipient Country Government	ST. Lucia - Min.of Sustain Dev.	In-kind		262,362.00		
Private Sector	Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Act	In-kind	Investment mobilized		57,075.00	57,075.00
Private Sector	Environmental Awareness Group (EAG)	In-kind	Investment mobilized		152,275.00	152,275.00
Total Co-financing				1,457,362.00	209,350.00	209,350.00

Comments

V: ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS

Overall Project/Program Risk Classification

PIF	CEO Endorsement/Approval	MTR	TE
		Low	Low

Measures to address identified risks and impacts

Specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN and were classified. For all risks, mitigation measures were provisioned and adopted during implementation. No social or Environmental risks identified during implementation. No complaints related to social and environmental impacts were received.

VI. ANNEX

Uploaded Document

Document Category	Prefix	Title
M and E Document	Terminal Evaluation (TE)	Terminal Evaluation Report