



Guinean Forests (GEF-8 Amazon, Congo and Critical Forest Biomes Integrated Program) Addendum (February 2024)

Review PIF and Make a recommendation

Basic project information

GEF ID

11391

Countries

Regional (Guinea-Bissau, Togo)

Project Name

Guinean Forests (GEF-8 Amazon, Congo and Critical Forest Biomes Integrated Program) Addendum (February 2024)

Agencies

CI, FAO, IUCN

Date received by PM

10/18/2023

Review completed by PM

11/23/2023

Program Manager

Jean-Marc Sinnassamy

Focal Area

Multi Focal Area

Project Type

PFD

GEF-8 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION FORM (PIF) REVIEW SHEET

1. General Program Information

a) Is the Program Information table correctly filled, including specifying adequate executing partners?


Secretariat's Comments

December 1, 2023

Addressed.

November 22, 2023

For Guinea Bissau: please correct Portal?s entry to keep the only executing entity as indicated in the LOE ? the Institute of Biodiversity and Protected Areas (IBAP).

GENERAL CHILD PROJECT INFORMATION 			
Child Project Title:	Promoting and Strengthening Restoration and Conservation of Cantanhez Primary Forests in Guinea Bissau		
Region:	Guinea-Bissau	GEF Child Project ID:	11393
Country(ies):	Guinea-Bissau	Type of Child Project:	FSP
GEF Agency(ies):	IUCN	GEF Agency Child Project ID:	
Anticipated Executing Entity(s):	Institute of Biodiversity and Protected Areas (IBAP)	Anticipated Executing Type:	Government
	National Directorate of Environment		Government
	General Directorate of Forest and Fauna (DGFF)		Government
	National Institute of Environment (INA in Portuguese)		Government
	General Directorate of Urban and Rural Planning (DGOT in Portuguese)		Government
GEF Focal Area (s):	Multi Focal Area	Submission Date :	10/17/2023
Type of Trust Fund:	GET	Child Project Duration	60

Subject: Endorsement for Promoting and strengthening effective conservation of the Cantanhez Primary Forests in Guinea Bissau.

In my capacity as GEF Operational Focal Point for Guinea Bissau, I confirm that the above project proposal (a) is in accordance with my government's national, and our commitment to the relevant global environmental conventions; and (b) was discussed with relevant stakeholders, including the global environmental convention focal points.

I am pleased to endorse the preparation of the above project proposal with the support of the GEF Implementing Agency listed below. If approved, the preparation of the proposal will be supported by and the project executed by The Institute of Biodiversity and Protected Areas. I request the GEF Implementing Agency(ies) to provide a copy of the project document before it is submitted to the GEF Secretariat for CEO endorsement / Approval.

The total financing (from GEFTF) being requested for this project is US\$ 6,666,666, inclusive of project preparation grant (PPG), if any, and Agency fees for project cycle management services associated with the total GEF Project Financing. The financing requested for Guinea Bissau is detailed in the table below.

October 20, 2023






General Program Information:




- (i) Follow the guidance provided in the tooltip to complete the Program Title (i.e. the date is missing)
- (ii) Fill in Executing Entity Name and Type as included in each of the LoEs (use the same information from the child projects for the Addendum).
- (iii) Enter Program Commitment Deadline date which is 9 August 2025:

Guinea Bissau

GEF Financing table, Indicative Focal Area elements

- Provide the amounts in dollars (and not cents): round down the numbers if needed. See especially the amounts related to the IP incentive.
- Please, pay attention that the amounts in the portal perfectly match the amounts in the letter of endorsement.

GENERAL PROGRAM INFORMATION 			
Program Title:	Guinean Forests (GEF-8 Amazon, Congo and Critical Forest Biomes Integrated Program) PFD Addendum 		
Country(ies):	Regional, Guinea-Bissau, Togo	GEF Program ID:	11391
Lead GEF Agency:	CI	GEF Agency Program ID:	
Other GEF Agency(ies):	FAO IUCN	Submission Date:	10/17/2023
Type of Trust Fund:	GET		
Anticipated Program Executing Entity(ies):		Anticipated Program Executing Partner Type(ies):	
Sector (only for Programs on CC):		Program Duration (Months):	72
GEF Focal Area (s):	Multi Focal Area	Program Commitment Deadline:	

GENERAL CHILD PROJECT INFORMATION 			
Child Project Title:	Strengthening conservation and resilience of forest landscapes in the sub-humid mountainous zone of Togo		
Region:	Togo	GEF Child Project ID:	11392
Country(ies):	Togo	Type of Child Project:	FSP
GEF Agency(ies):	FAO	GEF Agency Child Project ID:	
Anticipated Executing Entity(ies):	 Direction des Ressources Forestières (DRF) Ministère de l'Environnement et des ressources forestières	Anticipated Executing Type:	 Gouvernement
GEF Focal Area (s):	Multi Focal Area	Submission Date:	10/17/2023
Type of Trust Fund:	GET	Child Project Duration (Months):	60

Agency's Comments

CI-GEF 12/1/2023:

Guinea Bissau - At the addendum level and at the child project level, all other agencies were removed to reflect only IBAP as indicated in the signed LOE.

CI-GEF 11/17/2023:

- Revised to reflect "Addendum (February 2024)"
- Executing entity name and type encoded as reflected in all LOEs.
- Program Commitment Deadline date included.

Guinea Bissau

-The amounts for Guinea Bissau have been recomputed and rounded down in dollars to allow portal validation. Consequently, the Activity Summary Table with GEF Financing and co-financing figures was updated.

-As instructed during the discussions about GEF-8 IP Matching incentives, the figures in the OFP Letter of Endorsement should be higher or the same as what is reflected in the portal. Due to rounding down, the revised values in the portal are slightly lower than the LOE which follows the guidance on the IP Matching incentives.

b) Are the Rio Markers for CCM, CCA, BD and LD correctly selected, if applicable?

Secretariat's Comments Addressed.

Agency's Comments

2. Program Summary

a) Does the program summary concisely describe the problem to be addressed, the program objective and the strategies to deliver the GEBs or adaptation benefits and other key expected outcomes?

b) Is the program's geographical coverage explicit, as well as the covered sectors? Does the summary explain how the program is transformative or innovative?

Secretariat's Comments Addressed.

Agency's Comments

3 Indicative Program Overview

a) Is the program objective statement concise, clear and measurable?

b) Are the components and outcomes sound, appropriate and sufficiently clear to achieve the program objective and the core indicators per the stated Theory of Change?

c) Are gender dimensions, knowledge management, and M&E included within the program components and appropriately funded?

d) Are the GEF program Financing and Co-Financing contributions to PMC proportional?

e) Is the PMC equal to or below 5%? If above 5%, is the justification acceptable?

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments N/A

4 Program Outline

A. Program Rationale

a) Is the current situation (including global environmental problems, key drivers of environmental degradation, climate vulnerability) clearly and adequately described from a systems perspective and adequately addressed by the program design?

b) Has the role of stakeholders, incl. the private sector and local actors in the system been described and how they will contribute to GEBs and/or adaptation benefits and other program outcomes? Is the private sector seen mainly as a stakeholder or as financier?

c) Is the baseline situation and baseline projects and initiatives well laid out and how the program will build on these?

d) Have lessons learned from previous efforts been considered in the program design?

e) For NGI, is there a brief description of the financial barriers and how the program ? and the proposed financial structure- responds to these financial barriers.

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments N/A

5 B. Program Description

5.1 a) Is there a concise theory of change (narrative and an optional schematic) that describes the program logic, including how the program design elements are contributing to the objective, a set of identified key causal pathways, the thrust and basis (including scientific) of the proposed solutions, how they provide a robust solution and listing the key assumptions underlying these?

b) Is there a description of how the GEF alternative will build on ongoing/previous investments (GEF and non-GEF), lessons and experiences?

c) Are the program components described and proposed solutions and critical assumptions and risks properly justified? Is there an indication of why the program approach has been selected over other potential options?

d) Incremental/additional cost reasoning: Is the incremental/additional cost reasoning properly described as per the Guidelines provided in GEF/C.31/12? Have the baseline scenario and/or associated baseline programs been described? Is the program incremental reasoning provisioned (including the role of the GEF)?

e) Are the relevant levers of transformation identified and described?

f) Is there an adequate description on how relevant stakeholders (including women, private sector, CSO, e.g.) will contribute to the design and implementation of the program and its components?

g) Gender: Does the description on gender issues identify any differences, gaps or opportunities linked to program objectives and have these been taken up in component description/s?

h) Are the proposed elements to capture, exchange and disseminate knowledge and lessons learned adequate in order to benefit future programs? Are efforts for strategic communication adequately described?

i) Policy Coherence: How will the program support participating countries to improve, develop and align policies, regulations or subsidies to not counteract the intended program outcomes?

Secretariat's Comments

December 1, 2023

Addressed.

November 29, 2023

- Gender: We note that Gender dimensions have been reflected in outcome 5.1 and that more elements on the gender aspects will be included during the PPG phase once a gender analysis is developed for the specific context of this child project.

- Please reflect gender perspectives in outcomes 2.2, 3.2, 3.3, 4.1 and 4.2

- Other comments are addressed.

October 20, 2023

Togo

- Please, well refer to the PFD Theory of Change, especially to identify the levers of transformation

Guinea Bissau

Gender: Gender and equality responsiveness are absent from the note and the result framework.

Policy coherence:

- Is a new financing mechanism really needed in a country as Guinea Bissau? Don't you think that using the existing mechanisms, as the BioGuinea Fund should be the first option to explore?

- Weak governance of Canthanez PA: please, explain how this project will do differently? Explain the transformation needed in terms of PA management.

Agency's Comments

CI-GEF 12/1/2023:

-Noted.

-Gender perspectives have been reflected in outcomes 2.2, 3.2, 3.3, 4.1 and 4.2.

-The clearance is noted.

CI-GEF 11/17/2023:

Togo

-Explicit reference to the four PFD levers has been added in the ToC section.

Guinea Bissau

-Gender has been mainstreamed in the Components. More elements on the gender aspects will be included during the PPG phase once a gender analysis is developed for the specific context of this child project.

Policy Coherence

-What we understand by a new innovative financing mechanism consists in exploring the possibilities offered by the carbon market. In this respect, the Bio Guin? Foundation is already playing a leading role in the sale of carbon in Guinea Bissau, particularly in the Cacheu parks. The aim here is to explore the possibilities offered by the carbon market to support sustainable financing for the governance of Guinea Bissau's primary forests.

- The issues on sustainable management of Cantanhez PA is addressed. In order to improve PA management effectiveness, the proposed child project will build institutional and technical capacity on the utilization of conservation technologies and then after promote their deployment

5.2 Program coherence and consistency

a) How will the program design ensure resilience to future changes in the drivers and allow for adaptive management needs and options?

b) Is the potential for achieving transformative change through the integrated approach adequately described? How is the program going to be transformative or innovative? Does it explain scaling up opportunities?

c) Are the countries or themes selected as child projects under the program appropriate for achieving the overall program objective?

d) Are the descriptions of child projects adequately reflective of the program objective and priorities as described in the ToC?

e) Is the financing presented in the annexed financing table adequate to meet the program objectives?

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments N/A

5.3 Program Governance, Coordination and Cooperation with Ongoing Initiatives and Programs

a) Are the program level institutional arrangements for governance and coordination, including potential executing partners, outlined on regional, national/local levels and a rationale provided? Has a program level organogram / diagram been included, with description of roles and responsibilities, and decision-making processes?

b) Is there a description of coordination and cooperation with ongoing GEF and non-GEF financed initiatives, projects/programs (such as government, private sector and/or other bilateral/multilateral supported initiatives in the program area, e.g.).

Secretariat's Comments

November 22, 2023

Addressed.

October 20, 2023

Guinea Bissau

Please, confirm the coordination with the regional coordination project will be explored at PPG level.

Agency's Comments

CI-GEF 11/17/2023:

Guinea Bissau

Text has been added to indicate that there will be coordination with the regional coordination project

5.4 Program-level Results, Monitoring and Reporting

a) Are the global environmental benefits and/or adaptation benefits identified? Does the PFD describe how it will support the generation of multiple environmental benefits which would not have accrued without the GEF program?

b) Are the identified core indicators calculated using the methodology and adhering to the overarching principles included in the corresponding Guidelines (GEF/C.62/Inf.12/Rev.01/GEF/C.54/11/Rev.01)?

c) Are the program's targeted contributions to GEBs (measured through core indicators and additional listed outcome indicators) / adaptation benefits reasonable and achievable? Are the GEF Climate Change adaptation indicators and sub-indicators for LDCF and SCCF properly documented?

d) Other Benefits: Are the socioeconomic benefits resulting from the program at the global, national and local levels sufficiently described?

e) Is the described approach to program level M&E aiming to achieve coherence across child projects and to allow for adaptative management?

Secretariat's Comments

November 22, 2023

Addressed.

October 20, 2023

Core indicators

- Please consider ensuring that the sum of Core and Sub-Indicator values across child projects adds up to the value entered at PFD level (check indicator 1.2 for instance).

Guinea Bissau

Core indicators:

- The carbon calculations under the CI 6 seems very high (112 million tons of CO₂e?). Please, revise and if possible, provide the EXACT tables or the calculation modes (the targets are five times those in Togo for instance).

Togo

Result framework

- We strongly support the development of AVGAPs in Togo, especially for OECMs. However, we will invite the Agency to take lessons from the in the CREMA in Ghana and the AVIGREFs in Benin. For instance, the sustainability of these community associations needs to be anticipated very soon in the project, for instance anchored in the decentralization process in Ghana. The selection of representatives in these associations need to be well prepared to avoid a capture by elites.
- We applaud the mention of women's dependency on forests, gender consideration, and stakeholders as ? Femmes REDD+?.
- Please, clarify the work needed at transboundary level with Ghana.
- The work on antipoaching both with Ghana and Eagle Network is welcome.

Agency's Comments

CI-GEF 11/17/2023:

Core Indicators

-The sum of the Core and Sub-Indicator values have been crosschecked to ensure that the values across child projects adds up to the PFD level figures.

Guinea Bissau

Core Indicators:

-The new re-calculation give us an amount of 16.322.409 of CO2e potential of mitigation. See more details on Ex-ACT Excel calculation file.

Togo

Core Indicators: (from WhatsApp)

-Core Indicator 6.1 revised to 10MT CO2e GHG estimate. EX-ACT worksheet & description of changes attached.

Results framework

-The need to take lessons learned from CREMA and AVIGREF is inserted in the text of the concept note. This will be explored during PPG.

-Noted.

-Transboundary collaboration between Togo and Ghana has been expounded.

-Noted.

5.5 Risks to Achieving Program Outcomes

a) Are climate and other main risks relevant to the program identified and adequately described? Are mitigation measures outlined and realistic? Is there any omission?

b) Are the key risks and mitigation measures that might affect implementation and the achievement of outcomes adequately rated?

c) Are environmental and social risks and impacts adequately screened and rated and consistent with requirements set out in SD/PL/03?

Secretariat's Comments Addressed.

Agency's Comments NA

6 C. Alignment with GEF-8 Programming Strategies and Country/Regional Priorities

6.1 a) Is the program adequately aligned with Focal Area and IP Elements, and/or LDCF/SCCF strategy?

***For IPs: is the program adequately aligned with the Integrated Program goals and objectives as outlined in the GEF 8 programming directions?**

Secretariat's Comments Addressed.

Agency's Comments NA

b) Child project selection criteria: Are the criteria for child project selection sound and transparently laid out?

Secretariat's Comments

October 20, 2023

Addressed at EoI Stage by the EoI Review Committee.

Agency's Comments NA

6.2 Is the program alignment/coherent with country / regional / global priorities, policies, strategies and plans (including those related to the MEAs and to relevant sectors)?

Secretariat's Comments Addressed.

Agency's Comments NA

7 D. Policy Requirements

7.1 Are the Policy Requirement sections completed?

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments NA

7.2 Environmental and Social Safeguards

Have safeguard screening document and/or other ESS document(s) attached and been uploaded to the GEF Portal? (annex D)

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments NA

8 Other Requirements

Knowledge Management

8.1 Has the agency confirmed that a project level approach to Knowledge Management and Learning has been included in the PFD?

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments NA

9 Annexes

Financing Tables (Annex A and Annex H)

9.1 GEF Financing Table:

a) Is the proposed GEF financing (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines? Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply):

Country STAR allocation?

Secretariat's Comments

November 22, 2023

Addressed.

October 20, 2023

- Please remove decimal places and round to the nearest dollar in all financial tables of the Guinea-Bissau child project.

Financing + PPG							
Row Labels	BD IP Matching Incentives	BDSTAR Allocation: IPs	CC IP Matching Incentives	CC STAR Allocation: IPs	LD IP Matching Incentives	LD STAR Allocation: IPs	Grand Total
Guinea-Bissau	666,666.66	2,000,000.00	499,999.32	1,500,000.00	499,999.32	1,500,000.00	6,666,665.30
Togo	503,939.00	1,511,823.00			666,666.00	2,000,000.00	4,682,428.00
Grand Total	1,170,605.66	3,511,823.00	499,999.32	1,500,000.00	1,166,665.32	3,500,000.00	11,349,093.30

Agency's Comments

CI-GEF 11/17/2023:

The financing figures have been revised and rounded down to the nearest dollars.

Non-STAR Focal Area allocation?

Secretariat's Comments NA

Agency's Comments NA

LDCF under the principle of equitable access?

Secretariat's Comments NA

Agency's Comments NA
SCCF A (SIDS)?

Secretariat's Comments NA

Agency's Comments NA
SCCF B (Tech Transfer, Innovation, Private Sector)?

Secretariat's Comments NA

Agency's Comments NA
Focal Area Set Aside?

Secretariat's Comments NA

Agency's Comments NA
IP Set Aside

Secretariat's Comments NA

Agency's Comments NA
IP Contribution

Secretariat's Comments Yes

Agency's Comments NA
For Child Project Financing information (Annex H)
b) Are the IP Matching Incentives amounts correctly calculated according to the country
STAR focal areas? allocated amounts? Are the IP contributions aligned with the Program?
The allocated amounts (including Agency Fee) match those in LoE?

c) Project Preparation Grant Table: Are the IP Matching Incentives amounts correctly calculated according to the country STAR focal areas? allocated amounts? The allocated amounts (including PPG Fee) match those in LoE? Is the requested PPG within the authorized limits set in Guidelines? (pop up information?) If above the limits, has an exception been sufficiently substantiated?

d) Sources of Funds Table: Are the allocated sources of funds for each and every one of the three STAR Focal Areas within the Country's STAR envelope by the time of the last review?

e) Indicative Focal Area Elements Table: (For IPs) The selected Indicative Focal Area element corresponds to the respective IP?

f) (For non-IPs) The selected Indicative Focal Area Elements are aligned with the respective Program?

g) Co-financing Table: Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing provided and consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines?

Secretariat's Comments

November 22, 2023

Addressed.

October 20, 2023

Guinea Bissau

Cofinancing: Please, provide more explanation on the origin and nature of cofinancing under the table, beyond the BioGuinea Fund.

Agency's Comments

CI-GEF 11/17/2023:

Guinea Bissau

The PACVEAR and PDCV-riz projects are two Ministry of Agriculture and rural development projects that operate in rural areas in several regions and aim to promote food self-sufficiency in climate change and at the same time conserve and preserve the environment by guiding communities towards the development and use of lowlands and the sustainable promotion of NTFPs. In this sense, these two projects are relevant sources of co-financing in terms of experience and good practice, as well as financial contributions to achieving the objectives of the Guinea Bissau project.

9.2 Project Preparation Grant (PPG): if PPG for child projects has been requested: has the PPG table been included and properly filled out adding up to the correct PPG and PPG fee totals as per the sum of the child projects?

Secretariat's Comments Yes

Agency's Comments NA

9.3 Sources of Funds for Country STAR Allocation

Does the table represent the sum of STAR allocations sources utilized for this program?

Secretariat's Comments Yes

Agency's Comments NA

9.4 Indicative Focal Area Elements

For non-IP Programs

Does the table contain the sum of focal area elements and amounts as per the sum of the child projects?

Secretariat's Comments NA

Agency's Comments NA

9.5 Indicative Co-financing

Are the indicative amounts, sources, and types of co-financing adequate and reflect the ambition of the program? Has the subset of co-finance which are expected to be investment mobilized been identified and defined (FI/GN/01)?

Secretariat's Comments

November 22, 2023

Addressed.

October 20, 2023

Guinea Bissau

Cofinancing: Please, provide more explanation on the origin and nature of cofinancing under the table, beyond the BioGuinea Fund.

Agency's Comments

CI-GEF 11/17/2023:

Guinea Bissau

Cofinancing: Please see the explanation under the comment in section ?For Child Project Financing information (Annex H)?

Annex B: Endorsements

9.6 Has the program and its respective child project been endorsed by the GEF OFP/s of all GEF eligible participating countries and has the OFP name and position been checked against the GEF database at the time of submission?

Secretariat's Comments

December 1, 2023

The letter of endorsement was corrected and directly sent by the GEF OFP. The letter is saved on My Documents Tabs,

Addressed.

November 29, 2023

- The LOE from Guinea-Bissau is still with incorrect STAR focal area source which are all from BD, while the Sources of funds table in Portal include sources from BD, CC and LD:

Source of Funds	GEF Agency	Focal Area Source	Amount (in US\$)				Total
			GEF Project Financing	GEF Project Financing Agency Fee	Project Preparation Grant (PPG)	Project Preparation Grant (PPG) Agency Fee	
GEFTF	IUCN	BD STAR Allocation	1,789,862	161,088	45,000	4,050	2,000,000
GEFTF	IUCN	BD STAR Allocation	1,342,397	120,815	33,750	3,038	1,500,000
GEFTF	IUCN	BD STAR Allocation	1,342,397	120,815	33,750	3,038	1,500,000
GEFTF	IUCN	STARIP Matching Incentives	1,491,552	134,240	37,500	3,374	1,666,666
Total GEF Resources			5,966,208	536,958	150,000	13,500	6,666,666

The STAR resources indicated above are being endorsed for the project listed above and submitted by the GEF Implementing Agency via the GEF Portal.

Where the source of funding is GEF Trust Fund only (i.e., excluding LDCF, SCCF) and the focal area falls under the STAR model, include the following]:

October 20, 2023

Guinea Bissau

Please, provide a revised Letter of Endorsement for Guinea Bissau, 1) using the GEF8 template, 2) reflecting the same project name than in the concept note, and 3) providing

the amounts in dollars (and not in cents), with the right breakdown (project, project fees, PPG, and PPG fees).

Please, do not change the template (ensure that the footnote is included).

Agency's Comments

CI-GEF 12/1/2023:

-We are working with IUCN and Guinea Bissau OFP to secure the revised LoE to reflect the Focal Area Source from BD, CC, and LD STAR Allocation and will upload as soon as it is received

CI-GEF 11/17/2023:

-The revised signed LoE has been uploaded.

-The required template was used.

Compilation of Letters of Endorsement Are the OFP endorsement letters uploaded to the GEF Portal (compiled as a single document, if applicable)?

Secretariat's Comments The LoE are available in two pdf documents.

Agency's Comments

CI-GEF 11/17/2023:

The revised LOE in pdf for Guinea Bissau has been uploaded. No changes for the LOE of Togo.

Do the letters follow the correct format and are the endorsed amounts consistent with the amounts included in the Portal?

Secretariat's Comments

November 22, 2023

Addressed.

October 20, 2023

No for Guinea Bissau

Agency's Comments

CI-GEF 11/17/2023:

The revised signed LOE has been uploaded for Guinea Bissau.

Annex C: Program Locations

9.7 a) Are geo-referenced information and maps provided indicating where the program interventions will take place?

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments NA

Annex G: NGI Relevant Annexes* (*only for non IP programs)

9.9 a) Does the program provide sufficient detail (indicative term sheet) to take a decision on the following selection criteria: co-financing ratios, financial terms and conditions, and financial additionality? If not, please provide comments.

b) Does the program provide a detailed reflow table to assess the program capacity of generating reflows? If not, please provide comments.

c) Is the Agency eligible to administer concessional finance? If not, please provide comments.

Secretariat's Comments NA

Agency's Comments NA

Additional Annexes

10 GEFSEC Decision

10.1 GEFSEC Recommendation

Is the program recommended for clearance?

Secretariat's Comments

December 1, 2023

All points are addressed. The addendum is recommended for clearance and WP inclusion.

November 29, 2023

All points are addressed, but three. Upon receipt of a revised addendum and a revised LoE, for Guine Bissau, the addendum will be recommended for clearance and WP inclusion.

October 20, 2023

The addendum cannot be recommended yet. Please, address the comments above.

Agency's Comments

CI-GEF 12/1/2023:

The remaining three comments have been addressed. Please see the responses above.

CI-GEF 11/17/2023:

Please see responses above.

10.2 Additional Comments to be considered by the Agency(ies) during the child project development.

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments NA

10.3 Review Dates

	PIF Review	Agency Response
First Review	10/20/2023	
Additional Review (as necessary)	11/29/2023	
Additional Review (as necessary)	12/1/2023	
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		