

# Mexico's Third Biennial Update Report (BUR3)

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

## Basic project information

**GEF ID**

10506

**Countries**

Mexico

**Project Name**

Mexico's Third Biennial Update Report (BUR3)

**Agencies**

UNDP

**Date received by PM**

3/5/2020

**Review completed by PM**

**Program Manager**

Milena Vasquez

**Focal Area**

Climate Change

**Project Type**

EA

## Expedited Enabling Activity req (CEO) ☐

**Part 1: Project Information****Focal area elements**

Is the enabling activity aligned with the relevant GEF focal area elements as indicated in Table A and as defined by the GEF 7 Programming Directions?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

3/13/2020: Yes, this EA is aligned with the GEF-7 climate change strategy.

**Agency Response****Project description summary**

Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs as in Table B and described in the project document?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Yes, the project design is appropriate.

**Agency Response**

**Co-financing**

Are the confirmed expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented, with supporting evidence and a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified [and meets the definition of investment mobilized, and a description of any major changes from PIF, consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines?]

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Co-financing is not required for this EA, yet \$352,000 is listed as in-kind from the executing entity, INECC.

**Agency Response**

**GEF Resource Availability**

Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Yes the proposed financing in Table D is in line with GEF policies and guidelines.

**Agency Response**

Are they within the resources available from:

The STAR allocation?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: N/A

**Agency Response**

The focal area allocation?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: N/A

**Agency Response**

The LDCF under the principle of equitable access

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: N/A

**Agency Response**

The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: N/A

**Agency Response**

Focal area set-aside?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Yes, there are enough resources to support this EA.

**Agency Response**

Is the financing presented adequate and demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objectives?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Yes, the financing presented is adequate.

**Agency Response**

Part 2: Enabling Activity Justification

Background and Context.

**Are the achievements of previously implemented enabling activities cited since the country(ies) became a party to the Convention?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Yes, the achievements of the previous BUR and the international consultation and analysis cycle is presented.

### **Agency Response**

**Goals, Objectives, and Activities.**

**Is the project framework sufficiently described?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Yes, the goals, objectives and activities are sufficiently described.

### **Agency Response**

**Stakeholders.**

**Does the project include detailed report on stakeholders engaged during the design phase? Is there an adequate stakeholder engagement plan or equivalent documentation for the implementation phase, with information on Stakeholders who will be engaged, the means of engagement, and dissemination of information?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Yes, stakeholders to be engaged are listed.

### **Agency Response**

**Gender equality and women's empowerment.**

**Has the gender analysis been completed? Did the gender analysis identify any gender differences, gaps or opportunities linked to project/program objectives and activities? If so, does the project/program include gender-responsive activities, gender-sensitive indicators and expected results?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Yes, gender equality and women's empowerment is integrated into the EA design.

### **Agency Response**

**Monitoring and Evaluation.**

**Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Yes.

**Agency Response**

Cost Effectiveness.

**Is the project cost effective?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Yes.

**Agency Response**

Cost Ranges

**If there was a deviation in the cost range, was this explained?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: There is no deviation in the cost range.

**Agency Response**

**Part III. Endorsement/ Approval by OFP**

**Country endorsement**

**Has the project been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF database?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** 3/13/2020: Yes, the OFP Mrs. Fernanda Montero Lara has endorsed the EA.

## **Agency Response**

Response to Comments

Are all the comments adequately responded to? (only as applicable)

## **GEF Secretariat Comment**

## **Agency Response**

Other Agencies comments?

## **Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

## **Agency Response**

Council comments

## **Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

## **Agency Response**

STAP Comments

## **Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

## **Agency Response**

Convention Secretariat comments

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response

CSOs comments

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response

GEFSEC DECISION

RECOMMENDATION

Is CEO Endorsement/approval recommended?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion 3/13/2020: Yes, the PM recommends CEO approval of this EA.

Review Dates

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement                      Response to Secretariat comments

First Review		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		



**Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement****Response to Secretariat comments****Additional Review (as necessary)****CEO Recommendation****Brief reasoning for CEO Recommendations**

This enabling activity will assist Mexico in the preparation and submission of its third Biennial Update Report (BUR) to the UNFCCC by December 2020. Mexico submitted its second BUR on November 2018, so this project would enable Mexico to meet the two-year cycle. The long-term objective of this project is to assist Mexico in continuing to mainstream and integrate climate change into national and sectorial development goals by giving continuity to the institutional and technical capacity strengthening process in preparing these reports.

The main project expected outcomes are:

- Updated description of national circumstances; financial, technology transfer and capacity-building needs; support received; and other relevant issues.
- National GHG inventory for the year 2017 and update of the GHG inventories for the period 1990-2017 in all sectors.
- Updated description of Mexico's mitigation actions and their effects including data collection and analysis regarding mitigation actions and associated methodologies and assumptions; and their alignment with Mexico's NDC.
- Support to the establishment of the domestic MRV provided and the progress in the design and implementation reported.
- Third BUR compiled with gender perspective, endorsed and submitted to the UNFCCC.

This enabling activity will be executed by INECC, with in-kind support of \$352,000.