

Electric mobility for sustainable tourism in Albania

Basic Information

GEF ID

10610

Countries

Albania

Project Title

Electric mobility for sustainable tourism in Albania

GEF Agency(ies)

UNIDO

Agency ID


UNIDO: 190027

GEF Focal Area(s)

Climate Change

Program Manager

Filippo Berardi



3. Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented and consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines, with a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified and meets the definition of investment mobilized?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
GEF Resource Availability
4. Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines? Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply):
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
The STAR allocation?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response
The focal area allocation?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
The LDCF under the principle of equitable access
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response

Forel area ant aside?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Impact Program Incentive?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Project Preparation Grant
5. Is PPG requested in Table E within the allowable cap? Has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated? (not applicable to PFD)
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion 06/30/20: this item is cleared.
The PPG request is within the allowable cap and in line with the OFP's Letter of Endorsement.
Please revised the expected start of implementation date for the PPG.

rocai area set-aside?

	Agency Response
	30.06.2020
	Kindly note that the start of implementation date for the PPG has been set for at least 4 weeks from now.
С	ore indicators
	. Are the identified core indicators in Table F calculated using the methodology included in the correspondent Guidelines? GEF/C.54/11/Rev.01)
	Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
	Agency Response
Ρ	roject/Program taxonomy
7	. Is the project/ program properly tagged with the appropriate keywords as requested in Table G?
	Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
	Agency Response
	art II - Project Justification

	. Has the project/program described the global environmental / adaptation problems, including the root causes and barriers hat need to be addressed?
	Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
	Agency Response
2	. Is the baseline scenario or any associated baseline projects appropriately described?
	Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
	Agency Response
3	. Does the proposed alternative scenario describe the expected outcomes and components of the project/program?
	Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
	Agency Response
4	. Is the project/program aligned with focal area and/or Impact Program strategies?
	Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response
5. Is the incremental / additional cost reasoning properly described as per the Guidelines provided in GEF/C.31/12?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
6. Are the project's/program's indicative targeted contributions to global environmental benefits (measured through core indicators) reasonable and achievable? Or for adaptation benefits?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
7. Is there potential for innovation, sustainability and scaling up in this project?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response

Project/Program Map and Coordinates
Is there a preliminary geo-reference to the project's/program's intended location?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Stakeholders
Does the PIF/PFD include indicative information on Stakeholders engagement to date? If not, is the justification provided appropriate? Does the PIF/PFD include information about the proposed means of future engagement?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment
Is the articulation of gender context and indicative information on the importance and need to promote gender equality and

the empowerment of women, adequate?


Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Private Sector Engagement
Is the case made for private sector engagement consistent with the proposed approach?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Risks to Achieving Project Objectives
Does the project/program consider potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, that might prevent the project objectives from being achieved or may be resulting from project/program implementation, and propose measures that address these risks to be further developed during the project design?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response

rigorios ricoporios	
Coordination	
Is the institutional arrangement for project/program coordination including management, monitoring and evaluation outlined? Is there a description of possible coordination with relevant GEF-financed projects/programs and other bilateral/multilateral initiatives in the project/program area?	
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion	
Agency Response	
Consistency with National Priorities	
Has the project/program cited alignment with any of the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion	

Knowledge Management

Agency Response



Termsheet, reflow table and agency capacity in NGI Projects

Does the project provide sufficient detail in Annex A (indicative termsheet) to take a decision on the following selection criteria: co-financing ratios, financial terms and conditions, and financial additionality? If not, please provide comments. Does the project provide a detailed reflow table in Annex B to assess the project capacity of generating reflows? If not, please provide comments. After reading the questionnaire in Annex C, is the Partner Agency eligible to administer concessional finance? If not, please provide comments.

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
EFSEC DECISION
RECOMMENDATION
Is the PIF/PFD recommended for technical clearance? Is the PPG (if requested) being recommended for clearance?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
06/30/20: PPG is being recommended for technical clearance.
The PPG request is within the allowable cap and in line with the OFP's Letter of Endorsement.
Please revise the expected start of implementation date.
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS
Additional recommendations to be considered by Agency at the time of CEO endorsement/approval.
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
/iew Dates

PIF Review Agency Response

First Review
Additional Review (as necessary)

PIF Recommendation to CEO

Brief reasoning for recommendations to CEO for PIF Approval