




GEF GOLD+: Global coordination, knowledge management and outreach


Basic Information GEF ID 10606 Countries Global **Project Title** GEF GOLD+: Global coordination, knowledge management and outreach GEF Agency(ies) CI, UNEP Agency ID GEF Focal Area(s) Chemicals and Waste Program Manager Anil Sookdeo



| requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines, with a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was | | |
|--|--|--|
| identified and meets the definition of investment mobilized? | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |
| GEF Resource Availability | | |
| 4. Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines? Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply): | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |
| The STAR allocation? | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |









| ۷. | 2. Is the baseline scenario or any associated baseline projects appropriately described? | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| | Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| | Agency Response | | |
| 3. | Does the proposed alternative scenario describe the expected outcomes and components of the project/program? | | |
| | Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| | Agency Response | | |
| 4. | Is the project/program aligned with focal area and/or Impact Program strategies? | | |
| | Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| | Agency Response | | |
| 5. | Is the incremental / additional cost reasoning properly described as per the Guidelines provided in GEF/C.31/12? | | |
| | Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |

| 6. Are the project's/program's indicative targeted contributions to global environmental benefits (measured through core indicators) reasonable and achievable? Or for adaptation benefits? |
|---|
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |
| Agency Response |
| 7. Is there potential for innovation, sustainability and scaling up in this project? |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |
| Agency Response |
| Project/Program Map and Coordinates |
| Is there a preliminary geo-reference to the project's/program's intended location? |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |

Agency Response



| Is the case made for private sector engagement consistent with the proposed approach? | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | | |
| Agency Response | | | |
| Risks to Achieving Project Objectives | | | |
| Does the project/program consider potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, that might prevent the project objectives from being achieved or may be resulting from project/program implementation, and propose measures that address these risks to be further developed during the project design? | | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | | |
| Agency Response | | | |
| Coordination | | | |
| Is the institutional arrangement for project/program coordination including management, monitoring and evaluation outlined? Is there a description of possible coordination with relevant GEF-financed projects/programs and other bilateral/multilateral initiatives in the project/program area? | | | |



Part III – Country Endorsements

Has the project/program been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF data base?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response

Termsheet, reflow table and agency capacity in NGI Projects

Does the project provide sufficient detail in Annex A (indicative termsheet) to take a decision on the following selection criteria: co-financing ratios, financial terms and conditions, and financial additionality? If not, please provide comments. Does the project provide a detailed reflow table in Annex B to assess the project capacity of generating reflows? If not, please provide comments. After reading the questionnaire in Annex C, is the Partner Agency eligible to administer concessional finance? If not, please provide comments.

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response

EFSEC DECISION

RECOMMENDATION

IS the PIF/PFD recommended for technical clearance? IS the PPG (If requested) being recommended for clearance?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Please include UNEP and the PPG associated with the components in the global coordination that are implemented by UNEP.

July 13, 2020, please correct the following.

- 1- Data entries for Executing Partners needs to be filled up (even if these are UNEP and CI).
- 2- The indicated Child Project financing below is incorrect. The Agency has mistakenly entered the PPG grant amount. The correct amounts are in Table D of the PFD

July 15, 2020 - Comments cleared. The PPG is recommended for technical clearance.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Additional recommendations to be considered by Agency at the time of CEO endorsement/approval.

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

/iew Dates

PIF Review Agency Response

| First Review | 6/16/2020 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Additional Review (as necessary) | 6/30/2020 |
| Additional Review (as necessary) | 7/13/2020 |
| Additional Review (as necessary) | 7/15/2020 |
| Additional Review (as necessary) | |

PIF Recommendation to CEO

Brief reasoning for recommendations to CEO for PIF Approval