


Milena Vasquez

Enhancing Readiness for the Transition to Electric Vehicles in Indonesia (ENTREV)

| Basi | c Information |
|------|---|
| | EF ID 0641 |
| | Duntries donesia |
| | oject Title hancing Readiness for the Transition to Electric Vehicles in Indonesia (ENTREV |
| | EF Agency(ies) NDP |
| | gency ID NDP: 6333 |
| | EF Focal Area(s) imate Change |
| Pr | ogram Manager |



| 3. Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented and consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines, with a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified and meets the definition of investment mobilized? | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | | | |
| Agency Response | | | | |
| GEF Resource Availability | | | | |
| 4. Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines? Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply): | | | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | | | |
| Agency Response | | | | |
| The STAR allocation? | | | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | | | |

| Agency Response | | |
|---|--|--|
| The focal area allocation? | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |
| The LDCF under the principle of equitable access | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |
| The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |

Forel area ant aside?

| Focal area set-aside? | | |
|--|--|--|
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |
| Impact Program Incentive? | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |
| Project Preparation Grant | | |
| 5. Is PPG requested in Table E within the allowable cap? Has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated? (not applicable to PFD) | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion 7/27/2020: PPG requested is within norm fro an MSP and matches request in LOE. This child project is part of the global e-mobility program (GEF ID 10114). | | |
| Agency Response | | |

| Core indicators |
|--|
| 6. Are the identified core indicators in Table F calculated using the methodology included in the correspondent Guidelines? (GEF/C.54/11/Rev.01) |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |
| Agency Response |
| Project/Program taxonomy |
| 7. Is the project/ program properly tagged with the appropriate keywords as requested in Table G? |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |
| Agency Response |
| art II - Project Justification |
| 1. Has the project/program described the global environmental / adaptation problems, including the root causes and barriers that need to be addressed? |
| |

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

| | Agency Response |
|----|--|
| 2. | . Is the baseline scenario or any associated baseline projects appropriately described? |
| | Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |
| | Agency Response |
| 3. | . Does the proposed alternative scenario describe the expected outcomes and components of the project/program? |
| | Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |
| | Agency Response |
| 4. | . Is the project/program aligned with focal area and/or Impact Program strategies? |
| | Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |
| | Agency Response |


| 5. Is the incremental / additional cost reasoning properly described as per the Guidelines provided in GEF/C.31/12? | | |
|---|--|--|
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |
| 6. Are the project's/program's indicative targeted contributions to global environmental benefits (measured through core indicators) reasonable and achievable? Or for adaptation benefits? | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |
| 7. Is there potential for innovation, sustainability and scaling up in this project? | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |
| Project/Program Map and Coordinates | | |

In there a preliminary and reference to the preject's /pregram's intended location?

| is there a premininary geo-reference to the projects/programs intended location? |
|--|
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |
| Agency Response |
| Stakeholders |
| Does the PIF/PFD include indicative information on Stakeholders engagement to date? If not, is the justification provided appropriate? Does the PIF/PFD include information about the proposed means of future engagement? |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |
| Agency Response |
| Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment |
| Is the articulation of gender context and indicative information on the importance and need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women, adequate? |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |

| Agency Response |
|--|
| Private Sector Engagement |
| Is the case made for private sector engagement consistent with the proposed approach? |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |
| Agency Response |
| Risks to Achieving Project Objectives |
| Does the project/program consider potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, that might prevent the project objectives from being achieved or may be resulting from project/program implementation, and propose measures that address these risks to be further developed during the project design? |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion |
| Agency Response |
| Coordination |

| s the institutional arrangement for project/program coordination including management, monitoring and evaluation outlined? Is there a description of possible coordination with relevant GEF-financed projects/programs and other bilateral/multilateral | | |
|--|--|--|
| initiatives in the project/program area? | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |
| Consistency with National Priorities | | |
| Has the project/program cited alignment with any of the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions? | | |
| Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion | | |
| Agency Response | | |
| Knowledge Management | | |
| Is the proposed "knowledge management (KM) approach" in line with GEF requirements to foster learning and sharing from relevant projects/programs, initiatives and evaluations; and contribute to the project's/program's overall impact and sustainability? | | |



Agency Response

EFSEC DECISION

RECOMMENDATION

Is the PIF/PFD recommended for technical clearance? Is the PPG (if requested) being recommended for clearance?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

7/27/2020: PM recommends PPG clearance.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Additional recommendations to be considered by Agency at the time of CEO endorsement/approval.

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

/iew Dates

PIF Review Agency Response

| First Review | 7/27/2020 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Additional Review (as necessary) | |
| Additional Review (as necessary) | |
| Additional Review (as necessary) | |

Additional Review (as necessary)

PIF Recommendation to CEO

Brief reasoning for recommendations to CEO for PIF Approval