

# Chad ALBIA – Local Development and Adaptation Project

**Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation**

## Basic project information

**GEF ID**

10315

**Countries**

Chad

**Project Name**

Chad ALBIA – Local Development and Adaptation Project

**Agencies**

World Bank

**Date received by PM**

6/4/2020

**Review completed by PM**

**Program Manager**

Jaime Cavelier

**Focal Area**

Biodiversity

**Project Type**

FSP

## PIF ☐ CEO Endorsement ☐

### Part I – Project Information

#### Focal area elements

1. Does the project remain aligned with the relevant GEF focal area elements as presented in PIF (as indicated in table A)?

### Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

#### Agency Response

Project description summary

**2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs as in Table B and described in the project document?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

**3. If this is a non-grant instrument, has a reflow calendar been presented in Annex D?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

**Co-financing**

**4. Are the confirmed expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented, with supporting evidence and a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified and meets the definition of investment mobilized, and a description of any major changes from PIF, consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

**GEF Resource Availability**

**5. Is the financing presented in Table D adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objectives?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

## **Agency Response**

### **Project Preparation Grant**

6. Is the status and utilization of the PPG reported in Annex C in the document?

## **Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

## **Agency Response**

### **Core indicators**

7. Are there changes/ adjustments made in the core indicator targets indicated in Table E? Do they remain realistic?

## **Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

## **Agency Response**

### **Part II – Project Justification**

1. Is there a sufficient elaboration on how the global environmental/ adaptation problems, including the root causes and barriers, are going to be addressed?

## **Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

## **Agency Response**

2. Is there an elaboration on how the baseline scenario or any associated baseline projects were derived?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

3. Is the proposed alternative scenario as described in PIF/PFD sound and adequate? Is there sufficient clarity on the expected outcomes and components of the project and a description on the project is aiming to achieve them?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

4. Is there further elaboration on how the project is aligned with focal area/impact program strategies?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

5. Is the incremental reasoning, contribution from the baseline, and co-financing clearly elaborated?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

6. Is there further and better elaboration on the project's expected contribution to global environmental benefits or adaptation benefits?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

7. Is there further and better elaboration to show that the project is innovative and sustainable including the potential for scaling up?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

Project Map and Coordinates

Is there an accurate and confirmed geo-referenced information where the project intervention will take place?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

Child Project

If this is a child project, is there an adequate reflection of how it contributes to the overall program impact?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

Stakeholders

Does the project include detailed report on stakeholders engaged during the design phase? Is there an adequate stakeholder engagement plan or equivalent documentation for the implementation phase, with information on Stakeholders who will be engaged, the means of engagement, and dissemination of information?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment

Has the gender analysis been completed? Did the gender analysis identify any gender differences, gaps or opportunities linked to project/program objectives and activities? If so, does the project/program include gender-responsive activities, gender-sensitive indicators and expected results?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion****Agency Response**

Private Sector Engagement

If there is a private sector engagement, is there an elaboration of its role as a financier and/or as a stakeholder?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion****Agency Response**

Risks

Has the project elaborated on indicated risks, including climate change, potential social and environmental risks that might prevent the project objectives from being achieved? Were there proposed measures that address these risks at the time of project implementation?

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion****Agency Response**

Coordination

**Is the institutional arrangement for project implementation fully described? Is there an elaboration on possible coordination with relevant GEF-financed projects and other bilateral/multilateral initiatives in the project area?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

**Consistency with National Priorities**

**Has the project described the alignment of the project with identified national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under the relevant conventions?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

**Knowledge Management**

**Is the proposed “Knowledge Management Approach” for the project adequately elaborated with a timeline and a set of deliverables?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

**Monitoring and Evaluation**

**Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?**



## **Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

### **Agency Response**

#### **Benefits**

**Are the socioeconomic benefits at the national and local levels sufficiently described resulting from the project? Is there an elaboration on how these benefits translate in supporting the achievement of GEBs or adaptation benefits?**

## **Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

### **Agency Response**

#### **Annexes**

**Are all the required annexes attached and adequately responded to?**

## **Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

### **Agency Response**

#### **Project Results Framework**

## **Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

### **Agency Response**

#### **GEF Secretariat comments**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

Council comments

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

STAP comments

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

Convention Secretariat comments

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

Other Agencies comments

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

CSOs comments

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Agency Response**

Status of PPG utilization

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion****Agency Response**

Calendar of expected reflows (if NGI is used)

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion****Agency Response**

Project maps and coordinates

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion****Agency Response**

Termsheet, reflow table and agency capacity in NGI Projects

Does the project provide sufficient detail in Annex A (indicative termsheet) to take a decision on the following selection criteria: co-financing ratios, financial terms and conditions, and financial additionality? If not, please provide comments. Does the project provide a detailed reflow table in Annex B to assess the project capacity of generating reflows? If not, please provide comments. After reading the questionnaire in Annex C, is the Partner Agency eligible to administer concessional finance? If not, please provide comments.

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion****Agency Response**

**GEFSEC DECISION**

**RECOMMENDATION**

Is CEO endorsement recommended? (applies only to projects and child projects)

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion**

**Review Dates**

**Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement**

**Response to Secretariat comments**

<b>First Review</b>		
<b>Additional Review (as necessary)</b>		
<b>Additional Review (as necessary)</b>		
<b>Additional Review (as necessary)</b>		
<b>Additional Review (as necessary)</b>		

**CEO Recommendation**

**Brief reasoning for CEO Recommendations**