

# Fourth National Communication (4NC), and First and Second Biennial Transparency Report (1BTR and 2BTR) of the Plurinational State of Bolivia to the UNFCCC

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

# **Basic project information**

GEF ID

11334
Countries

Bolivia
Project Name

Fourth National Communication (4NC), and First and Second Biennial
Transparency Report (1BTR and 2BTR) of the Plurinational State of Bolivia to the
UNFCCC
Agencies

UNDP

Date received by PM

10/12/2023

Review completed by PM

10/23/2023

**Program Manager** 

Esteban Bermudez Forn

**Focal Area** 

Climate Change

**Project Type** 

EΑ

# **Expedited Enabling Activity req (CEO)**

**Section I - Enabling Activity Summary** 

Funding elements.

Is the enabling activity aligned with the relevant GEF funding elements as indicated in Table A and as defined by the GEF-8 Programming Directions? Is the General Enabling Activity Information table correctly populated?

Secretariat's Comments EBF 11/13/2023: Cleared.

EBF 11/1/2023: As UNDP is providing some execution support services, please add UNDP under Project Executing Entity in the General Information section:

Enabling Activity Title: 1							
	2BTR) of the Plurinational State of Bolivia to the UNFCCC						
Country(ies): 0	Bolivia	GEF Enabling Activity ID: •	11334				
GEF Agency(ies): 0	UNDP	GEF Agency Enabling Activity ID: <b>❸</b>	6721				
Project Executing Entity(s):	Ministry of Development Planning (MPD)	Executing Partner Type:	Governmen				
GEF Focal Area (s): 6	Climate Change	Submission Date: 19	10/12/202				
Expected Implementation Start:	12/1/2023	Expected Duration: •	48 In Months				
Type of Report(s): 1	UNFCCC Biennial Transparency Report (BTR)	Expected Report Submission to	12/31/202				
	UNFCCC Biennial Transparency Report/ National Communication (BTR/NC)	Convention: 6	12/31/202				

Agency's Comments

UNDP response, 13 Nov 2023:

UNDP has bee added as additional Executing Entity, under General information in the GEF portal.

Cost Ranges.

If there was a deviation in the cost range, was this explained?

### Secretariat's Comments

EBF 11/1/2023: Cleared. The project has no deviations in the cost range. The costing is in line with Information Note GEF/C.62/Inf.15 - https://www.thegef.org/council-meeting-documents/gef-c-62-inf-15

Agency's Comments

Enabling activity summary.

Is the enabling activity summary clear? Are the components in Table B and as described in the enabling activity request sound, appropriate, and sufficiently clear to achieve the project objectives?

Secretariat's Comments

EBF 11/13/2023: Cleared.

EBF 11/1/2023: On gender: Gender equality considerations are relevant in Outcomes 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 2.2 and Outputs 4.1.2 and 5.1.3. Please reflect gender perspectives accordingly.

Agency's Comments

UNDP response, 13 November 2023:

All outcomes and outputs suggested were adjusted to reflect gender equality considerations.

**Section 2 - Enabling Activity Supporting Information** 

Eligibility Criteria.

Is this enabling activity eligible for GEF funding?

Secretariat's Comments EBF 11/1/2023: Cleared.

**Agency's Comments** 

Institutional framework.

Are the institutional arrangements for implementation adequately described?

Secretariat's Comments EBF 11/1/2023: Cleared. We take note that UNDP will provide partial execution services through the Country Office support to National Implementation Modality (CO support to NIM). We also take note that the exceptional request by Bolivia's OFP, the description of the partial execution services by UNDP, and the approval by the GEF GPU Manager are uploaded in the document section of this project.

Agency's Comments

Monitoring and Evaluation.

Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan?

Secretariat's Comments EBF 11/1/2023: Yes, \$5,000 are budgeted for M&E related activities. Cleared.

Agency's Comments Section 3. Information Tables
GEF resource availability.
Is the proposed GEF financing in Table F (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion EBF 11/1/2023: Cleared.
Agency Response  Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply):  STAR allocation?
Secretariat's Comments N/A
Agency's Comments Focal Area allocation?
Secretariat's Comments N/A
Agency's Comments  LDCF under the principle of equitable access?
Secretariat's Comments N/A
Agency's Comments SCCF (Adaptation or Tech Transfer)?
Secretariat's Comments N/A
Agency's Comments

Secretariat's Comments EBF 11/1/2023: Yes, this is in line with the information note GEF/C.62/Inf.15.

Agency's Comments

Focal Area Set Aside?

Rio Markers.

Are the Rio Markers for CCM, CCA, BD and LD presented?

Secretariat's Comments EBF 11/1/2023: Cleared.

Agency's Comments

Country endorsement.

Has the project been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point at the time of the EA submission and has the name and position been checked against the GEF database? Are the endorsed amounts consistent with the amounts included in Portal

Secretariat's Comments EBF 11/1/2023: Yes, the project has been endorsed by the GEF OFP of Bolivia, Mr. Carlos David Guachalla Terrazas and the endorsed amounts are consistent with the amounts in the Portal.

Agency's Comments

**Response to Comments** 

Are all the comments adequately responded to? (only as applicable) Gef Secretariat comments

Secretariat's Comments N/A

Agency's Comments

Other Agencies comments

Secretariat's Comments N/A

Agency's Comments

**Council comments** 

Secretariat's Comments N/A

Agency's Comments

**STAP** comments

Secretariat's Comments N/A

Agency's Comments

**Convention Secretariat comments** 

Secretariat's Comments N/A

Agency's Comments

**CSOs comments** 

Secretariat's Comments N/A

Agency's Comments

Project Budget Table.

Is the project budget table attached? Are the activities / expenditures reasonably and accurately charged to the three identified sources (Components, M&E and PMC)?

Secretariat's Comments

EBF 11/13/2023:

- 1. Cleared.
- 2. Cleared.

### EBF 11/1/2023:

- 1. There is overlap between the first and second column of the budget table. Please review and fix this issue.
- 2. The item for \$22,654 needs to include UNDP as the responsible executing entity (instead of MPD). Please correct.

	Detailed Description	Component (USDeq.)										
Expenditu re Catego ry		Component 1		Component 2		Comp onent 3	Component 4		Sub-T otal	M&E	РМС	
		Outco me 1.	Outco me 1. 2	Outco me 1.	Outco me 2.	Outco me 2. 2	Outco me 3.	Outco me 4.	Outco me 4. 2	Outco me 5.	me 5.	
Equipment	Equipment (8 computers @ 2,000 = 16,000) (for components 1.1, 2.1, 3.1)	8,000			4,000		4,000			16,000		
Sub-contrac	Direct Project Costs (USD 2 2,654): for services rendere d by UNDP to the project, a ccording to the Letter of Ag reement (Annex 11) are the t troetection in the services (such as those related to human resources, procurement, finance, and other functions) provided by UND P in relation to the project.											22,65

# Agency's Comments

UNDP response, 13 November 2023:

- 1) The overlap between the first and second column of the budget table has been fixed.
- 2) The item for \$22,654 includes now UNDP as the responsible executing entity.

**Environmental and Social Safeguards.** 

If there are screening documents or other ESS documents available, have these been attached? (only as applicable)

Secretariat's Comments EBF 11/1/2023: Yes, they have been attached. The overall project risk is categorized as low risk.

Agency's Comments
GEFSEC DECISION
RECOMMENDATION.
Is CEO endorsement/ approval recommended?

## Secretariat's Comments

EBF 11/13/2023: The PM recommends the project for further processing.

EBF 11/1/2023: Please address the comments above and resubmit. Please highlight in yellow the changes you make to the portal form for ease of revision.

### REVIEW DATE(S)

	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
First Review	11/1/2023	
Additional Review (as necessary)	11/13/2023	
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		