



Enhancing co-benefits of conservation/protected area management through an inclusive wildlife-based ecotourism strategy (ECOTOURISM)

Review PPG Request and Make a recommendation

Basic project information

GEF ID

11638

Countries

Indonesia

Project Name

Enhancing co-benefits of conservation/protected area management through an inclusive wildlife-based ecotourism strategy (ECOTOURISM)

Agencies

UNDP

Date received by PM

4/24/2024

Review completed by PM

Program Manager

Mark Zimsky

Focal Area

Biodiversity

Project Type

GBFF

GEF-8 Project Preparation Grant request Review Sheet

1. General Project Information / Eligibility

- a) Does the project meet the criteria for eligibility for GBFF funding?
- b) Is the General Project Information table correctly populated?

Secretariat's Comments

5/6/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments

II. Indicative Project Overview

- a) Is the project objective presented as a concise statement and clear?
- b) Are the components, outcomes and outputs sound, appropriate and sufficiently clear to achieve the project objective?

Secretariat's Comments

5/6/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments

- c) Are the components adequately funded?
- d) Are the GEF Project Financing and Co-Financing contributions to PMC proportional (only for Multi-trust Funds PPGs with BD from the GEF Trust Fund)?

e) Is the PMC equal to or below 5% of the total GEF grant for projects of more than \$2 million or 10% for projects of less than \$2 million? If the requested PMC is above the caps, has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated?

Secretariat's Comments

5/6/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments

III. Project Rationale

a. Does the project adequately describe the: (i) current situation/baseline conditions within the project geographic area or project thematic area; (ii) problem(s) that the project will address; (iii) goal and objectives of the project; and (iv) justification for the project intervention; and (v) expected results including the Global Environmental Benefits and an estimate of the project's contributions to the relevant biodiversity core indicators.

Secretariat's Comments

5/6/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments

IV. Project Description

a) Is there a concise theory of change that describes the project logic, including how the project design elements will contribute to the objective, the expected causal pathways, and the key assumptions underlying these?

b) Are the project components and activities identified in the theory of change adequately described.

c) Is a list of stakeholders that will be involved in the project and their roles in the design and implementation of the project provided?

d) Are the Specific Action Area(s) that the project is aligned with identified and an explanation provided on and how the project will support the achievement of the specific Action Area objective(s).

Secretariat's Comments

5/6/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments

V. Does the proposal adequately describe how the project meets the following criteria:

a) Potential to generate global environmental benefits (GEBs) (include a description of the GEBs the project will generate per the GEF-8 Core Indicators for biodiversity);

b) Alignment with the National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans and/or National Biodiversity Finance Plans or similar instruments to identify national and/or regional priorities;

c) The level of policy coherence and coordination across multiple ministries, agencies, the private sector, and civil society that the project aims to support;

**d) Whether the project will mobilize the resources of the private sector and philanthropies';
and**

e) Whether and how the project will engage with and provide support to IPLCs.

Secretariat's Comments

5/6/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments

VI. Project results indicators

Is the table correctly populated and consistent with the Project Description?

Secretariat's Comments

5/6/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments

VII. Project Financing Tables

a) Are all the tables correctly populated?

b) Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines (only for projects with GEF TF components)?

Secretariat's Comments

5/6/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments

VIII. Project Endorsement

a) Has the project been endorsed by the country's(ies) OFP and has the OFP at the time of PPG request submission name and position been checked against the GEF database?

b) Are the OFP endorsement letters uploaded to the GEF Portal (compiled as a single document, if applicable)?

c) Do the letters follow the correct format and are the endorsed amounts consistent with the amounts included in the Portal?

Secretariat's Comments

5/6/2024

Cleared.

4/24/2024

In order for the project to be considered, please remove the text "from GEFTF" as the money is coming from the GBFF.

The total financing (from GEFTF) being requested for this project, from the Global Biodiversity Framework Fund (GBFF) is US\$7,000,000, inclusive of project preparation grant (PPG), if any, and Agency fees for project cycle management services associated with the total GEF Project Financing. The financing requested for Indonesia is detailed in the table below.

Source of Funds	GEF Agency	Focal Area Source	Amount (in US\$)				Total
			GEF Project Financing	GEF Project Financing Agency Fee	Project Preparation Grant (PPG)	Project Preparation Grant (PPG) Agency Fee	
GBFF	UNDP	GBFF	6,192,694	588,306	200,000	19,000	7,000,000
Total GEF Resources			6,192,694	588,306	200,000	19,000	7,000,000

I thank you for your kind cooperation and I look forward to hearing a positive response from the GEF Secretariat.

Agency's Comments

30 Apr 2024

Thank you for your advice.

The mentioned text is removed and other texts in the table are aligned with GBFF's LoE template.

IX. GEFSEC Decision

a. Is the PPG recommended for technical clearance?

b. Additional comments to be considered by the Agency during project preparation

Secretariat's Comments

4/24/2024

In order for the project to be considered, please make the editing correction in the LOE as indicated above and resubmit as soon as possible.

5/6/2024

PPG is recommended for technical clearance.

During project preparation, please re-assess the project's ambition and adjust the design accordingly if needed. The ambitious coverage of the project with regards to extending

ecotourism through the identified national parks should be evaluated and adjusted if necessary to align with the budget of the project and its time frame.

Agency's Comments

30 Apr 2024

Thank you.

The texts in the LoE are corrected.

Review Dates

	PPG Request Review	Agency Response
First Review	4/24/2024	4/30/2024
Additional Review (as necessary)	5/6/2024	
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		