

Capacity Building for the Implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework, the Nagoya Protocol, the Cartagena Protocol, and the Promotion of Sustainable Bio-Businesses in Honduras

**Review PPG Request and Make a recommendation** 

# **Basic project information**

0	j	El	ł	I	D
1	1	81	1	1	

Countries

Honduras Project Name

Capacity Building for the Implementation of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework, the Nagoya Protocol, the Cartagena Protocol, and the Promotion of Sustainable Bio-Businesses in Honduras

Agencies		
CAF		
Date received by PM		
10/31/2024		
Review completed by PM		
12/11/2024		
Program Manager		
Sarah Wyatt		
Focal Area		
Biodiversity		
Project Type		
GBFF		

# **GEF-8 Project Preparation Grant request Review Sheet**

1. General Project Information / Eligibility

a) Does the project meet the criteria for eligibility for GBFF funding?

b) Is the General Project Information table correctly populated?

Secretariat's Comments 12/11/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments II. Indicative Project Overview

a) Is the project objective presented as a concise statement and clear?b) Are the components, outcomes and outputs sound, appropriate and sufficiently clear to achieve the project objective?

Secretariat's Comments 12/11/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments c) Are the components adequately funded?

d) Are the GEF Project Financing and Co-Financing contributions to PMC proportional (only for Multi-trust Funds PPGs with BD from the GEF Trust Fund)?

e) Is the PMC equal to or below 5% of the total GEF grant for projects of more than \$2 million or 10% for projects of less than \$2 million? If the requested PMC is above the caps, has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated?

Secretariat's Comments 12/11/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments

**III. Project Rationale** 

a. Does the project adequately describe the: (i) current situation/baseline conditions within the project geographic area or project thematic area; (ii) problem(s) that the project will address; (iii) goal and objectives of the project; and (iv) justification for the project intervention; and (v) expected results including the Global Environmental Benefits and an estimate of the project's contributions to the relevant biodiversity core indicators.

Secretariat's Comments 12/11/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments IV. Project Description

a) Is there a concise theory of change that describes the project logic, including how the project design elements will contribute to the objective, the expected causal pathways, and the key assumptions underlying these?

b) Are the project components and activities identified in the theory of change adequately described.

c) Is a list of stakeholders that will be involved in the project and their roles in the design and implementation of the project provided?

d) Are the Specific Action Area(s) that the project is aligned with identified and an explanation provided on and how the project will support the achievement of the specific Action Area objective(s).

Secretariat's Comments 12/11/2024

Cleared.

### Agency's Comments

V. Does the proposal adequately describe how the project meets the following criteria:

a) Potential to generate global environmental benefits (GEBs) (include a description of the GEBs the project will generate per the GEF-8 Core Indicators for biodiversity);

b) Alignment with the National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans and/or National Biodiversity Finance Plans or similar instruments to identify national and/or regional priorities;

c) The level of policy coherence and coordination across multiple ministries, agencies, the private sector, and civil society that the project aims to support;

d) Whether the project will mobilize the resources of the private sector and philanthropies'; and

e) Whether and how the project will engage with and provide support to IPLCs.

Secretariat's Comments 12/11/2024

Cleared.

# Agency's Comments

VI. Project results indicators

Is the table correctly populated and consistent with the Project Description?

Secretariat's Comments 12/11/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments VII. Project Financing Tables

a) Are all the tables correctly populated?

b) Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines (only for projects with GEF TF components)?

Secretariat's Comments 12/11/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments VIII. Project Endorsement

a) Has the project been endorsed by the country's(ies) OFP and has the OFP at the time of PPG request submission name and position been checked against the GEF database?

b) Are the OFP endorsement letters uploaded to the GEF Portal (compiled as a single document, if applicable)?

c) Do the letters follow the correct format and are the endorsed amounts consistent with the amounts included in the Portal?

Secretariat's Comments 12/11/2024

Cleared.

Agency's Comments

**IX. GEFSEC Decision** 

a. Is the PPG recommended for technical clearance?

b. Additional comments to be considered by the Agency during project preparation

Secretariat's Comments 12/12/2024

Cleared.

# 12/11/2024

#### No, please address the following -

The M&E Component is 7.5% of the GEF Financing, which is above the recommended threshold of 5% for projects up to 5 million dollars. Please ask the Agency to revise.

3- There was a mismatch between the selected option in the column ?Programming of Funds GBFF Action Area? in the GEF Financing Table (below at the left-hand side) and the selected option in the column ?Programming Directions? in the Indicative Focal Area Elements table (below at the right-hand side) ? please ask the Agency to amend.

At concept, this project discusses working with a large variety of topics, sectors, peoples, and issues. We would encourage the project to focus on delivering concrete results in specific areas rather than overreaching. Over complicated projects often struggle with too many different stakeholders, ministries, and activities. Please consider how this project will focus during development. The GEF Secretariat is available for further discussions as needed.

Please ensure that the entire amount reported as ?amount to support action by IPLCs [for] biodiversity? in the CEO endorsement requests corresponds to project activities supporting action <u>by</u> IPLCs, and that the project documentation describes the IPLCs who will benefit from the project and details their role in the project. Activities where IPLCs are mere beneficiaries should not be counted in this amount. Support to stakeholders that are not IPLCs (i.e. indigenous peoples or local communities that embody traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity) should not be counted either. Whether the project as a whole or certain project activities support action by IPLCs could entail a number of circumstances including but not limited to: IPLCs directly receive resources through the GEF agency for execution of project components/activities; IPLCs lead the design and management of some project activities but do not manage financial resources; the project provides in-kind support to actions led by IPLCs for biodiversity, etc.

Agency's Comments 12/12/2024

The M&E Component has been corrected

We fixed the mismatch between the selected option in the column ?Programming of Funds GBFF Action Area? and the selected option in the column ?Programming Directions? in the Indicative Focal Area Elements table.

### **Review Dates**

	PPG Request Review	Agency Response	
First Review			
Additional Review (as necessary)			
Additional Review (as necessary)			
Additional Review (as necessary)			
Additional Review (as necessary)			