

Development of the First Biennial Transparency Report and the Fourth National Communication and Second Biennial Transparency Report as combined report under the UNFCCC (1BTR - 4NC/2BTR)

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

Basic project information

GEF ID

11646
Countries

Guatemala
Project Name

Development of the First Biennial Transparency Report and the Fourth National
Communication and Second Biennial Transparency Report as combined report
under the UNFCCC (1BTR - 4NC/2BTR)
Agencies

UNDP

Date received by PM

4/2/2024

Review completed by PM

4/11/2024

Program Manager

Toshiyuki Yamasaki

Focal Area

Climate Change

Project Type

EA

Expedited Enabling Activity req (CEO)

Section I - Enabling Activity Summary

Funding elements.

Is the enabling activity aligned with the relevant GEF funding elements as indicated in Table A and as defined by the GEF-8 Programming Directions? Is the General Enabling Activity Information table correctly populated?

Secretariat's Comments

Toshi 4/16/2024:

Yes. This project is aligned with the GEF-8 climate change focal area strategy.

Agency's Comments

Cost Ranges.

If there was a deviation in the cost range, was this explained?

Secretariat's Comments

Toshi 4/16/2024:

Cleared. The project has no deviations in the cost range. The costing is in line with Information Note GEF/C.62/Inf.15 - https://www.thegef.org/council-meeting-documents/gef-c-62-inf-15

Agency's Comments

Enabling activity summary.

Is the enabling activity summary clear? Are the components in Table B and as described in the enabling activity request sound, appropriate, and sufficiently clear to achieve the project objectives?

Secretariat's Comments

Toshi 5/7/2024:

Thank you, cleared.

Toshi 4/16/2024:

1. Stakeholders:

CATIE is listed in the stakeholders table as part of the government while it is a CSO. Please correct.

2. Gender:

Gender considerations should be mainstreamed in the project outputs. Also, please ensure that gender-related results are included in the project's Monitoring and Reporting activities. Please ensure that related capacity-building and training activities enable women?s full participation by for example, providing childcare support. Please ensure that women?s lack of access to financial and technology knowledge is address, for example, in Outputs 1.1.3 and 2.1.4. In outcome 4.1., please ensure that gender dimensions are captured in lessons learned and in reports.

Agency's Comments

UNDP response:

1. Stakeholders: Corrected. CATIE is now listed in the NGOs/CSOs category. GCI has also been corrected; it was mistakenly listed in the academy sector while it is a government coordination group.

2. Gender: Corrected.

Gender dimensions have been mainstreamed in the project outputs, in accordance with existing national capacities and the available budget.

As part of **outputs 1.1.2** and **2.1.2**, the NDC progress report will include gender considerations.

An analysis of the differentiated impacts between men and women due to climate change will also be developed under **outputs 1.1.3 and 2.1.3**.

Regarding **outputs 1.1.4 and 2.1.4**, the inclusion of sex-disaggregated information was considered to identify gender gaps in terms of financial support, technology transfer and capacity building. This should be the first step towards strengthening the gender approach in this component, given that the generation of information at the national level in this field is still very limited.

All sections of the BTRs (BIENNIAL TRANSPARENCY REPORTS) and NCs (NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS) will be cleared by the project gender specialist. And

the project will ensure that results validation workshops are attended by women's representatives, specialists from the gender units of sectoral government institutions, the Inter-institutional Commission on Environment and Gender and the Presidential Secretariat for Women, as appropriate. (output 3.1.2)

In terms of **monitoring and reporting activities**, it was included that the project will identify, analyze and share lessons learned that contribute to improving gender equality and women's empowerment.

It was made explicit that the project will monitor the Gender Action Plan, under **output 4.1.2**. And that sex-disaggregated data and gender considerations will be an integral part of the project monitoring and evaluation plan and reporting (ProDoc Section E. Monitoring and Evaluation Plan), ensuring that gender-related results are included in project monitoring and reporting activities.

Budget permitting, the project will put in place measures to facilitate women's participation (such as childcare assistance).

In addition, an inclusive approach will be reflected in recruitment policies, in the implementation of project activities, positive measures will be taken to promote gender balance in all committees, meetings, trainings and equal opportunities between men and women will be respected in all recruitment and selection processes. And gender balance will be considered in project management structures and capacity-building actions (training and workshops).

Section 2 - Enabling Activity Supporting Information

Is this enabling activity eligible for GEF funding?

Secretariat's Comments

Toshi 4/16/2024:

Eligibility Criteria.

Yes.

Agency's Comments

Institutional framework.

Are the institutional arrangements for implementation adequately described?

Secretariat's Comments

Toshi 4/16/2024:

Yes.

Agency's Comments Monitoring and Evaluation.
Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan?
Secretariat's Comments Toshi 4/16/2024: Yes. The M&E budget for the project is \$5,000.
Agency's Comments Section 3. Information Tables
GEF resource availability.
Is the proposed GEF financing in Table F (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion Toshi 4/16/2024: Yes.
Agency Response Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply): STAR allocation?
Secretariat's Comments N/A.
Agency's Comments Focal Area allocation?
Secretariat's Comments N/A.
Agency's Comments LDCF under the principle of equitable access?
Secretariat's Comments N/A.

Agency's Comments SCCF (Adaptation or Tech Transfer)?

Secretariat's Comments

N/A.

Agency's Comments

Focal Area Set Aside?

Secretariat's Comments

Toshi 4/16/2024:

Yes. This is in line with Information Note

GEF/C.62/Inf.15 - https://www.thegef.org/council-meeting-documents/gef-c-62-inf-15

Agency's Comments

Rio Markers.

Are the Rio Markers for CCM, CCA, BD and LD presented?

Secretariat's Comments

Toshi 4/16/2024:

Yes.

Agency's Comments

Country endorsement.

Has the project been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point at the time of the EA submission and has the name and position been checked against the GEF database? Are the endorsed amounts consistent with the amounts included in Portal

Secretariat's Comments

Toshi 5/7/2024:

Thank you, cleared.

Toshi 4/16/2024:

The LOE was signed by the previous OFP. The current OFP took office since 02/12/2024 and this EA was submitted on 4/2/2024. Therefore, a new LOE with the current OFP?s signature is required.

GEF website:

Guatemala

Mrs. Maria Jose Iturbide Flores

Political Focal Point since 2024-02-12

Minister

Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources

7 Avenida 03-67-Zona 13

Guatemala 502

Guatemala

Tel: +2423 0500

Email: mjiturbidef[a t]marn.gob.gt; gvalladares[a t]marn.gob.gt; lmbalconi[a t]marn.gob.gt

Mrs. Lourdes Maria Fernandez Balconi

Operational Focal Point since 2024-02-12

International Cooperation Coordinator

Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources

7 Avenida 03-67 Zona 13

Guatemala 502

Guatemala

Tel: +2423 0500

Email: Imbalconi(a t)marn.gob.gt; mfescobar(a t)marn.gob.gt; alfion(a t)marn.gob.gt

Agency's Comments UNDP response: LOE is updated. See attachment Response to Comments

Are all the comments adequately responded to? (only as applicable) Gef Secretariat comments

Secretariat's Comments

N/A.

Agency's Comments
Other Agencies comments

Secretariat's Comments

N/A.

Agency's Comments

Council comments Secretariat's Comments N/A. Agency's Comments **STAP** comments Secretariat's Comments N/A. Agency's Comments **Convention Secretariat comments** Secretariat's Comments N/A. Agency's Comments **CSOs comments** Secretariat's Comments N/A. Agency's Comments

Project Budget Table.

Is the project budget table attached? Are the activities / expenditures reasonably and accurately charged to the three identified sources (Components, M&E and PMC)?

Secretariat's Comments

Toshi 4/16/2024:

Yes, cleared.

Agency's Comments

Environmental and Social Safeguards.

If there are screening documents or other ESS documents available, have these been attached? (only as applicable)

Secretariat's Comments

Toshi 4/16/2024:

Yes. ESS documents have been attached. The overall project risk is categorized as low risk.

Agency's Comments

GEFSEC DECISION

RECOMMENDATION.

Is CEO endorsement/ approval recommended?

Secretariat's Comments

Toshi 4/16/2024:

Please address the comments above and resubmit. Please highlight in yellow the changes you make to the portal form for ease of revision.

REVIEW DATE(S)

	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
First Review	4/11/2024	5/6/2024
Additional Review (as necessary)	4/16/2024	
Additional Review (as necessary)	5/7/2024	
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		