

**Program Manager** Evelyn Swain

GEF GOLD+ Bolivia: Enhancing the formalization and mercury reduction in artisanal and small-scale gold mining in the Plurinational State of Bolivia

_	
Ba	asic Information
	GEF ID
	10602
	Countries
	Bolivia
	Project Title
	GEF GOLD+ Bolivia: Enhancing the formalization and mercury reduction in artisanal and small-scale gold mining in the Plurinational State
	Bolivia
	GEF Agency(ies)
	UNIDO
	Agency ID
	UNIDO: 200049
	GEF Focal Area(s)
	Chemicals and Waste


PIF		
art I - Project Informatic		
Focal area elements		
1. Is the project/program aligned with the relevant GEF focal area elements in Table A, as defined by the GEF 7 Programming Directions?		
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		
Indicative project/program description summary		
2. Are the components in Table B and as described in the PIF sound, appropriate, and sufficiently clear to achieve the project/program objectives and the core indicators?		
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		


\_

3. Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented and consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines, with a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified and meets the definition of investment mobilized?		
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		
GEF Resource Availability		
4. Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines? Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		
The STAR allocation?		

Co-financing

Secretariat Comment at DIF/Work Drogram Inclusion





Core indicators
6. Are the identified core indicators in Table F calculated using the methodology included in the correspondent Guidelines? (GEF/C.54/11/Rev.01)
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Project/Program taxonomy
7. Is the project/ program properly tagged with the appropriate keywords as requested in Table G?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
art II - Project Justification
1. Has the project/program described the global environmental / adaptation problems, including the root causes and barrier that need to be addressed?


Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

	Agency Response
2	. Is the baseline scenario or any associated baseline projects appropriately described?
	Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
	Agency Response
3	. Does the proposed alternative scenario describe the expected outcomes and components of the project/program?
	Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
	Agency Response
4	. Is the project/program aligned with focal area and/or Impact Program strategies?
	Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
	Agency Response

5. Is the incremental / additional cost reasoning properly described as per the Guidelines provided in GEF/C.31/12?		
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		
6. Are the project's/program's indicative targeted contributions to global environmental benefits (measured through core indicators) reasonable and achievable? Or for adaptation benefits?		
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		
7. Is there potential for innovation, sustainability and scaling up in this project?		
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		
Project/Program Map and Coordinates		
Is there a preliminary geo-reference to the project's/program's intended location?		

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Stakeholders
Does the PIF/PFD include indicative information on Stakeholders engagement to date? If not, is the justification provided appropriate? Does the PIF/PFD include information about the proposed means of future engagement?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment
s the articulation of gender context and indicative information on the importance and need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women, adequate?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

A ----- D-----



Is there a description of possible coordination with relevant GEF-financed projects/programs and other bilateral/multilateral		
initiatives in the project/program area?		
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		
Consistency with National Priorities		
Has the project/program cited alignment with any of the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?		
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		
Knowledge Management		
Is the proposed "knowledge management (KM) approach" in line with GEF requirements to foster learning and sharing from relevant projects/programs, initiatives and evaluations; and contribute to the project's/program's overall impact and sustainability?		

------

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		
art III - Country Endorsements		
Has the project/program been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF data base?		
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		
Termsheet, reflow table and agency capacity in NGI Projects		
Does the project provide sufficient detail in Annex A (indicative termsheet) to take a decision on the following selection criteria: co-financing ratios, financial terms and conditions, and financial additionality? If not, please provide comments. Does the project provide a detailed reflow table in Annex B to assess the project capacity of generating reflows? If not, please provide comments. After reading the questionnaire in Annex C, is the Partner Agency eligible to administer concessional finance? If not, please provide comments.		
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion		
Agency Response		

## **EFSEC DECISION**

## **RECOMMENDATION**

Is the PIF/PFD recommended for technical clearance? Is the PPG (if requested) being recommended for clearance?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

PPG is recommended for clearance.

## **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

Additional recommendations to be considered by Agency at the time of CEO endorsement/approval.

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

/iew Dates

## PIF Review Agency Response

First Review	6/17/2020
Additional Review (as necessary)	
Additional Review (as necessary)	
Additional Review (as necessary)	

Additional Review (as necessary)

PIF Recommendation to CEO

Brief reasoning for recommendations to CEO for PIF Approval