

Global Biodiversity Framework Early Action Support (Central and Eastern Europe)

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

Basic project information

GEF ID

10944

Countries

Regional (Albania, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Georgia, Moldova, Montenegro, Serbia)

Project Name

Global Biodiversity Framework Early Action Support (Central and Eastern

Europe)
Agencies

UNEP

Date received by PM

3/29/2022

Review completed by PM

Program Manager

Mark Zimsky

Focal Area

Biodiversity
Project Type

EA

Expedited Enabling Activity req (CEO)

Part 1: Project Information

Focal area elements

Is the enabling activity aligned with the relevant GEF focal area elements as indicated in Table A and as defined by the GEF 7 Programming Directions?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

Yes. The EA responds to the evolution of the GBF development process and facilitates preparation of NBSAP revision and alignment as well as enabling actions related to monitoring, policy coherence and biodiversity finance, all necessary elements for the implementation of the GBF. Cleared.

Agency Response

Project description summary

Is the project structure/design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs as in Table B and described in the project document?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

Yes. Extensive upstream discussion has been undertaken to ensure project framework design is appropriate for the outcomes and outputs desired. Cleared

Agency Response Co-financing

Are the confirmed expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented, with supporting evidence and a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified [and meets the definition of investment mobilized, and a description of any major changes from PIF, consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines?]

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

April 12, 2022

No cofinancing is required for EAs. Please report any cofinance that does materialize and that is easy to track during project implementation. Cleared.

Agency Response GEF Resource Availability

Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

4/12/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response
Are they within the resources available from:
The STAR allocation?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

NA

Agency Response
The focal area allocation?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response

The LDCF under the principle of equitable access

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

NA

Agency Response

The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

NA

Agency Response

Focal area set-aside?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

Yes, these resources were set aside for EA support at the start of GEF-7. Cleared.

Agency Response

Is the financing presented adequate and demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objectives?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/ 2022

Yes. Extensive upstream discussions were held on cost parameters. Cleared.

Agency Response

Part 2: Enabling Activity Justification

Background and Context.

Are the achievements of previously implemented enabling activities cited since the country(ies) became a party to the Convention?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response
Goals, Objectives, and Activities.
Is the project framework sufficiently described?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response

Stakeholders.

Does the project include detailed report on stakeholders engaged during the design phase? Is there an adequate stakeholder engagement plan or equivalent documentation for the implementation phase, with information on Stakeholders who will be engaged, the means of engagement, and dissemination of information?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response

Gender equality and women?s empowerment.

Has the gender analysis been completed? Did the gender analysis identify any gender differences, gaps or opportunities linked to project/program objectives and activities? If so, does the project/program include gender-responsive activities, gender-sensitive indicators and expected results?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response

Monitoring and Evaluation.

Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

This MSP does not require a PIR. In lieu of the annual PIR reporting, the project will provide project status data during the annual reporting

period in the GEF Portal. UNEP will implement one TE that will cover the 10 MSPs providing EA support for the recipient countries.

The TE will be budgeted for out of a global MSP technical support grant that will be provided to UNEP to facilitate technical support for the implementation of the 10 MSPs across all recipient countries. Cleared.

Agency Response Cost Effectiveness.

Is the project cost effective?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

Yes. Very cost effective. Cleared.

Agency Response Cost Ranges

If there was a deviation in the cost range, was this explained?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022 NA

Agency Response
Part III. Endorsement/Approval by OFP

Country endorsement

Has the project been endorsed by the country?s GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF database?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022 Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response Response to Comments

Are all the comments adequately responded to? (only as applicable)

GEF Secretariat Comment 4/12/2022

NA

Agency Response
Other Agencies comments?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

NA

Agency Response Council comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

NA

Agency Response STAP Comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

NA

Agency Response

Convention Secretariat comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

Yes. Extensive upstream discussion was held with the CBD and their concerns have been fully incorporated.

Agency Response

CSOs comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

NA

Agency Response GEFSEC DECISION

RECOMMENDATION

Is CEO Endorsement/approval recommended?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request 4/12/2022

CEO approval is recommended.

Review Dates

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement

Response to Secretariat comments

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement

Response to Secretariat comments

First Review	4/12/2022
Additional Review (as necessary)	

CEO Recommendation

Brief reasoning for CEO Recommendations

The objective of this enabling activity support is to fast-track readiness and early actions to implement the post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework by providing financial and technical support to GEF-eligible Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) in their work to review and align their national targets, NBSAPs, policy frameworks, monitoring frameworks and finance with the Global Biodiversity Framework.

Each grant will support countries to achieve the following four outcomes: 1) NBSAPs are ready to be aligned and National Biodiversity targets are aligned with post-2020 GBF and relevant SDG targets 2) Enhanced and improved monitoring, reporting systems and transparency frameworks 3) Identification of actions for policy alignment and coherence on nature-related sectors 4) Biodiversity finance gaps defined, and opportunities for resource mobilization identified.

COVID 19 risk mitigation plan is in place to support implementation.