

Sustainable Management of Biodiversity and Natural Resources in the Bolivian Altiplano associated to the production of South American camelids

Review PPG Request and Make a recommendation

Basic project information

GEF ID

11798
Countries

Bolivia
Project Name

Sustainable Management of Biodiversity and Natural Resources in the Bolivian Altiplano associated to the production of South American camelids
Agencies

IFAD
Date received by PM

10/31/2024
Review completed by PM

	Program Manager
	Sarah Wyatt
	Focal Area
	Biodiversity
	Project Type
	GBFF
GEF-	8 Project Preparation Grant request Review Sheet
	eneral Project Information / Eligibility
a) Do	bes the project meet the criteria for eligibility for GBFF funding?
b) Is	the General Project Information table correctly populated?
Sec	retariat's Comments
	/2024
Clea	red.
٨٥٥	navia Commenta
_	ncy's Comments dicative Project Overview
b)	Is the project objective presented as a concise statement and clear? Are the components, outcomes and outputs sound, appropriate and sufficiently clear to achieve the oject objective?
Se	ecretariat's Comments
12	/9/2024
Cle	eared.
_	pency's Comments Are the components adequately funded?
d) .	Are the GEF Project Financing and Co-Financing contributions to PMC proportional (only for

Multi-trust Funds PPGs with BD from the GEF Trust Fund)?

e) Is the PMC equal to or below 5% of the total GEF grant for projects of more than \$2 million or 10% for projects of less than \$2 million? If the requested PMC is above the caps, has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated? Secretariat's Comments 12/9/2024 Cleared. Agency's Comments III. Project Rationale a. Does the project adequately describe the: (i) current situation/baseline conditions within the project geographic area or project thematic area; (ii) problem(s) that the project will address; (iii) goal and objectives of the project; and (iv) justification for the project intervention; and (v) expected results including the Global Environmental Benefits and an estimate of the project's contributions to the relevant biodiversity core indicators. Secretariat's Comments 12/9/2024 Cleared. Agency's Comments IV. Project Description a) Is there a concise theory of change that describes the project logic, including how the project design elements will contribute to the objective, the expected causal pathways, and the key assumptions underlying these? b) Are the project components and activities identified in the theory of change adequately described. c) Is a list of stakeholders that will be involved in the project and their roles in the design and implementation of the project provided?

d) Are the Specific Action Area(s) that the project is aligned with identified and an explanation provided

on and how the project will support the achievement of the specific Action Area objective(s).

Secretariat's Comments 12/9/2024

Cleared. Agency's Comments V. Does the proposal adequately describe how the project meets the following criteria: a) Potential to generate global environmental benefits (GEBs) (include a description of the GEBs the project will generate per the GEF-8 Core Indicators for biodiversity); b) Alignment with the National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans and/or National Biodiversity Finance Plans or similar instruments to identify national and/or regional priorities; c) The level of policy coherence and coordination across multiple ministries, agencies, the private sector, and civil society that the project aims to support; d) Whether the project will mobilize the resources of the private sector and philanthropies'; and e) Whether and how the project will engage with and provide support to IPLCs. Secretariat's Comments 12/9/2024 Cleared. Agency's Comments VI. Project results indicators Is the table correctly populated and consistent with the Project Description? Secretariat's Comments 12/9/2024 Cleared.

Agency's Comments
VII. Project Financing Tables

a) Are all the tables correctly populated?

r	o) Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines (only for projects with GEF TF components)?
	Secretariat's Comments 2/9/2024
(Cleared.12/9/2024
(Cleared.
	Agency's Comments II. Project Endorsement
•	Has the project been endorsed by the country's(ies) OFP and has the OFP at the time of PPG request omission name and position been checked against the GEF database?
-	Are the OFP endorsement letters uploaded to the GEF Portal (compiled as a single document, if plicable)?
	Do the letters follow the correct format and are the endorsed amounts consistent with the amounts cluded in the Portal?
	ecretariat's Comments /9/2024
Clo	eared.
Ag	gency's Comments
I	X. GEFSEC Decision
a	a. Is the PPG recommended for technical clearance?
b	o. Additional comments to be considered by the Agency during project preparation
	Secretariat's Comments 2/9/2024
Ŋ	Yes.
Ι	During project development please address the following:

- BD Benefits Please clearly articulate the specific biodiversity benefits of the project including the improvements in management and value of the areas targeted.
- NBSAP Please provide specific discussion of how this aligns with the NBSAP. This does not have to be long but should have detail rather than general statements.
- Please provide more information on policy coherence elements and how the project will mobilize private sector and other resources.
- Please ensure that the entire amount reported as ?amount to support action by IPLCs [for] biodiversity? in the CEO endorsement requests corresponds to project activities supporting action by IPLCs, and that the project documentation describes the IPLCs who will benefit from the project and details their role in the project. Activities where IPLCs are mere beneficiaries should not be counted in this amount. Support to stakeholders that are not IPLCs (i.e. indigenous peoples or local communities that embody traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity) should not be counted either. Whether the project as a whole or certain project activities support action by IPLCs could entail a number of circumstances including but not limited to: IPLCs directly receive resources through the GEF agency for execution of project components/activities; IPLCs lead the design and management of some project activities but do not manage financial resources; the project provides in-kind support to actions led by IPLCs for biodiversity, etc.

Agency's Comments
Review Dates

IVGAIGAA	Response
	IXEVIEW

PPG Request

Poviow

Agency

Poenoneo