



# Empowering Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities for Improved Biodiversity Stewardship in the Solomon Islands

Review PPG Request and Make a recommendation

## Basic project information

**GEF ID**

11795

**Countries**

Solomon Islands

**Project Name**

Empowering Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities for Improved Biodiversity Stewardship in the Solomon Islands

**Agencies**

FAO

**Date received by PM**

10/31/2024

**Review completed by PM**

12/9/2024

**Program Manager**

Celine Augereau ep Coisy

**Focal Area**

Biodiversity

**Project Type**

GBFF

## GEF-8 Project Preparation Grant request Review Sheet

### 1. General Project Information / Eligibility

a) Does the project meet the criteria for eligibility for GBFF funding?

b) Is the General Project Information table correctly populated?

Secretariat's CommentsCleared.

Agency's Comments

### II. Indicative Project Overview

a) Is the project objective presented as a concise statement and clear?

b) Are the components, outcomes and outputs sound, appropriate and sufficiently clear to achieve the project objective?

Secretariat's CommentsCleared.

Agency's Comments

c) Are the components adequately funded?

d) Are the GEF Project Financing and Co-Financing contributions to PMC proportional (only for Multi-trust Funds PPGs with BD from the GEF Trust Fund)?

e) Is the PMC equal to or below 5% of the total GEF grant for projects of more than \$2 million or 10% for projects of less than \$2 million? If the requested PMC is above the caps, has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated?

Secretariat's CommentsCleared.

Agency's Comments

### III. Project Rationale

**a. Does the project adequately describe the: (i) current situation/baseline conditions within the project geographic area or project thematic area; (ii) problem(s) that the project will address; (iii) goal and objectives of the project; and (iv) justification for the project intervention; and (v) expected results including the Global Environmental Benefits and an estimate of the project's contributions to the relevant biodiversity core indicators.**

Secretariat's CommentsCleared.

Agency's Comments

### IV. Project Description

**a) Is there a concise theory of change that describes the project logic, including how the project design elements will contribute to the objective, the expected causal pathways, and the key assumptions underlying these?**

**b) Are the project components and activities identified in the theory of change adequately described.**

**c) Is a list of stakeholders that will be involved in the project and their roles in the design and implementation of the project provided?**

**d) Are the Specific Action Area(s) that the project is aligned with identified and an explanation provided on and how the project will support the achievement of the specific Action Area objective(s).**

Secretariat's CommentsCleared.

Agency's Comments

**V. Does the proposal adequately describe how the project meets the following criteria:**

**a) Potential to generate global environmental benefits (GEBs) (include a description of the GEBs the project will generate per the GEF-8 Core Indicators for biodiversity);**

**b) Alignment with the National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans and/or National Biodiversity Finance Plans or similar instruments to identify national and/or regional priorities;**

**c) The level of policy coherence and coordination across multiple ministries, agencies, the private sector, and civil society that the project aims to support;**

**d) Whether the project will mobilize the resources of the private sector and philanthropies'; and**

**e) Whether and how the project will engage with and provide support to IPLCs.**

Secretariat's CommentsCleared.

Agency's Comments

**VI. Project results indicators**

**Is the table correctly populated and consistent with the Project Description?**

Secretariat's CommentsCleared.

Agency's Comments

**VII. Project Financing Tables**

**a) Are all the tables correctly populated?**

**b) Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines (only for projects with GEF TF components)?**

Secretariat's Comments

C.C 10/12/2025

There is a mismatch between the figures in the column "Programming of Funds GBFF Action Area" in the GEF Financing Table and the figures in the column "Programming Directions" in the Indicative Focal Area Elements table (see below ). Please amend the tables accordingly.

## Indicative Focal Area Elements

Programming Directions	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Co-financing(\$)
GBFF Action Area 1	GBFF	805,970.00	2,278,500.00
GBFF Action Area 2	GBFF	996,391.00	3,454,500.00
GBFF Action Area 3	GBFF	571,979.00	1,617,000.00
Total Project Cost (\$)		2,374,340.00	7,350,000.00

## GEF Financing Table

Indicative Trust Fund Resources Requested by Agency(ies), Country(ies), Focal Area and the Programming of Funds

GEF Agency	Trust Fund	Country/ Regional/ Global	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	Grant / Non-Grant	GEF Project Grant(\$)	Agency Fee(\$)	Total GEF Financing(\$)
FAO	GBFF	Solomon Islands	Biodiversity	GBFF Action Area 1	Grant	736,046	69,924	805,970.00
FAO	GBFF	Solomon Islands	Biodiversity	GBFF Action Area 2	Grant	1,115,940	106,014	1,221,954.00
FAO	GBFF	Solomon Islands	Biodiversity	GBFF Action Area 3	Grant	522,354	49,624	571,978.00
Total GEF Resources(\$)						2,374,340.00	225,562.00	2,599,902.00

## Agency's Comments

### VIII. Project Endorsement

a) Has the project been endorsed by the country's(ies) OFP and has the OFP at the time of PPG request submission name and position been checked against the GEF database?

b) Are the OFP endorsement letters uploaded to the GEF Portal (compiled as a single document, if applicable)?

c) Do the letters follow the correct format and are the endorsed amounts consistent with the amounts included in the Portal?

## Secretariat's Comments

C.C 11/25/2024

Thanks for this submission. In order for this submission to be further considered in the 3rd GBFF selection round, please address the following comment. The Executing Partner in Portal doesn't

match that in Letter of Endorsement. Please, amend the information in the Portal to match that in LoE (executing partner can be modified during project preparation).

C.C 12/09/2024

Cleared.

**Agency's Comments** Thank you for the observation. The information in the portal (and annexed PIF) has been amended to match the executing partner mentioned in the LoE.

#### **IX. GEFSEC Decision**

**a. Is the PPG recommended for technical clearance?**

**b. Additional comments to be considered by the Agency during project preparation**

#### **Secretariat's Comments**

C.C. 11/25/2024

Thank you for this submission. In order for this submission to be further considered in the selection round, please address the comments included in this review sheet and resubmit as soon as possible.

C.C. 12/09/2024

The PPG request is recommended for clearance.

During the project preparation, please, ensure that the entire amount reported as ?amount to support action by IPLCs [for] biodiversity? in the CEO endorsement requests corresponds to project activities supporting action by IPLCs, and that the project documentation describes the IPLCs who will benefit from the project and details their role in the project. Activities where IPLCs are mere beneficiaries should not be counted in this amount. Support to stakeholders that are not IPLCs (i.e. indigenous peoples or local communities that embody traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity) should not be counted either. Whether the project as a whole or certain project activities support action by IPLCs could entail a number of circumstances including but not limited to: IPLCs directly receive resources through the GEF agency for execution of project components/activities; IPLCs lead the design and management of some project activities but do not manage financial resources; the project provides in-kind support to actions led by IPLCs for biodiversity, etc.

C.C. 12/11/2024 :

The financing tables have been updated. The project is recommended for clearance.

Agency's CommentsThank you. Comments have been addressed.  
Review Dates

	PPG Request Review	Agency Response
First Review	11/25/2024	
Additional Review (as necessary)	12/9/2024	
Additional Review (as necessary)	12/11/2024	
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		