

Support for the development of protected areas for the conservation of biodiversity

Review PPG Request and Make a recommendation

asic project information			
GEF ID			
11642			
Countries			
Senegal			
Project Name			
Support for the development of protected areas for the conservation of biodiversity			
Agencies			
UNDP			
Date received by PM			
4/24/2024			
Review completed by PM			
5/6/2024			
Program Manager			
Jurgis Sapijanskas			
Focal Area			
Biodiversity			
Project Type			

GEF-8 Project Preparation Grant request Review Sheet

- 1. General Project Information / Eligibility
- a) Does the project meet the criteria for eligibility for GBFF funding?
- b) Is the General Project Information table correctly populated?

Secretariat's Comments JS 5/8/2024 - Cleared

JS 5/8/2024 - The title of this submission is different from the project title endorsed in the LoE. Please change the title in the portal to match that of the LoE and resubmit as soon as possible.

JS 4/24/2024

Thank you for this submission. In order for this submission to be considered in the selection round, please correct the Executing Agency shown in the portal entry so that it matches the Executing Agency designated in the LoE.

Agency's Comments

UNDP response, 8 May 2024

Thank you for the comment. The title of the submission in Portal has been aligned with the title endorsed by LOE.

UNDP, 26 April 2024

Thank you. We have corrected the Executing Agency as per LoE.

- II. Indicative Project Overview
 - a) Is the project objective presented as a concise statement and clear?
 - b) Are the components, outcomes and outputs sound, appropriate and sufficiently clear to achieve the project objective?

Secretariat's Comments

JS 5/6/2024 - Cleared.

JS 4/24/2024 - In order for this submission to be considered in the selection round, please remove the financing of veterinary offices under output 1.4, which is a minor element of the project design that are not eligible as proposed.

Agency's Comments UNDP, 26 April 2024 This financing is now removed.

- c) Are the components adequately funded?
- d) Are the GEF Project Financing and Co-Financing contributions to PMC proportional (only for Multi-trust Funds PPGs with BD from the GEF Trust Fund)?
- e) Is the PMC equal to or below 5% of the total GEF grant for projects of more than \$2 million or 10% for projects of less than \$2 million? If the requested PMC is above the caps, has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated?

Secretariat's Comments JS 5/6/2024 - Cleared.

Agency's Comments

III. Project Rationale

a. Does the project adequately describe the: (i) current situation/baseline conditions within the project geographic area or project thematic area; (ii) problem(s) that the project will address; (iii) goal and objectives of the project; and (iv) justification for the project intervention; and (v) expected results including the Global Environmental Benefits and an estimate of the project's contributions to the relevant biodiversity core indicators.

Secretariat's Comments JS 5/6/2024 - Cleared.

Agency's Comments

IV. Project Description

- a) Is there a concise theory of change that describes the project logic, including how the project design elements will contribute to the objective, the expected causal pathways, and the key assumptions underlying these?
- b) Are the project components and activities identified in the theory of change adequately described.

c) Is a list of stakeholders that will be involved in the project and their roles in the design and implementation of the project provided?

d) Are the Specific Action Area(s) that the project is aligned with identified and an explanation provided on and how the project will support the achievement of the specific Action Area objective(s).

Secretariat's Comments JS 5/6/2024 - Cleared.

Agency's Comments

V. Does the proposal adequately describe how the project meets the following criteria:

a) Potential to generate global environmental benefits (GEBs) (include a description of the GEBs the project will generate per the GEF-8 Core Indicators for biodiversity);

b) Alignment with the National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans and/or National Biodiversity Finance Plans or similar instruments to identify national and/or regional priorities;

c) The level of policy coherence and coordination across multiple ministries, agencies, the private sector, and civil society that the project aims to support;

d) Whether the project will mobilize the resources of the private sector and philanthropies';

e) Whether and how the project will engage with and provide support to IPLCs.

Secretariat's Comments JS 5/6/2024 - Cleared.

Agency's Comments

VI. Project results indicators

Is the table correctly populated and consistent with the Project Description?

Secretariat's Comments JS 5/6/2024 - Cleared.

We note that a target has been included under 4.5 but the corresponding hectares have not been reported under any of the sub-indicators. In the CEO endorsement request, please report the area of OECMs both under the contextual sub-indicator 4.5 and under one of the component sub-indicators (4.1, 4.2, 4.3 or 4.4). In the case of this project, the same area should likely be reported under both 4.1 and 4.5.

Agency's Comments

VII. Project Financing Tables

- a) Are all the tables correctly populated?
- b) Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines (only for projects with GEF TF components)?

Secretariat's Comments JS 5/6/2024 - Cleared.

JS 4/24/2024

Given that the PPG request submission announces \$2.9 million in co-finance in the Project Components' table, please fill in the co-financing table following the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines.

Agency's Comments UNDP, 26 April 2024 Co-financing table is now filled.

VIII. Project Endorsement

- a) Has the project been endorsed by the country's(ies) OFP and has the OFP at the time of PPG request submission name and position been checked against the GEF database?
- b) Are the OFP endorsement letters uploaded to the GEF Portal (compiled as a single document, if applicable)?
- c) Do the letters follow the correct format and are the endorsed amounts consistent with the amounts included in the Portal?

Secretariat's Comments JS 5/8/2024 - Cleared.

JS 5/8/2024 - The title of this submission is different from the project title endorsed in the LoE. Please change the title in the portal to match that of the LoE and resubmit as soon as possible.

Agency's Comments

UNDP response, 8 May 2024

Thank you for the comment. The title of the submission in Portal has been aligned with the title endorsed by LOE.

IX. GEFSEC Decision

a. Is the PPG recommended for technical clearance?

b. Additional comments to be considered by the Agency during project preparation

Secretariat's Comments

JS 5/8/2024 - The project is recommended for technical clearance.

During PPG, please:

- Please confirm that CNRs are recognized as OECMs by Senegal and consider preparing to take steps during project implementation to register CNRs as OECMs in the WDPA-ID.
- Please consolidate targets on GEF core indicators. Please notably fill in core indicator 4, reporting the hectares of OECMs both under the contextual sub-indicator 4.5 and under one of the component sub-indicators (4.1, 4.2, 4.3 or 4.4). In the case of this protect, the same area should likely be reported under both 4.1 and 4.5. Please make every effort to capture project co-benefits, including climate mitigation co-benefits on Core indicator 6, following the Guidelines on the GEF-8 Results Measurement Framework (https://www.thegef.org/sites/default/files/documents/2022-09/Results_Framework_Guidelines_2022_06_30.pdf).
- Please confirm the amount of GBFF project financing dedicated to support actions by IPLC for the conservation, restoration, sustainable use and management of biodiversity. Please make sure that the CEO endorsement request document includes a justification with cross-reference to relevant project components and/or outputs that clarifies who will benefit and describes their role in the project.
- Please refine the ToC, and notably ensure that output 3.2.2 on water supply is linked to global biodiversity benefits through a well justified, evidence-based causal pathway.

JS 5/8/2024 - The title of this submission is different from the project title endorsed in the LoE. Please change the title in the portal to match that of the LoE and resubmit as soon as possible.

JS 4/24/2024 - Thank you for this submission. In order for this submission to be considered in the selection round, please address the comments included in this review sheet and resubmit as soon as possible.

Agency's Comments

UNDP response, 8 May 2024

Thank you for the comment. The title of the submission in Portal has been aligned with the title endorsed by LOE.

UNDP, 26 April 2024 Noted and action taken. Thank you.

Review Dates

	PPG Request Review	Agency Response
First Review	4/24/2024	4/26/2024
Additional Review (as necessary)	5/8/2024	
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		