

Home RoadMap

Development of National Action Plan for Artisanal and Small-Scale Gold Mining in Chad

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

Basic project information

GEF ID
10448
Countries
Chad
Project Name
Development of National Action Plan for Artisanal and Small-Scale Gold Mining in Chad
Agencies
UNEP
Date received by PM

12/3/2019 Review completed by PM		
Program Manager		
Anil Sookdeo Focal Area		
Chemicals and Waste Project Type		
EA		

Expedited Enabling Activity req (CEO)

Part 1: Project Information

Focal area elements

Is the enabling activity aligned with the relevant GEF focal area elements as indicated in Table A and as defined by the GEF 7 Programming Directions?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes, This enabling activity to prepare the national action plan of Chad is aligned with the CE programming directions.

Agency Response Project description summary Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs as in Table B and described in the project document?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion Yes

Agency Response Co-financing

Are the confirmed expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented, with supporting evidence and a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified [and meets the definition of investment mobilized, and a description of any major changes from PIF, consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines?]

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion Yes

Agency Response GEF Resource Availability

Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion Yes

Agency Response Are they within the resources available from: The STAR allocation? Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response The focal area allocation?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response The LDCF under the principle of equitable access

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response Focal area set-aside?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response

Is the financing presented adequate and demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objectives?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion Yes

Agency Response Part 2: Enabling Activity Justification

Background and Context.

Are the achievements of previously implemented enabling activities cited since the country(ies) became a party to the Convention?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

This is the first enabling activity in this sector in Chad.

Agency Response Goals, Objectives, and Activities. Is the project framework sufficiently described?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion Yes

Agency Response

Stakeholders.

Does the project include detailed report on stakeholders engaged during the design phase? Is there an adequate stakeholder engagement plan or equivalent documentation for the implementation phase, with information on Stakeholders who will be engaged, the means of engagement, and dissemination of information?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes.

Agency Response

Gender equality and women's empowerment.

Has the gender analysis been completed? Did the gender analysis identify any gender differences, gaps or opportunities linked to project/program objectives and activities? If so, does the project/program include gender-responsive activities, gender-sensitive indicators and expected results?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes

Agency Response

<u>27/01/2020</u>

In line with other NAP projects under review and as agreed with the Gender focal point at GEFSEC, the gender aspects have been strengthened:

- Available national information has been added at the end of section A

- Additional activities have been added in components 1 and 2
- Gender section has been extended to provide additional reference material

Monitoring and Evaluation.

Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes, however please note that costs associated with independent auditing of the project at terminal evaluation is to be covered by the PMC. Please refer to the Guidelines on the GEF Project and Program Cycle Policy. In this regard these costs should be removed from the M&E budget.

AS - Jan 31, 2020 - Comment addressed

Agency Response

<u>27/01/2020</u>

Costs of independent auditing have been included in project management

Cost Effectiveness.

Is the project cost effective?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion Yes

Agency Response Cost Ranges

If there was a deviation in the cost range, was this explained?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion N/A

Agency Response

Part III. Endorsement/ Approval by OFP

Country endorsement

Has the project been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF database?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion Yes

Agency Response Response to Comments

Are all the comments adequately responded to? (only as applicable)

GEF Secretariat Comment Yes.

Agency Response Other Agencies comments?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response Council comments Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response STAP Comments

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response Convention Secretariat comments

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response CSOs comments

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response GEFSEC DECISION

RECOMMENDATION

Is CEO Endorsement/approval recommended?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Please address the comments on the M&E Budget.

AS - Jan 31, 2020 - The Enabling Activity is being recommend for CEO Approval

Review Dates

	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
First Review		
Additional Review (as necessary)		

CEO Recommendation

Brief reasoning for CEO Recommendations

The Minamata Convention on Mercury controls the use of mercury. Article 7 of the Convention controls the use of mercury in the artisanal and small scale gold mining sector. Parties to the Convention that have artisanal and small scale gold mining in their territories that uses mercury, on determining that there is a more than insignificant use of mercury in the sector can notify the Convention of this use. Once a Party notifies such use it is required to undertake the development of a national action plan which sets out the action plan the Party will implement to phase out of mercury in the sector. These national action plans are enabling activities under the Convention and are a requirement for the financial mechanism to fund.

The Government of Chad has ratified the convention and made a notification under Article 7, therefore it is eligible for funding for the development of a national action plan for the ASGM sector. This project has been reviewed and is in compliance with GEF policy and consistent with the requirements set out in the Minamata Convention and the GEF 7 CW programming directions. The project on completion will both allow the Government of Chad to fulfill its obligations as a Party to the Minamata Convention and to take action to reduce and eventually eliminate the use of mercury in its ASGM sector.