


## Building institutional and local capacities to reduce wildlife crime and to enhance protection of iconic wildlife in Malaysia

055.15	
GEF ID	
10597	
Countries	
Malaysia	
Project Tit	
Building in	stitutional and local capacities to reduce wildlife crime and to enhance protection of iconic wildlife in Malaysi
GEF Agend	cy(ies)
UNDP	
Agency ID	
UNDP: 645	58
GEF Focal	Area(s)
Biodiversit	у
Program M	
Hannah Fa	irbank



3. Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented and consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines, with a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified and meets the definition of investment mobilized?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
GEF Resource Availability
4. Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines? Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply):
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
The STAR allocation?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response
The focal area allocation?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
The LDCF under the principle of equitable access
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response

Forel area ask saids?

-ocai area set-aside?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
mpact Program Incentive?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Project Preparation Grant
5. Is PPG requested in Table E within the allowable cap? Has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated? (not applicable to PFD)
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
June 8, 2020 HF: PPG request amount is within allowable cap for an FSP of this size.
June 15, 2020: Please revise/correct the LOE as the project title of the LOE is not consistent with the project title in the GEF Portal. Please

ENDORSEMENT FOR BUILDING INSTITUTIONAL CAPACITIES TO REDUCE


see screenshot of the project title in the LOE below.

## PRODUCTS IN MALAYSIA PROJECT PROPOSAL

Agency Response UNDP Response, 1 July 2020	
The LOE has been revised to reflect the project title in the GEF portal, please see the attachment.	
Core indicators	
6. Are the identified core indicators in Table F calculated using the methodology included in the correspondent Guide (GEF/C.54/11/Rev.01)	elines?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion	
Agency Response	
Project/Program taxonomy	
7. Is the project/ program properly tagged with the appropriate keywords as requested in Table G?	
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion	
Agency Response	

Part II - Project Justification
1. Has the project/program described the global environmental / adaptation problems, including the root causes and barriers that need to be addressed?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
2. Is the baseline scenario or any associated baseline projects appropriately described?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
3. Does the proposed alternative scenario describe the expected outcomes and components of the project/program?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response

4. Is the project/program aligned with focal area and/or Impact Program strategies?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
5. Is the incremental / additional cost reasoning properly described as per the Guidelines provided in GEF/C.31/12?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
6. Are the project's/program's indicative targeted contributions to global environmental benefits (measured through core indicators) reasonable and achievable? Or for adaptation benefits?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
7. Is there potential for innovation, sustainability and scaling up in this project?



Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment
Is the articulation of gender context and indicative information on the importance and need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women, adequate?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Private Sector Engagement
Is the case made for private sector engagement consistent with the proposed approach?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Risks to Achieving Project Objectives
Does the project/program consider potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, that might prevent the project objectives from being achieved or may be resulting from project/program implementation, and propose measures

that address these risks to be further developed during the project design?

Has the project/program cited alignment with any of the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response
Knowledge Management
Is the proposed "knowledge management (KM) approach" in line with GEF requirements to foster learning and sharing fron relevant projects/programs, initiatives and evaluations; and contribute to the project's/program's overall impact and sustainability?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
art III - Country Endorsements
Has the project/program been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF data base?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Termsheet, reflow table and agency capacity in NGI Projects

Does the project provide sufficient detail in Annex A (indicative termsheet) to take a decision on the following selection

criteria: co-financing ratios, financial terms and conditions, and financial additionality? If not, please provide comments. Does the project provide a detailed reflow table in Annex B to assess the project capacity of generating reflows? If not, please
provide comments. After reading the questionnaire in Annex C, is the Partner Agency eligible to administer concessional finance? If not, please provide comments.
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
EFSEC DECISION
RECOMMENDATION
s the PIF/PFD recommended for technical clearance? Is the PPG (if requested) being recommended for clearance?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS
Additional recommendations to be considered by Agency at the time of CEO endorsement/approval.
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
riew Dates

## PIF Review Agency Response

First Review	6/9/2020	7/1/2020			
Additional Review (as necessary)					
Additional Review (as necessary)					
Additional Review (as necessary)					
Additional Review (as necessary)					

PIF Recommendation to CEO

Brief reasoning for recommendations to CEO for PIF Approval

June 8, 2020 HF: PPG request is within allowable cap for FSP of this size.