

Africa Environmental Health and Pollution Management Project – Senegal

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

Basic project information

GEF ID

9854

Countries

Senegal

Project Name

Africa Environmental Health and Pollution Management Project – Senegal

Agencies

World Bank

Date received by PM

8/2/2018

Review completed by PM

4/8/2020

Program Manager

Ibrahima Sow

Focal Area

Chemicals and Waste

Project Type

FSP

PIF

CEO Endorsement

Project Design and Financing

1. If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided?

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement

No changes from that presented in the PIF

Response to Secretariat comments

March 16 2020: Noted. Thank you. No response required.

2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Yes. The project is aligned with Senegal's revised and updated National Implementation Plan and will build on activities funded under WB funded project on municipal solid waste management.

Response to Secretariat comments March 16 2020: Noted. Thank you

3. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Yes.

Response to Secretariat comments March 16 2020: Noted. Thank you

4. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Yes.

Response to Secretariat comments March 16 2020: Noted. Thank you

5. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement

Evidence of co-financing needs to be provided.

10 April 2020: There is no indication of Government's co-financing of \$300,000. Co-financing evidence must be uploaded in the Portal.

30 April 2020: Please provide a letter of co-financing signed by the OFP

May 1: Comment cleared.

Response to Secretariat comments

May 1, 2020: It is important to clarify that as per Bank process, the counterpart cofinancing is confirmed at negotiations with the counterpart Ministry and Ministry of Finance. These are recorded in the Minutes of Negotiations and shared with the GEF Secretariat after CEO Endorsement as per the GEF-Bank harmonized procedures jointly agreed and in place since 2014. However, in this instance, at the request of the GEFSEC and despite the limitations in the government re the COVID crisis, a pre- confirmation note was obtained via email from the project counterpart and Acting Director (at the time of signing), to allow timely clearance and proceed for Negotiations. At Negotiations these amounts will be reconfirmed and included in the Minutes of Negotiations (and submitted to GEFSEC again, noting the WB Harmonization process). As a general note, OFPs do not sign documents for projects during Negotiations, unless they happen to be the actual counterpart unit for the project.

April 16, 2020 : Counterpart cofinancing letter attached. This is on special request as these are usually confirmed at negotiations which is expected by end of April.

March 16 2020: Proof of cofinancing attached and govt commitment will be confirmed at the time of negotiations. Thank you

6. Are relevant tracking tools completed?

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement

Report on core indicators should be provided as this is a GEF6 project submitted in GEF-7 for CEO endorsement

10 April 2020: Report on core indicators is missing: To report on Core Indicators, they Bank needs to use the Core Indicators table, not the GEBs table. Should the Bank want to continue reporting on GEBs, that is fine, but in that case, the Bank will also have to prepare and present Tracking Tools.

30 April 2020: Comment cleared.

Response to Secretariat comments

April 16, 2020 : As discussed bilaterally with and reported to the GEF PM, this is a system glitch and GEF- 7 core indicator data is not being reflected in the GEF Portal upon saving (indicator data is already inputted in the portal by the IA). GEF-7 Core indicator Worksheets aligned with the entries in the portal have been provided and are reattached to this submission. Thank you.

March 16 2020: Core indicator Work sheet included. Thank you

7. Only for Non-Grant Instrument: Has a reflow calendar been presented?

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement NA

Response to Secretariat comments

8. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Yes

Response to Secretariat comments March 16 2020: Noted. Thank you

9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Yes

Response to Secretariat comments March 16 2020: Noted. Thank you

10. Does the project have descriptions of a knowledge management plan?

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Yes

Response to Secretariat comments March 16 2020: Noted. Thank you

Agency Responses

11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the PIF stage from:

GEFSEC

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Yes.

Response to Secretariat comments March 16 2020: Noted. Thank you

STAP

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Yes

Response to Secretariat comments March 16 2020: No pending comments. Earlier responses have been cleared. Thank you

GEF Council

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Yes

Response to Secretariat comments N/A

Convention Secretariat

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement

Response to Secretariat comments

Recommendation

12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?

Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement

Not yet, please address the following comments:

- There is no indication of Government's co-financing of \$300,000. Co-financing evidence must be uploaded in the Portal.
- Report on core indicators is missing: To report on Core Indicators, the Bank needs to use the Core Indicators table, not the GEBs table.

Should the Bank want to continue reporting on GEBs, that is fine, but in that case, the Bank will also have to prepare and present Tracking Tools.

30 April 2020: Not yet. Please provide a letter of co-financing signed by the GEF OFP.

May 1, 2020: Comment cleared. CEO endorsement is recommended.

Response to Secretariat comments

April 16, 2020 : Both comments addressed. See responses in specific boxes above.

The Project teams kindly requests for technical clearance of CEO package quickly to allow for the project negotiations to take place in a timely manner. It is scheduled for April 24-28, 2020. Thank you.

March 16 2020: The full package is re-submitted for formal CEO endorsement following WB's internal decision review meeting.

Review Dates

	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
First Review		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		

CEO Recommendation

Brief Reasoning for CEO Recommendations

Environmental sound management of harmful chemicals and waste in urban cities and in areas with Artisanal gold mining activities – mainly rural areas - is a critical issue in most African countries due to the lack of technical, financial and managerial capacity to demonstrate results on the ground, and set up institutional mechanisms to promote innovative techniques, practices and approaches for the elimination and reduction of harmful chemicals and waste. Poverty and lack of alternative livelihood opportunities also attract a large percentage of rural poor to ASGM and to informal e-waste recycling.

The proposed Project is in line with the draft Country Partnership Framework (CPF) for Senegal, specifically with focus area 3 on increasing resilience and sustainability in a context of growing risks. The project is envisioned to contribute to increase access to solid waste management services in Senegal, by supporting institutional strengthening and capacity building of relevant stakeholders. It will support actions to set up a system aiming at reducing environmental health risks from the release of POPs and other toxic chemicals through ESM of urban waste in selected municipalities, which can later be replicated and scaled-up nationally and regionally. Under this component the project will support activities to reduce UPOPs by better preventing UPOPs precursors such as plastic wastes mixed with municipal and organic wastes that are subject to open burning and consequently cause higher emissions of UPOPs. Control of unregulated combustion will be

strengthened by improving uncontrolled dump sites through separation, segregation, recycling of municipal and hazardous waste which should be the primary responsibility of municipalities. The project will work with relevant partners, such as private companies and NGOs, specialized in waste management to develop a business model to ensure the capitalization of waste management experiences and sustainability of the accumulated knowledge.

Sustainability: A waste management unit will be set up in the participating municipalities to coordinate waste management efforts. With respect to the Guidelines on best available techniques and provisional guidance on best environmental practices relevant to Article 5 and Annex C of the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants, appropriate actions will be developed to manage municipal solid waste and hazardous waste in a sound manner, to minimize the releases of UPOPs and greenhouses gases.

|