

Africa Environmental Health and Pollution Management Project – Senegal

Part I: Project Information

Name of Parent Program EHPMP - Environmental Health and Pollution Management Program in Africa

GEF ID 9854

Project Type FSP

Type of Trust Fund GET

Project Title Africa Environmental Health and Pollution Management Project – Senegal

Countries

Senegal

Agency(ies)

World Bank

Other Executing Partner(s):

Ministry of Environment

Executing Partner Type

Government

GEF Focal Area

Chemicals and Waste

Taxonomy

Focal Areas, Chemicals and Waste, Persistent Organic Pollutants, Influencing models, Stakeholders, Private Sector, Type of Engagement, Communications, Civil Society, Gender Equality, Gender results areas, Capacity, Knowledge and Research, Learning, Knowledge Generation, Knowledge Exchange, Polychlorinated Biphenyls, New Persistent Organic Pollutants, Uninentional Persistent Organic Pollutants, Strengthen institutional capacity and decision-making, Non-Governmental Organization, Academia, Consultation, Participation, Local Communities, SMEs, Awareness Raising, Behavior change, Beneficiaries, Capacity Development, Workshop, Seminar, Master Classes, Professional Development, Training, Course, Theory of change, Adaptive management, Field Visit, Exhibit, Peer-to-Peer, Twinning, Conference

Rio Markers Climate Change Mitigation Climate Change Mitigation 0

Climate Change Adaptation Climate Change Adaptation 0

Duration 60In Months

Agency Fee(\$) 495,413

A. Focal Area Strategy Framework and Program

Objectives/Programs	Focal Area Outcomes	Trust Fund	GEF Amount(\$)	Co-Fin Amount(\$)
CW-2_P3	Outcome 3.1.: Quantifiable and verifiable tonnes of POPs eliminated or reduced.	GET	5,504,587	300,300,000
		Total Projec	t Cost(\$) 5,504,587	300,300,000

B. Project description summary

Project Objective

Senegal: To reduce exposure to uPOPs pollution in pilot sites and strengthen the institutional capacity to manage and regulate urban waste. Overall PDO: To reduce exposure to mercury and uPOPs pollution in pilot sites and strengthen the institutional capacity to manage and regulate mercury use in artisanal small-scale gold mining (ASGM) and e-waste in selected countries in Africa.

Project	Component Expected Outcom	es Expected Outputs	Trust	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
Component	Туре		Fund		

Project Component	Component Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
Component 1: Institutional strengthening,	Technical Assistance	Improved capacity to identify and address environmental health	Assessment and updating of the existing regulations and guidelines	GET	1,504,587	70,000,000
knowledge and capacity building		risks ssociated with harmful chemicals and waste, including uPOPs	Training delivered to different stakeholder groups on:			
			1. on the new/amended legislation, regulations, and bylaws on waste management			
			2. BAT/BEP for national and municipal waste management (generation, collection, transportation, sorting, treatment, recycling, and disposal)			
			Stakeholder Mapping finalized (including private and informal sectors).			
			Communication strategy developed and disseminated to key stakeholders.			
			Targeted study tours organized to share knowledge and expertise and contribute to regional framework of action			

Project Component	Component Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
Component 2: Support for policy dialogue and regulatory enhancements	Technical Assistance	Policy framework for management of harmful chemicals	A national strategy and implementation plan for promoting the reduction of emissions and releases of, and exposure to, POPs and priority chemicals developed A methodology for screening and evaluating health and environment risks associated with POPs releases and other hazardous chemicals developed A strategy and guidelines for screening and evaluating health and environment risks developed	GET	1,000,000	70,000,000
			National Steering Committee established and a communication strategy in place			

Project Component	Component Type	Expected Outcomes		Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
Component 3: Demonstrating application of technological tools and economic approaches	Investment	Reduced environmental health risks from POPs releases from urban waste in Mariste and Dalifort municipalities Reduction in uncontrolled dump sites and improved recycling of wastes	Support to municipalities for separation, segregation, & recycling of municipal and hazardous waste. Waste management unit set up in the participating municipalities to coordinate waste management efforts. Identify relevant partners, private companies specialized in waste management, NGOs to develop a business model for waste management.	GET	2,737,877	159,000,000
			Sub Tot	tal (\$)	5,242,464	299,000,000
Project Managem	ent Cost (PMC)					
				GET	262,123	1,300,000
			Sub To	otal(\$)	262,123	1,300,000
			Total Project Co	ost(\$)	5,504,587	300,300,000

C. Sources of Co-financing for the Project by name and by type

Sources of Co-financing	Name of Co-financier	Type of Co-financing	Amount(\$)
Government	Government of Senegal	In-kind	300,000
GEF Agency	World Bank P161477	Loans	300,000,000

Total Co-Financing(\$) 300,300,000

D. Trust Fund Resources Requested by Agency(ies), Country(ies), Focal Area and the Programming of Funds

Agency	Trust Fund	Country	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	NGI	Amount(\$)	Fee(\$)
World Bank	GET	Senegal	Chemicals and Waste	POPs	No	5,504,587	495,413
				Total Grant Re	esources(\$)	5,504,587	495,413

E. Non Grant Instrument NON-GRANT INSTRUMENT at CEO Endorsement

Includes Non grant instruments? **No** Includes reflow to GEF? **No**

F. Projec PPG Re	t Preparation Grant (P equired	PPG)						
PPG An	nount (\$)							
PPG Ag	ency Fee (\$)							
Agency	Trust Fund	Country	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	NGI	Amount(\$)	Fee(\$)	
				Total Pr	oject Costs(\$)	0	0	

G. Projects' Target Contributions to Global Environmental Benefits

Corporate Results	Replenishment Targets	PIF Project Targets	Project Targets
1.Maintain globally significant biodiversity and the ecosystem goods and services that it provides to society	Improved management of landscapes and seascapes covering 300 million hectare		
2.Sustainable land management in production systems (agriculture, rangelands, and forest landscapes)	120 million hectares under sustainable land management		
3. Promotion of collective management of transboundary water systems and implementation of the full range of policy, legal, and institutional reforms and	Water-food-ecosystems security and conjunctive management of surface and groundwater in at least 10 freshwater basin		
investments contributing to sustainable use and maintenance of ecosystem services	20% of globally over-exploited fisheries(by volume) moved to more sustainable levels		
4. Support to transformational shifts towards a low-emission and resilient development path	750 millions of CO2e mitigated (include both direct and indirect)		
5. Increase in phase-out, disposal and reduction of releases of POPs, ODS, mercury and other chemicals of global concern	Disposal of 80,000 tons of POPs (PCBs, obsolete pesticides)		
	Reduction of 1000 tons of Mercury		
	Phase-out of 303.44 tons of ODP (HCFC)		

Corporate Results	Replenishment Targets	PIF Project Targets	Project Targets
6. Enhance capacity of countries to implement	Development and sectoral planning		1.0
MEAs (multilateral Environmental agreements) and mainstream into national and sub-national	frameworks integrate measurable targets drawn from the MEA in atleast 10 countries		
policy, planning financial and legal			1.0
frameworks	Functional environmental information		
	systems are established to support decision-		
	making in atleast 10 countries		

Core Indicators

Indicator 9 Reduction, disposal/destruction, phase out, elimination and avoidance of chemicals of global concern and their waste in the environment and in processes, materials and products (metric tons of toxic chemicals reduced)

Metric Tons (Expected at PIF)	Metric Tons (Expecte	d at CEO Endorsement)	Metric Tons (Achieved at MTR)	Metric Tons (Achieved at TE)
0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
Indicator 9.1 Solid and liqui	d Persistent Organic Pollutants (F	POPs) removed or disposed (POPs	type)	
POPs type	Metric Tons (Expected at PIF)	Metric Tons (Expected at Endorsement)	CEO Metric Tons (Achieved at MTR)	Metric Tons (Achieved at TE)
Select		0.00		
Indicator 9.2 Quantity of me	ercury reduced (metric tons)			
Metric Tons (Expected at PIF)	Metric Tons (Expected at	CEO Endorsement)	Metric Tons (Achieved at MTR)	Metric Tons (Achieved at TE)
Indicator 9 3 Hydrochlorof	uracarbans (HCFC) Reduced/Pha	used out (metric tons)		
·	urocarbons (HCFC) Reduced/Pha Metric Tons (Expected at		Metric Tons (Achieved at MTR)	Metric Tons (Achieved at TE)
Metric Tons (Expected at PIF)	Metric Tons (Expected at	CEO Endorsement)	Metric Tons (Achieved at MTR) and waste (Use this sub-indicator in addition to o	
Metric Tons (Expected at PIF) Indicator 9.4 Number of cou	Metric Tons (Expected at	ECEO Endorsement)	· · · ·	
Metric Tons (Expected at PIF) Indicator 9.4 Number of cou 9.3 if applicable)	Metric Tons (Expected at	ECEO Endorsement)	and waste (Use this sub-indicator in addition to o	one of the sub-indicators 9.1, 9.2 and
Metric Tons (Expected at PIF) Indicator 9.4 Number of cou 9.3 if applicable) Number (Expected at PIF)	Metric Tons (Expected at Intries with legislation and policy Number (Expected at 1 y-chemical/non-chemical systems i	E CEO Endorsement) implemented to control chemicals CEO Endorsement)	and waste (Use this sub-indicator in addition to o	one of the sub-indicators 9.1, 9.2 and Number (Achieved at TE)

Indicator 9.6 Quantity of POPs/Mercury containing materials and products directly avoided

Metric Tons (Expected at PIF)	Metric Tons (Exp	pected at CEO Endorsement)	Metric Tons (A	chieved at MTR)	Metr	ic Tons (Achieved at TE)
Indicator 10 Reduction, avoida	nce of emissions of POP	to air from point and non-point sources	(grams of toxic equivalen	t gTEQ)		
Grams of toxic equivalent gTEQ (Expected at PIF)	Grams of toxic CEO Endorsen	equivalent gTEQ (Expected at nent)	Grams of toxic eq (Achieved at MTR	•		of toxic equivalent gTEQ ved at TE)
Indicator 10.1 Number of coun	tries with legislation and	policy implemented to control emission	s of POPs to air (Use this	sub-indicator in additi	on to Core I	ndicator 10 if applicable)
Number (Expected at PIF)	Number (Expected a	t CEO Endorsement)	Number (Achiev	ed at MTR)	Num	ber (Achieved at TE)
	1					
Indicator 10.2 Number of emis	sion control technologies/	practices implemented (Use this sub-ind	licator in addition to Core	Indicator 10 if applic	able)	
Number (Expected at PIF)	Number (Expected a	t CEO Endorsement)	Number (Achiev	red at MTR)	Num	ber (Achieved at TE)
	1					
Indicator 11 Number of direct	beneficiaries disaggregat	ed by gender as co-benefit of GEF inves	tment			
Number	(Expected at PIF)	Number (Expected at CEO En	dorsement) Nu	mber (Achieved at	t MTR)	Number (Achieved at TE)
Female		1,000				
Male		1,000				
Total 0		2000	0			0

Provide additional explanation on targets, other methodologies used, and other focal area specifics (i.e., Aichi targets in BD) including justification where core indicator targets are not provided

* Note for Core Indicator 9: Reports on mercury reduction (in Metric tons) as approved under the GEF-6 focal area objective. The program does not involve disposal of PCBs or obsolete pesticides and so the indicator of tons of POPs disposed of in Table E cannot be applied directly Note for Core Indicator10: As approved in the PFD, the Program directly contributes to GEF-6 Corporate Result #5 Increase in phase-out, disposal and reduction of releases of POPs, ODS, mercury and other chemicals of global concern. In line with GEF-6 Programming Directions for Chemicals and Waste and with Stockholm convention obligations, global environmental benefits as relates to POPs will accrue in particular from release reduction of unintentionally produced POPs, preliminary estimated at 12gTEQ/year using the UNEP toolkit taking into account replication, as well

as release reduction of brominated flame retardants. The program does not involve disposal of PCBs or obsolete pesticides and the indicator of tons of POPs disposed of in Table E cannot be applied directly.

Child Project?

If this is a child project under a program, describe how the components contribute to the overall program impact.

This is a child project under the overall program and has been developed within the context of implementing a regional approach to improve the management and reduce exposure to mercury and UPOPs. As designed the components of the child project contribute towards strengthening of the relevant institutional capacities for and to reduce the release of u-POPs and other toxic chemicals in the context of urban waste to help address the goal of addressing pollution management and environment health issues at the national and regional levels. The PID provides individual country level annexes detailing the component contributions and activities which are aligned with the PFD.

Stakeholders

Please provide the Stakeholder Engagement Plan or equivalent assessment.

Please see the detailed Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) included in the package. Stakeholders engagement is a key element of the project and key stakeholders are identified in the SEP.

The project's <u>primary audience</u> includes the Governments entities in particular the Ministries of Environment, Industries, Mines, Chemicals, ICT and Health as relevant, their regulatory enforcement agencies, and municipalities. They will benefit from the enhancement of policies, and development of guidelines and monitoring systems for the management of mercury and hazardous chemical waste, including e-waste.

The project's <u>secondary audience</u> will be industries, industry associations, NGOs, including CBOs, local organizations and communities affected by harmful chemicals and wastes. They will be actively involved in the design and implementation of country projects

Documents

Title

Submitted

In addition, provide a summary on how stakeholders will be consulted in project execution, the means and timing of engagement, how information will be disseminated, and an explanation of any resource requirements throughout the project/program cycle to ensure proper and meaningful stakeholder engagement.

In general, the project will work closely with community-based organizations, private sectors, NGOs and local communities as relevant, who are invested in pollution management issues, including opportunities for income generation and green job opportunities. This engagement will go beyond consultation to actively involve communities in the design and

implementation of child projects and in the learning across the Program. Special attention will be given to ensure the participation of indigenous people and local communities at the site level if applicable. It has been obvious that indigenous and local communities play a crucial role in environmental governance as traditional knowledge and practices can be used to manage and preserve natural areas as well as restore polluted or contaminated areas.

Lastly, being part of the overall program, the the regional coordination project will establish the coordination framework for the Program and will enable a sustained communication with and among Program stakeholders through stakeholder consultations at the national and regional levels to support all components

Stakeholders Engagement and Information Disclosure: A wide range of stakeholders have been consulted and their roles and responsibilities have been clearly defined in the SEP. Stakeholders will be actively involved in decision making and project implementation processes through established project implementation framework. A Communication Strategy will be prepared to keep stakeholders informed on the project progress. This will ensure appropriate project information on environmental and social risks and impacts is disclosed to stakeholders in a timely, understandable, accessible and appropriate manner format.

Select what role civil society will play in the project:

Consulted only; Yes

Member of Advisory Body; Contractor;

Co-financier;

Member of project steering committee or equivalent decision-making body;

Executor or co-executor;

Other (Please explain) Yes

1.Local NGO will be selected to collaborate with the municipalities to supervise implementation of the project. The Environment and Classified Establishments Directorate (Division de l'Environment et des Etablissements Classés - DEEC) is responsible for implementing Government policy to protect the environment and the population against pollution, nuisances and hazardous waste, and in the management of environmental requirements and provisions for classified establishments and their surrounding areas. In this regard, the DEEC will be Implementing authority under the supervision of municipalities in collaboration with a local NGO.

2. The Project will identify relevant partners such as private companies specialized in waste management, NGOs, etc., and develop a business model that would ensure the capitalization of waste management experiences and sustainability of the accumulated knowledge.

3. In addition the beneficiary municipalities, together with the relevant authorities, will mobilize the communities and the necessary logistical resources to eradicate the recurrent and illegal waste dump sites in their areas in order to permanently eliminate the sources of pollution. This will lead to citizen engagement and have the advantage of raising people's awareness of their responsibilities in terms of preservation of their living environment and prevention of environmental health risks.

4.

Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment

Provide the gender analysis or equivalent socio-economic assessment.

The SEP and Environment and Social Management Framework (ESMF) capture the gender considerations for the project and are attached to the package. As the specific sites are confirmed during the first year, site specific gender analysis as part of the socio economic assessment will be conducted.

Documents

Title

Submitted

Does the project expect to include any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and women empowerment?

Yes

If yes, please upload document or equivalent here

The project as designed will provide opportunities for women to increase benefits and minimize health risks. As mentioned above, it will undertake site specific gender analysis as part of the socio-economic assessment (once the site selection is confirmed); will highlight best practices in integrating gender aspects in "empowerment" activities; and will help improve their livelihoods and scope of decision making. Specifically, under component 2, gender considerations will be integrated as part of the policy dialogue to build women's capacity to actively participate and have a voice in key decision-making, while providing dialogue platforms that are inclusive and action-oriented. Under components 1 and 3, the project will address various gender gaps in access to information (e.g. on safety measures, adoption to cleaner technology, availability of training and other public programs) and opportunities for decent work terms and conditions.

Women have the potential to play an important role in behavioral change that could significantly reduce exposure of children to hazardous environment, and can therefore play an important role in changing health seeking behavior, including mitigation of health impacts due to lead poisoning. Thus, the project has a strong emphasis on inclusion of women in

the sensitization and communication campaign, participation in the health interventions that target affected children, and local level nutritional support, livelihood support activities. In addition, the project will build upon selected municipalities that have already implemented a number of initiatives targeting groups such as women headed households, the elderly, the disabled and youth. The project will provide special attention to these groups with dedicated grant opportunities under subcomponent 3.2 and targeted sensitization and education campaigns.

If possible, indicate in which results area(s) the project is expected to contribute to gender equality:

Closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources;

Improving women's participation and decision making Yes

Generating socio-economic benefits or services or women Yes

Does the project's results framework or logical framework include gender-sensitive indicators?

No

While the project does not include a specific indicator for gender action, several activities as relevant will measure success and report results disaggregated by gender.

PART III: Certification by GEF partner agency(ies)

A. GEF Agency(ies) certification

GEF Agency Coordinator	Date	Project Contact Person	Telephone	Email
Shaanti Kapila	4/16/2020	Gayatri Kanungo	2024587870	skapila@worldbank.org

ANNEX A: STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECT PREPARATION ACTIVITIES AND THE USE OF FUNDS.

A. Provide detailed funding amount of the PPG activities financing status in the table below:

N/A

ANNEX B: CALENDAR OF EXPECTED REFLOWS (if non-grant instrument is used)

Provide a calendar of expected reflows to the GEF/LDCF/SCCF/CBIT Trust Funds or to your Agency (and/or revolving fund that will be set up)

N/A

ANNEX C: GEF 7 Core Indicator Worksheet

Use this Worksheet to compute those indicator values as required in Part I, Table G to the extent applicable to your proposed project. Progress in programming against these targets for the program will be aggregated and reported at any time during the replenishment period. There is no need to complete this table for climate adaptation projects financed solely through LDCF and SCCF.

GEF 7 Core Indicator Worksheet for Senegal attached

ANNEX: Project Taxonomy Worksheet

Use this Worksheet to list down the taxonomic information required under Part1 by ticking the most relevant keywords/topics//themes that best describes the project

GEF 7 Taxonomy Worksheet for Senegal attached

Submitted to GEF Secretariat Review

Go To Home