

# Development of National Action Plan for the Artisanal and Small-Scale Gold Mining Sector in Cambodia

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

## Basic project information

**GEF ID**

10922

**Countries**

Cambodia

**Project Name**

Development of National Action Plan for the Artisanal and Small-Scale Gold Mining Sector in Cambodia

**Agencies**

UNEP

**Date received by PM**

2/7/2022

**Review completed by PM**

3/17/2022

**Program Manager**

Yuki Shiga

**Focal Area**

Chemicals and Waste

**Project Type**

EA

## **Expedited Enabling Activity req (CEO) ☐**

**Part 1: Project Information****Focal area elements**

**Is the enabling activity aligned with the relevant GEF focal area elements as indicated in Table A and as defined by the GEF 7 Programming Directions?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Yes. This is an enabling activity for the Minamata Convention.

**Agency Response****Project description summary**

**Is the project structure/design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs as in Table B and described in the project document?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes.

**Agency Response****Co-financing**

**Are the confirmed expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented, with supporting evidence and a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified [and meets the definition of investment mobilized, and a description of any major changes from PIF, consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines?]**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request No co-financing is required for this EA.

Agency Response  
**GEF Resource Availability**

**Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines?**

**Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request** Yes.

Agency Response  
**Are they within the resources available from:  
The STAR allocation?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response  
**The focal area allocation?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes. It is within the recommended budget of \$500,000.

Agency Response  
**The LDCF under the principle of equitable access**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response  
**The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response  
**Focal area set-aside?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response  
**Is the financing presented adequate and demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objectives?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes.

Agency Response

**Part 2: Enabling Activity Justification**

**Background and Context.**

**Are the achievements of previously implemented enabling activities cited since the country(ies) became a party to the Convention?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request This is the first National Action Plan for ASGM.

Agency Response

**Goals, Objectives, and Activities.**

**Is the project framework sufficiently described?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

2022.3.17

Cleared.

---

The Component 2 said "the NAP will identify potential negative social impacts of their implementation as livelihoods impairment and will identify alternatives to avoid these negative impacts". The CEO Endorsement, however, does not include any information about the project's overall ESS risk, nor Safeguard Risk Identification Form (SRIF) is attached. Please provide ESS screening information of the project. Or if this EA does not lend itself to ESS, for example as it focuses primarily on desk studies and report preparation, please submit an email stating the non-applicability of the ESS to this EA.

Agency Response

15 March 2022

ESS information for the project has been uploaded to the portal.

**Stakeholders.**

**Does the project include detailed report on stakeholders engaged during the design phase?**

**Is there an adequate stakeholder engagement plan or equivalent documentation for the implementation phase, with information on Stakeholders who will be engaged, the means of engagement, and dissemination of information?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes

Agency Response

**Gender equality and women's empowerment.**

**Has the gender analysis been completed? Did the gender analysis identify any gender differences, gaps or opportunities linked to project/program objectives and activities? If so, does the project/program include gender-responsive activities, gender-sensitive indicators and expected results?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes

Agency Response

**Monitoring and Evaluation.**

**Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes

Agency Response

**Cost Effectiveness.**

**Is the project cost effective?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes

Agency Response

**Cost Ranges**

**If there was a deviation in the cost range, was this explained?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

2022.3.17

Cleared.

---

Budget table: the items Operational costs, computer-fax-photocopier-projector, software, and communications (yellow highlighted below) have to be charged to PMC.

Agency Response

15 March 2022

Budget table has been revised to reflect the appropriate charges against project components and PMC.

**Part III. Endorsement/Approval by OFP**

**Country endorsement**

**Has the project been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF database?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes

Agency Response  
**Response to Comments**

**Are all the comments adequately responded to? (only as applicable)**

GEF Secretariat Comment

Agency Response  
**Other Agencies comments?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response  
**Council comments**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response  
**STAP Comments**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response  
**Convention Secretariat comments**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

CSOs comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

GEFSEC DECISION

RECOMMENDATION

Is CEO Endorsement/approval recommended?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

2022.3.17

This EA is recommended for technical clearance.

Not yet. Please refer to the review items and resubmit for consideration (please highlight the change).

Review Dates

	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
First Review	2/24/2022	
Additional Review (as necessary)	3/17/2022	
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		

CEO Recommendation

Brief reasoning for CEO Recommendations