



GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project

Part I: Project Information

Name of Parent Program

Global Wildlife Program

GEF ID

10647

Project Type

FSP

Type of Trust Fund

GET

CBIT/NGI

CBIT

NGI

Project Title

GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project

Countries

Global

Agency(ies)

World Bank

Other Executing Partner(s)

World Bank

Executing Partner Type

GEF Agency

GEF Focal Area

Biodiversity

Taxonomy

Focal Areas, Sustainable Development Goals, Biodiversity, Mainstreaming, Tourism, Species, Wildlife for Sustainable Development, Illegal Wildlife Trade, Threatened Species, Protected Areas and Landscapes, Terrestrial Protected Areas, Community Based Natural Resource Mngt, Coastal and Marine Protected Areas, Productive Landscapes, Forest, SMEs, Private Sector, Local Communities, Partnership, Type of Engagement, Strategic Communications, Communications, Stakeholders, Academia, Civil Society, Adaptive management, Learning, Enabling Activities, Seminar, Knowledge Generation, Exhibit, Capacity, Knowledge and Research, North-South, Knowledge Exchange, Forestry - Including HCVF and REDD+, Influencing models, Transform policy and regulatory environments, Strengthen institutional capacity and decision-making, Demonstrate innovative approach, Convene multi-stakeholder alliances, Individuals/Entrepreneurs, Financial intermediaries and market facilitators, Beneficiaries, Community Based Organization, Non-Governmental Organization, Consultation, Information Dissemination, Participation, Education, Awareness Raising, Behavior change, Gender Equality, Gender Mainstreaming, Sex-disaggregated indicators, Gender results areas, Participation and leadership, Capacity Development, Peer-to-Peer, Field Visit, South-South, Conference, Theory of change, Workshop, Training, Innovation

Rio Markers**Climate Change Mitigation**

Climate Change Mitigation 1

Climate Change Adaptation

Climate Change Adaptation 1

Submission Date

2/16/2021

Expected Implementation Start

4/1/2021

Expected Completion Date

3/31/2026

Duration

60In Months

Agency Fee(\$)

825,687.00

A. FOCAL/NON-FOCAL AREA ELEMENTS

Objectives/Programs	Focal Area Outcomes	Trust Fund	GEF Amount(\$)	Co-Fin Amount(\$)
BD-1-2a	Mainstream biodiversity across sectors as well as landscapes and seascapes through global wildlife program to prevent extinction of known threatened species	GET	4,587,157.00	10,000,000.00
BD-1-2b	Mainstream biodiversity across sectors as well as landscapes and seascapes through Global wildlife program for sustainable development	GET	4,587,156.00	10,000,000.00
Total Project Cost(\$)			9,174,313.00	20,000,000.00

B. Project description summary

Project Objective

To enhance knowledge and coordination services to promote wildlife-based economic development and combat illegal wildlife trade.

Project Component	Component Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
--------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Project Component	Component Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
Component 1-Wildlife-based Economy (WBE)	Technical Assistance	Improved knowledge, enabling policy environment and engagement for WBE Increased partnerships for joint action to stimulate WBE.	Presentations made at global/regional events to promote WBE Regional technical meetings/workshops conducted (including at least one in Asia and Latin America) to promote stronger cross country collaborations Analytics to focus on conservation economics, legal/regulatory assessments governing NBT in countries to stimulate new sector investments Knowledge exchange events and consultative meetings on successful PPPs delivered to promote WBE Support financing and technical assistance (needs based assessment) for private sector leverage and rollout in WBE sector WBE joint partnerships developed	GET	3,342,541.00	5,000,000.00

Project Component	Component Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
Component 2- Illegal Wildlife Trade (IWT)	Technical Assistance	<p>Strengthened ability of GWP countries to leverage anti-money laundering tools to combat wildlife crime</p> <p>Enhanced donor coordination at global and regional levels to combat IWT</p> <p>Reduced demand for illegal wildlife products</p>	<p>Targeted AML trainings and technical assistance activities delivered</p> <p>IWT donor roundtable activities (donor meetings, Wildlife Forum, database of donor funded projects etc) conducted.</p> <p>Consultative meetings to develop regional level partnerships on IWT issues</p> <p>Targeted collaborative awareness campaigns for demand reduction completed (virtual and in-person)</p> <p>Targeted trainings (virtual and inperson) delivered on priority IWT themes and Demand Reduction to induce behavior change</p>	GET	3,705,810.00	12,000,000.00

Project Component	Component Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
Component 3- Program Coordination and Management	Technical Assistance	Improved coordination and monitoring of GWP at national and program levels	Annual progress report developed and submitted Regional technical meetings held regularly with national project teams Communication strategy updated and implemented	GET	1,734,497.00	2,000,000.00
		Increased access to relevant knowledge products relating to WBE/IWT issues				
		Increased awareness of applicable technologies	Develop and deliver communication products (project profiles, newsletter, feature stories, blogs etc) and trainings for national project teams.			
Sub Total (\$)					8,782,848.00	19,000,000.00

Project Management Cost (PMC)

GET	391,465.00	1,000,000.00
Sub Total(\$)	391,465.00	1,000,000.00
Total Project Cost(\$)	9,174,313.00	20,000,000.00

C. Sources of Co-financing for the Project by name and by type

Sources of Co-financing	Name of Co-financier	Type of Co-financing	Investment Mobilized	Amount(\$)
Others	ICCWC	Grant	Investment mobilized	20,000,000.00
Total Co-Financing(\$)				20,000,000.00

Describe how any "Investment Mobilized" was identified

INVESTMENT MOBILIZED INCLUDES FUNDS SECURED BY THE INTERNATIONAL CONSORTIUM TO COMBAT WILDLIFE CRIME (ICCWC) THROUGH GRANTS FROM EUROPEAN UNION (EU) (EUR 13,500,000), GERMANY (EUR 400,000) AND THE UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND (UK) (GBP 4,000,000), WHICH IN ADDITION TO THE SUPPORT PROVIDED BY FRANCE AND THE PRINCIPALITY OF MONACO (EUR 85,000 AND EUR 200,000) IN 2017, PROVIDES A TOTAL INVESTMENT OF A FURTHER 20 MILLION USD FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ICCWC STRATEGIC PROGRAMME 2016-2020.

D. Trust Fund Resources Requested by Agency(ies), Country(ies), Focal Area and the Programming of Funds

Agency	Trust Fund	Country	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	Amount(\$)	Fee(\$)
World Bank	GET	Global	Biodiversity	BD Global/Regional Set-Aside	9,174,313	825,687
Total Grant Resources(\$)					9,174,313.00	825,687.00

E. Non Grant Instrument

NON-GRANT INSTRUMENT at CEO Endorsement

Includes Non grant instruments? **No**

Includes reflow to GEF? **No**

F. Project Preparation Grant (PPG)

PPG Required

PPG Amount (\$)

PPG Agency Fee (\$)

Agency	Trust Fund	Country	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	Amount(\$)	Fee(\$)
Total Project Costs(\$)					0.00	0.00

Core Indicators

Indicator 1 Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management for conservation and sustainable use

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Indicator 1.1 Terrestrial Protected Areas Newly created

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Total Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Total Ha (Achieved at TE)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Name of the Protected Area	WDP A ID	IUCN Category	Total Ha (Expected at PIF)	Total Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Total Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Total Ha (Achieved at TE)
----------------------------	----------	---------------	----------------------------	--	----------------------------	---------------------------

Indicator 1.2 Terrestrial Protected Areas Under improved Management effectiveness

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Total Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Total Ha (Achieved at TE)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Name of the Protected Area	WDP A ID	IUCN Category	Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Total Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Total Ha (Achieved at TE)	METT score (Baseline at CEO Endorsement)	METT score (Achieved at MTR)	METT score (Achieved at TE)
Akula National Park	125689	Select		0.00			0.00		

Indicator 3 Area of land restored

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Indicator 3.1 Area of degraded agricultural land restored

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
-----------------------------	---	-----------------------------	----------------------------

Indicator 3.2 Area of Forest and Forest Land restored

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
-----------------------------	---	-----------------------------	----------------------------

Indicator 3.3 Area of natural grass and shrublands restored

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
-----------------------------	---	-----------------------------	----------------------------

Indicator 3.4 Area of wetlands (incl. estuaries, mangroves) restored

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
-----------------------------	---	-----------------------------	----------------------------

Indicator 4 Area of landscapes under improved practices (hectares; excluding protected areas)

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Indicator 4.1 Area of landscapes under improved management to benefit biodiversity (hectares, qualitative assessment, non-certified)

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
-----------------------------	---	-----------------------------	----------------------------

Indicator 4.2 Area of landscapes that meets national or international third party certification that incorporates biodiversity considerations (hectares)

Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
Type/Name of Third Party Certification			
Indicator 4.3 Area of landscapes under sustainable land management in production systems			
Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)
Indicator 4.4 Area of High Conservation Value Forest (HCVF) loss avoided			
Ha (Expected at PIF)	Ha (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Ha (Achieved at MTR)	Ha (Achieved at TE)

Documents (Please upload document(s) that justifies the HCVF)

Title	Submitted

Indicator 6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Mitigated

Total Target Benefit	(At PIF)	(At CEO Endorsement)	(Achieved at MTR)	(Achieved at TE)
Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (direct)	0	0	0	0
Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (indirect)	0	0	0	0

Indicator 6.1 Carbon Sequestered or Emissions Avoided in the AFOLU (Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use) sector

Total Target Benefit	(At PIF)	(At CEO Endorsement)	(Achieved at MTR)	(Achieved at TE)
Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (direct)				
Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (indirect)				
Anticipated start year of accounting				
Duration of accounting				

Indicator 6.2 Emissions Avoided Outside AFOLU (Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use) Sector

Total Target Benefit	(At PIF)	(At CEO Endorsement)	(Achieved at MTR)	(Achieved at TE)

Total Target Benefit	(At PIF)	(At CEO Endorsement)	(Achieved at MTR)	(Achieved at TE)
Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (direct)				
Expected metric tons of CO ₂ e (indirect)				
Anticipated start year of accounting				
Duration of accounting				

Indicator 6.3 Energy Saved (Use this sub-indicator in addition to the sub-indicator 6.2 if applicable)

Total Target Benefit	Energy (MJ) (At PIF)	Energy (MJ) (At CEO Endorsement)	Energy (MJ) (Achieved at MTR)	Energy (MJ) (Achieved at TE)
Target Energy Saved (MJ)				

Indicator 6.4 Increase in Installed Renewable Energy Capacity per Technology (Use this sub-indicator in addition to the sub-indicator 6.2 if applicable)

Technology	Capacity (MW) (Expected at PIF)	Capacity (MW) (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Capacity (MW) (Achieved at MTR)	Capacity (MW) (Achieved at TE)



Indicator 11 Number of direct beneficiaries disaggregated by gender as co-benefit of GEF investment

	Number (Expected at PIF)	Number (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Number (Achieved at MTR)	Number (Achieved at TE)
Female		410,719		
Male		410,469		
Total	0	821188	0	0

Part II. Project Justification

1b. Project Map and Coordinates

Please provide geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions will take place.



Note: Map is based on official WBG cartographic guidelines for country borders and is prepared to reflect the countries participating under the GWP. Specific sites of intervention in each country will be part of national country projects.

2. Stakeholders

Please provide the Stakeholder Engagement Plan or equivalent assessment.

The project developed a Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) which details the relevant stakeholders, methods of engagement and accountability mechanisms during the design and implementation of the GWP Coordination Project (please see Annex H to the CER and Annex 3 of the Project Document). The GWP consists of a diversified group of stakeholders it will support, including staff from government agencies, GEF Implementing Agencies and other specialized organizations building upon the far-reaching network of stakeholders at the local, national, regional and international levels. The Global Coordination grant will seek to influence the behavior, actions, investments and knowledge of diverse stakeholder groups from these private, public and non-governmental sectors (roles and responsibilities are elaborated in the SEP). At the Global Coordination project level, the focus will be to utilize existing forums, coalitions and key partners programs, as opportunities to consult and engage to deliver on the activities.

Table 1: Summary of Key Stakeholders

Stakeholder	Roles and Responsibilities
Program Steering Committee (PSC)	The GWP PSC includes the program GEF implementing agencies and some of the leading international NGOs and intergovernmental organizations engaged in combating IWT. The PSC provides strategic guidance, stakeholder coordination, knowledge management, communications, and M&E. Engagement will include regular PSC meetings, targeted communications, direct dialogue through formal and informal means.
National project teams	There are 37 national project teams from 32 countries across Africa, Asia, and Latin America that are part of the program. These team members implement the national projects and participate in the GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project activities. Engagement will include through annual conference, regional and thematic-events, knowledge exchanges, technical assistance, regional workshops, study tours, and surveys
Indigenous Peoples, Local communities	Beneficiaries of project activities, potentially affected by project implementation will be engaged by the national project teams. Targeted knowledge products, communications, and collaboration with other organizations working with indigenous peoples and local communities.

International donors	During GEF-6 GWP implementation, collaboration with over 24 international donors occurred to share data on donor-funded IWT projects and to document lessons learned through case studies and storymaps. The GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project will expand on these efforts to promote dialogue with international donors through periodic virtual meetings, regional dialogues, and a wildlife forum.
Private Sector	Tourism concessionaires are the main private operators of lodges and other enterprises that support WBE activities. The private sector entities are critical to job creation, current and future investments in conservation areas. Financial and transport companies also play an important role in the IWT supply chain, including in potential risk mitigation and enforcement actions. Engagement will include participation in thematic events, knowledge sharing, investment (PPP) collaboration, and virtual events. Direct dialogue, surveys.
World Bank	Lead agency of the GEF's GWP.
Other stakeholders	There are countless other stakeholders engaged in IWT and WBE. The GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project will collaborate with other stakeholders through targeted knowledge exchanges, community of practice initiatives, communications through the GWP website, project updates and communications.

In addition, provide a summary on how stakeholders will be consulted in project execution, the means and timing of engagement, how information will be disseminated, and an explanation of any resource requirements throughout the project/program cycle to ensure proper and meaningful stakeholder engagement

At the Global Coordination project level, the focus will be to utilize existing forums, coalitions and key partners programs, as opportunities to consult and engage to deliver on the activities.

At the national level, government commitment and ownership is central to the success and sustainability of the overall program. As a result, the global project will provide a platform to engage as relevant branches of government including the Executive, the Legislative, the Judiciary and Ministries of Justice, Finance, Tourism, Defense, Planning and Natural Resource Management, to name just a few. Working with law enforcement and protected area agencies with jurisdiction over the species and their habitats, rural communities dependent on natural resources for their livelihoods, the transportation networks illegal wildlife travels within, the borders it crosses and the court systems the criminals are brought before, is critical.

The project will also work closely, often through the national projects, with community-based organizations and local communities, who are invested in the sustainable management of biodiversity, including wildlife, and the income and job opportunities that it provides. The project will also work with national and international non-governmental organizations (NGOs) who will be a key part of the delivery of Program activities. These entities include traditional environmental and conservation organizations, tourism entities, business leaders, religious leader, celebrities, marketing firms and

advocacy organizations with established expertise in wildlife management, community development, and deterring wildlife crime. Refer to Annex H of the CER for more details.

Select what role civil society will play in the project:

Consulted only;

Member of Advisory Body; Contractor; Yes

Co-financier;

Member of project steering committee or equivalent decision-making body; Yes

Executor or co-executor; Yes

Other (Please explain)

3. Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment

Provide the gender analysis or equivalent socio-economic assesment.

The GWP is aligned with both the World Bank Gender Equality strategy and the GEF Policy on Gender Mainstreaming and recognizes that efforts toward achieving gender equality are important and critical. In the broader environment/natural resource management realm, GEF identifies three critical gender gaps in its "Guidance to Advance Gender Equality in GEF Projects and Programs" (GEF, 2018) : (i) Unequal access to and control over natural resources; (ii) Unbalanced participation and decision-making in environmental planning and governance at all levels and (iii) Uneven access to socio-economic benefits and services. These gender gap areas as relevant to the country context and scope will be considered by the GWP national child projects teams and, also at the GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination project which recognizes the need to understand gender roles and targeted gender-responsive interventions in the areas of policy, capacity and knowledge. These have been summarized in the gender strategy note developed under the project (please refer to Annex I of this CER/GEF data sheet).

In all the GWP national child projects, both women and men make crucial contributions in the forest landscapes as farmers, workers, processors and entrepreneurs, and yet women are seldom recognized for doing so, much less empowered to shift toward more sustainable practices. Women represent a potentially large share of the beneficiaries of the GWP national projects, directly or indirectly benefitting from actions aimed at improved natural resources management. Youth, indigenous people, and some ethnic groups also often face multiple gender gaps and capacity constraints limiting more equitable benefit-sharing. Each GWP national child project will carry out specific gender analyses and develop a gender action plan to include specified gender outcomes and actions, with

targeted participatory activities that address project-specific gender gaps, and indicators to monitor progress towards gender outcomes. The GWP Global Coordination project's gender support will focus on initiatives that will assist CPs in understanding and achieving gender objectives, including trainings, knowledge products, and communication efforts towards increasing the number of commitments and initiatives aimed at promoting gender equality linked illegal wildlife management and natural resources management.

At the global level, it will support equal representation at international events, and catalyze joint studies as relevant, of cross-cutting key gender issues in conservation and landscape investment projects (e.g., role of women in forest sectors, success stories of the benefits of greater inclusion in design/implementation). For example, for each knowledge management event, GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project actively strives to have women leaders represented either as the event chair, presenter, and/or discussant. Under GEF-6, in January 2019, GWP participated in the Women in Nature Network (WiNN) India event that is part of the all-women's network to support and empower women in nature conservation. The GWP may support similar participation that will allow participants with an opportunity to learn from leading female conservationists from around the world about the important role of women in protected-area management and ways to increase their active contributions. Gender-related weaknesses in capacity exist at all levels ? community to jurisdictional to national and international. As the knowledge platform the Project can play a key role in catalyzing and assisting in the development and implementation of targeted workshops and training materials that target gender gaps. For example, develop targeted guidance notes and short training materials (e.g. videos), promote and synthesize lessons and guidance re: gender-responsive actions or best practices re: engagement of women in the market process in a more sustainable manner, facilitate relevant workshops and on-demand basis training events, field visits, knowledge products, and meaningful communication efforts (e.g. inspirational personal stories aimed at sharing best practices) in better understanding and achieving gender objectives within the national projects.

Does the project expect to include any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and women empowerment?

Yes

Closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources;

Improving women's participation and decision making Yes

Generating socio-economic benefits or services or women

Does the project's results framework or logical framework include gender-sensitive indicators?

Yes

4. Private sector engagement

Elaborate on the private sector's engagement in the project, if any.

The **tourism sector** is the largest, global, market-based contributor to financing protected area systems. Despite its importance, the travel and tourism sector is prone to external shocks that can significantly impact its performance. For example, it was one of the worse hit sectors impacted by the COVID-19 crisis with massive decreases on revenues and employment, and reduced benefits generated by communities and governments. The complete health, social, and economic fallout of the halt of travel by governments globally is still unknown but it has been devastating for many large companies and may force many smaller businesses to cease operations. A renewed and focused effort is key to get private sector partners to consider WBE sector investments across sectors and GWP countries.

Given the role of the private sector providing the means by which contraband is trafficked, their engagement is critical to the success of the overall program. The GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project will engage as relevant with private sector actors, particularly in the tourism, finance, and health sectors. Engagement will focus on PPP, anti-money laundering technical assistance, and cross-sector engagement to reduce demand for wildlife and wildlife products that are illegally sourced and traded. Engagement with private sector tourism operators in Africa will not only include participation in knowledge sharing, investment forum, and other similar events but also may include activities supported through the proposed finance platform under consideration in partnership with the IFC (subcomponent 1.2). On IWT, the GWP will continue to engage with the United for Wildlife Financial Task Force, which is mostly made up of commercial banks that are committed to combating IWT. These private financial institutions are committed to use their existing infrastructure and financial intelligence efforts by actively monitoring for and investigating suspicious activity to support law enforcement in efforts to bring down IWT criminal syndicates. Private sector input will include engagement for the feasibility assessments (subcomponent 1.2) that will bring private sector stakeholders together, including financial and insurance market participants to share insights into potential additional sources of funding to support WBE. Private sector companies will also be engaged for the technology database and pilot tests (subcomponent 3.3). The GWP will collaborate with private sector to make links between the importance of thriving, live animals for their success and the role of rural communities in wildlife management (in the case of tourism), and the impotence of wildlife parts or products to cure disease or illness (in the case of the health sector).

5. Environmental and Social Safeguard (ESS) Risks

Provide information on the identified environmental and social risks and potential impacts associated with the project/program based on your organization's ESS systems and procedures

Overall Project/Program Risk Classification*

PIF	CEO Endorsement/Approval	MTR	TE
Low			

Measures to address identified risks and impacts

Elaborate on the types and risk classifications/ratings of any identified environmental and social risks and impacts (considering the GEF ESS Minimum Standards) and any

measures undertaken as well as planned management measures to address these risks during implementation.

Please refer to the Risk mitigation matrix in the submitted Project Document for details. The ESF note is also provided in the package.

COVID risk and mitigation

Risk: COVID-19 pandemic has the potential to pull time and attention away from environment and climate issues at country and global level. In the short run, it could delay submission and implementation of national projects, undermining the ability of the GWP to fulfill its objectives.

Mitigation: The pandemic reinforces the importance of the GWP to secure wildlife and wild habitats and mitigate risks from habitat fragmentation and climate change. The GWP has several important features that contribute to the medium-term pandemic response: Investment at the protected area/landscape level will retain and create jobs in biodiversity rich countries, increasing resilience of rural communities, and help reduce risks of illegal trade and associated corruption. The GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project design and budget allow for flexibility in the balance of activities to be completed to allow for virtual and in-person activities, including on a multi-country/regional basis. The COVID-19 crisis has impacted the GEF-6 GWP Global Coordination Project and all the national projects. The GEFSEC, with support from the GEF agencies, has conducted a preliminary assessment of near to medium term impacts on individual projects. At the global project level, all in-person meetings were postponed, and activities are now conducted virtually. As travel is not currently allowed and remains uncertain, global project costs that were budgeted to cover participant costs have been repurposed to support analytical work that can be carried out virtually this fiscal year. These planned studies were fast-tracked to prepare for in-person activities that can be conducted in 2021 and beyond. Coordination and knowledge exchange events have continued virtually as this has been the approach generally used to connect with donors and countries since 2015. Budget allocation process will take an adaptive management approach. The budget allows for flexibility between analytical work, support to technical staff, and other direct costs that can be incurred earlier in implementation of the GEF-7 project while larger events can be conducted later in implementation when travel restrictions are expected to be lifted. The increased support at the regional level (WBE and IWT) is also expected to allow for more in-person activities to resume within countries in a specific region sooner than at the global level. As needed, some funds can be front-loaded for analytical and virtual activities until in-person events at the regional or global level resume. Although addressing COVID-19 impacts on project design and implementation is an issue addressed at the national level and directly with the GEF Agency, analytical tools, knowledge management and coordination products developed by the global project can be shared with national projects to help them assess and adopt their approaches. Please refer to Annex 8 (COVID19 Implications for the GEF-7 Global Wildlife Program of the Project document).

Supporting Documents

Upload available ESS supporting documents.

Title

Module

Submitted

**ESF-Note on Global Platform
Bank Executed ASA-June2020**

CEO Endorsement ESS

ANNEX A: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK (either copy and paste here the framework from the Agency document, or provide reference to the page in the project document where the framework could be found).

Please see the Project Results Framework (Annex 5 in the Project Document) and its Theory of Change (Figure 2 Pg 12-13 of the Project Document):

Project Development Objectives(s)

The project development objective is to enhance knowledge and coordination services to promote wildlife-based economic development and combat illegal wildlife trade

Project Development Objective Indicators

RESULT_FRAME_TBL_PDO

Indicator Name	PBC	Baseline	End Target
Enhance knowledge and coordination services to promote wildlife-based economic development and combat illegal wildlife trade			
Indicator 1: Percentage of national projects using GWP tools and resources in country activities		0.00	80.00
Indicator 2: Percentage of survey respondents rating coordination services as satisfactory or above		0.00	80.00

Intermediate Results Indicators by Components

Indicator Name	PBC	Baseline	End Target
Component 1: Wildlife-based Economy (WBE)			
Improved knowledge, enabling policy environment and engagement for WBE			
Indicator 1.1: Presentations made at global/regional events to promote WBE (number)		0.00	8.00
Increased partnerships for joint action to stimulate WBE			

Indicator Name	PBC	Baseline	End Target
Indicator 1.2: Knowledge exchange events on PPPs delivered to promote WBE (number)		0.00	3.00
Indicator 1.3: Deployment of investment platform to support financing and technical assistance		0.00	1.00
Component 2: Reduce Illegal Wildlife Trade (IWT)			
Strengthened ability of GWP countries to leverage anti-money laundering tools to combat wildlife crime			
Indicator 2.1: Targeted AML and/or anti-corruption trainings and technical assistance activities delivered (#)[1] ¹		0.00	12.00
Enhanced donor coordination at global and regional levels to combat IWT			
Indicator 2.2: IWT donor roundtable activities conducted[2] ² (number)		0.00	16.00
Reduced demand for illegal wildlife products through collaborative partnerships			
Indicator 2.3: Development of new tools and resources		0.00	3.00
Indicator 2.4: Targeted collaborative awareness campaigns for demand reduction completed (#)[3] ³		0.00	2.00
Component 3: Strengthen Program coordination and management			
Improved coordination and monitoring of GWP at national and program levels			

Indicator Name	PBC	Baseline	End Target
Indicator 3.1: Annual progress report[4] ⁴ developed and submitted (number)		0.00	5.00
Increased access to relevant knowledge products relating to WBE/IWT issues			
Indicator 3.2: Regional meetings held with national project teams (number)		0.00	30.00

Two levels of indicators are considered for the GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project. This includes the PDO and the intermediate indicators. The PDO indicators are the higher-level indicators used to assess if the key project development outcomes are achieved. The intermediate indicators measure shorter-medium-term activities and outputs that are critical to achieve the desired project outcomes. These indicators allow for the monitoring and evaluation of actual results that can be compared versus planned results to track performance. M&E indicators, targets and plan was developed keeping in mind GEF core indicators and reporting requirements, GEF agency reporting requirements, and issues related to data type, quality, timeframe, and resources required to track and report on indicators. A mix of quantitative and qualitative methods were considered to encompass the activities the GEF=7 GWP Global Coordination Project is engaged in. Insights from implementation of the GWP during GEF-6 and GWP national project feedback was also considered in the design of the M&E plan for the GEF-7 project.

Monitoring & Evaluation Plan: PDO Indicators

Indicator Name	Definition/Description	Frequency	Data source	Methodology Data Collection	Responsibility for Data Collection
<p>Indicator One:</p> <p>Percentage of national projects using GWP tools and resources in country activities</p>	<p>The indicator measures the effectiveness of the GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project in its knowledge management function per perception of the national project teams. National project representatives will rate knowledge products provided by the Project and uptake at the national level.</p>	Annual	Perception survey	Online surveys conducted following completion of knowledge activity or launch of knowledge product.	GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project M&E function

<p>Indicator Two:</p> <p>Percentage of survey respondents rating coordination services as satisfactory or above</p>	<p>The indicator measures the effectiveness of the GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project in its coordination function per perception of the Program Steering Committee, the national project teams, donors, and regional partners.</p>	<p>Annual</p>	<p>Perception survey</p>	<p>Online surveys conducted following completion of coordination activities with target stakeholders.</p>	<p>GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project M&E function</p>
--	--	---------------	--------------------------	---	---

Monitoring & Evaluation Plan: Intermediate Results Indicators

Indicator Name	Definition/Description	Frequency	Data Source	Methodology Data Collection	Responsibility for Data Collection
Component 1: Wildlife-based Economy (WBE)					
<p>Indicator 1.1:</p> <p>Knowledge exchange events on PPPs delivered to promote WBE (number)</p>	<p>This indicator measures WBE activities that include study tours, investment forums, or other knowledge exchange of the GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project by counting the number of events completed.</p>	<p>Annual</p>	<p>Event reports</p>	<p>Review of proceedings, etc.</p>	<p>GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project M&E function</p>
<p>Indicator 1.2:</p> <p>Deployment of investment platform to support financing and technical assistance</p>	<p>This indicator measures the deployment of an investment platform that will be used to consolidate and share information on investment opportunities across conservation areas.</p>	<p>At project mid-term</p>	<p>System Completion Report</p>	<p>Test of system capability with information on pipeline of public sector assets that are ready for conservation investments</p>	<p>GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project M&E function</p>
Component 2: Reduce Illegal Wildlife Trade (IWT)					

<p>Indicator 2.1: Targeted AML and/or anti-corruption trainings and technical assistance activities delivered (#)</p>	<p>This indicator measures IWT AML and/or anti-corruption activities that include Global/Regional meetings/policy dialogues/workshops, AML training/NRA environmental crimes roll-out, and anti-corruption training or technical support of the GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project by counting the number of activities completed.</p>	<p>Annual</p>	<p>Activity reports</p>	<p>Review of activity summary reports, etc.</p>	<p>GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project M&E function</p>
<p>Indicator 2.2: IWT donor roundtable activities conducted (number)</p>	<p>This indicator measures IWT international donor coordination activities that include virtual meetings, annual wildlife forum, updates to donor project database, regional coordination meetings, and investment dissemination support of the GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project by counting the number of activities completed.</p>	<p>Annual</p>	<p>Activity reports</p>	<p>Review of activity summary reports, etc.</p>	<p>GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project M&E function</p>
<p>Indicator 2.3: Development of new tools and resources</p>	<p>This indicator measures IWT tools and knowledge resources developed that will be made available for GWP country teams by counting the number of new tools developed.</p>	<p>Annual</p>	<p>Knowledge product reports</p>	<p>Review of knowledge resource reports, etc.</p>	<p>GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project M&E function</p>

<p>Indicator 2.4: Targeted collaborative awareness campaigns for demand reduction completed (#)</p>	<p>This indicator measures number of joint campaigns led by internet and technology companies to work with national governments to target online buyers and awareness raising campaigns led by regionally based travel and tourism private sector companies and NGOs.</p>	<p>Annual</p>	<p>Campaign activity reports</p>	<p>Review of campaign reports, etc.</p>	<p>Participating NGOs</p>
<p>Component 3: Strengthen Program coordination and management</p>					
<p>Indicator 3.1: Annual progress report developed and submitted (number)</p>	<p>This indicator measures a key knowledge management and communications service of the GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project by counting the number of annual progress reports produced.</p>	<p>Annual</p>	<p>Annual report reports</p>	<p>Access annual report on GWP website</p>	<p>GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project M&E function</p>
<p>Indicator 3.2: Knowledge exchange activities completed with participation of national project teams (number)</p>	<p>This indicator measures virtual and in-person knowledge exchange events targeted at national project teams engaged in the GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project. This may include coordination and technical events, community of practice meetings, mentoring program activities, and other direct engagement. It will be measured by counting the number of activities completed.</p>	<p>Annual</p>	<p>Activity reports</p>	<p>Review of activity summary reports, etc.</p>	<p>GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project M&E function</p>

[1] AML TA activities will include implementation of the NRA environmental crimes module, assistance to private sector to develop an AML compliance program that considers the threat from environmental crimes, legislative reviews, financial investigations TA, strategic case advice, and participation in FATF or anti-corruption events.

[2] Examples of donor roundtable activities include support to national/regional level strategic donor meetings and strategic planning efforts, deployment of financial and technical resources to promote engagement with other sectors (i.e. finance, and trade), and investments to partner with regional centers of excellence.

[3] Research and campaigns will be conducted in partnership with the PSC and GWP countries, as well as other partners active in the participating country. Focus will be on engagement with private sector entities in the technology and travel/tourism sectors and engaging new ministries to tackle IWT enforcement and consumption challenges. Support may include strengthening the wildlife pillar of the One Health approach by supporting research on effective wildlife disease monitoring systems and wildlife health intelligence; and tools to support countries with enforcement of bans to curb illegal wildlife trade.

[4] Includes aggregate reporting of GEF-7 Core indicators data.

Program Level Monitoring and Consolidation

GWP GEF-6 and GEF-7 Core Indicators

GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project does not have direct investments on the ground to contribute to the core indicators. As part of its M&E going forward it will track the aggregate indicators from the national GWP child projects (Table 1- overall GEF-7 and Table 2 -GEF-6), at mid-term and completion for reporting purposes.

Table 1: GEF-7 Core indicators (Aggregate PFD and Addendum)

Project Core Indicators		Expected at CEO Endorsement PFD
1	Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management for conservation and sustainable use (Hectares)	29,751,203?? ???
3	Area of land restored (Hectares)	500,100
4	Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected areas)(Hectares)	3,458,587
	Total area under improved management (Hectares)	33,709,890
6	Greenhouse Gas Emissions Mitigated (metric tons of CO ₂ e)	11,400,000
11	Number of direct beneficiaries disaggregated by gender as co-benefit of GEF investment	821,188 (M:410,469 / F:410,719)

Table 2: GEF-6 Core indicators (ongoing)

GEF-6 Indicators	Baseline (endorsement)	Mid-term	Completion
1. Area directly covered by the project (ha)	29,435,820	25,556,600	27,766,480
2. Area indirectly covered by the project (ha)	269,296,318	245,958,918	248,224,478
3. Land area under sustainable forest management and/or restoration practices (Ha.) LD2.2	126,671	1,698,010	2,568,668
4. Area under SLM practices (LD3.3)	657,962	1,255,678	2,272,338

5. Number of households adopting SLM practices	642	17,852	41,128
6. Tons of CO2e mitigated	0	7,116,060	24,593,246
Total human population in the project area			
Male	31,989,148	2,100,528	2,242,151

The GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project is a World Bank-led project, designed as a GWP child project to lead the overall Program Oversight, Coordination, and Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) together with Project Management functions. The Project will scale up the existing GWP platform for collaboration and sharing of experiences between government counterparts and partners to generate knowledge, link experts, and develop partnerships. As designed the GEF-7 GWP Global Coordination Project will support both GEF-7 national projects (17[1]) along with the ongoing GEF-6 national projects (20). Support efforts will include exchange of knowledge resources and expertise, capacity building, trainings and access to the latest information and tools to help national projects enhance project outcomes. This will help the 32 GWP countries and global partners contribute to the implementation of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and NBSAPS (CBD); the Land Degradation Neutrality targets (UNCCD), and the Nationally Determined Contributions and National Adaptation Plans (UNFCCC) related to protected areas, biodiversity conservation and climate change adaptation and mitigation.

[1] Five additional country projects under a GWP PFD addendum were approved by the GEF Council on June 4, 2020.

ANNEX B: RESPONSES TO PROJECT REVIEWS (from GEF Secretariat and GEF Agencies, and Responses to Comments from Council at work program inclusion and the Convention Secretariat and STAP at PIF).

Upstream GEFSEC comments have been addressed and response matrix is attached to the submission. There were no pending STAP or GEF Council comments since PFD approval.

ANNEX C: Status of Utilization of Project Preparation Grant (PPG). (Provide detailed funding amount of the PPG activities financing status in the table below:

Not applicable - no PPG requested



ANNEX D: CALENDAR OF EXPECTED REFLOWS (if non-grant instrument is used)

Provide a calendar of expected reflows to the GEF/LDCF/SCCF/CBIT Trust Funds or to your Agency (and/or revolving fund that will be set up)

Not Applicable

ANNEX E: Project Map(s) and Coordinates

Please attach the geographical location of the project area, if possible.



ANNEX F: Project Budget Table

Please attach a project budget table.

ESTIMATED BUDGET BY COMPONENT

Component Titles	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	Total	%
1. Wildlife-based Economy (WBE)	\$151,500	\$670,330	\$686,679	\$703,846	\$714,631	\$415,555	\$3,342,541	36%
Sub-component 1.1. Advisory and analysis support	\$106,050	\$469,231	\$480,675	\$492,692	\$500,242	\$290,888	\$2,339,778	
Sub-component 1.1.1								
Sub-component 1.2 Scaling up WBE investments through promoting PPPs	\$45,450	\$201,099	\$206,004	\$211,154	\$214,389	\$124,666	\$1,002,762	
2. Reduce Illegal Wildlife Trade (IWT)	\$193,125	\$738,493	\$756,545	\$770,270	\$769,095	\$478,282	\$3,705,810	40%

Component Titles	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	Total	%
Sub-component 2.1. Improve governance and ability to combat financial crimes	\$115,875	\$295,397	\$453,927	\$308,108	\$461,457	\$191,313	\$1,826,077	
Sub-component 2.2. Strengthen International Donor Coordination	\$38,625	\$147,699	\$151,309	\$231,081	\$230,729	\$95,656	\$895,098	
Sub-component 2.3. Reduce demand for illegal wildlife products/change behavior	\$38,625	\$295,397	\$151,309	\$231,081	\$76,910	\$191,313	\$984,634	
3. Strengthen Program Coordination and Management	\$126,625	\$421,788	\$381,148	\$440,517	\$399,163	\$356,722	\$2,125,962	23%
Sub-component 3.1. Program coordination and M&E	\$48,975	\$225,398	\$200,339	\$235,252	\$209,730	\$198,777	\$1,118,470	
A. GWP portfolio coordination	\$17,141	\$78,889	\$70,119	\$82,338	\$73,406	\$69,572	\$391,465	
B. Monitoring and reporting	\$31,834	\$146,508	\$130,220	\$152,913	\$136,325	\$129,205	\$727,006	

Component Titles	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	Total	%
Sub-component 3.2. Deploy Knowledge Management	\$32,650	\$150,265	\$133,559	\$156,834	\$139,820	\$132,518	\$745,647	
Sub-component 3.3. Catalyze Innovation &Technology	\$45,000	\$46,125	\$47,250	\$48,431	\$49,613	\$25,426	\$261,845	
TOTAL	\$471,250	\$1,830,610	\$1,824,372	\$1,914,633	\$1,882,890	\$1,250,558	\$9,174,312	100%

GEF Budget table is also provided with the package,