



Global Biodiversity Framework Early Action Support (LAC 1)

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

Basic project information

GEF ID

11023

Countries

Regional (Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Bolivia, Brazil)

Project Name

Global Biodiversity Framework Early Action Support (LAC 1)

Agencies

UNDP

Date received by PM

5/13/2022

Review completed by PM

5/18/2022

Program Manager

Mark Zimsky

Focal Area

Biodiversity

Project Type

EA

Expedited Enabling Activity req (CEO)

Part 1: Project Information

Focal area elements

Is the enabling activity aligned with the relevant GEF focal area elements as indicated in Table A and as defined by the GEF 7 Programming Directions?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request
5/16/2022

Yes. The EA responds to the evolution of the GBF development process and facilitates

preparation of NBSAP revision and alignment as well

as enabling actions related to monitoring, policy coherence and biodiversity finance, all

necessary elements for the implementation of the

GBF. Cleared.

Agency Response

Project description summary

Is the project structure/design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs as in Table B and described in the project document?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request
5/16/2022

Yes. Extensive upstream discussion has been undertaken to ensure project framework

design is appropriate for the outcomes and outputs desired. Cleared.

Agency Response

Co-financing

Are the confirmed expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented, with supporting evidence and a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified [and meets the definition of investment mobilized, and a description of any major changes from PIF, consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines?]

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/16/2022

No cofinancing is required for EAs. Please report any cofinance that does materialize and that is easy to track during project implementation. Cleared.

Agency Response

GEF Resource Availability

Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/16/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response

**Are they within the resources available from:
The STAR allocation?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/16/2022

NA

Agency Response
The focal area allocation?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request
5/16/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response
The LDCF under the principle of equitable access

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request
5/16/2022

NA

Agency Response
The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request
5/16/2022

NA

Agency Response
Focal area set-aside?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request
5/16/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response
Is the financing presented adequate and demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objectives?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/16/2022

Yes. Extensive upstream discussions were held on cost parameters. Cleared.

Agency Response

Part 2: Enabling Activity Justification

Background and Context.

Are the achievements of previously implemented enabling activities cited since the country(ies) became a party to the Convention?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/16/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response

Goals, Objectives, and Activities.

Is the project framework sufficiently described?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/16/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response

Stakeholders.

**Does the project include detailed report on stakeholders engaged during the design phase?
Is there an adequate stakeholder engagement plan or equivalent documentation for the implementation phase, with information on Stakeholders who will be engaged, the means of engagement, and dissemination of information?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/16/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response

Gender equality and women's empowerment.

Has the gender analysis been completed? Did the gender analysis identify any gender differences, gaps or opportunities linked to project/program objectives and activities? If so, does the project/program include gender-responsive activities, gender-sensitive indicators and expected results?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/16/2022

In section 3 on Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment, please tick the relevant

results area(s) the project is expected to contribute to achieve gender equality (Yes or

No responses):

- **closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources;**
- **improving women's participation and decision making; and or**
- **generating socio-economic benefits or services for women.**

The above is a sub-category of the question: Does the project expect to include any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and

women's empowerment? The proponent already responded (yes), but you must specify

which of the three result areas above is the project expected to contribute to (in terms of

gender equality and women's empowerment).

5/18/2022

Yes. Cleared.

Agency Response

The following areas were chosen:

X closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources;

X improving women's participation and decision making; and or

Monitoring and Evaluation.

Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request
5/16/2022

This MSP does not require a PIR. In lieu of the annual PIR reporting, the project will provide project status data during the annual reporting period in the GEF Portal. UNEP will implement one TE that will cover the 10 MSPs providing EA support for the recipient countries.

The TE will be budgeted for out of a global MSP technical support grant that will be provided to UNEP to facilitate technical support for the implementation of the 10 MSPs across all recipient countries. Cleared.

Agency Response
Cost Effectiveness.

Is the project cost effective?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request
5/16/2022

Yes. Very cost effective. Cleared.

Agency Response
Cost Ranges

If there was a deviation in the cost range, was this explained?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request
5/16/2022

NA

Agency Response

Part III. Endorsement/Approval by OFP

Country endorsement

Has the project been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF database?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/18/2022

Thank you. Please keep program manager apprised of progress.

5/16/2022

No. as stipulated in the Milestones section of the portal, this project was submitted on May 13, 2022.

Out of the 7 letters, 2 of them were signed by an OFP who was not the official OFP by the time of submission: Argentina and Barbados. Below you will find the details. Please ask the Agency to either get new LoEs or obtain an email from the official OFP (in the column "Current OFP") during the circulation time, so the email can be uploaded in Portal. The Country Relations Team can help.

#	Countries	LoE	Current OFP	Beginning Term	LoE signature date	Notes
1	Antigua and Barbuda	Correct	Diann Black Layne	9/16/2013	12/13/2021	Amount is correct on LoE
2	Argentina	Incorrect	Martin Manuel Illescas	2/4/2022	12/23/2021	Letter signed electronically by Florencia Maria Gloria Gomez
3	Bahamas	Correct	Rhianna Neely-Murphy	1/25/2022	3/16/2022	Amount is correct on LoE
4	Barbados	Incorrect	Charley Browne	2/14/2022	12/3/2021	Letter signed by Daphne Kellman
5	Belize	Correct	Kenrick Williams	3/17/2021	12/10/2021	Amount is correct on LoE
6	Bolivia	Correct	Carlos David Guachalla Terrazas	7/14/2021	12/29/2021	Amount is correct on LoE
7	Brazil	Correct	Marcus Cesar Ribeiro Barretto	4/23/2019	1/28/2022	Amount is correct on LoE - Esignature

Agency Response

New LOEs or emails will be requested from the countries highlighted in yellow and they will be uploaded to the portal during the circulation time.

Response to Comments

Are all the comments adequately responded to? (only as applicable)

GEF Secretariat Comment

5/16/2022

NA

Agency Response

Other Agencies comments?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/16/2022

NA

Agency Response

Council comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/16/2022

NA

Agency Response

STAP Comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5/16/2022

NA

Agency Response

Convention Secretariat comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Yes. Extensive upstream discussion was held with the CBD and their concerns have been fully incorporated.

Agency Response

CSOs comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request
5/16/2022

NA

Agency Response
GEFSEC DECISION

RECOMMENDATION

Is CEO Endorsement/approval recommended?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request
5/16/2022

No.

This EA should be a Regional not a Global one given it is only in LAC. Please revise.

Other Executing Partner is blank, please fill in UNDP as UNDP is executing

Gender:

In section 3 on Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment, please tick the relevant

results area(s) the project is expected to contribute to achieve gender equality (Yes or

No responses):

- closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources;**
- improving women's participation and decision making; and or**
- generating socio-economic benefits or services for women.**

The above is a sub-category of the question: Does the project expect to include any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and

women's empowerment? The proponent already responded (yes), but you must specify

which of the three result areas above is the project expected to contribute to (in terms of

gender equality and women's empowerment).

Letters of Endorsement: as stipulated in the Milestones section of the portal, this project was submitted on May 13, 2022.

Out of the 7 letters, 2 of them were signed by an OFP who was not the official OFP by the time of submission: Argentina and Barbados. Below you will find the details. Please ask the Agency to either get new LoEs or obtain an email from the official OFP (in the column 'Current OFP?') during the circulation time, so the email can be uploaded in Portal. The Country Relations Team can help.

#	Countries	LoE	Current OFP	Beginning Term	LoE signature date	Notes
1	Antigua and Barbuda	Correct	Diann Black Layne	9/16/2013	12/13/2021	Amount is correct on LoE
2	Argentina	Incorrect	Martin Manuel Illescas	2/4/2022	12/23/2021	Letter signed electronically by Florencia Maria Gloria Gomez
3	Bahamas	Correct	Rhianna Neely-Murphy	1/25/2022	3/16/2022	Amount is correct on LoE
4	Barbados	Incorrect	Charley Browne	2/14/2022	12/3/2021	Letter signed by Daphne Kellman
5	Belize	Correct	Kenrick Williams	3/17/2021	12/10/2021	Amount is correct on LoE
6	Bolivia	Correct	Carlos David Guachalla Terrazas	7/14/2021	12/29/2021	Amount is correct on LoE
7	Brazil	Correct	Marcus Cesar Ribeiro Barretto	4/23/2019	1/28/2022	Amount is correct on LoE - Esignature

5/18/2022

Project is recommended for CEO approval.

Review Dates

	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
First Review	5/17/2022	5/18/2022
Additional Review (as necessary)	5/18/2022	
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		

**Additional Review
(as necessary)**

CEO Recommendation

Brief reasoning for CEO Recommendations

The objective of this enabling activity support is to fast-track readiness and early actions to implement the post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework by providing financial and technical support to GEF-eligible Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) in their work to review and align their national targets, NBSAPs, policy frameworks, monitoring frameworks and finance with the Global Biodiversity Framework.

Each grant will support countries to achieve the following four outcomes:

- 1) NBSAPs are ready to be aligned and National Biodiversity targets are aligned with post-2020 GBF and relevant SDG targets
- 2) Enhanced and improved monitoring, reporting systems and transparency frameworks
- 3) Identification of actions for policy alignment and coherence on nature-related sectors
- 4) Biodiversity finance gaps defined, and opportunities for resource mobilization identified

COVID 19 risk mitigation plan is in place to support implementation.