


## Accelerating the adoption and scale-up of electric mobility for low-carbon city development in the Philippines

В	asic Information
	GEF ID
	10609
	Countries
	Philippines
	Project Title
	Accelerating the adoption and scale-up of electric mobility for low-carbon city development in the Philippines
	GEF Agency(ies)
	UNIDO
	A mam and ID
	Agency ID UNIDO: 180210
	GEF Focal Area(s)
	Climate Change
	Program Manager
	Milena Vasquez



3. Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented and consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines, with a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified and meets the definition of investment mobilized?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
GEF Resource Availability
4. Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines? Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply):
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
The STAR allocation?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response				
The focal area allocation?				
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion				
Agency Response				
The LDCF under the principle of equitable access				
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion				
Agency Response				
The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?				
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion				
Agency Response				

Facal area ast aside?


Focal area set-aside?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Impact Program Incentive?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Project Preparation Grant
5. Is PPG requested in Table E within the allowable cap? Has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated? (not applicable to PFD)
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion 6/12/2020: PPG requested matches LOE and is within allowable cap.
Agency Response
Core indicators

6. Are the identified core indicators in Table F calculated using the methodology included in the correspondent Guidelines? (GEF/C.54/11/Rev.01)
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Project/Program taxonomy
7. Is the project/ program properly tagged with the appropriate keywords as requested in Table G?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
art II - Project Justification
1. Has the project/program described the global environmental / adaptation problems, including the root causes and barriers that need to be addressed?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

2. Is the baseline scenario or any associated baseline projects appropriately described?					
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion					
Agency Response					
3. Does the proposed alternative scenario describe the expected outcomes and components of the project/program?					
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion					
Agency Response					
4. Is the project/program aligned with focal area and/or Impact Program strategies?					
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion					
Agency Response					

5. Is the incremental / additional cost reasoning properly described as per the Guidelines provided in GEF/C.31/12?

**Agency Response** 



Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion					
Agency Response					
Stakeholders					
Does the PIF/PFD include indicative information on Stakeholders engagement to date? If not, is the justification provided appropriate? Does the PIF/PFD include information about the proposed means of future engagement?					
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion					
Agency Response					
Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment					
Is the articulation of gender context and indicative information on the importance and need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women, adequate?					
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion					


Agency Response

Private Sector Engagement
Is the case made for private sector engagement consistent with the proposed approach?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Risks to Achieving Project Objectives
Does the project/program consider potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, that might prevent the project objectives from being achieved or may be resulting from project/program implementation, and propose measures that address these risks to be further developed during the project design?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response

Coordination

rigorio, ricoponico

Is the institutional arrangement for project/program coordination including management, monitoring and evaluation outlined?



**Agency Response** art III - Country Endorsements Has the project/program been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF data base? Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion **Agency Response** Termsheet, reflow table and agency capacity in NGI Projects Does the project provide sufficient detail in Annex A (indicative termsheet) to take a decision on the following selection criteria: co-financing ratios, financial terms and conditions, and financial additionality? If not, please provide comments. Does the project provide a detailed reflow table in Annex B to assess the project capacity of generating reflows? If not, please provide comments. After reading the questionnaire in Annex C, is the Partner Agency eligible to administer concessional finance? If not, please provide comments. Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

RECOMMENDATION

**EFSEC DECISION** 

**Agency Response** 

ILCOMMENDATION

Is the PIF/PFD recommended for technical clearance? Is the PPG (if requested) being recommended for clearance?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

6/12/2020: PM recommends PPG clearance.

## **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

Additional recommendations to be considered by Agency at the time of CEO endorsement/approval.

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

/iew Dates

PIF Review Agency Response

First Review	6/12/2020
Additional Review (as necessary)	

PIF Recommendation to CEO

Brief reasoning for recommendations to CEO for PIF Approval