

Review and update of the national implementation plan for the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

Basic project information

GEF ID

11021

Countries

Mauritius

Project Name

Review and update of the national implementation plan for the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)

Agencies

UNDP

Date received by PM

5/13/2022

Review completed by PM

5/16/2022

Program Manager

Yuki Shiga

Focal Area

Chemicals and Waste

Project Type

EA

Expedited Enabling Activity req (CEO)

Part 1: Project Information

Focal area elements

Is the enabling activity aligned with the relevant GEF focal area elements as indicated in Table A and as defined by the GEF 7 Programming Directions?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5.26.2022:

Cleared.

5.23.2022:

please fill in the missing information related to the submission, implementation and completion dates of the EA.

Type of Reports	Submission Date	Expected Implementation Start	Expected Completion Date	Expected Report Submission to Convention
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Duration ⓘ 24 In Months			Agency Fee(\$) 23,750.00	

Agency Response Requested information has been provided in the portal.

Project description summary

Is the project structure/design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs as in Table B and described in the project document?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes.

Agency Response

Co-financing

Are the confirmed expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented, with supporting evidence and a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified [and meets the definition of investment mobilized, and a description of any major changes from PIF, consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines?]

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request No co-financing is required for this EA.

Agency Response

GEF Resource Availability

Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes.

Agency Response

**Are they within the resources available from:
The STAR allocation?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

The focal area allocation?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes.

Agency Response

The LDCF under the principle of equitable access

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Focal area set-aside?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Is the financing presented adequate and demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objectives?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes.

Agency Response

Part 2: Enabling Activity Justification

Background and Context.

Are the achievements of previously implemented enabling activities cited since the country(ies) became a party to the Convention?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Earlier NIP(s) developed by the country are sufficiently cited in the proposal.

Agency Response

Goals, Objectives, and Activities.

Is the project framework sufficiently described?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

5.26.2022:

Cleared.

5.23.2022:

It is not clear on when and how the project develops knowledge management strategy and sharing knowledge in workshops. Please elaborate more about knowledge management related activities and their budget in the Portal.

Agency Response

5.23.2022:

The implementation of the Stakeholder Engagement Plan (as described in Annex 8 in UNDP Project Document and in the GEF portal library) will help the project develop a knowledge management strategy to allow knowledge sharing and learning. The new activity 4.2.3 on Knowledge management and Dissemination (shaded in yellow in the portal) will help develop a systematic process to identify, capture, store, create, update, represent and distribute knowledge for awareness and learning to all. As described in Activity 4.2.3, these knowledge assets will be publicly disseminated on the websites of both the UNDP and the Implementation Partner (Ministry of Environment, Solid Waste Management and Climate Change) in the form of abridged non-technical reports.

Knowledge management has been mainstreamed throughout the four components. The current KM budget is US\$ 28,100 which covers knowledge management products, workshops with stakeholders, including Inception Workshop, and publishing costs. Overall, the KM budget reaches more than 10% of the total project's budget.

Stakeholders.

**Does the project include detailed report on stakeholders engaged during the design phase?
Is there an adequate stakeholder engagement plan or equivalent documentation for the implementation phase, with information on Stakeholders who will be engaged, the means of engagement, and dissemination of information?**

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes

Agency Response

Gender equality and women's empowerment.

Has the gender analysis been completed? Did the gender analysis identify any gender differences, gaps or opportunities linked to project/program objectives and activities? If so, does the project/program include gender-responsive activities, gender-sensitive indicators and expected results?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes.

Agency Response

Monitoring and Evaluation.

Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes.

Agency Response

Cost Effectiveness.

Is the project cost effective?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes.

Agency Response

Cost Ranges

If there was a deviation in the cost range, was this explained?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request NA

Agency Response

Part III. Endorsement/Approval by OFP

Country endorsement

Has the project been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF database?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Yes.

Agency Response

Response to Comments

Are all the comments adequately responded to? (only as applicable)

GEF Secretariat Comment

Agency Response

Other Agencies comments?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Council comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

STAP Comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Convention Secretariat comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

CSOs comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

GEFSEC DECISION

RECOMMENDATION

Is CEO Endorsement/approval recommended?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request This EA is recommended for technical clearance.

Review Dates

	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
First Review	5/16/2022	
Additional Review (as necessary)	5/23/2022	
Additional Review (as necessary)	5/26/2022	
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		

CEO Recommendation

Brief reasoning for CEO Recommendations