

# Development of the National Implementation Plan (NIP) under the Stockholm Convention (SC) on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) in Grenada

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

## Basic project information

**GEF ID**

12371

**Countries**

Grenada

**Project Name**

Development of the National Implementation Plan (NIP) under the Stockholm Convention (SC) on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) in Grenada

**Agencies**

UNEP

**Date received by PM**

6/9/2026

**Review completed by PM****Program Manager**

Jewel Batchasingh

**Focal Area**

Chemicals and Waste

**Project Type**

EA

## **Expedited Enabling Activity req (CEO)**

### **Section I - Enabling Activity Summary**

#### **Funding elements.**

**Is the enabling activity aligned with the relevant GEF funding elements as indicated in Table A and as defined by the GEF-8 Programming Directions? Is the General Enabling Activity Information table correctly populated?**

Secretariat's Comments Yes. Grenada acceded to the Convention in October 2021 and this is its initial NIP.

#### **Agency's Comments**

##### **Cost Ranges.**

**If there was a deviation in the cost range, was this explained?**

Secretariat's Comments Allocation is 500K to cover all the chemicals covered under the SC and as this forms the baseline for the country, the additional funds are required. This is the initial NIP for Grenada.

#### **Agency's Comments**

##### **Enabling activity summary.**

**Is the enabling activity summary clear? Are the components in Table B and as described in the enabling activity request sound, appropriate, and sufficiently clear to achieve the project objectives?**

#### **Secretariat's Comments**

Yes the summary is clear and the agency has indicated that the project will also benefit from the ongoing work under the GEF project that is supporting Global NIPs (GEF ID 11945).

The components are typical for the NIP development and cover policy review and inventory. M&E is also included. Please clarify how the project will communicate the outcomes to the beneficiaries.

Will the agency include any communication and awareness material? Refer to comment on the budget.

#### **ON STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT:**

The Agency should include an overview of relevant stakeholder groups and organizations to be engaged and consulted in project implementation, including engagement with representatives from academia, industry and commerce such as trade associations and professional bodies, academia, environmental, public health and other civil society groups concerned with POPs as well as relevant community organization, including representatives of women and youth groups.

#### **ON GENDER**

As per GEF guidelines and practice, gender equality considerations should be integrated/weaved into the project description and not siloed in a separate section. The objective is to ensure that the project components and outputs are gender-responsive (and goes beyond ensuring gender parity or consultations with women/women's groups).

For example, it is important that there is gender expertise in the activities relating to Components 1 and 2 to ensure that the outputs and actions from these components take into account gender equality considerations and inequalities and help to address them.

Please also ensure that Under Monitoring and Evaluation, gender equality actions and results are monitored and regularly reported on.

#### Agency's Comments

**Response:15/06/2026**

The project will communicate outcomes and key findings through stakeholder consultations, validation workshops, and dissemination of the finalized National Implementation Plan (NIP). These processes will ensure that results are shared with relevant government institutions, private sector actors, and civil society stakeholders involved in POPs management.

In addition, communication and awareness activities are supported under the dedicated budget line for Knowledge Management/Communication pieces (including design, web development, and translations). These resources will enable the preparation of targeted communication materials and outreach products to support effective dissemination and uptake of project outcomes.

#### **On stakeholder engagement:**

The project includes a comprehensive stakeholder mapping in Annex K, and now referenced in the Institutional Framework section, which identifies the relevant stakeholder groups and institutions to be engaged throughout implementation. These include government agencies, private sector actors, academia, civil society organizations, and stakeholders involved in environmental and public health issues related to POPs.

Stakeholder engagement will be conducted through the National Coordination Mechanism (NCM) and project activities, ensuring participation of key groups, including representatives of women and youth, in line with national processes and the enabling activity modality.

#### **Gender:**

Gender considerations have been integrated across the project design, particularly within Components 1 and 2. Activities related to institutional coordination, stakeholder engagement, and NIP development incorporate gender aspects in consultations, analysis, and development of outputs.

Gender expertise will be applied as part of the technical inputs to ensure that gender considerations are reflected in the assessments and the resulting NIP. In addition, gender aspects will be captured within the Monitoring and Evaluation plan, including tracking stakeholder participation and reflecting gender considerations in project outputs and reporting.

#### **Section 2 - Enabling Activity Supporting Information**

##### **Eligibility Criteria.**

**Is this enabling activity eligible for GEF funding?**

**Secretariat's Comments** Yes the country acceded the Convention in 2021 and as a Party to the Stockholm Convention is eligible for the development of its National Implementation Plan.

**Agency's Comments**

**Institutional framework.**

**Are the institutional arrangements for implementation adequately described?**

**Secretariat's Comments** The institutional framework is clear, however generic. Please reference the country in the diagram and reference the NCM details in the paragraph below. Suggest giving examples of the lead ministry as per the LoE etc.

**Agency's Comments**

**Response:15/06/2026**

The institutional framework has been further specified to reflect the country context. The diagram has been updated to explicitly reference Grenada, and the narrative has been revised to clarify the role of the National Coordination Mechanism (NCM).

The updated description identifies the Ministry of Climate Resilience, the Environment and Renewable Energy as the lead technical ministry, in coordination with the Ministry of Mobilisation, Implementation and Transformation in its role as GEF Operational Focal Point, based on the Letter of Endorsement. Additional details on the composition and role of the NCM have been included to reflect national arrangements and stakeholder participation.

**Monitoring and Evaluation.**

**Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan?**

**Secretariat's Comments**

Yes the budget covers M&E and the agency has stated the approach for facilitating the M&E.

**Agency's Comments**

**Section 3. Information Tables**

**GEF resource availability.**

**Is the proposed GEF financing in Table F (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines?**

**Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion** Yes.

**Agency Response**

**Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply):**  
**STAR allocation?**

Secretariat's CommentsNA

Agency's Comments  
**Focal Area allocation?**

Secretariat's CommentsYES

Agency's Comments  
**LDCF under the principle of equitable access?**

Secretariat's CommentsNA

Agency's Comments  
**SCCF (Adaptation or Tech Transfer)?**

Secretariat's CommentsNA

Agency's Comments  
**Focal Area Set Aside?**

Secretariat's CommentsYES

Agency's Comments  
**Rio Markers.**  
**Are the Rio Markers for CCM ,CCA, BD and LD presented?**

Secretariat's CommentsNA

Agency's Comments  
**Country endorsement.**

**Has the project been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point at the time of the EA submission and has the name and position been checked against the GEF database? Are the endorsed amounts consistent with the amounts included in Portal**

Secretariat's CommentsYes and the LoE is attached as an Annex.

Agency's Comments  
**Response to Comments**

**Are all the comments adequately responded to? (only as applicable)**  
**Gef Secretariat comments**

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments  
**Other Agencies comments**

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments

**Council comments**

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments

**STAP comments**

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments

**Convention Secretariat comments**

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments

**CSOs comments**

Secretariat's Comments

Agency's Comments

**Project Budget Table.**

**Is the project budget table attached? Are the activities / expenditures reasonably and accurately charged to the three identified sources (Components, M&E and PMC)?**

Secretariat's Comments

1. Please ensure consistency:

|                             |  |  |  |        |        |                |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--------|--------|----------------|
| Project Manager at the SCRC |  |  |  | 30,000 | 30,000 | BCRC Caribbean |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--------|--------|----------------|

2. Given the nature of this as the initial NIP - can consideration be given to having a workshop in between the inception and final validation? This is an approach that has worked well for the Caribbean.

3. Please justify why this travel is specifically identified for the Executing Agency?

4. Please clarify this expenditure:

|                    |  |  |  |        |  |        |           |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--------|--|--------|-----------|
| EA Completion Memo |  |  |  | 15,000 |  | 15,000 | IA - UNEP |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--------|--|--------|-----------|

|                                                |  |       |       |  |  |       |                |
|------------------------------------------------|--|-------|-------|--|--|-------|----------------|
| Travel for EAs to national validation workshop |  | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  | 5,000 | BCRC Caribbean |
|------------------------------------------------|--|-------|-------|--|--|-------|----------------|

4. Is this budget sufficient especially as this is the first NIP?

|                                                                                      |        |        |  |  |        |                |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--------|----------------|
| Knowledge Management/Communication Pieces (including design, web work, translations) | 15,000 | 15,000 |  |  | 15,000 | BCRC Caribbean |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--------|----------------|

## Agency's Comments

**Response:15/06/2026**

The budget has been reviewed and updated to address the Secretariat's comments:

1. **Consistency:** The reference to the project manager has been revised for consistency to indicate the Project Manager at BCRC Caribbean.
2. **Additional workshop:** A budget line for an intermediate National Coordination Workshop has been included between the inception and final validation stages.
3. **Travel for Executing Agency:** The travel budget allocated to the Executing Agency has been clarified. BCRC Caribbean, located in Trinidad and Tobago, is responsible for overall project management and provision of technical assistance. Travel resources are therefore required to support in-country missions.
4. **Clarification of expenditures:**

The budget line previously indicated as 'EA completion memo' has been clarified and spelled out as Enabling Activity Completion Memorandum, in line with GEF requirements.

Travel for the EA (Executing Agency) to the national validation workshop has been clarified as part of their role in providing technical support and facilitating the validation process.

5. **Budget for KM and Communication:** The allocation for Knowledge Management and Communication has been revised and increased to USD 40,000. This budget will support the development of communication and outreach materials, dissemination of project results, and preparation of knowledge products (including design, web-related outputs, and translations). The revised allocation is considered appropriate to support effective communication and stakeholder engagement for Grenada's first NIP.

## Environmental and Social Safeguards.

**If there are screening documents or other ESS documents available, have these been attached? (only as applicable)**

Secretariat's Comments Yes it is attached as an annex. SRIF is included.

## Agency's Comments

**GEFSEC DECISION**

**RECOMMENDATION.**

**Is CEO endorsement/ approval recommended?**

## Secretariat's Comments

**REVIEW DATE(S)**

|                                             | Secretariat Comment at CEO<br>Endorsement | Response to Secretariat<br>comments |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <b>First Review</b>                         | <b>6/10/2026</b>                          | <b>6/15/2026</b>                    |
| <b>Additional Review (as<br/>necessary)</b> |                                           |                                     |
| <b>Additional Review (as<br/>necessary)</b> |                                           |                                     |
| <b>Additional Review (as<br/>necessary)</b> |                                           |                                     |
| <b>Additional Review (as<br/>necessary)</b> |                                           |                                     |