Global Development, Review and Update of National Implementation Plans (NIPs) under the Stockholm Convention (SC) on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

Basic project information

GEF ID

10785

Countries

Global (Bahamas, Bolivia, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Burundi, Cambodia, Cameroon, Cote d'Ivoire, Dominica, Gambia, Georgia, Guinea, Kenya, Madagascar, North Macedonia, Peru, Senegal, Seychelles, Uganda, Uruguay, Zimbabwe, Montenegro)

Project Name

Global Development, Review and Update of National Implementation Plans (NIPs) under the Stockholm Convention (SC) on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)

Agencies

UNEP

Date received by PM

10/13/2021

Review completed by PM

10/13/2021

Program Manager

Anil Sookdeo

Focal Area

Chemicals and Waste

Project Type

EA

Non-Expedited Enabling Activity req (PIF)
Non-Expedited Enabling Activity req (CEO)

Part 1: Project Information

Focal area elements

Is the enabling activity aligned with the relevant GEF focal area elements as indicated in Table A and as defined by the GEF 7 Programming Directions?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Expected implementation start is already past ? please amend. Also expected completion date and expected report submission to convention date are missing.

Nov 4, 2021 - comment cleared.

Agency Response

Dates have been changed with the help of GEF Secretariat.

Project description summary

Is the project structure/design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs as in Table B and described in the project document?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Co-financing

Are the confirmed expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented, with supporting evidence and a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified [and meets the definition of investment mobilized, and a description of any major changes from PIF, consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines?]

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Co-financing:

? Please change the entries as follows:

Sources Name Type IM Amount

Other

Letter #1 Research center for solid waste governance, Jingsu Yancheng, China In-kind Recurrent expenditures 40,000

Other Research center for solid waste governance, Jingsu Yancheng, China Grant IM 40,000

Other

Letter #2 Basel and Stockholm Conventions Regional Centre in China (BCRC-SCRAP China) In-kind Recurrent expenditures 20,000

Other Basel and Stockholm Conventions Regional Centre in China (BCRC-SCRAP China) Grant IM 20,000

Other

Letter #3 Solid waste recycling professional committee, China Environmental Protection Association In-kind Recurrent expenditures 30,000

Other

Letter #4 Stockholm Convention Regional Centre (Czech Republic) In-kind Recurrent expenditures 150,000

Other

Letter #5 Basel and Stockholm Conventions Regional Centre in Senegal (BCRC-SCRC Senegal): In-kind Recurrent expenditures 132,000

Other

Letter #6 Africa institute for environmentally sound management of hazardous and other wastes In-kind Recurrent expenditures 130,000
Other
Letter #7 Basel and Stockholm Conventions Regional Centre in Uruguay In-kind Recurrent expenditures 70,000
Other
Letter #7 Basel and Stockholm Conventions Regional Centre in Uruguay Grant IM 55,000

? BCRC-Caribbean $50,000 in-kind contribution is missing in the letters provided. Please provide a co-financing letter.

Nov 4, 2021 - Comments cleared.

Agency Response
The changes have been made in the portal.
GEF Resource Availability

Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response
Are they within the resources available from:
The STAR allocation?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response
The focal area allocation?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response
The LDCF under the principle of equitable access

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Focal area set-aside?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Is the financing presented adequate and demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objectives?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Part 2: Enabling Activity Justification

Background and Context.

Are the achievements of previously implemented enabling activities cited since the country(ies) became a party to the Convention?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Goals, Objectives, and Activities.
Is the project framework sufficiently described?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Stakeholders.
Does the project include detailed report on stakeholders engaged during the design phase?
Is there an adequate stakeholder engagement plan or equivalent documentation for the implementation phase, with information on Stakeholders who will be engaged, the means of engagement, and dissemination of information?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Gender equality and women?s empowerment.

Has the gender analysis been completed? Did the gender analysis identify any gender differences, gaps or opportunities linked to project/program objectives and activities? If so, does the project/program include gender-responsive activities, gender-sensitive indicators and expected results?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Monitoring and Evaluation.

Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Cost Effectiveness.

Is the project cost effective?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Cost Ranges

If there was a deviation in the cost range, was this explained?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response

Part III. Endorsement/Approval by OFP

Country endorsement

Has the project been endorsed by the country?s GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF database?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response
Response to Comments

Are all the comments adequately responded to? (only as applicable)

GEF Secretariat Comment

Agency Response
Other Agencies comments?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response
Council comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Council comments have been addressed.

Agency Response
STAP Comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response
Convention Secretariat comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response
CSOs comments

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Agency Response
GEFSEC DECISION

RECOMMENDATION

Is CEO Endorsement/approval recommended?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request Please correct project information and co-financing as indicated in the review sheet.

Review Dates

	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
First Review	**10/13/2021**	
Additional Review (as necessary)	**11/4/2021**	
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		

CEO Recommendation

Brief reasoning for CEO Recommendations