

Completion of the Phase Out of HCFCs Consumption with Support of Low GWP Technologies

Review CEO Endorsement and Make a recommendation

Basic project information

GEF ID

9756

Countries

Belarus

Project Name

Completion of the Phase Out of HCFCs Consumption with Support of Low GWP Technologies

Agencies

UNDP

Date received by PM

1/29/2019

Review completed by PM

Program Manager

Evelyn Swain

Focal Area

Chemicals and Waste

Project Type

MSP

PIF ☐

CEO Endorsement ☐

Project Design and Financing

1. If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Response to Secretariat comments

2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

The portal is not filled out properly. Please fill out the portal so that this project can be reviewed.

Please reconcile core indicator 9 and 9.3.

Response to Secretariat comments Indicators 9 and 9.3 are reconciled and both display figure of 47.64 (metric tons)

3. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request**Response to Secretariat comments**

4. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request**Response to Secretariat comments**

5. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request**Response to Secretariat comments**

6. Are relevant tracking tools completed?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request**Response to Secretariat comments**

7. Only for Non-Grant Instrument: Has a reflow calendar been presented?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Response to Secretariat comments

8. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Response to Secretariat comments

9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Response to Secretariat comments

10. Does the project have descriptions of a knowledge management plan?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Response to Secretariat comments

Agency Responses

11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the PIF stage from:

GEFSEC

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Response to Secretariat comments

STAP

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Response to Secretariat comments

GEF Council

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Response to Secretariat comments

Convention Secretariat

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

Response to Secretariat comments

Recommendation

12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?

Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request

3/5: The project can not be reviewed until the portal is filled out.

4/17: The portal is still not filled out properly. All project information must be entered into the portal. The sections that say "see attached" must be filled out. Attachments are not meant to be reviewed in this way. Please fill out the portal so that this project can be reviewed.

7/17: For the third time the portal is still not filled out properly. All project information must be entered into the portal. Please fill out the portal so that this project can be reviewed.

7/22/20: The portal is still missing a number of sections, these need to be filled out properly for the project to be reviewed. The ProDoc contains much of the information however it must also be interest into the portal. Please also clearly explain the GEBs and any differences between PIF and CEO Endorsment.

Response to Secretariat comments Portal is fully filled out and ready for review.

Review Dates

	Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request	Response to Secretariat comments
First Review		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		
Additional Review (as necessary)		

	Secretariat comment at CEO Endorsement Request	Response to Secretariat comments
Additional Review (as necessary)		

CEO Recommendation

Brief Reasoning for CEO Recommendations