



FAO-GEF Project Implementation Report

2023 – Revised Template

Period covered:1 July 2022 to 30 June 2023

Table of contents

1.	BASIC PROJECT DATA	2
2.	PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING PROJECT OBJECTIVE(S) (DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVE)	5
3.	IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)	11
4.	SUMMARY ON PROGRESS AND RATINGS	25
5.	ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS (ESS)	28
6.	RISKS	30
7.	FOLLOW-UP ON MID-TERM REVIEW OR SUPERVISION MISSION	34
8.	MINOR PROJECT AMENDMENTS	35
9.	STAKEHOLDERS' ENGAGEMENT	36
10.	GENDER MAINSTREAMING	39
11.	KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	41
12.	INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND LOCAL COMMUNITIES INVOLVEMENT	44
13.	CO-FINANCING TABLE	45

1. Basic Project Data

General Information

Region:	Latin America and the Caribbean			
Country (ies):	Cuba			
Project Title:	Introduction of new farming methods for the conservation and			
	sustainable use of biodiversity, including plant and animal genetic			
	resources, in production landscapes in selected areas of Cuba			
	(Spanish: "Introducción de nuevos métodos agrícolas para la			
	conservación y el uso sostenible de la biodiversidad, incluyendo			
	recursos fito y zoo genéticos, en paisajes productivos en áreas			
	seleccionadas de Cuba" (COBIMAS)"			
FAO Project Symbol:	GCP /CUB/017/GFF			
GEF ID:	9435			
GEF Focal Area(s):	Biodiversity			
Project Executing Partners:	Ministry of Agriculture (MINAG), Grupo Empresarial Flora y Fauna			
	(Flora and fauna business group /GEFF), Institute of Fundamental			
	Research on Tropical Agriculture "Alexander Von Humboldt" "			
	(INIFAT)			
Initial projectduration (years):	5 years			
Project coordinates:				
This section should be completed				
ONLY by:				
a) Projects with 1st PIR;				
b) In case the geographic				
coverage of project activities has				
changed since last reporting				
period.				

Project Dates

GEF CEO Endorsement Date:	03 October, 2018
Project Implementation Start	05 June 2019
Date/EOD:	
Project Implementation End	05 September 2024
Date/NTE¹:	
Revised project implementation	
End date (if approved) ²	

Funding

GEF Grant Amount (USD):	2,973,288
Total Co-financing amount	26,460,000
(USD) ³ :	

¹As per FPMIS ²If NTE extension has been requested and approved by the FAO-GEF Coordination Unit. ³This is the total amount of co-financing as included in the CEO Document/Project Document.

Total GEF grant delivery (as of	1,229,206
June 30, 2023 (USD):	
Total GEF grant actual	825,209
expenditures (excluding	
commitments) as of June 30,	
2023 (USD) ⁴ :	
Total estimated co-financing	31,288,301.49 CUP
materialized as of June 30, 2023 ⁵	

M&E Milestones

Date of Last Project Steering	30 March 2022
Committee(PSC) Meeting:	
Expected Mid-term Review date ⁶ :	May 2023
Actual Mid-term review date (if	July 2023
already completed):	
Expected Terminal Evaluation	March 2024 (Requesting extension for 18 months)
Date ⁷ :	
Tracking tools (TT)/Core indicators	[It is mandatory for projects to update the TT or CI before Mid-
(CI) updated before MTR or TE	Term or Terminal Evaluation stage. For projects that have a
stage (provide as Annex)	planned MTR or TE in the next fiscal year, please indicate YES here
	and provide the updated TT or CI as Annex.]

Overall ratings

Overall rating of progress towards	Moderately Satisfactory
achieving objectives/ outcomes	
(cumulative):	
Overall implementation progress	Satisfactory
rating:	
Overall risk rating:	Medium

ESS risk classification

Current ESS Risk classification:	Moderate
----------------------------------	----------

Status

Implementation Status	4th PIR
(1 st PIR, 2 nd PIR, etc. Final PIR):	

⁴The amount should show the values included in the financial statements generated by IMIS.

⁵ Please refer to the Section 13 of this report where updated co-financing estimates are requested and indicate the total co-financing amount materialized.

⁶The Mid-Term Review (MTR) should take place after the 2nd PIR, around half-point between EOD and NTE. The MTR report in English should be submitted to the GEF Secretariat within 4 years of the CEO Endorsement date.

⁷The Terminal Evaluation date should be discussed with OED 6 months before the project's NTE date.

Project Contacts

Contact	Name,Title, Division/Institution	E-mail
Project Coordinator (PC)	Enrique Moret Hernández, Project National Coordinator , FAO Country Office in Cuba (FAOCU)	Enrique. morethernandez@fao.org
Budget Holder (BH)	Marcelo Resende, FAO Representative in Cuba	marcelo.resende@fao.org
GEF Operational Focal Point (GEF OFP)	Ulises Fernandez Gomez Director, Inteernational Department, Minsitry of Sccience, Tech& Environment	ulises@citma.gob.cu
Lead Technical Officer (LTO)	Raixa Elena Llauger Riverón, Agricultural Officer, FAO Subregional Office for Central America (SLM)	raixa.llauger@fao.org
GEF Technical Officer, GTO(ex Technical FLO)	Nadia Mujica FAO GEF Task Manager FAO Subregional Office for Central America (SLM)	Nadia.Mujica@fao.org

2. Progress towards Achieving Project Objective(s) (Development Objective)

(All inputs in this section should be cumulative from project start, not annual)

Project or Development Objective	Outcomes	Outcome indicators ⁸	Baseline	Mid-term Target ⁹	End-of-project Target	Cumulative progress ¹⁰ since project start Level (and %) at 30 June 2023	Progress rating ¹¹
	Outcome 1.1. Plant and animal genetic resources for food and agriculture are better known in Cuba.	Indicator 1: Strengthened capacities of research institutes (through hands-on experience)	0		-Nineteen (19) national relevant research institutions ¹² enhance its organizational capacities and its technicians and researchers around 1500 will receive special training to held the implementation, systematization and diffusion of experiences, addressed to adopt conservation practices of the GRFA and the SCPI ¹³ (Sustainable Crop Production Intensification).	Two new institutions (Soil and Agroforestry Institutes) were supported through training activities. Specialised training was provided on saline soil management and FAO tools supported by satellite imagery. To date, 15 national institutions have improved their technical capacity. Training activities have continued. A total of 342 new technicians (175 women) have been trained. To date, 959 technicians (447 women) have received specialised training.	MS

⁸This is taken from the approved results framework of the project.

⁹Some indicators may not identify mid-term targets at the design stage (refer to approved results framework) therefore this column should only be filled when relevant.

¹⁰Please report on results obtained in terms of Global Environmental Benefits and Socio-economic co-benefits as well.

¹¹Use GEF Secretariat required six-point scale system: **Highly Satisfactory** (HS), **Satisfactory** (S), **Moderately Satisfactory** (MS), **Moderately Unsatisfactory** (MU), **Unsatisfactory** (HU), and **Highly Unsatisfactory** (HU). Refer to Annex 1.

¹²Spanish acronyms of the national relevant Research Institution and Universities that will enhance its capacities through the project implementation: IES(biodiversity and wild species), INIVIT(eatable roots), INIFAT(exsitu conservation bank PGRFA, soil and basic agriculture researches), CIMAGT(livestock, *ex situ* conservation ZGRFA), IIP(pigs), IIA(poultry), IIG(grains), IILD(vegetables), CIAPI(bees), CENSA (National Center for animal health), IIFT (Institute of research in tropical fruit growing), IAGRIC (Agricultural Engineering Institute), INCA(grains), ICA(animal feeding and health), IIPF(animal feeding), EEPF "IndioHatuey" (animal feeding), Matanzas University, Sancti Spíritus University, and Granma University.

¹³ The Sustainable Crop Production Intensification (SCPI) is an approach developed by FAO, usually known as "Save and Grow".

Indicator 2:	0	Diagnosis	7 PGR managed and	Progress has been made in	
Conservation	U	and	conserved	the diagnosis of the	
			5 AGR managed and	intervention areas. Three	
and diversity		training	_		
status of		carried out	conserved move to a lower	field expeditions were	
target species.		for	threat status	carried out, allowing the	
		manageme		location and geo referencing	
		nt and		of wild relatives, the	
		conservatio		collection of genetic	
		n of species		material (seeds and	
		of interest		determination of the flow of	
		(Initial		varieties in each area), and	
		conditions		the gathering of information	
		created for		allowing the first draft of a	
		GR		floristic inventory of wild	
		conservatio		relatives to be drawn up.	
		n)			
				AGR: genetic resources	
				improve their conservation	
				status. The number of	c
				specimens in genetic	S
				reserves has increased	
				compared to the previous	
				cycle.	
				'	
				New breeders of Cuban	
				brown rabbits, Cubalayan	
				hen and Melipona beehives	
				have been acquired. Rabbits	
				and pigs have been	
				inseminated, resulting in	
				multiple births.	
				muniple bil tils.	
				PGR: The number of	
				Annonae species in the	
				propagation centers	
				increased and new seedlings	
				were transplanted. During	
				the period, work was carried	

				-12 Standard Operating Procedures (for each of the genetic resources to be worked with) and evaluation scales.	out on the rescue and introduction of traditional cassava and ipomeas varieties. The draft proposals for the 12 operational procedures were updated with the information obtained from the expeditions and the results of in situ conservation. Validation by the competent institutions is still pending.	S
Outcome 2.1 Increased adoption of production systems that integrate biodiversity conservation through the creation of connectivity corridors, bringing together agricultural and natural ecosystems.	Number of hectares of production landscapes that integrate biodiversity (including ABD and CWR) conservation and sustainable use into their management with certification	0	15,000 hectares (10% managed by women) with SCPI at the initial stage	30,000 hectares (20% managed by women) with SCPI approach and certified through PGS.	The number of hectares certified increased. During the reporting period, 6,881 new hectares were certified for soil-conserving agricultural practices under the SCPI approach. Of the 16,373 hectares committed to SCPI, 10,972.43 have been certified to date (13% managed by women).	MS
	% of farmers with increased confidence in innovative sustainable practices (higher productivity and sustainable	0	50 % of producers, (of which 20% men) committed to the application of SCPI practices.	50% of producers from selected cooperatives (20% of whom are women) with increased confidence in SCPI practices (2715 producers in all).	Three new farms and 55 new producers join SCPI. To date, there are 1907 producers committed to SCPI. This year, the project has benefited producers not directly linked to the project with training and points of sale for the commercialization of	

	natural				products resulting from SCPI	
	resources				practices, so it is expected	
	management				that the number of	
	are possible).				commitments will increase	
					for the next period.	
	Number of		Conditions	800 producers (of whom	To date, more than 900	
	producers who		created in	20% women) improve their	producers from the	
	increase their		production	incomes by 30%.	companies and individual	
	income		systems for		farmers continue to improve	
	through a		implement		their incomes (30% women).	
	implementatio		ation of		They have received a total of	
	n of "Save and		SCPI		15,140,630.47 CUP in	
	Grow"				payments for environmental	MS
	approach				services (about 630,859 US\$	IVIS
	(including				at the official exchange	
	access to new				rate).	
	markets)					
					This figure represents an	
					increase of about 20% in the	
					average income of the	
					producers.	
Outcome 3.1.	<u>Indicator</u>	Despite the	PGR and	Decision-making bodies have	A report was prepared with	
Enhanced inter-	BD4.9.	existence of laws	AGR	analytical documents that	the results of the diagnostic	
institutional	The degree to	on plant and	regulatory	serve as input to ensure that	conducted in the	
coordination, closer	which sector	animal genetic	framework	new plant and animal	implementation areas to	
technical	policies and	resources, their	survey and	genetic resource bills	assess the level of	
cooperation and	regulatory	implementation	study	incorporate agrobiodiversity	knowledge of the	
regulatory	frameworks	is poor, inter-		conservation principles.	stakeholders on the legal	
coherence have	incorporate	ministerial			and regulatory framework	
supported the	biodiversity	institutional		At least two decree laws on	related to the project.	S
mainstreaming of		frameworks do		the use and conservation of		
agro-biodiversity		not secure		PGRs and AGRs	Work was carried out on	
conservation and		implementation			new activities related to the	
use in public		processes at			conservation of genetic	
policie s.		national and			resources within the	
		local level and			framework of the new Food	
		there are no laws			Security Law, which was	
					adopted in May 2022, and	

2023 Project Implementation Report

			on agrobiodiversity			its complementary legislation.	
Pro im les go do	putcome 4.1: roject nplemented, essons learned and ood practices ocumented and isseminated	Project results show sustainability		40% of project results achieved	100 % of project results achieved	- About 60 % of the project's expected results are completed. An adaptive management strategy to face COVID was implemented which allowed to advance in some outcomes , but it could not prevent a deviation from the implementation logic foreseen in the project document , especially the qualitative completion of the expected results. Progress has been made in capacity building, soil certification hectares and increasing the genetic pools of the targeted species.	MS

Measures taken to address MS, MU, U and HU ratings on Section 2

Outcome	Action(s) to be taken	By whom?	By when?
Outcome 1.1. Plant and animal genetic resources for food and agriculture are better known in Cuba.	Conduct new expeditions to complete the information gathered.	FAO, MINAG, PMU	Q2Y5
Indicator 1: Strengthened capacities of research institutes (through hands-on experience	Plan new specialized training activities: -Sustainable management (ISPA), based on the new machinery acquiredGoat and pork processing and the value chains of their products.	FAO, MINAG, PMU (Misiones a Chile, España y Argentina)	Q1Y5 Q2Y5
Outcome 1.1. Plant and animal genetic resources for food and agriculture are better known in Cuba. Indicator 2: Conservation and diversity status of target species.	Validation of the 12 planned operational procedures. Prepare maps showing the location of collections, production units and wild relatives.	FAO, MINAG, PMU	Q3Y5
Indicator: Number of hectares of production landscapes that integrate biodiversity (including ABD and CWR) conservation and sustainable use into their management with certification	Continue the certification of areas through sustainable land management.	MINAG	Q3Y5
Outcome 2.1 Increased adoption of production systems that integrate biodiversity conservation through the creation of connectivity corridors, bringing together agricultural and natural ecosystems.	Coordinate a training plan for the operation of SCPI machinery	FAO, MINAG	Q1Y5
Indicator: Number of producers who increase their income through the implementation of "Save and Grow" approach (including access to new markets)			

3. Implementation Progress (IP)
(Please indicate progress achieved during this FY as per the Implementation Plan/Annual Workplan)

Outcomes and Outputs ¹⁴	Indicators (as per the Logical Framework)	Annual Target (as per the annual Work Plan)	Main achievements ¹⁵ (please DO NOT repeat results reported in previous year PIR)	Describe any variance ¹⁶ in delivering outputs
Outcome 1.1. Plant and animal genetic resources for food and agriculture are better known in Cuba.	Indicator 1: Strengthened capacities of research institutes (through hands-on experience)		-Training was provided on various topics: Methodological bases for the creation of value chains; markets and local products; diagnosis of seed flows and seed exchange among producers; insemination methodology for the Cuban Brown Rabbit; gender; agroecological policies; soil salinity; use of the insemination kit, management and conservation of wild relatives and on the legal and regulatory framework related to the protected area system. - A collaboration was established with the Dutch organization Salt Doctors, specialized in the diagnosis, recovery and management of saline soils, which allowed the diagnosis of productive soils in Ciénaga de Zapata, as well as a toolbox of good practices to be used for the training of technicians and producers in the management of saline soils.	training on SCPI have suffered a delay due to the recent arrival of agricultural machinery in the country. Therefore, these actions will be reprogrammed
	Indicator 2: Conservation and diversity status of target species.		- Location and geo-referencing of wild relatives in PAs (three expeditions carried out during the period).	Four of the 11 expeditions planned in the WP, affected by the COVID and the

¹⁴Outputs as described in the project Logframe or in any approved project revision.

¹⁵Please use the same unit of measurement of the project indicators as per the approved Implementation Plan or Annual Workplan. Please be concise (max one or two short sentence with main achievements)

¹⁶Variance refers to the difference between the expected and actual progress at the time of reporting.

			 Collection of genetic material, information for floristic inventory of wild relatives. Identified new wild relatives. Increase in the number of specimens in genetic reserves. Increase in the number of melipona beehives. 	energy crisis in the country, are still pending. Some of the outputs associated with these results will not be achieved in the planned time frame.
Output 1.1.1 Analysis of globally- important plant and animal species** living in Cuba, their valuation and ways and means for conservation	Number of researchers and technicians trained in the practice of evaluating ABD and facilitating its conservation as well as implementing SCPI.	Q2Y3	342 stakeholders trained (167 men and 175 women, 51% female participation) During the evaluation period, 13 training activities were carried out, covering 11 topics. 1-Western Workshop for the Training of Trainers for the territorialization of the State Plan for Food Security (SSAN) and the SSAN Law. 3-Diagnosis of seed flows and seed exchange between producers. 4-Territorial exchange with international experts. 5- Participation of the project stakeholders in the AGROPAT event. 6-Participation of the project actors in the XII International Congress of Agrarian Law. 7-Gender workshop 8-National workshop on the mid-term evaluation of COBIMAS. 9-Theoretical-practical exchange at the Vista Hermosa farm with project stakeholders 10-Consultation on Agroecological Policy 11-Workshops to prepare the wild relatives and floristic inventory.	Specialized training on SCPI postponed to Q4Y5

	research strengthening their nal capacities.	Two new institutions were strengthened, the Soil Institute and the Agroforestry Research Institute. - It was agreed to establish a new demonstration farm (for salinity studies) at CCS Antonio Mauri in Ciénaga de Zapata, which will be supported with equipment and supplies.	
collections,	expeditions, samples and field in intervention	- Three expeditions were carried out during this period. Expedition to Santi Spiritus: 366 identifications in the PA inventory. Of these, 5 Annonaceae, 7 Ipomeae and 1 Capsicum are targeted by the project. In the different production units (buffer zones), traditional varieties of manioc, maize and Ipomeas were identified (producers exchange seeds). In the municipality of Meneses, S. Spíritus, plantations of 632 individuals were observed, including seedlings and juveniles in development, of anon criollo (local Annonaceae). Expedition to the Delta del Cauto Five melon collections in 6 farms. Corn collections in 7 farms. Other species were collected (not targeted by the project, but with traditional value and as polyculture and crop rotation, as well as nutrient fixers). Cienaga de Zapata Annonaceae, Ipomeas and Chili pepper were found in the PAs and in the farmers' yards.	Deferred to Q4Y5 pending expeditions

Noveles of a societies and	0.472	From the second of the second	
Number of population and	Q4Y3	Four ecosystems, corresponding to the four PAs of	
ecosystem inventories and		the project, were partially characterized.	
characterizations.		Characterization of the populations of species and	
		varieties in the production units.	
		Identification of wild relatives of Anonaceae,	
		Ipomoeas and the genus Oryza.	
		A large group of species populations (more than	
		300) that make up the PA ecosystem were located	
		and georeferenced, along with the wild relatives of	
		the PGRs targeted by the project.	
		The diversity of localized wild relatives, their main	
		habitats and conservation data of species of the	
		genera Annona, Ipomoea, Capsicum and Oryza were	
		characterized as priority groups to be evaluated by	
		the project. In addition, other species of importance	
		as phytogenic food resources of the genera Citrus,	
		Dioscorea, Vigna, Vanilla, Pouteria, Eugenia,	
		Malpighia, Acrocomia, Passiflora and Vitis are	
		documented and will be used for studies and	
		evaluations in future stages.	
		-Among the production units were: rice (435 ha),	
		ipomeas (105 ha), maize (81 ha), cassava (126 ha),	
		chili (19 ha), melon (34 ha). In the case of anonaceae,	
		3900 seedlings were transplanted.	
		The counting accounts for the game direction of form	
		- The genetic reserves for the reproduction of four	
		animal genetic resources used by the project were	
		monitored. Specimens were collected in different	
		parts of the country. At the end of June 2022, there	
		were	

			- Creole goats: 430 crossbred and 30 purebred goats were incorporated (APRF-DC and in SSP). - Creole pigs: 32 initial and after 8 farrowing there are more than 100 specimens in CZ. This includes pigs sold by ECOCIEZAP to independent producers for subsequent breeding in their own production units. - Cubalaya: 80 (SSP) and 10 in DC. - Brown Creole rabbits: 130 animals - The first inseminations were performed on 10 specimens of the Cuban Brown genotype (Orictolagus cuniculus), in the Zapata Swamp,
	Number of catalogues produced	Q4Y3	A georeferenced catalog of the two implementation zones where the floristic inventory was carried out has been prepared. It must be validated
-	Number of maps containing spatial information on species	Q4Y3	The first 14 map layers have been elaborated. PA Ciénaga de Zapata: 1. Location of the Productive Units 2. Plant genetic Resources by producer. 3. Plant genetic Resources per village 4. Animal genetic resources by town 5. Expedition floristic inventory (including wild relatives) 6. Soil maps 7. Geomorphology 8. Land use 9. Vegetation 10. Geology 11. Population Settlements PA Jobo Rosado and Refugio de Fauna Tunas de Zaza (Sancti Spiritus):

			12. Location of the farms 13. Expedition floristic inventory
Output 1.1.4. Existing plant and animal breeding programs supported for the adaptive trials of advanced lines and production of early generation seeds.	Number of improvement programmes linked to varieties and/or breeds identified as bearers of genes potentially tolerant to abiotic stress conditions.	Q4Y5	A strategy for the conservation of the project's RGAA has been agreed upon to contribute to the improvement plans of each: AGR: Creole Pig. The roadmap for the recovery of the Creole dark pig has been established, in accordance with the National Genetic Improvement Plan for this breed. PGR: Cabezada melon. Carried out a collection and reproduction of seeds in INIFAT for its characterization. The production and transplanting of 3,000 anon, 600 cherimoya and 300 soursop plants has been documented. The recovery and repopulation of these species from their state of underutilized species is in place. Identification, geo-referencing and collection of the guanábana cimarrona (anonaceae) as a wild relative that can potentially contribute genes for tolerance to climate change.
Output 1.1.5. A knowledge management platform designed for monitoring and analysing factors of agrobiodiversity conservation and use, and alerts for major threats.	Number of PA agrobiodiversity management programmes, including buffer zones and bridging elements.	Q4Y5	Two so far: -In the Delta del Cauto PA (Fauna Refuge), the management plan includes the protection of animal and plant species of economic interest that are not protected, although they are present in the communities within the PA and the buffer zone A first draft has been prepared for the inclusion of the Creole pig in the Ciénaga de Zapata PA management plan.

	Number of national knowledge management centers and monitoring centers	Q4Y5	4 centers established Knowledge Management Centers (4) are operating in each of the project implementation areas, strengthened with new books, magazines, technical manuals and information materials, as well as IT resources. - A new demonstration farm (for salinity studies) has been established at CCS Antonio Mauri in Ciénaga de Zapata, supported with equipment and inputs.	
	National network of agrobiodiversity sponsors	Q4Y5	A platform is being developed that will be anchored in the GEFF headquarters along with the website.	
Outcome 2.1 Increased adoption of production systems that integrate biodiversity conservation through the creation of connectivity corridors, bringing together agricultural and natural ecosystems.	Number of hectares of production landscapes that integrate biodiversity (including ABD and CWR) conservation and sustainable use into their management with certification		Total certified area (accumulated with territorial endorsements) - Ciénaga de Zapata 148.50 ha - Sancti Spiritus 3,624.73 ha - Granma 7,199.20 ha TOTAL 10,972.43 ha There are 16,373 ha committed to the implementation of the SCPI approach (13% managed by women). Total area under sustainable management: 27345.43 ha	
	% of farmers with increased confidence in innovative sustainable practices (higher productivity and sustainable natural resources management are possible).		Six farms are incorporated, for a total of 15 beneficiary producers. The creation and strengthening of points of sale for the commercialization of products based on the ISPA approach is available. The project has accompanied the productive base in training on value chains, which has helped to create confidence in the new jobs that will result from these points of sale.	Outputs related to specialized training on SCPI are delayed and should be completed in the coming periods.

Output 2.1.1.	Number of producers who increase their income through a implementation of "Save and Grow" approach (including access to new markets)	Q4Y1	To date, economic benefits have been linked to payments for environmental services. The expected benefits from value chains and access to new markets are still in the pipeline. These are considered key to the sustainability of the project. The certifications allowed for a total cumulative income from 2019 to 2022 of \$15,140,630.47 CUP. Thus, between the producers of the CCS, the Flora and Fauna companies and other producers in the implementation areas not directly linked to the project, there are more than 900 producers (about 30% of them are women). The diagnosis and selection of the areas where ISPA	Results associated with new products related to agrobiodiversity have been delayed.
A landscape production strategy agreed by stakeholders, with particular attention to gender and youth, applying the Save and	Diagnosis and strategy of productive systems in intervention sites	Q4Y1	machinery will be used has been carried out	
Grow approach. Output 2.1.2 Sustainable agricultural intensification practices along the lines of the Save and Grow principles and practices piloted, tested, adapted to the context, and scaled-up including conservation corridors	Number of practices proven and implemented in intervention areas.	Q4Y5	17 practices to date. In addition to the 13 practices implemented in previous years, 4 more are being implemented: - Rainwater harvesting and use Composting - Crop rotation - Live barriers	
and ecosystem connectivity.	Number of conservation corridors and ecosystem bridges established	Q4Y5	Monitoring Centers Delta del Cauto PA (4): -Viramas Biological Station -Lionero Biological Station	

	Number of Crop Wild Relatives Reserves devoted to <i>in situ</i>	Q4Y5	3. UEB San Isidro, C. Zapata: Conservation of the Creole pig (40 specimens). The unit sells specimens to local producers for reproduction in their respective farms. Guamito, Delta del Cauto: Sheds for the breeding and conservation of Creole goats and Cubalaya hens. Rancho Querete Unit, SSP: 8 visits were made in different months of the year 2022 to the APRM Jobo	
	conservation in PAs (wild relatives)		Rosado to identify the phenological state of the Guanábana Cimarrona specimens, ripe fruits were collected in the months of October and November and seeds were placed in bags. There are 60 postures of Guanábana Cimarrona, distributed in 2 micro nurseries.	
Output 2.1.4. Capacity development programme for rural communities, cooperatives and protected areas managers on management, incentives and best practices/technologies, with a gender focus.	Number of farmers and extension workers trained in SCPI and ABD conservation.	Q3Y3	Training sessions were held in the following areas: -Marketing and value chain workshop -Seed flow diagnostics and seed exchange between producersPresentations and training of project stakeholders at the AGROPAT eventPresentations and training of project stakeholders at the XII International Congress of Agricultural LawGender Workshop National mid-term evaluation workshop on project impact -Theoretical and practical exchange with project stakeholders at the Vista Hermosa farmConsultation room on agroecological policies. Total 287 (138 men and 149 women)	

			Cumulative total 827
	Percentage of producers including new management practices and conservation technologies based on training received.	Q4Y5	50 new producers were incorporated in 2022/23, 9.4% compared to 2021/22.
Output 2.1.5. Value chains for new products related to agrobiodiversity developed to promote the conservation of agroecosystem in rural production.	Number of production and marketing chains set up.	Q4Y5	The sites for the mini-industries have already been identified and the feasibility study and technical task for Creole pork are underway. The technical task for the production of Creole goat cheese has already been prepared. Main markets identified: 1-Hotels in the northern keys of SSP (sale contracts in course). Online sales and export are also an important source of marketing. 2- Work has been carried out on the marketing of melons in the municipal and provincial market networks, in addition to contracts with hotels and other tourist centres (Granma). 3- Supplying the Playa Girón Hotel (Ciénaga de Zapata).
	Number of people in selected production and marketing chains (excluding agricultural producers) who received economic benefits based on their implementation with -an equity focus	Q4Y5	To date, economic benefits have resulted from payments for environmental services.
Outcome 3.1. Enhanced interinstitutional coordination, closer technical cooperation and	Indicator BD4.9. The degree to which sector policies and regulatory frameworks incorporate biodiversity considerations.		The project has achieved a high level of impact in terms of integrating genetic resources and biodiversity conservation approaches into sectoral policies and regulatory frameworks. This has been

regulatory coherence have supported the mainstreaming of agrobiodiversity conservation			extended to a State Plan and a new Law on Food and Nutrition Security to be adopted in 2022. During the current period, we have worked on	
and use in public policies.			The publication of a multimedia file with the updated legal and regulatory framework of the project.	
			Preparation of a document with the results of the diagnostic carried out in the implementation zones to evaluate the level of knowledge of the stakeholders on the legal and regulatory framework related to the project.	
			Documentation of the contributions of the COBIMAS project to the implementation of Decree Law No. 388 on Plant Genetic Resources for Food, Agriculture and Seeds and Decree Law No. 387 on the Conservation, Genetic Improvement and Sustainable Use of Animal Genetic Resources.	
			Conducted a training workshop on the legal aspects of managing the AGRs and local sustainable production.	
Output 3.1.1. A detailed review of the current legal and regulatory frameworks on agrobiodiversity conservation and sustainable agriculture.	Number of local workshops for coordination with local stakeholders and beneficiaries over the current status of the legal and regulatory framework associated with agrobiodiversity conservation.	Q4Y5	-Workshop in the Center-East for the training of the trainers for the territorialization of the SSAN plan within the framework of the SSAN LawPresentation of papers and training of project stakeholders at the XII International Congress on Agrarian Law.	

	Number of documents on the BD regulatory framework in Cuba reviewed	Q4Y5	 National mid-term evaluation workshop on the impact of the project Consultation room on agro-ecological policies. National workshop on legal and regulatory frameworks related to agrobiodiversity conservation. A total of 91 documents have been reviewed to date. During this period, information from surveys of various stakeholders was processed to assess their level of knowledge on the subject. The results were 	
Output 3.1.2. A road-map for legal and regulatory coherence within the country (on	Current regulatory framework diagnosis and evaluation document.	Q4Y5	disseminated through workshops. 100% completed	
agrobiodiversity conservation and sustainable agriculture).	Workshops to validate the proposed road map with local stakeholders and beneficiaries.	Q4Y5	A multimedia with the legal baseline related to the project's actions has been prepared. It was presented at the workshop on the subject on March 28 and 29, 2023.	
Output 3.1.3. A manual that illustrates the process of sustainable management of production landscapes to facilitate the scale-up at national level.	Technical Manual	Q4Y5	Progress is being made on the draft of this document.	
Outcome 4.1. Project implemented, lessons learned and good practices documented and disseminated.	Project results show sustainability			Documentation of life experiences could not be completed because of the limitations of COVID 19.

Output 4.1.1: The project management,	Project launch workshop	Q1Y1	Carried out in April 2019
monitoring and evaluation system works to provide systematic information on	Half-yearly progress reports	Q3Y3	4 PPR and 3 PIR .
progress made to achieve planned results and objectives	Steering Committee meetings	Q3Y3	Meetings held: one in each year. 2019-2022
Output 4.1.2:	Mid-term review		Mid-term evaluation conducted
Mid-term review and final evaluations carried out, finalized implementation and sustainability strategies tailored to recommendations.	Final evaluation		
Output 4.1.3:	Project logo	Q1Y1	Validated at the inception workshop
Communication strategy and dissemination of project actions	Project newsletters	Q1Y3	Preparation of TV spots, public service announcements and other audio-visual materials for the project in the three provinces.
	Website	<u>Q3Y3</u>	Under construction
Output 4.1.4: Publication of best practice and lessons learned	Number of annual publications on best practices and lessons learned and other technical publications with gender and intercultural approach.	Q4Y5	Two manuals on post-harvest handling of fruits (anonaceae and melon) are in preparation. More than 30 publications on social networks

4. Summary on Progress and Ratings

Please provide a summary paragraph on progress, challenges and outcomes of project implementation consistent with the information reported in sections 2 and 3 of the PIR (max 400 words)

The adaptive management strategy implemented as a result of COVID did not paralyze the technical implementation of the project and even allowed it to advance in some objectives, but it could not prevent a deviation from the implementation logic foreseen in the project document and, above all, the qualitative completion of the expected results.

Component 1. Progress has been made in strengthening the project units in terms of equipment and capacity building. Training has been provided on various topics. Information on the biodiversity of wild plants and crops managed in the PAs has been enriched. The expeditions that will allow the validation of operational regulations, the characterization of populations and ecosystems in the intervention areas, and the completion of maps and georeferenced data are still pending. Specialized training on the machinery acquired for the SCPI is also pending.

Component 2. New hectares were certified under SCPI (10,972.43 ha certified). The certifications allowed a total accumulated income of 15,140,630.47 CUP, benefiting more than 900 producers. The herds of the genetic reserves were increased. Sales points for the commercialization of SCPI production were created. Due to irregularities caused by COVID, the technical tasks, permits and licenses and civil infrastructure to be provided by the government have not been completed, which has delayed the importation of technology for the mini-industries, which will require more time than expected to start up.

Component 3. Legislative review completed. A multimedia containing the updated legal and regulatory framework of the project and a document with the results of the diagnostic study of the implementation zones were prepared. Training has been provided on legal issues related to the management of the AGRBD and local sustainable production. Contributions to the new food security law to be approved in 2022 are being prepared.

Component 4: The central strategy of this component was to document the life experiences of the producers from the beginning of the project until its completion. The first audiovisual capsules were completed during this period. More time is needed for the implementation of the project to allow for a follow-up in this regard, including the incentives that the project has yet to provide in terms of training, inputs, minimustries, etc. The publication of best practices and lessons learned is also outdated.

Development Objective (DO) Ratings, Implementation Progress (IP) Ratings and Overall Assessment

Please note that the overall DO and IP ratings should be substantiated by evidence and progress reported in the Section 2 and Section 3 of the PIR. For DO, the ratings and comments should reflect the overall progress of project results.

	FY2023 Development Objective rating ¹⁷	FY2023 Implementation Progress rating ¹⁸	Comments/reasons ¹⁹ justifying the ratings for FY2023 and any changes (positive or negative) in the ratings since the previous reporting period
Project Manager / Coordinator	MS	S	The project continues to progress both quantitatively and qualitatively. Although progress has been made, COBIMAS needs more time to complete the activities that will have the greatest impact on the sustainability of the project. An extension of 18 months is proposed.
Budget Holder	MS	S	The relevance of the results expected in the project design has been demonstrated, especially in the current national context, where both the conservation of agrobiodiversity and a more sustainable management of agriculture are priorities of the Cuban Government, clearly reflected in the recently approved State Plan for Food and Nutrition Sovereignty (SSAN Plan). An extension of 18 months is proposed to allow the project to achieve its milestones.
GEF Operational Focal Point ²⁰	S	S	The joint coordination of the COBIMAS project by FAO and MINAG has made it possible to make progress in the implementation of the project, despite the exceptional circumstances that the country has been experiencing. COBIMAS is a national reference for the sustainable management of agrobiodiversity, complementing national efforts to integrate biodiversity into development plans. It is also a reference for the design of new projects that we have carried out for GEF 7 and GEF 8.

¹⁷**Development Objectives Rating** –A rating of the extent to which a project is expected to achieve or exceed its major objectives. For more information on ratings and definitions, please refer to Annex 1.

¹⁸Implementation Progress Rating – A rating of the extent to which the implementation of a project's components and activities is in compliance with the projects approved implementation plan. For more information on ratings and definitions, please refer to Annex 1.

¹⁹Please ensure that the ratings are based on evidence

²⁰In case the GEF OFP didn't provide his/her comments, please explain the reason.

Lead Technical Officer ²¹	MS	S	Project advances in this reporting period have been successful according to the national context. Adaptive management in order to work with different regions and descentralized technical teams are one important strategy in order to guarantee project implementation. In addition, stress the importance of the institutional support is other important results during this reporting period, including technical training to support national institutions in soil capacities, include ISPA approach, among others. Project extension will help to finalized the implementation strategy according national context. It is important to develop an action plan in order to ensure that the 18 months of extension period will ensure a complete a successfully implementation stage of all the project funds.
GEF Technical Officer, GTO (ex Technical FLO)	MS	S	During the reporting period, institutional and producers capacity building, soil certification hectares and increasing of biodiversity species were relevant advances to mention. Promote project results among producers that not be project beneficiaries are key activities linked to disseminate best practices promote replicability. It is important to work in an exit strategy, systematization and stories of success, including co benefits such as producer's increasing of income using sustainable producing practices, farmer fields school used with beneficiaries and other producers and potential links between agrobiodiversity recovery, slow food and tourism and women's role in these processes. Despite the fact that this project report advances during this reporting period, it is necessary to develop a strategy to speed up fieldwork during the requested extension period with the producers, considering national context with a limited mobility due to lack of fuel in the country. This action plan must include an adequate exit strategy that promotes the replicability of best sustainable production practices and increases the recovery of genetic resources already identified and worked during the implementation period.

 $^{^{\}rm 21}$ The LTO will consult the HQ technical officer and all other supporting technical Units.

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards (ESS)

This section is under the responsibility of the LTO (PMU to draft)

Please describe the progress made to comply with the approved ESM plan. Note that only projects with <u>moderate</u> or <u>high</u> Environmental and Social Risk, approved from June 2015 should have submitted an ESM plan/table at CEO endorsement. This does not apply to <u>low</u> risk projects. Please indicate if new risks have emerged during this FY.

Social & Environmental Risk Impacts identified at CEO Endorsement	Expected mitigation measures	Actions taken during this FY	Remaining measures to be taken	Responsibility
ESS 1: Natural Resource Management				
ESS 2: Biodiversity, Ecosystems and Natural Ha	abitats			
ESS 3: Plant Genetic Resources for Food and A	griculture			
ESS 4: Animal - Livestock and Aquatic - Genetic	Resources for Food and Ag	riculture		
ESS 5: Pest and Pesticide Management				
ESS 6: Involuntary Resettlement and Displacer	nent			
ESS 7: Decent Work				
ESS 8: Gender Equality				
ESS 9: Indigenous Peoples and Cultural Heritage	ge			
New ESS risks that have emerged during this F	Υ			

In case the project did not include an ESM Plan at CEO endorsement stage, please indicate:

Initial ESS Risk classification	Current ESS risk classification
(At project submission)	Please indicate if the Environmental and Social Risk classification is still valid ²² . If not, what is the new
	classification and explain.
Low	Moderate

Please report if any grievance was received as per FAO and GEF ESS policies. If yes, please indicate how it is being/has been addressed.

No grievance received to date

²²Important :please note that if the Environmental and Social Risk classification has changed, the ESM Unit (<u>Esm-unit@fao.org</u>) should be contacted. The project shall prepare or amend an Environmental and Social Management Plan(ESMP) or other ESS instruments and management tools based on the new risk classification(please refer to page 13 https://www.fao.org/3/cb9870en/cb9870en.pdf)

6. Risks

The following table summarizes risks identified in the Project Document and reflects also any new risks identified during the project implementation (including COVID-19 related risks). The last column should be used to provide additional details concerning manifestation of the risk in the project, as relevant.

	Type of risk	Risk rating ²³	Identified in the ProDoc Y/N	Mitigation Actions	Progress on mitigation actions	Notes from the Budget Holder in consultation with Project Management Unit
1	Institutional risk at local and national level: coordination difficulties between participating national institutions, cooperatives, farmers' organizations, local businesses and governments as a result of territorial competences, conflicts of interest, misinformation or changes of officials.	L	У	The project will establish a permanent mechanism of inter-institutional coordination between participants promoting integration, information exchange and partnership at local and national level. - joint drawing up of proposals for sustainable management of agrobiodiversity (local and national) - Operation of multi-stakeholder working spaces to promote communication and partnership. - joint actions for the exchange of experiences and learning (workshops, trips and tours) - strategy of communication and dissemination of project contributions and knowledge gained	-Technical committees for interinstitutional coordination were established - An excellent level of communication is maintained between the national coordination and the regional coordinators. - Interaction between producers in the different areas of intervention has been proactively maintained since the Inception Workshop in April 2019. Joint virtual activities are being conducted	
2	Risks due to weather events (which may or may not be as a result of climate change): possibility of occurrence of	M	Υ	The recommendations established in the local studies of vulnerability and risk will be followed to face severe climate events. These studies carried out by experts from the Ministry of Environment and other institutions	 No severe weather events have occurred to date. The Ministry of Environment is one of the strategic partners of the project and has been proactively 	

²³ Risk ratings means a rating of accesses the overall risk of factors internal or external to the project which may affect implementation or prospects for achieving project objectives. Risk of projects should be rated on the following scale: Low, Moderate, Substantial or High. For more information on ratings and definitions please refer to Annex 1.

	extreme events such as droughts and hurricanes that involve significant changes in the project's natural baseline conditions			in each municipality are available in each intervention project area. - Project Infrastructure works for minimustries, storage and ecology stations will be reinforced in accordance with nationally established guidelines and regulations to face hurricanes and floods. -The Save and Grow approach contains per se several practices which improves the resilience of the agro-ecosystems. - Crop planting activities will be planned observing the behavior of rain patterns and local experience. -special measures for the water storage and its disposal during the dry period will be taken	accompanying the project implementation.	
3	Risks for biodiversity. Possible conflicts between the interests of conservationists and natural resource users.	L	Y	- Establishment of agreements and plans between interested parties Demonstration of shared benefits and responsibilities. Training and awareness processes with all relevant stakeholders Inclusion of local experts in each area with capacity to implement a participatory and self-restructuring approachInclusion of community interests in restructuring actions Management of agrobiodiversity to promote employment and income sustainably Drawing up of lists of permitted species for silvopastoriles systems, excluding those that could behave invasively even when already present in agro-systems and protected areas - Establish strict measures for GRFA in terms of scaling up and conservation.	Cooperation agreements have been signed with the main entities in charge of certifying and accompanying the processes related to the GRFA	
4	Social risk: Producers and inhabitants show no interest in agrobiodiversity conservation	L	Υ	- Promotion of methods of practical and cooperative learning between producers,	The support of new sustainable agricultural practices shown by producers is very positive. In the initial surveys conducted, 95% of the	A greater gender analysis was concluded during PY2 and a new gender action plan

	strategies for fair and sustainable development			technicians and officials with new innovative strategies. - Knowledge management platform with participation of all stakeholders. - Strengthening of capacities and infrastructure to reduce obstacles to adoption. - Prioritize women and young people with opportunities for access to resources and capacity-building. - Promote strategies to support women and domestic–family activity developed by local stakeholders and the FMC (Cuban Women's Federation) -Promote policy changes to drive sustainable ABD management	producers surveyed reported an interest in introducing SCPI methods	to better target gender impacts is been developed .
5	New Risk Epidemiological risk: Project activities postponed by quarantine measures established due to the COVID-19	M	N	1-Implementation of alternatives for face-to-face activities that generate risks of contagion to COVID-19. 2- Adjusting of the affected activities in the Work Plan from the with a synergistic approach to avoid affecting those already planned for . 3- Identification of measures to support the country's recovery to COVID-19 with a green recovery approach	1- Alternatives of virtual meetings have been implemented most of the Workshops carried out where virtual. 2- The field activities of the project are been rescheduled, according to recovery phases conceived by the Cuban government. Planned training activities abroad and those requiring international consultants are still postponed. They, and should be considered on a case-by-case basis, according to the new epidemiological scenario caused by the COVID19. No viable alternatives have yet been identified in the short-term. 3- The project interventions are included in the contingency plan prepared by the UN Resident Coordinator	

Project overall risk rating (Low, Moderate, Substantial or High):

FY2022ra ting	FY2023rat ing	Comments/reason for the rating for FY2022and any changes (positive or negative) in the rating since the previous reporting period	
M	М	New unforeseen epidemiological circumstances are still affecting the implementation of the project during the second semester of 2021 and the first quarter of 2022.	

7. Follow-up on Mid-term review or supervision mission (only for projects that have conducted an MTR)

If the project had an MTR or a supervision mission, please report on how the recommendations were implemented during this fiscal year as indicated in the Management Response or in the supervision mission report.

During the report period (in May 2023), the Mid-Term Review (MTR) was carried out and will analysed the results obtained by the project up of today.

At the time the PIR is presented, the final reviewed MTR report is under review. the response to the administration is under preparation. The next PIR (July 2024) will present the progress made in the implementation of the action plan to comply with the MTR recommendations.

Has the project developed an	
Exit Strategy? If yes, please	No
summarize	

8. Minor project amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the GEF Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines²⁴. Please describe any minor changes that the project has made under the relevant category or categories and provide supporting documents as an annex to this report if available.

Category of change	Provide a description of the change	Indicate the timing of the change	Approved by
Results framework			
Components and cost			
Institutional and implementation arrangements			
Financial management			
Implementation schedule			
Executing Entity			
Executing Entity Category			
Minor project objective change			
Safeguards			
Risk analysis			
Increase of GEF project financing up to 5%			
Co-financing			
Location of project activity			
Otherminor project amendment (define)			

²⁴ Source: https://www.thegef.org/council-meeting-documents/guidelines-project-and-program-cycle-policy-2020-update

9. Stakeholders' Engagement

Please report on progress and results and challenges on stakeholder engagement (based on the description of the Stakeholder engagement plan) included at CEO Endorsement/Approval <u>during this reporting period</u>.

Stakeholder name	Role in project execution	Progress and results on Stakeholders' Engagement	Challenges on stakeholder engagement					
Government Institutions	Government Institutions							
Ministry of Agriculture Department of Science and Engineering (MINAG).	Project implementing partner. Co-financier. Member of the Project Steering Committee. Responsible for implementing baseline initiatives and guiding project activities according to sectorial policies at municipal, provincial and national levels. It will act as a link between state organizations involved in the project, carrying out actions for the purpose of meeting the schedule. It will support all project components.	Has remained throughout the execution of the project as the main partner and the most important political actor. It has also been key in carrying out the adaptive management strategy that has been carried out to face the implementation challenges left by the pandemic. Important contributions in terms of cofinancing.						
Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment (CITMA)	Member of the Project Steering Committee. Responsible for implementing baseline initiatives and guiding state environmental policies. Methodological guide for biodiversity conservation.	Has continued to accompany the project both methodologically and by establishing important synergies with environmental projects that are being implemented.						
"Alejandro de Humboldt" Institute of Fundamental Research on Tropical Agriculture (INIFAT)	Leading research centre and technical partner. Member of the Steering Committee. Cofinancing party. Responsible for implementing and supervising research and development activities in the three components as well as compiling collected data and enhancing capacity-building activities through the contribution of specialists and researchers. Government-authorized centre responsible for	Is the hosting institution of the PMU. It has played an important role in the ex situ conservation conducted, both in terms of training and the contribution of its facilities and laboratories. It has contributed significantly to the establishment and operation of the knowledge management centres.						

	custodianship of plant germplasm.		
National Flora and Fauna Protection Unit (GEFF)	Entity in charge of administering project PAs. In charge of supervising conservation activities (component 1). Member of the Steering Committee. Cofinancier. Administers a proportion of production centres in areas of influence (Component 2). Responsible for implementing and supervising research and development activities in the three components as well as compiling collected data and supporting capacity-building activities through the contribution of specialists and researchers	It has been another pillar in the implementation of the project. Was responsible for the design, establishment and maintenance of the genetic pools and also for identifying and purchasing the AGR specimens for conservation and is in charge of the propagation centres for PGRs. It has made a significant contribution in terms of co-financing.	
Grain Research Institute (IIG	Research activities for developing the management of maize and rice crops to be worked on as part of the project.	Has supported the training processes	
Institute of Research on Tropical Fruit Cultivation	Research activities for developing the management of Anonaceae crops to be worked on as part of the project	Has supported the training processes	
Liliana Dimitrova¨ Horticultural Research Institute (IILD).	Research activities for developing the management of the melon (Citrullus lanatus) and chilli pepper to be worked on as part of the project.	Has supported the training processes	
Local government (people's power bodies at community, municipal and provincial level).	In charge of decisions of local scope stemming from the project. Provides spaces for exchange and cooperation between institutional stakeholders. Mediates between possible conflicts of interest between participating stakeholders. Provides certain types of logistical support.	They have been important partners in the strategy of adaptive management to address COVID. They are responsible for keeping the project running in the territories despite the quarantine measures and the fact that no new resources could arrive to support the project's actions during this period.	

Soil Management Department of the Ministry of Agriculture	Partner for the training in soil related issues and for the monitoring of soil quality in target areas and SCPI impacts.	They have accompanied the training processes. Their contribution has been fundamental in the certification of the hectares that today have this category.			
Non-Government organ	T	T	Т		
Top non-governmental organization representing the interests of farmers organized into farmers' cooperatives participating in the project. Provincial and Due to a significant role played in agricultural extension work through its organizations		Has supported the training processes			
Cuban Association of Agricultural and Forestry Engineers (ACTAF) (Municipal, Provincial and National) Entity to facilitate capacity-building in the various topics covered by the project (agro ecology, organic certification, extension work, etc.).		Has accompanied the training processes by providing important bibliography and inputs for the knowledge management centres.	Difficulties accompanying some of the project's face-to- face activities due to COVID 19 pandemic		
Cuban Association for Animal Production (ACPA) (Municipal, Provincial and National)	Entity to facilitate capacity building in topics relating to livestock activity and support native breed expansion actions.	Has supported the training processes by providing important bibliography and inputs for the knowledge management centres	Difficulties accompanying some of the project's face-to- face activities due to COVID 19 pandemic		
Cuban Women's Federation	NGO. To promote a leading role by women in production and marketing activities, linked to project tasks. It will help to ensure that employment sources are distributed fairly between genders.	Has accompanied the training processes. It is a key partner in the operationalization of the gender actions planned by the project.	Difficulties accompanying some of the project's face-to- face activities due to COVID 19 pandemic		
New stakeholders identified/engaged					
Vista Hermosa Ranch Vista Hermosa Ranch organic production of both agriculture and livestock.		They have been an important new partner for training by hosting a reference centre for the training of producers associated with the project and local producers in the production processes of goat cheese.			

10. Gender Mainstreaming

Information on Progress on Gender-responsive measures as documented at CEO Endorsement/Approval in the gender action plan or equivalent (when applicable) during this reporting period.

Category	Yes/No	Briefly describe progress and results achieved during this reporting period
Gender analysis or an equivalent socio- economic assessment made at formulation or during execution stages.	Y	As per recommended in PIR 1 a deeper gender and youth analysis was carried out in PY2 aimed at making the eventual gender gaps in the project areas/value chains more visible and tackle them in the next 4 years, as foreseen in the Project Document
Any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and women's empowerment?	Y	An evaluation was carried out in the intervention areas based on a methodology designed and validated by an EU-funded project, BASAL (Environmental Basis for Local Food Sustainability) to measure gender gaps and suggest actions/recommendations to reduce the gaps for achieving gender equity in Cuba's agricultural sector.
Indicate in which results area(s) the project is expected to contribute to gender equality (as identified at project design stage):		
a) closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources	Υ	It is conceived in all the projects outcomes/outputs and it is reflected in its indicators and assumptions In order to maximize the impact of the project on reducing gender disparities, specific and differentiated actions have been designed. These are mainly training and awareness-raising activities targeted in a differentiated manner at the productive base and the staff of the participating institutions.
		By the end of the project, it is expected that women in the intervention areas will be better empowered in managing natural resources at the local level, increasing their presence and leadership through their participation in the concrete conservation actions proposed by the project, both those related to genetic resources and more sustainable productions, while improving their income and access to markets, and having new job opportunities in the mini-industries and other initiatives that will be established. It is also expected that the role of women will be better

2023 Project Implementation Report

		safeguarded in the sectoral policies and programs to which the project will contribute.
b) improving women's participation and decision making	Y	The project promotes women leadership. Three of the four regional coordinators are women and 70 % of the PMU and CDN members are also women. Targets on women incorporation of women to the project activities are set.
c) generating socio-economic benefits or services for women	Y	More than 50% of the producers economically benefited in their personal incomes from the project interventions are women. This percentage should increase
M&E system with gender-disaggregated data?	Y	It is one of the main purposes of gender action plan
Staff with gender expertise	Y	FAO team and PMU have received specialized gender training. FAO Gender Focal point is involve in the follow —up of gender actions. A gender consultancy is foreseen in PY4. The National Coordination Team for the project includes 5 women, representing 80% of its professional members. All component coordinators and three of the four regional coordinators are women.
Any other good practices on gender		

11. Knowledge Management Activities

Knowledge activities / products (when applicable), as outlined in Knowledge Management Approach approved at CEO Endorsement / Approval during this reporting period.

Does the project have a knowledge management strategy? If not, how does the project collect and document good practices? Please list relevant good practices that can be learned and shared from the project thus far.

The project gathered during the first years information on the agricultural practices used by farmers and productions units—and its results in the target areas before the project intervention and it is being compared and documented during the second year to estimate the impact of the new SCPI practices introduced. It has been also documented the positive impact that simple SCPI measures, like natural fertilization, construction of live and dead barriers or deep subsoiling for land preparation among others have on the productivity and quality of productions. Based on those findings specific technical guidelines and operational procedures for SCPI practices are been developed for each intervention area.

With regard to AGR during the second year progress it's been reach on the development of a catalogue documented with infographics and data and list plant species and animal breeds of global importance and their wild relatives in each area of intervention, characterizing their importance for the ecosystem and their traditional uses by local inhabitants. The interaction with local producers and residents has been continued this year in other to gather local information and knowledge on species and/or varieties and breeds with genes potentially tolerant to abiotic stress conditions based on the traditional practical knowledge of producers. Field expeditions to confirm the information gathered are designed and planned and are pending on the epidemiological situation to be carried out.

Does the project have a communication strategy? Please provide a brief overview of the communications successes and challenges this year.

Due to the epidemiological situation in the country caused bay COVID 19 pandemic which remained until the first quarter of 2022, still was not possible to undertake the planned activities

Please share a human-interest story from your project, focusing on how the project has helped to improve people's livelihoods while contributing to achieving expected the Global Environmental Benefits. Please indicate any Socio-economic Cobenefits that were generated by the project. Include at least one beneficiary quote and perspective, and please also include related photos and photo credits.

Positive experiences are already identified and will be provided in future reports

Please provide links to related website, social media account

 $\frac{\text{https://cuba.un.org/es/95727-con-los-pies-en-la-tierra-heroes-y-heroinas-dela-soberania-alimentaria}{\text{la-soberania-alimentaria}}$

Please provide a list of publications, leaflets, video materials, newsletters, or other communications assets published on the web.

http://cubasi.cu/cubasi-noticias-cuba-mundo-ultima-hora/item/91747-presentaran-proyecto-de-cooperacion-cuba-y-agencias-de-onu

http://www.acn.cu/cuba/43852-presentaran-proyecto-de-cooperacion-cuba-y-agencias-de-onu

http://www.radiohc.cu/noticias/economy/188410-presentaran-cuba-y-agencias-de-la-onu-proyectos-de-cooperacion-en-la-agricultura

http://www.radiosurco.icrt.cu/presentaran-proyecto-cooperacion-cubaagencias-onu/21449/

http://www.radioreloj.cu/es/destacadas/impulsan-cooperacion-cuba-y-agencias-de-la-onu/

http://www.radiosandino.icrt.cu/noticias/cooperacion-bilateral-cuba-onu/

https://www.prensa-latina.cu/index.php?o=rn&id=269765&SEO=comienza-encuba-un-taller-de-cooperacion-bajo-el-auspicio-de-la-fao

http://www.acn.cu/cuba/43900-cuba-sobresale-por-su-seguridad-alimentaria-sostiene-la-fao

https://www.prensa-latina.cu/index.php?o=rn&id=269941&SEO=fao-reconoce-labor-de-cuba-por-la-seguridad-alimentaria-fotos

http://www.juventudrebelde.cu/cuba/2019-04-15/inauguran-proyecto-para-la-conservacion-y-uso-sostenible-de-la-biodiversidad-en-cuba

http://www.radioreloj.cu/es/destacadas/inauguran-proyecto-para-mayorseguridad-alimentaria-y-preservacion-del-medio-ambiente/

http://www.radiohc.cu/noticias/ciencias/188469-establecen-en-cuba-proyecto-de-agricultura-sostenible

https://www.facebook.com/CanalCaribeCuba/videos/419350982131623/ (minute 37)

https://www.facebook.com/CanalCaribeCuba/videos/2161963300560530/ (minute: 17: 50)

http://www.cadenagramonte.cu/articulos/ver/86906:proyecto-de-cooperacion-con-onu-introducira-en-cuba-metodos-agricolas-para-conservacion-de-la-biodiversidad

http://www.cadenagramonte.cu/articulos/ver/86925:reconoce-fao-acciones-de-cuba-a-favor-de-la-seguridad-alimentaria

http://bohemia.cu/mundo/2019/04/reconoce-fao-esfuerzo-cubano-por-seguridad-alimentaria-y-biodiversidad/

2023 Project Implementation Report

	http://www.juventudrebelde.cu/suplementos/en-red/2019-06-01/cobimas-garantia-para-el-futuro
Please indicate theCommunication and/or knowledge management focal point's Nameand contact details	M. Sc. Maria del Carmen Ramón Comunicaciones FAO CUBA maria.ramon@fao.org

12. Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities Involvement

Are Indigenous Peoples and local communities involved in the project (as per the approved Project Document)? If yes, please briefly explain.
If applicable, please describe the process and current status of on-going/completed, legitimate consultations to obtain Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) with the indigenous communities.
Do indigenous peoples and or local communities have an active participation in the project activities? If yes, briefly describe how.

13.Co-Financing Table

Sources of Co- financing ²⁵	Name of Co- financer	Type of Co- financing ²⁶	Amount Confirmed at CEO endorsement / approval	Actual Amount Materialized at 30 June 2023 CUB	Actual Amount Materialized at Midterm or closure (confirmed by the review/evaluation team)	Expected total disbursement by the end of the project
	Ministry of	Cash	3,600,000	19,329,562.55		3,600,000
	Agriculture	In Kind	5,000,000	521,520.00		5,000,000
	Grupo	Cash	4,000,000	3,495,488.94		4,000,000
National government	Empresarial Flora y Fauna [Flora and fauna business group]	In Kind	5,610,000	7,941,730.00		5,610,000
	National Forestry Department/	Cash	6,000,000	0.00		6,000,000
	Agroforestry Development Fund (FONADEF)	In Kind	2,000,000	0.00		2,000,000
Agencia	FAO	Cash	250,000	0.00		250,000
		TOTAL	26,460,000	31,288,301.49		26,460,000

Please explain any significant changes in project co-financing since Project Document signature, or differences between the anticipated and actual rates of disbursement?

²⁵Sources of Co-financing may include: GEF Agency, Donor Agency, Recipient Country Government, Private Sector, Civil Society Organization, Beneficiaries, Other. ²⁶Grant, Loan, Equity Investment, Guarantee, In-Kind, Public Investment, Other (please refer to the *Guidelines on co-financing* for definitions https://www.thegef.org/sites/default/files/documents/GEF_FI_GN_01_Cofinancing_Guidelines_2018.pdf

Annex 1. – GEF Performance Ratings Definitions

Development Objectives	<u>Development Objectives Rating</u> . A rating of the extent to which a project is expected to achieve or exceed its major objectives.				
Highly Satisfactory (HS)	Project is expected to achieve or exceed all its major global environmental objectives, and yield substantial global				
	environmental benefits, without major shortcomings. The project can be presented as "good practice"				
Satisfactory (S)	Project is expected to achieve most of its major global environmental objectives, and yield satisfactory global				
	environmental benefits, with only minor shortcomings				
Moderately Satisfactory	Project is expected to achieve most of its major relevant objectives but with either significant shortcomings or				
(MS)	modest overall relevance. Project is expected not to achieve some of its major global environmental objectives or				
	yield some of the expected global environment benefits				
Moderately	Project is expected to achieve its major global environmental objectives with major shortcomings or is expected to				
Unsatisfactory (MU)	achieve onlysome of its major global environmental objectives				
Unsatisfactory (U)	Project is expected not to achieve most of its major global environment objectives or to yield any satisfactory				
	global environmental benefits				
Highly Unsatisfactory	The project has failed to achieve, and is not expected to achieve, any of its major global environment objectives with				
(HU)	no worthwhile benefits				

Implementation Progress Rating. A rating of the extent to which the implementation of a project's components and activities is in compliance				
with the project's approve	d implementation plan.			
Highly Satisfactory (HS)	Implementation of all components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised implementation			
	plan for the project. The project can be resented as "good practice"			
Satisfactory (S)	Implementation of most components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan except			
	for only a few that are subject to remedial action			
Moderately Satisfactory	Implementation of some components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with			
(MS)	some components requiring remedial action			
Moderately	Implementation of some components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with			
Unsatisfactory (MU)	most components requiring remedial action.			
Unsatisfactory (U)	Implementation of most components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan			
Highly Unsatisfactory	Implementation of none of the components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan.			
(HU)				

<u>Risk rating</u>willassess the overall risk of factors internal or external to the project which may affect implementation or prospects for achieving project objectives. Risk of projects should be rated on the following scale:

High Risk (H)	There is a probability of greater than 75 % that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may
	face high risks.
Substantial Risk (S)	There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face substantial risks
Moderate Risk (M)	There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only moderate risk
Low Risk (L)	There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only low risks

Annex 2.

GEO LOCATION INFORMATION

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	Geo Name ID	Location & Activity Description

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate.