



**PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)
FY 2021**

GEF - IDB

IMPORTANT: The reporting period is GEF Fiscal Year (July 1st, 2020, to June 30th, 2021)

of PIR: 6th

PROJECT GENERAL INFORMATION

Project Name:	Low Carbon Urban Mobility for Large Cities		
Project's GEF ID:	4949	Project's IDB ID:	BR-G1006
Project financial information:	Date of First Disbursement	11/12/2015	
	Total disbursements of GEF Grant resources as of end of June 30 th , 2021 (cumulative)	US\$ 4,283,092.44	
Project dates:	Agency Approval Date	10/30/2014	
	Effectiveness (Start) Date	04/08/2015	
	Original Last Disbursement Expiration Date ¹ (OED)	04/08/2020	
	Current OED	10/08/2021	
	Estimated Operational Close Date (EOC) = CO	01/06/2022	
	Actual Date of EOC, if applicable	Click here to enter text.	
Project evaluation:	Mid-term Date (Expected)	04/03/2017	
	Terminal evaluation Date (Expected)	01/08/2022	

¹ For the GEF, this is equivalent to the project's "Expected Completion Date".

DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVE RATING (DO) & ASSESSMENT

Make an overall assessment and provide a rating² of “likelihood of achieving project objective” during the period (2020-2021). Describe any significant environmental or other changes attributable to project implementation.

OVERALL (DO) ASSESSMENT	RATING
<p>The probability of the project reaching the objectives and targets is HIGH. Therefore, the rating for fiscal year 2021 was: Satisfactory (S).</p> <p>Although there are still contracts under execution by the IDB and by the IABS (Executing Agency), most of the goals have already been achieved and others will be achieved fully before the last disbursement date. This is the case for Outputs 1.2 and 3.2 of the Results Matrix.</p> <p>Regarding the Outputs 3.1 and 3.3 of Capacity Building and Dissemination, due to COVID-19, there was a need to turn some of the presential capacity building activities into dissemination webinars. The online events include the training of institutions involved in the implementation of mobility projects and policies, including leaders, technical staff of the municipal, state, and federal governments, civil society organizations and other partners; and the publication and dissemination of technical reference books and other information produced. There will be 4 courses delivered (walking mobility, biking mobility, demand management, urban mobility information management) and 4 webinars (at Output 3.3). Thus, Output 3.3 was planned to be “1” and it will be 5 products instead.</p>	S

IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS RATING (IP) & ASSESSMENT

Make an assessment and provide ratings³ of overall Implementation Progress, including information on progress, challenges, and outcomes on project implementation activities from July 1st, 2020, until June 30th, 2021. As applicable, please include **information on issues and solutions related to COVID-19.**

OVERALL (IP) ASSESSMENT	RATING
<p>The Project will satisfactorily (S) achieve the objectives and results.</p> <p>The main challenge of this cycle continues to be a Covid-19 Pandemic, which led to changes in some of the results of the component 3: changed from presential courses to webinars were realized (Product 3.1 and 3.3). However, the webinars were performed with professional companies and communication was appreciated by the participants.</p> <p>The current last disbursement expiration date is 10/08/2021 and it is expected that most of the goals and results will be achieved.</p>	S

² See Annex 1: Definition of Ratings.

³ See Annex 1: Definition of Ratings.

RISK RATING & ASSESSMENT

Make any adjustments necessary to the assessment ratings⁴ of overall Project Risk⁵ that you provided in the last PIR (2019-2020). Please include details and remedial measures for High and Substantial Risks, specifying who will be responsible for these measures.

OVERALL RATING FOR PROJECT RISK	RATING
<p>Some risks were inactivated because the program is in the final stage of implementation.</p> <p>Currently, the main remaining risk is damage to the image and credibility of the project if the Executing Agency does not manage the resources properly to assure payment for the final products. Given the advanced stage of the project the risk rating is modest (M), and it is under control. Close follow-up for the final products is being implemented to prevent the materialization of this risk.</p>	M

GENDER

Please add information on any progress, challenges, and outcomes with regards to any and all gender-responsive measures that were undertaken in the project's activities during the 2020-2021 GEF Fiscal Year. Also: Were indicators on gender equality and women's empowerment incorporated in the project's results framework? (Yes/No). If applicable, include the indicator with its baseline, target, and current value (2020-2021).

No. This is not applicable.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Please add information on any progress, challenges, and outcomes with regards to stakeholder engagement, based on the project's activities during its implementation through the 2020-2021 GEF Fiscal Year. As applicable, please include **information on issues and solutions related to COVID-19.**

The project has firm engagement of all the parties involved: IDB, Ministry of Regional Development and IABS. There are regular follow-up meetings every week between IDB, Ministry and IABS (executing agencies) and meetings with each of the contracted consultants (PEC/Company) and IDB and the Ministry participate. There have been happening several webinars and all stakeholders participate actively giving solid technical contributions and adding value to the discussions.

⁴ See Annex 1: Definition of Ratings.

⁵ These should include risks identified at CEO Endorsement AND any new risks identified during implementation.

KNOWLEDGE

Please add information on knowledge activities and products developed in relation to the project (with GEF or non-GEF resources), with special emphasis on activities carried out during the 2020-2021 GEF Fiscal Year. As applicable, please include *information on issues and solutions related to COVID-19.*

One of the results of this Project is the preparation of six technical reports (TR) that will be published by October 5th, 2021. These are: TR demand management, TR Walking Mobility, TR Biking Mobility, TR Urban Mobility Information Management, TR Transition for Zero Emission Urban Mobility, TR Qualification of Public Bus Transit System.

PROJECT MODIFICATIONS

Please report any significant modifications made to the project design since July 1st, 2020. (The basis for comparison is the Project Results Framework Matrix included in the original Request for CEO Endorsement Document.) This should be based on the Project Results Framework Matrix included in the original Request for CEO Endorsement Document.

CHANGE MADE TO	YES/NO	DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE AND EXPLANATION
Objective	No	N/A
Outcome	No	N/A
Output/Activities	Yes	The COVID-19 pandemic turned presential courses to webinars.
Other	No	N/A

Has the project been granted any extension or other modification covered by the OA-420 from July 1st, 2020, until June 30th, 2021? If yes, please explain below. As applicable, please include *information on issues and solutions related to COVID-19.*

Yes. An extension for the program was signed on 10/01/2020 by the IDB and the executing agency.

After the extension of the program, the current last disbursement will be on 10/08/2021. This will guarantee the achievement of the objectives of the program, despite of the COVID-19 pandemic.



LESSONS LEARNED / BEST PRACTICES

*If the project generated any lessons learned or best practices during the 2020-2021 GEF Fiscal Year, please provide a short description. **As applicable, please include information on issues and solutions related to COVID-19.***

TOPIC/THEME	LESSONS
Home Office/ Covid-19	Teleworking from home is an efficient mechanism to follow-up meetings. .
Procurement	Technical consultants were hired to support the executing agency. The topics addressed in this operation required specialized consultants. The lesson learned is that even though there is a good executing agency, there will be a need of specialized consultants when there are specialized topics.
Knowledge, Capacity Building and Dissemination	One outcome of the webinars is that a lot of people from places other than Brasilia (where the Ministry is located) participated in the events. For a large country as Brazil, this is very positive. So, the lesson learned is that in the future, a mix of face to face and online events will likely be the new normal.

ANNEX 1. DEFINITION OF RATINGS

Development Objective Ratings

1. **Highly Satisfactory (HS):** Project is expected to achieve or exceed **all** its major global environmental objectives, and yield substantial global environmental benefits, without major shortcomings. The project can be presented as “good practice”.
2. **Satisfactory (S):** Project is expected to achieve **most** of its major global environmental objectives, and yield satisfactory global environmental benefits, with only minor shortcomings.
3. **Marginally Satisfactory (MS):** Project is expected to achieve **most** of its major relevant objectives but with either significant shortcomings or modest overall relevance. Project is expected not to achieve **some** of its major global environmental objectives or yield some of the expected global environment benefits.
4. **Marginally Unsatisfactory (MU):** Project is expected to achieve **some** of its major global environmental objectives with major shortcomings or is expected to achieve only **some** of its major global environmental objectives.
5. **Unsatisfactory (U):** Project is expected **not** to achieve **most** of its major global environment objectives or to yield any satisfactory global environmental benefits.
6. **Highly Unsatisfactory (HU):** The project has failed to achieve, and is not expected to achieve, **any** of its major global environment objectives with no worthwhile benefits.

Implementation Progress Ratings

1. **Highly Satisfactory (HS):** Implementation of **all** components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised implementation plan for the project. The project can be presented as “good practice”.
2. **Satisfactory (S):** Implementation of **most** components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan except for only a few that are subject to remedial action.
3. **Marginally Satisfactory (MS):** Implementation of **some** components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with **some** components requiring remedial action.
4. **Marginally Unsatisfactory (MU):** Implementation of **some** components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with **most** components requiring remedial action.
5. **Unsatisfactory (U):** Implementation of **most** components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan.
6. **Highly Unsatisfactory (HU):** Implementation of **none** of the components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan.

Risk ratings

Risk ratings will assess the overall risk of factors internal or external to the project which may affect implementation or prospects for achieving project objectives. Risks of projects should be rated on the following scale:



1. **High Risk (H):** There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks.
2. **Substantial Risk (S):** There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks.
3. **Modest Risk (M):** There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/ or the project may face only modest risks.
4. **Low Risk (L):** There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/ or the project may face only modest risks.