

GEF ID 9413 Realizing the Biodiversity Conservation potential of Private Lands in Brazil - Risk Management at MTR

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIR-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	Additional mitigation measures for the next periods		
			What	When	By whom
Non-compliance of landowners with the LPVN	Finalize the process of hiring specialists to validate CAR. Continue partnership with INEA to ensure that CAR analysis and validation advances. Consider lessons learned from CAR assessment processes in other states to make project actions more effective. Align and monitor INEA's engagement in these actions more closely.	There has been no change since the last PIR. The hiring process was not finalized, and the articulation with INEA was temporarily suspended by the MMA.	Resume the coordination with INEA and understand the steps, time, and necessary bureaucracy for the progress of sub-strategy 1.1.1.4. The prioritization maps for CAR analysis, the terms of reference for hiring technicians, and the Mico Leão Dourado connectivity map should be evaluated by MMA to conduct technical review workshops.	over the next 6 months	MMA and IIS
Non-validation of the CAR in the next years					
Inefficient establishment of PRAs by state governments					
Mechanisms of incentives for native vegetation conservation and recovery are not implemented	The hiring process of the Senior Economist was not finalized and was temporarily suspended by the MMA	No measures have been taken regarding this risk since the project was suspended.	What approach will be taken for this sub-strategy has to be discussed during the technical review of the project. If the decision is to resume from where the project left off, it will be necessary to update the planned actions.	over the next 6 months	MMA and IIS
Agreement with Forestry sector companies is not signed	A meeting was held in November 2021, to resume actions among SEMA, IIS and MMA, redrawing the strategy to keep the agreement between MMA and IBA, and to consider technical approach between IIS and the main companies in the forest sector. However, afterwards, activities were suspended again by the MMA	No measures have been taken regarding this risk since the project was suspended.	The technical review of the project should include a discussion about the interest of MMA, IBA and the companies in this strategy. MMA should lead the coordination with the Brazilian Forest Service (BFS), IBA, companies and IIS to ensure the progress of the planned activities.	over the next 6 months	MMA

<p>Responsible bodies do not incorporate proposals of spatial database and changes in related public policies</p>	<p>The involvement of the BFS continued to occur, mainly in the development of actions related to the result 3.1. A meeting was held between MMA and SFB to present to new managers the component 3.</p>	<p>No measures have been taken regarding this risk since the project was suspended.</p>	<p>Potential users of the generated information in support decision making processes must be involved in the whole analysis process from scratch, including the variables and input layer definition, to assure a participative character and to build trust among users.</p> <p>The engagement with the BFS should be strengthened with focus on the incorporation of spatial databases in Native Vegetation Protection Law implementation process such as CAR register, PRA regulation and PRADA validation. Additionally, engage other bodies that are potential users of the spatial analysis in other public policies. It is important to reinforce the leadership role of MMA in this dialogue with SFB, State Environmentl organizations and agencies, and other partners to achieve the expected outputs and outcomes of 3.1 and 3.2 strategies.</p>	<p>over the next 6 months</p>	<p>MMA and IIS</p>
<p>Some strategies of the Management plan of the APA of Pouso Alto are not implemented in every municipality in the APA</p>	<p>The focus of actions in this pilot area will no longer be restricted to the management plan, but rather to activities aimed at conservation and sustainable management, considered a priority for project support (as described in the box on the left). It is necessary to discuss with UNEP how this change may affect the indicator and the GEF Core Indicator correlated, within the mid-term review scope.</p>	<p>No measures have been taken regarding this risk since the project was suspended.</p>	<p>Adjusting the respective indicator after the mid-term review. The new indicator should reflect the actual Project's contribution to better management practices in the Pouso Alto APA, considering the progress of the redesigned strategies. This topic will be discussed during the thematic workshops for the technical review of the project, scheduled for the second semester.</p>	<p>over the next 6 months</p>	<p>MMA, IIS and UNEP</p>
<p>Low replicability, sustainability and amplification of the project</p>	<p>During the PIR-2021 elaboration the bottlenecks related to the project implementation were clearly identified by the Project Coordination Unit (PCU) and</p>	<p>The replicability, sustainability, and scaling up of the project do not pose a high risk, as the project was designed to</p>	<p>Discussing this topic taking into account the midterm evaluation is crucial to ensure the sustainability of the project. This theme should be addressed during the thematic workshops that will be conducted for the project review.</p>	<p>over the next 6 months</p>	<p>MMA, IIS and UNEP</p>

	aligned with the Project Management Unit (PMU) in different occasions - including the Supervision Mission (September 2021) and Project Steering Committee Meeting (October 2021).	ensure these pillars. However, this was one of the topics addressed during the midterm evaluation and can certainly be further developed and discussed during the project restructuring planned for the next semester and a stronger MMA leadership on the outputs outreach and related stakeholders engagement.			
The yellow fever might have affected some of the Golden Lion Tamarin populations	The AMLD contracting process was suspended, due to changes of the project strategies by the MMA. In this sense, the monitoring of the Mico Leão Dourado population was not started. Yellow fever vaccination of the Golden Lion Tamarin continued to be treated by AMLD.	No measures have been taken regarding this risk since the project was suspended.	Define with the MMA how the actions of this strategy for monitoring the population of the Mico Leão Dourado will be carried out, since the partnership with the Mico Leão Dourado Association was suspended. The vaccination against yellow fever and a new population was executed. This theme should be addressed during the thematic workshops that will be conducted for the project review.	over the next 6 months	MMA and IIS

<p>Delays in the project execution caused by low communication between the two executing agencies and reduced efficiency in the project management</p>	<p>Due to changes of the project strategies and activities put on hold by the MMA, the listed actions were not carried out in the period, including the planned workshops to promote better synergy among the involved agencies and to discuss project restructuring, increasing the communication gap between MMA and IIS.</p>	<p>During the period, the midterm review was conducted and a several recommendations were made to enhance the execution. Implementing these suggestions will lead to improvements in communication and increased project efficiency. This process has already been initiated, and the implementation of the suggestions has been discussed between IIS and MMA. IIS conducted a comparative study of different GEF projects to understand and propose modifications in the current implementation arrangement, which involves redefining roles and responsibilities to eliminate any issues and bottlenecks in the project's daily execution. All of this has been discussed</p>	<p>Continue holding joint meetings to ensure the implementation of the recommendations from the midterm evaluation and carry out the necessary updates/revisions to allow for the project extension.</p>	<p>over the next 6 months</p>	<p>MMA, IIS and UNEP</p>
--	---	--	--	-------------------------------	--------------------------

		collaboratively between IIS, UNEP and MMA, which already represents a change in the relationship and communication between the parties.			
Social Isolation due to the Covid-19 pandemic has delayed several of on-the-field actions of the project, particularly in the pilot areas, arrangements of meetings and delays in handing in results and products.	The protocol for returning to the field began to be implemented with small actions at the end of 2021. Larger field actions restarted only in May 2022, due to the resumption of Covid cases in Brazil. The articulation with stakeholders continued throughout the pandemic period in remote format. Some activities were impacted, but this factor does not present itself as the greatest risk to the achievement of the Project's results.	All field activities conducted during the period were carried out safely, and the management team remained vigilant about the status of Covid-19 in Brazil.	The project management team should remain attentive to the status of Covid-19 and its variants in order to take appropriate safety measures if necessary.	Over the next year	MMA and IIS