

# **GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)**

Document Generated by: BDLD TM At: 2024-09-14 13:45:45

## **Table of contents**

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	3
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description	4
1.3 Project Contacts	4
2 Overview of Project Status	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators	8
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks	8
2.4 Co Finance	9
2.5. Stakeholder	10
2.6. Gender	11
2.7. ESSM	11
2.8. KM/Learning	12
2.9. Stories	12
3 Performance	13
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	13
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress) .	23
4 Risks	45
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	45
4.2 Table B. Risk-log	45
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks	47
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial	50
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)	50
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	50

# UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024 Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024

### **1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION**

### 1.1 Project Details

GEF ID: 9366	<b>Umoja WBS:</b> SB-007973.02
SMA IPMR ID:34623	Grant ID:S1-32GFL-000621
Project Short Title:	•
SSATMARC-FOLAB	
Project Title:	
Sustainability and Scaling Up Approaches for Transformational Management, Restoration an	d Conservation of Forests Landscapes and Biodiversity in Cote d'Ivoire
(SSATMARC –FOLAB)	
Duration months planned:	60
Duration months age:	51
Project Type:	Full Sized Project (FSP)
Parent Programme if child project:	
Project Scope:	National
Region:	Africa
Countries:	Ivory Coast
GEF Focal Area(s):	Biodiversity,Land Degradation
GEF financing amount:	\$ 2,831,050.00
Co-financing amount:	\$ 11,090,000.00
Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:	2019-03-01
UNEP Project Approval Date:	2020-05-15
Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force):	2020-04-21
Date of Inception Workshop, if available:	2020-12-10
Date of First Disbursement:	2020-12-01
Total disbursement as of 30 June 2024:	\$ 862,341.00

Total expenditure as of 30 June:	\$ 621,732.00	
Midterm undertaken?:	No	
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:		
Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:	2022-11-15	
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2025-03-31	
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:		
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2024-07-31	
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2024-12-31	

#### 1.2 Project Description

The SSATMARC-FOLAB project is co-financed by the State of Côte d'Ivoire and the GEF. Its executing agency is UNEP. The main objective of this project is to ensure the scaling up and sustainability of Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+) financing mechanisms at the national level, in order to combat deforestation and forest degradation and to support effective protected area management. The long-term objective of the project is to provide global environmental benefits. It will also contribute to improving the livelihoods of communities dependent on these ecosystems. The project has three interrelated components. Component 1 aims to promote institutional, policy and legal strengthening of the rural land tenure system in support of Payments for Environmental Services (PES) and Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+) initiatives. Component 2 will establish a financial mechanism to support PES and REDD+, through the creation of a revolving fund. Actions to restore and protect the mangrove ecosystem will be developed. Component 3 will support the sustainable management of less supported protected areas and surrounding ecosystems. Ecotourism activities will also be developed, in collaboration with the local populations. The project is executed by the Ministry of the Environment, Sustainable Development and Ecological Transition through the Secretariat of the National Commission for Sustainable Development.

#### 1.3 Project Contacts

Division(s) Implementing the project	Ecosystems Division
Name of co-implementing Agency	
Executing Agency (ies)	Ministry of the Environment, Sustainable Development and Ecological Transition
names of Other Project Partners	
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Johan Robinson
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Adamou Bouhari
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	Paul Vrontamitis

UNEP Support Assistants	Eric Mugo
Manager/Representative	Docteur N'TAIN Jeanne
Project Manager	PEDIA Patrick Léon
Finance Manager	TIE Yro Oulatié
Communications Lead, if relevant	

## **2 Overview of Project Status**

### 2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s):	Thematic: Climate action subprogramme,Thematic: Nature action subprogramme
UNEP previous	Healthy and Productive Ecosystem
Subprogramme(s):	
PoW Indicator(s):	<ul> <li>Climate: (i) Number of national, subnational and private-sector actors that adopt climate change mitigation and/or adaptation and disaster risk reduction strategies and policies with UNEP support.</li> <li>Climate: (iv) Positive shift in public opinion, attitudes and actions in support of climate action as a result of UNEP action</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Climate: (v) Positive shift among private sector actors in support of climate action as a result of UNEP engagement.</li> <li>Nature: (i) Number of national or subnational entities that, with UNEP support, adopt integrated approaches to address environmental and social issues and/or tools for valuing, monitoring and sustainably managing biodiversity.</li> <li>Nature: (iii) Number of countries and national, regional and subnational authorities and entities that incorporate, with UNEP</li> </ul>
	support, biodiversity and ecosystem-based approaches into development and sectoral plans, policies and processes for the sustainable management and/or restoration of terrestrial, freshwater and marine areas  Nature: (iv) Increase in territory of land- and seascapes that is under improved ecosystem conservation and restoration
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	lvory Cost UNDAF Outcome 3, output 3.2: Stakeholders in the agriculture and forestry sector adopt sustainable production and management practices.
Link to relevant SDG Goals	<ul> <li>Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere</li> <li>Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture</li> <li>Goal 5: Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls</li> <li>Goal 8: Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all</li> <li>Goal 12: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns</li> <li>Goal 13: Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts</li> <li>Goal 14: Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development</li> <li>Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss</li> </ul>
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	<ul> <li>1.1 By 2030, eradicate extreme poverty for all people everywhere, currently measured as people living on less than \$1.25 a day</li> <li>1.2 By 2030, reduce at least by half the proportion of men, women and children of all ages living in poverty in all its dimensions</li> </ul>

according to national definitions

- 1.4 By 2030, ensure that all men and women, in particular the poor and the vulnerable, have equal rights to economic resources, as well as access to basic services, ownership and control over land and other forms of property, inheritance, natural resources, appropriate new technology and financial services, including microfinance
- 1.5 By 2030, build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters
- 2.1 By 2030, end hunger and ensure access by all people, in particular the poor and people in vulnerable situations, including infants, to safe, nutritious and sufficient food all year round
- 2.4 By 2030, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilient agricultural practices that increase productivity and production, that help maintain ecosystems, that strengthen capacity for adaptation to climate change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and that progressively improve land and soil quality
- 2.a Increase investment, including through enhanced international cooperation, in rural infrastructure, agricultural research and extension services, technology development and plant and livestock gene banks in order to enhance agricultural productive capacity in developing countries, in particular least developed countries
- 5.1 End all forms of discrimination against all women and girls everywhere
- 5.a Undertake reforms to give women equal rights to economic resources, as well as access to ownership and control over land and other forms of property, financial services, inheritance and natural resources, in accordance with national laws
- 8.1 Sustain per capita economic growth in accordance with national circumstances and, in particular, at least 7 per cent gross domestic product growth per annum in the least developed countries
- 8.9 By 2030, devise and implement policies to promote sustainable tourism that creates jobs and promotes local culture and products
- 12.2 By 2030, achieve the sustainable management and efficient use of natural resources
- 13.1 Strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards and natural disasters in all countries
- 14.2 By 2020, sustainably manage and protect marine and coastal ecosystems to avoid significant adverse impacts, including by strengthening their resilience, and take action for their restoration to achieve healthy and productive oceans
- 14.5 By 2020, conserve at least 10 percent of coastal and marine areas, consistent with national and international law and based on the best available scientific information
- 15.1 By 2020, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their services, in particular forests, wetlands, mountains and drylands, in line with obligations under international agreements
- 15.2 By 2020, promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forests, halt deforestation, restore degraded forests and substantially increase afforestation and reforestation globally

- 15.3 By 2030, combat desertification, restore degraded land and soil, including land affected by desertification, drought and floods, and strive to achieve a land degradation-neutral world
- 15.5 Take urgent and significant action to reduce the degradation of natural habitats, halt the loss of biodiversity and, by 2020, protect and prevent the extinction of threatened species

#### 2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

	Targets - Expected Value			
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date
1.2- Terrestrial protected areas under improved		143430 hectares		28686 hectares
management effectiveness				
4.3-Area of landscapes under sustainable land		57000 hectares		11400 hectares
management in production systems				
6- Greenhouse gas emissions mitigated		8359490 tons of CO2		

Implementation Status 2024: 4th PIR

### 2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	4th PIR	MS	MS	M
FY 2023	3rd PIR	MS	MS	М
FY 2022	2nd PIR	MS	MS	М
FY 2021	1st PIR	MS	MS	M
FY 2020				
FY 2019				
FY 2018				
FY 2017				
FY 2016				
FY 2015				

#### **Summary of status**

3 Consultative technical committe have been established to support project implementation. Each committee comprises 8 members nominated by the targeted institutions drwawn from private sector, public administration, CSOs, scientific communities and academia.

To date 11 meetings/sessions of these consultative committees have been conducted. The project steering committee which supervises the work of these committees has been established and two meetings held. The implementation of the project activities has experienced a delay due to national procedures and institutional settings. The effective start date with partners was in the last quarter of 2023, however, the overall project progress from the implementation of the project can be rated as 35.88%. Some few achievements include some studies related to the mainstreaming of redd+ and payment for ecosystem services int the different natural resources management processes. A technical consultative committee has recommended the realisation of additional thematic studies. Furthermore, a model for payment for ecosystem services has been developed by redd+ secretariat.

Feasibility studies of the conservation of Mangroves in Ssandre and Grand Bereby has allowed the delimitation of critical mangrove areas with high value conservation and great potential for carbon sequestration.

Assessment of results: the rating is MS because progress towards achieving the results is below expectations, given the time that has elapsed since the start of the project.

Outcome rating: the rating is MS because progress towards achieving the outcomes is below expectations given the length of time that has elapsed since the start of the project.

Overall risk assessment: the project's overall risk has been rated M because, although some risks have been revised downwards, the risks deemed moderate are so important in achieving the project's objectives that the overall risk cannot be revised downwards.

#### 2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-	\$ 11,090,000
finance:	
Actual to date:	730,909
Progress	Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:

Cash co-financing is experiencing regular growth of 10% each year. However, the overall performance of cofinacing is low because of:

- Poor recording and monitoring of cofinacing by project coordination

- Closure of some initiatives and project expected to provide cofinacing

#### 2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering	2023-03-07
committee meeting	
Stakeholder engagement (will be	The local communities at the sites visited during the various studies and missions were consulted to ascertain their expectations of the
uploaded to GEF Portal)	project and made aware of the importance of the activities to be implemented.
	The indigenous populations were the main focus of the project team's attention, in the sense that they are the custodians of the rights to
	the land to be sought for the implementation of the project. Village chiefs and land chiefs were therefore met to find out about the
	conditions for using the land and how to access it.
	State structures, the private sector and NGOs involved in the issues dealt with by the project have been included in the steering
	committee and the various technical advisory committees, so that their opinions can be sought at every stage of the project. In addition,
	discussions are underway with the NGOs on the implementation of activities on the pilot sites.

## 2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender	Yes
action plan?	
Gender mainstreaming (will be	Women carry out mangrove restoration activities with young people. The activities relating to the sorting and sowing of propagules, the
uploaded to GEF Portal):	preparation and filling of bags for the creation of nurseries have been specially entrusted to them.
	In addition, income-generating activities are planned for women and young people.
	Elderly people will be taken into account within the framework of the activities of the management committees in their capacity as
	guarantors of land.

### 2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in	Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?
terms of Environmental and	Yes
social safeguards)	If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?
	SS1, SS4, SS5, SS9
New social and/or	Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?
environmental risks	No
	If yes, describe the new risks or changes?
Complaints and grievances	Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?
related to social and/or	No
environmental impacts	If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions
	were taken?
Environmental and social	
safeguards management	The feasibility studies of mangrove restoration and conservation activities carried out in the localities of Sassandra and Grand-Béréby
	have made it possible to assess the environmental and social impact of these activities. They show that these activities will make it
	possible to preserve the quality of the environment by increasing the carbon stock captured by the mangrove, reducing erosion of village
	land, increasing the area of well-preserved mangrove and thus preserving the habitats of fisheries resources. In social terms, these

activities will generate additional resources for the local population, integrate young people and women into the social fabric, and
reduce the loss of habitable land for the local population.

## 2.8. KM/Learning

Knowledge activities and	Report of the feasibility study for mangrove restauration in the project Sites.
products	
Main learning during the period	- National Workshop on Installation of Biodiversity and Ecosystem services Platform
	- Launch of the Africa Festival on Environment
	- Experiences sharing with the New Department of Blue Economy on Mangrove Restauration

### 2.9. Stories

Stories to be	Not Yet produced but under development
shared	

## **3 Performance**

## 3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Target or	End of Project Target	current	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
			Milestones		period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry only)		
The scaling up and sustainability	Existence of a legal basis for	There is no	■ At least one	Local	39,7%	The forest code has been revised and	MS
of REDD+ financing mechanisms	forest management planning	legal	national and	governments		contains important provisions for the	
at the national level is ensured in	in the context of REDD+ and	framework for	two local	in the pilot		implementation of REDD+ and PESAn	
order to combat deforestation	PES	forest	policy	sites have		assessment of the existing situation	
and forest degradation and		management	frameworks	established a		confirmed the need to strengthen the	
support effective protected area		planning in	developed or	functional		legal framework of the forest sector in	
management		the context of	modified to	community		the context of REDD+ and PES.A study	
		REDD+ and	include	strategy for		is planned to propose texts with a view	
		PES	language that	forest		to improving the legal framework on the	
			supports	management		recognition of land rights for the	
			sustainable	and		implementation of the PES through	
			forest	restoration		REDD+.The Technical Advisory	
			management			Committee of component 2 made a plea to	
			and			the Rural Land Agency (AFOR) with a view	
			restoration in			to taking REDD+ and PES into account in	
			the context of			the processes and procedures for	
			REDD+ and			awarding land titles in rural	
			PES. <b>■</b> A			areas.Feasibility studies of	
			national forest			mangrove restoration activities in the	
			restoration			department of Sassandra and in the	
			strategy			sub-prefecture of Grand-Béréby have	
			developed; ■			enabled the identification of mangrove	
			At least 2 local			sites for which a restoration strategy	
			forest			will be developed in consultation with	
			restoration			the indigenous populations and local	

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator		Mid-Term Target or Milestones		Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
			strategies have been developed;  Forest restoration and sustainable forest management plans in place for pilot sites.			administration.	
· ·	restored and provide better quality ecosystem services	Mangrove forests and protected areas are currently	Mangroves in pilot sites are restored and put under sustainable management	Mangroves are an essential part of Côte d'Ivoire's recognized natural resource base under protection and sustainable management.		Mangrove sites have been identified for restoration activities in the localities of Sassandra (Niéga, Dagbégo, Coco plage, Labléko, Brodjé, Niani, Niézéko) and Grand-Béréby (Néro-mer, Boupet, Gboupet, Kablaké). This involves more than 1,500 ha of mangrove which will be restored by assisted natural regeneration or by planting. Populations are in favor of getting involved in restoration activities for these ecosystems. In Niéga, Dagbégo, Coco plage, Brodjé, Niani, Dabéda and Kablaké, the populations have already formed teams for planting the mangrove. The restoration of 40 hectares of mangrove is underway in Niani through a local NGO.Missions are planned for the	MS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones		Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June  signing of mangrove conservation agreements in Sassandra and	Progress rating
						Grand-Béréby, approximately 600 hectares.	
The scaling up and sustainability of REDD+ financing mechanisms at the national level is ensured in order to combat deforestation and forest degradation and support effective protected area management	areas under collaborative and improved management increases		forests are under improved	The amount of protected areas under collaborative and improved management is increasing		The Marahoué, Abokouamekro, Mont Péko and Haut Bandama national parks have management plans. Support is provided for their participatory management involving all stakeholders. Feasibility studies made it possible to identify well-preserved sites with a certain capacity for carbon sequestration. These include the mangrove sites in the localities of Sassandra (Niéga, Dagbégo, Coco plage, Labléko, Brodjé, Niani, Niézéko) and Grand-Béréby (Néro-mer, Boupet, Gboupet, Kablaké). Discussions are underway, with the communities and the prefectural body, for the final choice of intervention sites, on the basis of the population's interest in the creation of voluntary reserves. Missions are planned for the development and signing of Community Conservation Agreements (CCA).	MS
	building, training, equipment, jobs, income, and products)	benefits from	Value chains dependent on forest resources were		23%	The feasibility study of mangrove restoration and conservation activities in the localities of Sassandra and Grand-Béréby made it possible to identify sites that could be used for	MS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level			Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
support effective protected area management	including ecotourism development stratified by gender	and sustainable natural resource	identified and business cases were developed and tested for ranchers, farmers, fishermen and others			ecotourism activities (Niega and Nero mer). The populations of these localities are favorable to ecotourism activities. Ecotourism activities are underway in Nero-mer. Equipment will be made available to the populations for the development of the activity and the increase in the income of the populations of the locality.	
Outcome 1.1 Côte d'Ivoire has a national institutional, policy and legal framework that supports REDD+, PES and certification for smallholder farmers and the private sector.	Legal recognition of forest land rights	recognition of forest tenure rights	adoption of relevant policies, legislation, plans or strategies	Legislation in all pilot sites and at least one national policy or regulation on rural land tenure and natural resource management has been developed or amended to include language supporting landscape restoration	60,70%	The second meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee of Component 1, after analyzing the results of the diagnosis carried out on the institutional and legal framework of rural land rights in the context of PES and REDD mechanisms, deemed the recommendations made during this study relevant for improving the consideration of land in existing legal texts. A consultation mission with populations living near national parks and classified forests is planned for August 2024 with a view to assessing their expectations regarding the improvement of their land rights in the context of REDD and PES. A study is planned to propose texts based on the recommendations of the diagnosis carried out on the institutional and legal	MS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	and	current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	framework of rural land rights in the	Progress rating
				sustainable forest management		context of PES and REDD mechanisms. This study provides for the consultation of stakeholders involved in the management of land issues. A study is planned to propose texts with a view to improving the institutional and legal framework on the recognition of land rights for the implementation of the PES through REDD+.	
national institutional, policy and legal framework that supports	forest governance Forest ownership and use rightsCapacity of legislators to engage with civil society	the key government institution in forest governance in the context of		framework that clearly defines the roles and responsibilities		The management of activities relating to REDD+ and PES has been entrusted to the Permanent National REDD+ Secretariat. However, actions are carried out by different entities on the ground. The tendency is therefore to group them together in a single management system. In this spirit, discussions took place with the Rural Land Agency (AFOR) during the meeting of the Consultative Committee of component 2 with a view to identifying a mechanism for taking REDD+ and PES into account during the process and procedures for awarding concessions	HU
Outcome 1.1 Côte d'Ivoire has a national institutional, policy and legal framework that supports REDD+, PES and certification for smallholder farmers and the private sector.	Capacity of legislators to engage with civil society organizations and local communities on forest issues	_	Legislators' knowledge of forest governance in the context of REDD+ and	have the capacity to effectively		The study on forest governance is available. It revealed the need to clarify the precise responsibilities of the central administration and communities in terms of forest management. It also revealed the	MS

Project Objective and Outcomes		Baseline level	Target or Milestones		_	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
		the context of REDD+ and PES	improved	stakeholders on forest- related issues in the context of REDD+ and PES		interest in transferring certain prerogatives from the central administration in terms of forest management to local authorities. A study is planned to identify the effective skills of local authorities and the central administration in terms of forest management and to determine the skills transferable to local authorities. The conclusions of this study will serve as a basis for strengthening the capacities of local authorities in forest management.It is planned to carry out training sessions which will be based on the results of activities 1.1.8 and 1.1.9.The Ivory Coast mangrove monograph revealed the absence of a precise mangrove governance framework. The terms of reference for the development of an integrated national mangrove management strategy in Côte d'Ivoire were validated by Technical Advisory Committees 1 and 2. After validation of the governance	
Outcome 1.1 Côte d'Ivoire has a	Number of government	■ Awareness	■ The	At least 75		framework proposed by said strategy, regulatory texts will be proposed to consolidate the consensual governance framework retained. Awareness campaigns among populations	S
national institutional, policy and	officials and local	of mangrove	administration	government		living near mangrove sites on the	

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator				Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
					binary entry only)		
legal framework that supports	stakeholders made aware of	threats and	in at least one	officials and		importance of the mangrove are underway.	
REDD+, PES and certification for	the threats and benefits of	benefits	pilot site is	1,500 people		The sites were identified in Sassandra	
smallholder farmers and the	mangroves	among	made aware	working in		and Grand-Béréby during the	
private sector.		government	of the threats	pilot		feasibility study of mangrove	
		officials in	and benefits	communities		restoration and conservation	
		Côte d'Ivoire	of mangroves	have been		activities. Administration agents	
		is currently	■ Local	trained on the		(Water and forests, Environment,	
		very low ■	community	key threats		Agriculture, Animal and fishery	
		Awareness of	populations in	and benefits		resources, Tourism, Housing and	
		mangrove	at least one	of mangrove		construction, prefectural body, Regional	
		threats and	pilot site are	forests		councils) of the regions and departments	
		benefits	made aware			concerned will be trained in the	
		among local	of the threats			principles of sustainable management of	
		community	and benefits			mangrove forests.A PES model for the	
		populations at	of mangroves			mangrove will be published during the	
		the four				last quarter of 2024.	
		priority sites is					
		very limited.					
Outcome 2.1 Côte d'Ivoire has an	Proposed funding mechanism	Proposals to	Funding	Submit the	22,5%	The profitability analysis of ecosystem	MU
established national financial	to support REDD+, PES and	support	mechanism	REDD+		services and the description of a	
mechanism and a pilot	certification programs in pilot	REDD+, PES	adopted by	Readiness		payment for ecosystem services (PES)	
application of PES in mangroves	sites	and	stakeholders	proposal for at		system was carried out for the	
by stakeholders		certification	and ready to	least one of		hinterland.The terms of reference	
		systems need	be tested.	the pilot sites		for carrying out the profitability	
		améliorations.		to the Forest		analysis of ecosystem services and the	
				Carbon		description of a payment system for	
				Partnership		mangrove ecosystem services (PES) are	
				Facility (FCPF)		available. The study is planned for	
						September 2024	
Outcome 2.1 Côte d'Ivoire has an	Number of spatial databases	Geospatial	Sites	Eight	62%	The monograph on the mangrove in Côte	MS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	"		Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
					percentage, or binary entry only)		
mechanism and a pilot	protected areas and mangrove forests	databases on key environmental variables are very limited and unstructured	for geospatial data collection	databases on		d'Ivoire presented data on the current surface area of the mangrove. The mangrove database is being prepared, and the terms of reference for its creation are available.	
mechanism and a pilot application of PES in mangroves by stakeholders	forests where restoration and sustainable forest management protocols are implemented and incorporated into protected	habitats with abundant biodiversity	value mangrove sites identified	50% of mangrove forests in pilot areas are demarcated through participatory processes with management plans submitted to government for approval		The feasibility study of mangrove conservation and restoration activities in the localities of Sassandra and Grand-Béréby made it possible to delimit areas of high conservation value (HCV) and high carbon sequestration potential (HPSC). It is more than 1500 ha. The pilot sites selected following ongoing consultations with local communities in these areas will be precisely demarcated, will be the subject of development plans and will be developed within the framework of participatory processes. Memoranda of understanding with the communities will specify the intervention strategies of each party, in particular the activities that will be carried out by the said communities within the framework of the restoration and conservation of the mangrove.	MU
	Number of government officials and local actors	Knowledge on institutions,	The educational	At least 75 government	91%	Tools for forest and biodiversity management at the hinterland level in	S

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level		End of Project Target	_	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
					percentage, or		
					binary entry only)		
capacities strengthened and	sensitized to the challenges	finance, PAs,		officials from		the context of REDD+ are available.	
coordinated for PA management,	of forest and biodiversity	forest	developed are	relevant		Civil servants and key players in the	
biodiversity conservation and	management in the context	resource	validated	ministries are		sector have been trained in the use of	
ecosystem service management	of REDD+.	management		aware of		these tools. The same training will be	
		and		forest and		conducted in mangrove areas after	
		biodiversity		biodiversity		finalizing the appropriate tools.	
		conservation,		management			
		including PES,		issues in the			
		has not been		context of			
		developed		REDD+.			
Outcome 3.1 National	Number of stakeholders	Training and	All key	Communities	31,65%	The authorities and populations of	MU
institutional and technical	(OIPR, FPRCI, extension	understanding	national, sub-	in the pilot		Sassandra and Grand-Béréby were met	
capacities strengthened and	services, landowners, private	of protected	national and	sites have fully		and made aware of the importance of	
coordinated for PA management,	sector, community-based	area	local actors in	integrated		sustainable forest management and the	
biodiversity conservation and	organizations), women and	management	at least one	landscape		restoration of forest landscapes. Their	
ecosystem service management	men, trained in protected	and incentive	pilot level are	restoration		capacities will be strengthened on the	
	area management and	programs for	aware that	into their land		issue by the end of December 2024 at the	
	incentive programs for SLM,	SLM, SFM and	sustainable	use areas and		latest.	
	SFM and REDD+.	REDD+ do not	forest	lessons			
		exist	management	learned from			
			and landscape	this process			
			restoration	are being			
			are means to	replicated in			
			achieve	four additional			
			REDD+ and	communities			
			PES				
			objectives.				
Outcome 3.1 National	Progress towards the	There are no	Progress	Progress	11,55%	Policies and regulatory acts will	U
institutional and technical	adoption of relevant policies,	district-level	towards the	towards the		support the implementation of the	
capacities strengthened and	laws, plans or strategies that	policies,	adoption of	adoption of		integrated mangrove strategy.	

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones		_	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
coordinated for PA management, biodiversity conservation and ecosystem service management	of sustainable forest management and the conservation of specific threatened biodiversity	specific to landscape	relevant policies, legislation, plans or strategies	relevant policies, legislation, plans or strategies		Biodiversity and ecosystem services will be addressed through the text on ecological compensation and other acts depending on the threats identified.	
Outcome 3.2 Protected areas are effectively managed and promoted	and protected area management in the context of REDD+ and PES	area management in the context of REDD+ and PES does not	for managing protected areas in the	A biodiversity monitoring system in Côte d'Ivoire		Management plans exist for the Abokouamekro, Marahoué, Mont Péko and Haut Bandama national parks. The pilot sites selected for the restoration and conservation of the mangrove will be the subject of development plans accompanied by community conservation agreements (CCAs) in the context of REDD+ and PES.Missions are planned for the development and signing of agreements.	MS
Outcome 3.2 Protected areas are effectively managed and promoted	reflect forest conservation status	protected areas and mangrove ecosystems in		At least 10 threatened species living in ecosystems with improved conservation prospects		The feasibility study of mangrove restoration and conservation activities in the localities of Sassandra and Grand-Béréby identified sites that could be used for ecotourism activities. The populations of these localities are also in favour of these activities.	HU

<b>Project Objective and Outcomes</b>	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term	End of Project	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	Progress
			Target or	Target	current	& target as of 30 June	rating
			Milestones		period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry only)		
Outcome 3.2 Protected areas are	Number of local residents in	There are no	Proposals for	At least two	10%	The feasibility study of mangrove	HU
effectively managed and	pilot sites employed in	ecotourism	ecotourism	local joint		restoration and conservation activities	
promoted	ecotourism	activities and	activities are	initiative		in the localities of Sassandra and	
		jobs in the	made in at	groups per		Grand-Béréby identified sites that	
		pilot sites	least one pilot	pilot site or		could be used for ecotourism	
			site	two private		activities. The populations of these	
				companies are		localities are also in favour of these	
				investing in		activities	
				ecotourism			

## 3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
1 Promote	Activity 1.1.1: Organize a workshop at the national level to evaluate,	2020-08-15	100%	100%	The second meeting of Component 1's	S
institutional,	modify, update relevant policy on existing legal frameworks for				Technical Advisory Committee, after	
policy and	integrating land tenure				analysing the results of the diagnostic	
legal					carried out on the institutional and	
strengthening					legal framework for rural land rights in	
of rural land					the context of PES and REDD mechanisms,	
tenure					deemed the recommendations made during	
supportive of					this study to be relevant, with a view	
PES and REDD					to improving the way land tenure is	
					taken into account in existing	
					legislation.	
1 Promote	Activity 1.1.11: Provide training on participatory management of	2025-05-30	5%	5%	Training sessions are planned based on	HU
institutional,	forest resources within the context of REDD+ and PES				the results of activities 1.1.8 and	
policy and					1.1.9.	

legal strengthening of rural land tenure supportive of PES and REDD	Output/Activity	completion date	status as of previous reporting	· ·	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
1 Promote institutional, policy and legal strengthening of rural land tenure supportive of PES and REDD	Activity 1.1.2: Consultations to elaborate proposals for updating the institutional, legal and policy framework on recognition of rural land tenure rights in the context of PES and REDD+ mechanisms	2025-06-30	50%		A consultation mission with populations living near parks, reserves and classified forests (RNV Alikpli, Haut Dodo) with a view to assessing their expectations regarding the improvement of their land rights in the context of REDD and PES. The report is available. A study is planned to propose texts based on the recommendations of the diagnosis carried out on the institutional and legal framework of rural land rights in the context of PES and REDD mechanisms. This study provides for the consultation of stakeholders involved in the management of land issues. Terms of Reference are available.	MS
	Activity 1.1.12: Identify and consult stakeholders and the target audience on goals and strategy for the assessment of forest resources	2020-11-15	100%		The Forest Resources Assessment was finalised in March 2021. It took account of the various stakeholders during its development.	S

·	Output/Activity	completion date	status as of previous reporting	· ·	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
PES and REDD  1 Promote institutional, policy and legal strengthening of rural land tenure supportive of PES and REDD	recognition of tenure rights for the implementation of PES through REDD+	2025-05-30	25%		A study is planned to propose texts to improve the institutional and legal framework for the recognition of land rights for the implementation of the PES through REDD+.	MU
1 Promote institutional, policy and legal strengthening of rural land tenure supportive of PES and REDD	Activity 1.1.13: Identify forest resources and ecosystem services related policy objectives and targets	2021-08-15	100%		The objectives and targets of policies relating to forest resources and ecosystem services were identified during the Forest Resources Assessment.	S
institutional,	Activity 1.1.4: Update and provide guidance the framework for forest ownership and use rights in Cote d'Ivoire in collaboration with local and other relevant stakeholders	2025-05-30	10%		The texts proposed after the study in activity 1.1.3 will be used for this activity.	HU
1 Promote	Activity 1.1.14: Determine key questions and indicator use, and	2025-05-30	0%	0%	The delay in the procedure for making	HU

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
institutional,	develop a conceptual model				resources available prevented the	
policy and					activity from being carried out.	
legal						
strengthening						
of rural land						
tenure						
supportive of						
PES and REDD						
1 Promote	Activity 1.1.5: Strengthen a legal and institutional framework for	2025-05-30	10%	10%	The texts proposed after the study in	HU
	tenure dispute resolution in collaboration with local and other				activity 1.1.3 will be used for this	
policy and	relevant stakeholders – clearly identifying the role of gender and				activity.	
	vulnerabilities of women in tenure dispute resolution				·	
strengthening	·					
of rural land						
tenure						
supportive of						
PES and REDD						
1 Promote	Activity 1.1.15: Undertake an inventory assessment on available forest	2025-05-30	75%	75%	The feasibility study carried out in	HU
institutional,	resources and review data, and calculate indicators				Sassandra and Grand-Béréby made it	
policy and					possible to take an inventory of	
legal					mangrove resources in these localities.	
strengthening						
of rural land						
tenure						
supportive of						
PES and REDD						
1 Promote	Activity 1.1.6: Strengthen indicators addressing state forest ownership	2025-05-30	0%	0%	The delay in the procedure for making	HU
institutional,	in the context of REDD+ in collaboration with local and other relevant				resources available prevented the	
policy and	stakeholders.				activity from being carried out. It will	
legal					be carried out next year.	

strengthening of rural land tenure supportive of	Output/Activity	completion date	status as of previous reporting	l -		Progress Rating
PES and REDD 1 Promote	Activity 1.1.16: Fieldwork to estimate of forest biomass and identify ecosystem services	2025-05-30	80%		The monograph of the mangrove in Côte d'Ivoire was carried out and made it possible to evaluate the biomass of the mangrove. A study on PES in mangroves is planned for September 2024, the terms of reference are available.	HU
institutional, policy and	Activity 1.1.7: Support national efforts in developing processes and procedures for concession allocation within the context of REDD+ and PES, and addressing issues of gender equality in concession allocations.	2025-05-30	10%		Discussions took place with the Rural Land Agency (AFOR) at the meeting of the Component 2 Advisory Committee with a view to identifying a mechanism for taking REDD+ and PES into account during concession allocation processes and procedures.	HU
1 Promote	Activity 1.1.17: Develop monitoring and reporting systems that builds on existing data and tested methodologies	2025-05-30	0%		Delays observed in administrative procedures will delay the implementation of this activity	HU

<b>Component</b> supportive of	Output/Activity	completion date	status as of previous reporting	•	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
PES and REDD						
1 Promote institutional, policy and legal strengthening of rural land tenure supportive of PES and REDD	Activity 1.1.8: Undertake consultations to form expert team and develop a background paper summarizing the present state of forest governance	2025-05-30	60%		The study on forest governance is now availableA study on the governance of mangrove forests is planned. The terms of reference are available	HU
1 Promote institutional, policy and legal strengthening of rural land tenure supportive of PES and REDD	Activity 1.1.9: Share the report with stakeholders, solicit feedback and engage stakeholders to reflect on the indicators developed in the background paper	2025-05-30	55%		Once completed, the planned study on the governance of mangrove forests will be analysed by all stakeholders.	HU
1 Promote institutional, policy and legal strengthening of rural land tenure supportive of PES and REDD	Activity 1.1.10: Develop capacity-building materials, and provide training on the participatory management of forest resources within the context of REDD+ and PES – should include capacity building of civil society for independent observation of forest and land resources	2025-05-30	5%		Training sessions are planned based on the results of activities 1.1.8 and 1.1.9.	HU

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
2 Component	Activity 2.1.1: Develop the business case for ecosystem services and	2025-05-30	55%	55%	The cost-benefit analysis of ecosystem	MS
2: Establishing	describe a payment for ecosystem services (PES) scheme.				services and the description of a	
a financial					payment for ecosystem services (PES)	
mechanism					scheme have been carried out for the	
supportive of					hinterland.The terms of reference for	
Payments for					the cost-benefit analysis of ecosystem	
Environmental					services and the description of a	
Services (PES)					payment for ecosystem services (PES)	
and REDD					scheme for mangroves are available. The	
					study is scheduled for August 2024.	
2 Establishing	Activity 2.1.11: Delineate at least 50% of the mangrove forest area in	2025-05-30	40%	50%	The feasibility study of mangrove	MS
a financial	pilot sites for restoration activities through land use planning in				conservation and restoration activities	
mechanism	collaboration with local communities.				in the localities of Sassandra and	
supportive of					Grand-Béréby made it possible to	
Payments for					delimit areas suitable for mangrove	
Environmental					restoration and conservation activities	
Services (PES)					in these localities.A mission is	
and REDD					planned for August 2024 to Sassandra and	
					Grand-Béréby to discuss with the	
					populations and sign the protocols of	
					understanding with a view to carrying	
					out mangrove restoration and	
					conservation activities in these two	
					localities. These memorandums of	
					understanding will make it possible to	
					determine the exact areas that will be	
					used to carry out the activities. A	
					study on the zoning of the village lands	
					of the sites to be restored is also	
					planned for August 2024. It will make it	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					possible to finalize the determination	
					of the zones according to the actions to	
					be carried out. Terms of reference are	
					available.	
2 Component	Activity 2.1.2: Produce a guidance document on a case-by-case	2025-05-30	30%	30%	A report exists on the different	MS
2: Establishing	assessment of existing financing mechanisms for REDD+, PES and				financing mechanisms for REDD + and PES.	
a financial	certification schemes and build capacity on REDD+ and PES financial				This report will be completed with the	
mechanism	mechanisms.				description of a REDD+ and PES financing	
supportive of					system adapted to the mangrove. The	
Payments for					study is planned for August 2024	
Environmental						
Services (PES)						
and REDD						
2 Establishing	Activity 2.1.12: Develop grading records (including management	2025-05-30	20%	25%	The feasibility study of mangrove	MU
a financial	plans, community engagement and awareness strategy, alternative				conservation and restoration activities	
mechanism	livelihood plans for local communities, community vigilance and				in the localities of Sassandra and	
supportive of	monitoring programme, etc.).				Grand-Béréby made it possible to	
Payments for					delimit areas suitable for mangrove	
Environmental					restoration and conservation activities	
Services (PES)					in these localities.Grading files	
and REDD					(including management plans, community	
					engagement and awareness strategy, plans	
					for alternative livelihood activities	
					for local communities, community	
					vigilance and monitoring program, etc.)	
					will be developed following the signing	
					of the agreement with the populations,	
					based on the results of the study	
					relating to zoning, the launch of which	
					is planned for August 2024.	

2 Component 2: Establishing a financial mechanism supportive of Payments for Environmental	determine the suitability of selected financing mechanisms for stakeholders.	completion date	status as of previous reporting period (%)	status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay  This activity is planned for December 2024	Progress Rating HU
_	Activity 2.1.13: Develop and sign community conservation agreements.	2025-05-30	20%		The feasibility study of mangrove conservation and restoration activities in the localities of Sassandra and Grand-Béréby made it possible to delimit areas suitable for mangrove restoration and conservation activities in these localities. Community conservation agreements will be proposed during discussions for the signing of the memorandums of understanding. The consultation mission with the populations is planned for August 2024, with a view to preparing and finalizing conservation agreements.	MU
1	Activity 2.1.4: Capacity building on financial mechanisms, revenue management practices and reporting.	2025-05-30	0%		ompletion of this activity depends on the results of activity 2.1.3.	U

	Output/Activity	completion date	status as of previous reporting	•	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
Services (PES) and REDD						
2 Establishing	Activity 2.1.14: Promote and support mangrove restoration activities, including carbon management strategies.	2025-05-30	30%		Mangrove restoration activities began with the restoration of 40 hectares of mangrove in progress in the village of Niani (Sassandra), through the NGO Afrique Verte Environnement.Initiatives are planned for Niéga (Sassandra) for an area estimated at 100 hectares of mangrove.	MU
2 Component	Activity 2.1.5: Stakeholder consultation on mangrove resource assessment in pilot projects	2025-05-30	80%	90%	The feasibility study of mangrove conservation and restoration activities in the localities of Sassandra and Grand-Béréby made it possible to quantify the mangrove surface area and their state of conservation in collaboration with the populations of the villages concerned. The results at the Sassandra level were presented to the populations of Sassandra during the workshop organized as part of International Mangrove Day by the SSATMARC-FOLAB Project on July 26, 2023. The presentation of the results of the study for Grand-Béréby will take place in September 2024.	S
_	Activity 2.1.15: Evaluate indicators for monitoring impact on households, communities and the environment.	2025-05-30	0%		Administrative delays will delay the implementation of this activity	HU

Payments for	Output/Activity	completion date	status as of previous reporting	•		Progress Rating
Environmental Services (PES) and REDD						
*	Activity 2.1.6: Development of a spatial database on mangrove resources in Côte d'Ivoire	2025-05-30	25%		A study to create the database is planned for August 2024. This will be based on data from the Ivory Coast mangrove monograph and on information collected during feasibility studies carried out in Sassandra. and Grand-Béréby. Terms of reference are available.	MU
_	Activity 2.1.16: Conduct a baseline study to assess the impact of mangrove restoration on local livelihoods.	2025-05-30	0%		The implementation date has not yet arrived	U
	Activity 2.1.7: Carry out forest resource assessments for non-timber products of the mangrove forest	2025-05-30	40%		The feasibility study carried out in Sassandra and Grand-Béréby made it possible to identify non-timber products from the mangrove forest of these localities. A more in-depth study is planned for August 2024, the terms of reference are available.	HU

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)	I	
	Activity 2.1.8: Assess and quantify carbon stocks in forests and other	2025-05-30	80%	85%	The feasibility study of mangrove	HU
2: Establishing	land uses, including undergrowth areas in pilot sites				conservation and restoration activities	
a financial					in the localities of Sassandra and	
mechanism					Grand-Béréby made it possible to	
supportive of					evaluate and quantify carbon stocks in	
Payments for					mangrove sites. The study on the zoning	
Environmenta					of the sites to be restored will make it	
Services (PES)					possible to complete the information.	
and REDD					Terms of reference are available	
2 Component	Activity 2.1.9: Develop and present a report on the results and	2025-05-30	55%	55%	The Ivory Coast mangrove monograph	HU
2: Establishing	database on mangrove ecosystems.				presented data relating to the current	
a financial					surface area of the mangrove. The	
mechanism					mangrove database is in preparation, the	
supportive of					terms of reference for its creation are	
Payments for					available.	
Environmenta						
Services (PES)						
and REDD						
2 Component	Activity 2.1.10: Awareness raising and stakeholder consultation to	2025-05-30	40%	50%	The administrative and customary	MS
2: Establishing	initiate collaborative processes for mangrove restoration activities				authorities of Sassandra were made aware	
a financial	and assessment of impacts of these activities				during the International Mangrove Day	
mechanism					celebrated in Sassandra in 2022. This	
supportive of					awareness will be continued during the	
Payments for					workshop scheduled for July 26, 2023 in	
Environmenta					Sassandra. Also, during the feasibility	
Services (PES)					studies for the conservation and	
and REDD					restoration of the Sassandra and	
					Grand-Béréby mangroves, the	
					collaboration framework was briefly	
					presented to the populations concerned.	

Component	Output/Activity	-	_		Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
			status as of		challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
			previous 	current 		
			-	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					A consultation mission with the	
					populations to establish a collaboration	
					framework is planned for August 2024.	
					The terms of reference are available	
	Activity 3.1.1: Strategy identification workshop for gap analysis	2021-02-15	100%		The workshop was held as part of the	S
Management					preparation of PCGAP 2.	
of less						
supported						
Protected						
Areas and						
surrounding						
ecosystems						
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.1: Consult with relevant stakeholders and develop	2025-05-30	60%	70%	Management plans are available for the	HU
Management	management plans for implementation in pilot sites.				Marahoué, Abokouamekro and Haut Bandama	
of less					and Mont Péko parks.It is planned to	
supported					develop management plans for the pilot	
Protected					mangrove sites. Consultations are	
Areas and					planned for August 2024 with local	
surrounding					populations.	
ecosystems						
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.11: Redelineation of communal forest boundaries based	2025-06-30	0%	0%	The delay in the procedure for making	HU
Management	on management plans				resources available prevented the	
of less					business from starting up	
supported						
Protected						
Areas and						
surrounding						
ecosystems						
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.1.2: Stakeholder consultations to identify institutional and	2021-08-15	100%	100%	PCGAP 2 was drawn up by the OIPR after	S

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
Management	financial gaps				consultation with the various	
of less					stakeholders.	
supported						
Protected						
Areas and						
surrounding						
ecosystems						
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.2: Workshops to present the management plans to	2025-05-30	50%	60%	The development of management plans for	HU
Management	stakeholders and advise them on their implementation				the Marahoué, Abokouamekro, Mont Péko	
of less					and Haut Bandama parks was done in	
supported					consultation with stakeholders. In the	
Protected					context of mangrove forests to be	
Areas and					established as reserves, the development	
surrounding					of these plans will be done after	
ecosystems					consultation with stakeholders.	
					Consultations are planned for August	
					2024 with local populations.	
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.12: Implementation and support to forest restoration	2025-05-15	10%	20%	A nursery of 500 Acacia auriculiformis	MU
Management	projects				plants was set up at the Haut Bandama	
of less					reserve. As part of the monitoring of	
supported					this nursery, a donation of equipment	
Protected					consisting of a wheelbarrow, a watering	
Areas and					can and a shovel was made.The planting	
surrounding					of 40 hectares of mangrove is underway	
ecosystems					in Niani (Sassandra).	
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.1.3: Stakeholder consultations to identify forest resource	2022-05-15	60%	65%	A report exists on the different	MS
Management	management and biodiversity conservation, including PES and				financing mechanisms for REDD + and PES.	
of less	certification schemes				This report will be completed with the	
supported					description of a REDD+ and PES financing	
Protected					system adapted to the mangrove,	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
Areas and					following discussions with stakeholders.	
surrounding					The study is planned for September 2024.	
ecosystems					The terms of reference are available	
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.3: Capacity building to train committees on the concepts	2025-05-30	0%	40%	The development of management plans for	HU
Management	and practical application of sustainable PA management				the Marahoué, Abokouamekro, Mont Péko	
of less					and Haut Bandama parks was done in	
supported					consultation with stakeholders. In the	
Protected					context of mangrove forests to be	
Areas and					established as reserves, the development	
surrounding					of these plans will be done after	
ecosystems					consultation with stakeholders.	
					Consultations are planned for August	
					2024 with local populations.	
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.13: Drafting of municipal by-laws on CCAs and operational	2025-05-15	10%	15%	The feasibility study of mangrove	MU
Management	plans of management plans to integrate conservation of community				restoration and conservation activities	
of less	woodlots				in the localities of Sassandra and	
supported					Grand-Béréby made it possible to have	
Protected					initial discussions with the populations	
Areas and					on the possibilities of mangrove	
surrounding					conservation. Further meetings are	
ecosystems					planned for August 2024 with a view to	
-					signing ACCs which will be endorsed by	
					the Prefectural authority The	
					populations of these localities are	
					favorable to these activities	
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.1.4: Multi-level and multi-stakeholder workshops (at local	2022-08-15	50%	100%	PCGAP 2 was validated during a national	S
	and national levels) to report on identified gaps and determine				workshop bringing together all	
_	potential entry points to fill these gaps.				stakeholders.PCGAP 2 was presented to	
supported	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				the various stakeholders	
Protected						

Areas and surrounding ecosystems		completion date	status as of previous reporting	-	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
3 Sustainable Management of less supported Protected Areas and surrounding ecosystems	Activity 3.2.4: Undertake administrative management of CCAs	2025-05-30	10%		The ACCs at the Marahoué, Abokouamekro and Haut Bandama parks are under the direction of the prefectural authority. The same will apply to Mont Péko and the mangrove forests to be established as reserves. Consultation missions with the populations are planned with a view to developing the CCAs.	HU
	Activity 3.2.14: Baseline study to assess impact of restoration efforts and lessons learned from performance-based programmes	2025-05-15	10%		The delay observed in the procedure for making resources available did not allow the activity to start	HU
Management	Activity 3.1.5: Develop a report based on the activities of outcome 3.1.1 on recommendations to address institutional and financial gaps and present the outcome to the relevant authorities.	2025-05-30	10%		A biophysical and economic assessment of the ecosystem services provided by protected areas in Côte d'Ivoire is planned.	HU
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.5: Consultations to determine incentives for joining CCAs through benefits for positive achievements and development of a	2025-05-30	10%		Consultation missions are planned with the populations of the Sassandra and	HU

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
of less	manual based on the consultations.				Grand-Béréby mangrove zones, with a	
supported					view to developing the CCAs. These	
Protected					missions will determine incentives for	
Areas and					membership in CCAs through benefits for	
surrounding					positive achievements and the	
ecosystems					development of a manual based on the	
					consultations. These same missions are	
					envisaged for the Mont Péko national	
					park.	
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.15: Consultation in pilot areas on attitudes and awareness	2025-05-30	10%	10%	The feasibility study of mangrove	HU
Management	of tourism, opportunities and possible pitfalls, existing experience,				restoration and conservation activities	
of less	concerns and level of interest.				in the localities of Sassandra and	
supported					Grand-Béréby made it possible to	
Protected					identify sites that could be used for	
Areas and					ecotourism activities. Also the	
surrounding					populations of these localities are	
ecosystems					favorable to these	
					activities.Concerning the parks	
					identified by the project, the OIPR is	
					preparing awareness-raising missions to	
					encourage local populations to	
					ecotourism and launch ecotourism	
					activities.	
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.1.6: Develop a report based on the activities of outcome	2025-05-30	10%	20%	4 conferences in high schools and	HU
Management	3.1.1 on recommendations to address forest resource management				colleges on the outskirts of the	
of less	and biodiversity conservation, including PES and certification				Abokouamekro Wildlife Reserve	
supported	schemes, and present the outcome to the relevant authorities				respectively and the Haut Bandama Fauna	
Protected					and Flora Reserve. These sessions	
Areas and					reached 765 students and educators from	
surrounding					these establishments.	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
ecosystems						
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.6: Engage the private sector in the development and	2025-05-30	10%	10%	Preliminary discussions already held	HU
Management	implementation of sustainable strategies for the cocoa sector				with the "Cocoa Zero Deforestation"	
of less					Project Coordination Unit	
supported						
Protected						
Areas and						
surrounding						
ecosystems						
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.16: Survey on ecotourism potentials and possibilities to	2025-05-15	10%	10%	Discussions are underway at the OIPR	HU
Management	add value to local tourism products, marketing model and benefit				level to identify strategies that can	
of less	sharing.				enhance the ecotourism potential of the	
supported					pilot sites. The Banco National Park	
Protected					model is at the center of discussions	
Areas and						
surrounding						
ecosystems						
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.1.7: Undertake a stakeholder and community outreach	2025-05-30	10%	30%	Regarding the governance of the	HU
Management	campaign to communicate the implications of the gap analysis results				protected area, the first session of the	
of less	to relevant stakeholders.				CGL of the RFFHB was held on April 16,	
supported					2024 with the participation of statutory	
Protected					members. The recommendations focused on	
Areas and					the commitment of stakeholders to	
surrounding					support the OIPR in the various	
ecosystems					sustainable management activities of the	
					reserve.Regarding the CGL-PNMP, the	
					second session was held on April 24,	
					2024 in the presence of the Prefect of	
					the Guémon Region.	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.7: Sign contracts with cocoa farmers on restrictions to	2025-05-30	10%	10%	Preliminary discussions already held	HU
Management	further deforestation				with the "Cocoa Zero Deforestation"	
of less					Project Coordination Unit	
supported						
Protected						
Areas and						
surrounding						
ecosystems						ŀ
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.17: Develop and implement ecotourism incentive	2025-05-15	10%	10%	Discussions are underway at the OIPR	U
Management	programmes				level to identify strategies that can	
of less					enhance the ecotourism potential of the	
supported					pilot sites. The Banco National Park	
Protected					model is at the center of discussions	
Areas and						
surrounding						
ecosystems						
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.1.8: Support the revision of the forestry law to include	2025-05-30	10%	25%	The forest code was adopted in 2019 and	HU
Management	aspects related to sustainable mangrove management in the context				regulates the question of tree	
of less	of REDD+ and PES				ownership, which is fundamental in the	
supported					application of REDD+ in its article 25.	
Protected					Also, 35 texts are being developed for	
Areas and					its effective application. However, some	
surrounding					provisions relating to PES and REDD have	
ecosystems					been omitted.The Technical Advisory	
					Committee of component 1 therefore	
					recommended, during its second meeting,	
					to explore the possibilities of	
					integrating the REDD and PES concepts	
					into the implementing texts of the	
					forest code. To this end, a work plan is	

Component	Output/Activity	completion date	status as of previous reporting	status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay expected from the Ministry of Water and	Progress Rating
					Forests.	
Management	Activity 3.2.8: Develop communication tools on sustainable natural resource management (including protected areas, forests and mangroves) and ecosystem services for local and national media	2025-05-30	10%		A communication strategy exists at the OIPR level on the sustainable management of natural resources in protected areas.The Project's communication strategy has been developed and provides communication tools to raise awareness among populations.Leaflets, flyers, t-shirts and polo shirts were made bearing the Project's image.	HU
Management	Activity 3.2.18: Support the establishment of local ecotourism committees and encourage local councils to recognise the role of these committees in local development	2025-05-30	10%		Discussions are underway at the OIPR level to identify strategies that can enhance the ecotourism potential of the pilot sites. The Banco National Park model is at the center of discussions	HU
Management of less supported	Activity 3.1.9: Build on lessons learned from pilot sites, gap analysis and previous stakeholder consultations to provide recommendations to key government stakeholders in developing a legal and institutional framework to facilitate community participation in forest management	2025-05-30	0%		The delay in the procedure for making resources available prevented the business from starting up.	HU
	Activity 3.2.9: Community consultations to initiate the start of implementation of forest restoration activities	2025-05-30	10%		A nursery of 500 Acacia auriculiformis plants was set up at the Haut Bandama	HU

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
of less					reserve. As part of the monitoring of	
supported					this nursery, a donation of equipment	
Protected					consisting of a wheelbarrow, a watering	
Areas and					can and a shovel was made.The	
surrounding					reforestation of 40 hectares of mangrove	
ecosystems					is underway in Niani (Sassandra)	
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.19: Carry out awareness raising and publicity campaigns to	2025-05-15	10%	10%	The OIPR prepares awareness-raising	U
Management	support ecotourism				missions to encourage local populations	
of less					to ecotourism and launch ecotourism	
supported					activities	
Protected						
Areas and						
surrounding						
ecosystems						
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.1.10: Organise a workshop to identify and validate (with	2025-05-30	0%	0%	The delay in the procedure for making	HU
Management	relevant stakeholders) explicit national objectives for ecosystem and				resources available prevented the	
of less	species protection across the range of native ecosystem types and				business from starting up.	
supported	biogeographic sub-regions.					
Protected						
Areas and						
surrounding						
ecosystems						
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.10: Workshops to train community leaders (and future	2025-05-30	15%	35%	765 students and supervisors were	HU
Management	trainers) on practical aspects of the project				trained on the issues of preserving	
of less					protected areas.50 people were trained	
supported					on the benefits of mangrove conservation	
Protected					and restoration in Sassandra	
Areas and						
surrounding						
ecosystems						

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
3 Sustainable	Activity 3.2.20: Capacity building for ecotourism business	2025-06-30	10%	10%	Discussions are underway at the OIPR	U
Management	development and management				level to identify strategies that can	
of less					enhance the ecotourism potential of the	
supported					pilot sites. The Banco National Park	
Protected					model is at the center of discussions	
Areas and						
surrounding						
ecosystems						

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

## 4 Risks

### 4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and	Low	Low
responsibilities		
2 Governance structure - Oversight	Low	Low
3 Implementation schedule	Moderate	Moderate
4 Budget	Moderate	Moderate
5 Financial Management	Low	Moderate
6 Reporting	Low	Moderate
7 Capacity to deliver	Low	Low

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

### 4.2 Table B. Risk-log

### Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
The PES is seen as a classic integrated	All results	М	М	М	L			L	=	Initial discussions with local people
conservation and development project.										have shown that they are willing to
										take part in the project because of
										the benefits they will derive from the

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
										implementation of the PES.
Encroachment on the domain of protected areas	All results	Н	Н	М	М			M	=	A mission was carried out in eight villages bordering two national parks and made it possible to understand the reason for these reluctances. to understand the expectations of the populations and to propose adapted solutions. A study is planned with a view to proposing a text taking into account the expectations of the populations
PES is seen as a private sector oriented approach  Inability to mobilise private sector funding	Results 1 and 2  All results	M	M	M	L			L	=	Initial discussions with local people have shown that they are willing to take part in the project because of the benefits they will derive from the implementation of the PES.  Planned meetings with the private
for PES  Lack of a clear conservation objective. which  can make it difficult to conclude PES with  stakeholders.	n Result 2	M	M	M	L			L	=	sector have not yet taken place  The feasibility studies carried out in Sassandra and Grand-Béréby have made it possible to determine the areas of mangrove that could be restored or conserved as part of the project.
Lack of reference and guarantee to work in classified forests.  A change of government considering that	Result 3  All results	M	M	L	L L			L L	=	The provisions of the new forestry code provide guidelines for activities to be carried out in class forests.  Various institutional changes have
A change of government considering that there is strong support for this project.	All results	L	L	L	L			L	=	Various institutional changes ha taken place without affecting th

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
										project.
New regulations and implementation of rural tenure (village and individual); government and private sector funding of PES. and funding of PAs	All results	M	M	M	M			М	=	A study is planned for the proposal of texts with a view to improving the institutional and legal framework
Climate change risk	All results	L	L	L	L			L	=	The project's activities to preserve and restore forest cover will help to reduce the effects of climate change
Reputational damage to UNEP associated with collaboration with PALM-CI - its profit-driven business approach and potential negative social and environmental impacts on communities and ecosystems resulting from palm oil monoculture	All results	М	M	L	L			L	=	
Involuntary resettlement of local and indigenous people to project sites	Outcomes 2 and 3	М	M	М	М			М	=	The implementation of activities through NGOs provides for the setting up of local monitoring committees. These committees have not yet been set up.
Suggested land tenure reform may affect local communities or the environmental services on which they depend.	Outcomes 2 and 3	L	L	L	L			L	=	
Cofinancing realisation	All outcomes	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	$\uparrow$	Cofinancing raising is a challenge
		М	N 4	In 4	М			М	L	1
		IVI	M	M	IVI			IVI	=	

# 4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Encroachment on the	"Organisation of awareness-	A mission was carried out in	Carry out the study and	30/06/2025	REDD+. AFOR. UGP
domain of protected areas	raising campaigns for	eight villages bordering two	propose the texts taking		
	people living near the	national parks and made it	into account the		
	project sites".	possible to understand the	expectations of the		
		reason for these	populations		
		reluctances. to understand			
		the expectations of the			
		populations and to propose			
		adapted solutions.A study is			
		planned with a view to			
		proposing a text taking into			
		account the expectations of			
		the populations.			
Inability to mobilise private	Meetings with the private	The implementation date	Meet with the private	01/12/2024	UGP. OIPR
sector funding for PES	sector	for this provision has not	sector within the agreed		
		yet been set.	timeframe		
New regulations and	Identifying changes to	A study is planned for the	Carry out the study and	30/06/2025	UGP. REDD+
implementation of rural	regulations and proposing	proposal of texts with a	propose the texts taking		
tenure (village and	regulatory texts	view to improving the	into account the		
individual); government and		institutional and legal	expectations of the		
private sector funding for		framework	populations		
PES. and funding for PAs					
Involuntary resettlement of	Signing of agreements with	Missions are planned for	Sign the agreements and	01/12/2024	UGP. CNDD
local and indigenous people	local communities and	the preparation and signing	ensure the proper		
to project sites	creation of local monitoring	of Community Conservation	functioning of the local		
	committees	Agreements with the	monitoring committees		
		populations no later than	which will be set up		
		December 2024.	following these		

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
			agreements.		
Capacity of the Execution	Continuous capacity	Continous training	Continuous Training	During coming reporting	Project Team. UNEP
Agency to implement	building by UNEP Team			cycle	
Low Cofinance Realization	Acceleration of project	Close collaboration with	Cofinancing mobilisation	During the next reporting	Project team and UNEP TM
	implementation with	executing partners to	and reporting	cycle	
	partners, to catch up on the	accelerate implementation.			
	delay experienced before				
	project start up due to				
	national financial				
	procedures.				

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

## **5 Amendment - GeoSpatial**

#### **Project Minor Amendments**

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

### 5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes
Results Framework:	No
Components and Cost:	No
Institutional and implementation arrangements:	No
Financial Management:	No
Implementation Schedule:	
Executing Entity:	No
Executing Entity Category:	No
Minor project objective change:	No
Safeguards:	No
Risk analysis:	No
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	No
Location of project activity:	No
Other:	No

Minor amendments

### 5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signature Date)		introduced in this
					revision

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signature Date)		introduced in this
					revision

**GEO Location Information:** 

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
NIANI SITE 1	4.99745	-6.07795		Mangrove restoration site	Planting of 25 hectares of
					mangrove (Rhizophora
					racemosa. Avicennia
					germinans) by nursery
					through local populations
NIANI SITE 2	SITE 2 4.97995	-6.06397		Mangrove restoration site	Planting of 19.67 hectares of
					mangrove (Rhizophora
					racemosa. Avicennia
					germinans) by nursery
					through local populations

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. \*

[Annex any linked geospatial file]