Gef UN @ environment programme

UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2023 1 July 2022 to 30 June 2023

1- Identification

Project details					
GEF ID Project Short Title	4513 NBSAP NR5	SMA IPMR ID Grant ID Umoja WBS	S1-32GFL-000367		
Project Title	the Revision	Support to GEF Eligible Parties (LDCs & SII the Revision of the NBSAPs and Development of Fifth National R			
Project Type	Full Sized Project (FSP)	Duration months Planned	36		
Parent Programme if child project	Diadisconity	Age Completion Date Planned -original PCA	120.0 months 31-Dec-24		
GEF Focal Area(s) Project Scope	Biodiversity Global	Completion Date Planned -original PCA Revised - Current PCA	N/A		
Region Countries	Benin, Bhutan, Cambodia, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Djibouti, Dominica, DR Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Gambia, Grenada, Guyana, Lao PDR, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Maldives, Mauritania, Nepal, Niue, Palau, Rwanda, Solomon Islands, St. Kitts & Nevis, St. Vincent & Grenadines, Togo, Tonga, Uganda, Vanuatu, Zambia	Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval	19th January 2012 19th January 2012		

GEF financing amount	USD 6,798,000	Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force)	04th April 2012
Co-financing amount	USD 6,650,000	Date of First Disbursement	04th April 2012
	u	Date of Inception Workshop, if available	
Total disbursement as of 30 June	US\$ 5,858,643	Midterm undertaken?	No
Total expenditure as of 30 June	US\$ 4,987, 655	Actual Mid-term Date, if taken	N/A
		Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken	N/A
		Expected Terminal Evaluation Date	1-Dec-25
		Expected Financial Closure Date	1-Jun-25

1.2 EA: Project description

Inis project responded to the Strategic Man for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and the Alchi Biodiversity Largets adopted by the 10th Conference of the Parties (CUP) to the Convention on Biological

Diversity (CBD) (Decision X), which was a commitment to promote effective implementation of the Convention through a strategic approach, comprising a shared vision, and strategic goals and targets (the Aichi Biodiversity Targets), that would inspire broad-based action by all Parties and stakeholders. Specifically, the project would: -

(a) Enable GEF eligible Least Developed Countries (LDCs) and Small Island Developing Countries (SIDs) to undertake revision of the National Biodiversity Strategies and Plans (NBSAPs);

(b) develop the 5th National Report to the CBD. In accordance with Article 26 of the Convention and Decision X/10 of the 10th Conference of the Parties, Parties were required to submit their Fifth National Report by 31 March 2014. National reports were essential tools in allowing the COP to keep the implementation of the Convention under review, inter alia, by providing material for the preparation of the Global Biodiversity Outlook. The Fifth National Report provided a key source of information for a mid-term review of the implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020, which was undertaken at the twelfth meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

Unlike previous enabling activities, this project also served as the basis for the development of communication tools capable of attracting the attention of and engaging stakeholders, thereby facilitating the mainstreaming of biodiversity into broader national and global agenda. In addition, the project responded to the request from COP 10 Decision X/6 on Integration of biodiversity into poverty eradication and development.

This umbrella program was set up to cover 30 LDCs and SIDs in this first Phase, and provided an expedited mechanism for the development, submission and approval of countries' proposals

(Individual funding requests of up to \$220,000) for their revision of the NBSAPs and development of the 5th National Report to the CBD, providing the GEF and UNEP an opportunity for managing the biodiversity Enabling Activities more strategically in partnership with the CBD and other key global actors. Activities at country level included (1) Stocktaking and Assessment;(2) Setting national targets, principles, & priorities of the strategy; (3) Strategy and Action Plan development of Implementation plans and related activities; and (5) Institutional, monitoring, reporting and exchange. In addition, this project integrated issues pertaining to the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization (the 'Nagoya Protocol'). This "integrated approach" would allow for creating synergies and support mainstreaming of ABS issues into the different policy areas that were relevant for the mutually supportive implementation

of the three objectives of the CBD.

UNEP was the GEF implementation Agency supporting this program and its roles included: (1) Responding to countries on guidance and frequently asked Questions (FAQs); (2) Assisting

the countries to navigate the 5th National Report Portal; (3) Approval of country requests; (4) Issuance of the Project Cooperation Agreements (PCAs) to countries; (5) Payment of funds directly to the countries; (6) Review and analysis of selected draft countries' Fifth National Reports either upon request from countries or during arranged regional consultations (7) Financial reporting to the GEF Secretariat; and (8) Project evaluation

The main objective of this project was to enable countries to revise their NBSAP and to develop the Fifth National Reports to the CBD. The project supported integrating the obligations of these countries under the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) into their national development and sectoral planning frameworks through a renewed and participative 'biodiversity planning' and strategizing process, in a manner that was in line with the global guidance contained in the CBD's Strategic Plan for 2011-2020.

COMPONENT 1:

Stocktaking and Assessment:

It entailed rapid stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies and reports, Identification of stakeholders and raising awareness and rapid assessment of the causes and consequences of biodiversity loss highlighting the value of biodiversity and ecosystem services and their contribution to Human well-being. The methods of execution included the National consultants engaged to do rapid stock taking of relevant plans, policies and reports including those that pertained to the Nagoya Protocol on ABS, National consultants engaged undertook a gap analysis of the initial NBSAP report, National consultative meetings that undertook rapid assessment of causes and consequences of biodiversity loss

COMPONENT 2:

National Targets, Principles, & Priorities of the Strategy.

Before the NBSAP was developed, the country determined its targets and priorities first, using the 2020 targets, and taking into account the guiding results from Component 1. This component was further guided by the instructions given by the CBD COP, and assisted by an international consultant (where it was necessary) based on the many emerging issues which were updated in the NBSAPs and which added different dimensions to the consultations. These emerging issues included the recently adopted Strategic Plan for Biodiversity (2011-2020) and its associated goals, Integration of biodiversity into poverty eradication and development, human Rights and Indigenous, gender considerations and social and environmental safeguards, issues of BD conservation and poverty alleviation, Marine and coastal Biodiversity needs, Issues on Nagoya protocol on ABS.

COMPONENT 3:

Strategy and action plan development:

This entailed developing the strategy and actions to implement the agreed targets through national consultations. In addition, mainstreaming biodiversity into development policies, plans and practices and into sectoral plans and strategies were done. This meant internalization of biodiversity conservation goals into economic and development sectors, policies and programs, such that they became an integral part of their functioning of these sectors. Focus was made in such sectors as Agriculture, Forestry, Hunting, Livestock, Tourism, Trade, Travel and Transport, Energy, Fishery, Development Planning & Finance, Water, housing, and mining.

COMPONENT 4:

Development of Implementation Plans:

Once there was a revised draft NBSAP – further work was required to address supporting systems. Component 4 addressed these supporting systems for the NBSAP process. Activities included development of a plan for capacity development for NBSAP implementation; Assessing and strengthening capacity needs, technology needs assessment, development of a communication and outreach strategy for the NBSAP. National consultants, development of a plan for resource mobilization for NBSAP implementation.

COMPONENT 5

1.3 Project Contact

Division(s) Implementing the project	UN Environment Programme Ecosystems Division GEF Biodiversity and Land Degradation Unit Biodiversity and Land Branch	Executing Agency(ies)	National Government Ministries of Environment
Name of co-implementing Agency	none	Names of Other Project Partners	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD Secretariat), UNEP-WCMC
TM: UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Ersin Esen	EA: Manager/Representative	Jane Nimpamya
TM: UNEP Task Manager(s)	Jane Nimpamya	EA: Project Manager	Ruth Igamba
TM: UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	George Saddimbah	EA: Finance Manager	George Saddimbah
TM: UNEP Support/Assistant	Ruth Igamba	EA: Communications lead, if relevant	N/A

2- OVERVIEW OF PROJECT STATUS

	PoW 2018 – 2019 Subprogramme 4 - Environmental governance	TM: UNEP previous Subprogramme(s)	Subprogramme 3: Healthy and productive ecosystems Biennia for the PoW under result "The health and productivity of marine, freshwater and terrestrial ecosystems are institutionalized in education, monitoring and cross-sectoral and transboundary collaboration frameworks at the national and international levels"
TM: UNEP Current Subprogramme(s)			

(a) The international community increasingly converges on common and integrated approaches to achieve environmental objectives and implement the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development; and its corresponding indicators

(b) Institutional capacities and policy and/or legal frameworks enhanced to achieve internationally agreed environmental goals, including the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and the Sustainable Development Goals; and its corresponding indicators

TM: PoW Indicator(s)

 Technical assistance and partnerships to establish indicators for biodiversity and ecosystem functioning and monitoring of key issues that have an impact on ecosystem functioning and ecosystem productivity
 Technical assistance and partnerships on effective conservation measures and monitoring thereof (ecosystem management, ecological representativeness and connectivity)

~	
~	
_	
~	
∞	
>	
~	
5	
0	
<u> </u>	
_	
Δ.	
111	
=	
Z	
_	
_	
-	
•	
2	

EA: UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages

Sustainable and inclusive growth – Emphasis on biodiversity management for development.

EA: Link to relevant SDG Goals	EA: Link to relevant SDG Targets	Where appropriate, insert the most relevant SDG target(s) and indicator(s) to which the project contributes Indicators Indicator 2.4.1: Proportion of agricultural area under productive and sustainable agriculture Indicator 2.5.1: Number of plant and animal genetic resources for food and agriculture secured in either medium or long-term conservation facilities Indicator 3.9.2: Mortality rate attributed to unsafe water, unsafe sanitation and lack of hygiene (exposure to unsafe Water, Sanitation and Hygiene for All (WASH) services) Indicator 6.4.2: Level of water stress: freshwater withdrawal as a proportion of available freshwater resources Indicator 6.5.1: Degree of integrated water resources management implementation (0- 100) Indicator 6.6.1: Change in the extent of water- related ecosystems over time Indicator 11.3.2: Proportion of cities with a direct participation structure of civil society in urban planning and management that operate regularly and democratically Indicator 13.2.1: Number of countries that have communicated the establishment or operationalization of an integrated policy/strategy/plan which increases their ability to adapt to the adverse impacts of climate change, and foster climate resilience and low greenhouse gas emissions development in a manner that does not threaten food production (including a national adaptation plan, nationally determined contribution, national communication, biennial update report or other)
--------------------------------	----------------------------------	--

Indicators		Targets - Expected value		Materialised to date
mulcators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Waterialised to date

Implementation Status

2023

	PIR #	Rating towards outcomes (DO) (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (IP) (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2023		S	S	L
FY 2022	10th PIR	S	S	L
FY 2021	9th PIR	5	S	L
FY 2020	8th PIR	S	S	L
FY 2019	7th PIR	S	HS	L
FY 2018	6th PIR	HS	S	L
FY 2017	5th PIR	S	S	L
FY 2016	4th PIR	S	S	L
FY 2015	3rd PIR	S	S	L

Projects started in all the 30 countries namely, Benin, Bhutan, Cambodia, Cape Verde, Central Africa Republic, Djibouti, Dominica, DR Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Gambia, Grenada, Guyana, Lao PDR, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Maldives, Mauritania, Nepal, Niue, Palau, Rwanda, Solomon Islands, St Kitts an Nevis, St Vincent and The Grenadines, Togo, Tonga, Uganda, Vanuatu and Zambia. 29 countries (97%) have submitted their NBSAPs to the CBD Secretariat All the 30 countries have submitted their 5NR

Component 1- production of the NBSAP: 29 countries (97%) have submitted their NBSAPs to the CBD Secretariat. Component 2- compilation and submission of the 5th National report to the CBD: All the 30 countries have submitted their 5NR

29 out of the 30 countries (97%) have submitted their NBSAPs to the CBD Secretariat. Central African Republic is yet to submit their revised NBSAP to CBD Secretariat. All the 30 countries have submitted their 5NR

There have been challenges in project execution at country level. These challenges emanate from change in political regimes which affected constitution o project Management teams in several countries. Additionally, with COVID – 19 outbreak, some countries noted delays in project activities and project reporting

Rating towards outcomes: The rating is S because all countries have submitted their 5th National reports and 97% of the countries have submitted the NBSAPs to the CBD Rating towards outputs: same as for outcomes Overall risk rating: is low (L

EA: Summary of status (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)

0	EA: Planned Co-finance	Planned is \$6,798,000	EA: Actual to date:	\$6,650,000 (98%) as of June 2021
2.4 Co-finance	EA: Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges.			ψ0,000,000 (30 /0) as of suffe 202 f
Stakehc	EA: Date of project steering committee meeting			
2.5. St	EA: Stakeholder engagement (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)		there was extensive stakeholders' engagements with gov edge management. National Stakeholders: Government N	
[TM: Does the project have a gender action			

2.6. Gender	EA: Gender mainstreaming (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	into the included the nee While g utilize b Guinea	implementation of the C d to ensure that views or ds of indigenous groups gender mainstreaming ha biodiversity, how both gen , Mexico and Venezuela	ial and environmental safeguards: -the initial NBSAPs had ignored mainstreaming of gender perspectives Convention and promote gender equality in achieving its three objectives. This aspect has now been in how various social groups utilize biodiversity, how lack of conservation might affect both genders and how is, forest communities and other local communities should be taken care of in BD conservation. The sabeen well considered in the stakeholders' consultation level, there has been emphasis how social groups inders can equally ensure conservation of biodiversity, the specific needs of indigenous groups. Papua New has produced a final draft NBSAP which as clearly linked poverty alleviation and both gender and how their onservation of Biodiversity.
	TM: Was the project classified as moderate/high risk at CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage? TM: If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?	A	No	TM: Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period? TM: If yes, please describe the new risks, or changes
	TM & EA: Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period? TM & EA: If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail including	A	No	
2.7. ESSM	EA: Environmental and social safeguards management (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	agencie Safegu •Minimu analysis •Minimu indigen •Minimu of susta •Minimu sustena •Minimu sustena •Minimu •Minimu	es ensured diversity in st ards were considered; um standards 1: Environi s processes as a key act um standards 2: Account ous communities made a um standards 3: Biodiver ainably living with nature. um Standard 4: Restriction while caring for it. um Standard 5: Indigeno ance. um Standard 6: Cultural unce and underscored the	on on land use and Involuntary Resettlement - this component was key in advancing processes of exploiting ous people – was a key stakeholder in consultation processes as they interact more closely with nature for Heritage – as a measure of cultural value, the project also took stock of natural resources that have cultural e need to protect them ree Efficiency and Pollution Prevention – Ultimately, the NBSAPs and 5NR outlined how parties enshrined

Ш

earning	EA: Knowledge activities and products (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	The project team and the stakeholders utilized the NBSAP Forum Web portal and the CHM website. Webinars for training were develop by WCMC. NBSAPs and 5th National Reports publications were publicized at national level and are also now posted on the UNCBD website and the country websites including the CHM websites. https://www.cbd.int/reports/
2.8. KM/Le	EA: Main learning during the period	Please attach a copy of any products NA
9. Storie	EA: Stories to be shared (section to be shared with communication division/ GEF communication)	ΝΑ

н. н



3. RATING PROJECT PERFORMANCE

3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes (Development Objectives)

Project objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period (numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	EA: Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	TM: Progre rating
jective							
With the overarching goal of integrating CBD bbligations into national planning processes through enabling activities, the main objective of this project is to enable GEF eligible LDCs and SIDs to revise the National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans (NBSAPs) and to develop the 5th Vational Report to the CBD		supported to conduct country planning for BD conservation including initial NBSAPs, four rounds	data compiled with new data collected in-country. 30 assessment reports emanating from review of Biodiversity loss	project:		Under this phase 1 umbrella project, 29 out of 30 countries (97%) have submitted their NBSAPs to the CBD Sec. Central African Republic is finalizing their NBSAP and will submit within the 3rd quarter of 2020. 100% of participating countries having submitted their fifth national reports to the CBD. The 5th NRs were used for production of the Global Biodiversity outlook (GBO4)	S
	 Development and sectoral planning frameworks at country level integrate measurable biodiversity conservation and sustainable use targets 		report of country specific targets and	30 compilation report of country specific targets and principles		Through consultative and participatory processes, approximately 99% work on development of targets, principles & main priorities of national biodiversity conservation strategy have been achieved and included in the draft NBSAPs. Mainstreaming of Biodiversity issues in sectoral and national planning has been achieved at 95%.	S

	3. The 30 countries are enabled and informed for better decision	zero countries are	Informed	100% of	100%	100%, of the countries have reported their	S
	making in BD conservation	enabled and	professional entities			biodiversity status through the fifth	
		informed for better		NBSAP approved		national reports submitted to CBD.	
		decision making in	public are better	by country			
		BD conservation	able to lobby for or	Biodiversity		29 out of 30 countries (93%) have	
			improve BD	Committee,		submitted their NBSAPs to the CBD Sec	
			Conservation.	parliament or			
				responsible		97% of participating countries have also	
			The CBD Conference			developed their CHM, thereby enhancing	
			of the Parties (COP)	and uploaded to		access to biodiversity related information	
			uses results of the	the SCBD CHM.		for better decision making	
			project for decision	(NB: This			
			making to improve	benchmark			
			BD conservation	applies barring			
			actions	any force			
			actions				
				majeure)			
				At least 50% of			
				the NBSAP			
				recommendation			
				s are integrated			
				into			
				national/sectoral			
				country plans			
400004							
	a) Comprehensive stakeholder inventories and elaboration of bost	The last stock taking	National	BY End TERM		A list of National Rindiversity stakeholders	
utcome 1 Outcome 1: Retter decision making enabled, on Biodiversity	a) Comprehensive stakeholder inventories and elaboration of best	The last stock taking		BY End TERM		A list of National Biodiversity stakeholders	S
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity	a) Comprehensive stakeholder inventories and elaboration of best consultation modalities	and inventory on	implementation of	The revised		developed through a comprehensive	S
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries		and inventory on biodiversity was	implementation of the Convention on	The revised inventories and		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis	S
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity	The revised inventories and assessments in		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation	S
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis	S
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries.	S
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting	S
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on	S
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and	S
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on	S
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and	S
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and consequences of BD loss, and value of BD	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and mainstreamed into sectors and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and mainstreamed into	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and consequences of BD loss, and value of BD	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and mainstreamed into sectors and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and consequences of BD loss, and value of BD	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and mainstreamed into sectors and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and consequences of BD loss, and value of BD	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and mainstreamed into sectors and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and consequences of BD loss, and value of BD	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and mainstreamed into sectors and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and consequences of BD loss, and value of BD	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and		and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and mainstreamed into sectors and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and consequences of BD loss, and value of BD	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and	consultation modalities	and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and mainstreamed into sectors and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and consequences of BD loss, and value of BD	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and	b) Completed reports from reviews on national plans & policies on	and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and mainstreamed into sectors and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and consequences of BD loss, and value of BD	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and	consultation modalities b) Completed reports from reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation	and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and mainstreamed into sectors and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and consequences of BD loss, and value of BD	
Outcome 1: Better decision making enabled on Biodiversity (BD) conservation in Government Ministries resulting from knowing the current stocks and	b) Completed reports from reviews on national plans & policies on	and inventory on biodiversity was done in 1998/1999 in most countries when the first NBSAPs were	implementation of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is improved and enhanced as status of biodiversity, and measurable targets for conservation and sustainable use are operationalized in participating countries at national and sub national levels, and mainstreamed into sectors and	The revised inventories and assessments in NBSAPs ready for use by countries for planning processes.		developed through a comprehensive stakeholder assessment and analysis process for engagement and consultation has been developed by all countries. National Progress reports highlighting reviews on national plans & policies on Biodiversity conservation developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies developed and submitted to UNEP National reports on review of causes and consequences of BD loss, and value of BD	

The governments, CBD COP, development partners and other stakeholders start using the new NBSAP Here and other stakeholders start using the new NBSAP Here and the stakeholders start using the stakeholders stakeholderstakeholders stakeholders stakeholders stake	BY End TERM 99% VII 30 countries 99% Iomesticated 99% Iomesticated 99% Ind elaborated 99% NCHI targets 99% ncluding Nagoya 90% Protocol issues 99%	99% work on identification of targets, principles and priorities of biodiversity conservation in line with 2020 AICHI targets achieved and reported all of them have prioritized Nagoya Protocol issues for ABS. All the 30 countries have developed their Fifth National Report and submitted to UNEP and CBD
The governments, CBD COP, development partners and other stakeholders start using the new NBSAP and other stakeholders start using the new NBSAP and other stakeholders start using the new NBSAP stakeholders the duptating the d		
into country budget	evised NBSAPs	29 out of 30 countries (97%) have submitted their NBSAPs to the CBD Sec A number of countries already have their revised NBSAPs commissioned by respective governments and final versions of the revised NBSAPs submitted to CBD 100% of participating countries have submitted their 5th national reports to the CBD and accessible through CBD website. https://www.cbd.int/reports/

Outcome 4

Outcome 4:	By year 3 of the project the following will have been done:	Most of the	a) Capacity	By end of project	97%	Over 97% work achieved with regards to
BD Country budgets adjusted as a result of	a) Capacity Development Plan For NBSAP Implementation.	countries in this	Development Plan	the countries are		development of NBSAPs implementation
knowing costs of capacities required , technology,	b)Technology Needs Assessment Reports.	project conducted	For NBSAP	ready to roll out		capacity plans, technology needs
and conservation gaps		the capacity and	Implementation.	with		assessment and resource mobilization
		technical needs		implementation		plans
		assessment starting	b)Technology Needs	of new NBSAPs.		
		in 2002- but now	Assessment Reports.			
		need to repeat to	Operational Nationa	Capacity gaps of		
		update according to		biodiversity		
			conservation	conservation		
			coordination	institutions		
			structures.	identified, and		
				recommendation		
			An updated CHM	s integrated in		
				the NBSAPs.		
			The 5th National			
			Report completed	Majority of		
			and feeds into COP	implementing		
			decisions	countries have		
				fully developed		
			c)Communication	CHM with links		
			Strategy	to CBD network.		
			0,	http://www.cbd.		
			d)Resource	int/chm/network		
			Mobilisation Plan for			
			NBSAP	-		
			implementation	Enhanced BD		
				information		
				access through		
				CHM		
				development.		
				100% of		
				implomenting		

Outcome 5:	The current national	Operational National	BY End of project	Capacity gaps of biodiversity conservation
Informed professional entitites (and the general public are better	BD structures	biodiversity		institutions identified, and
equipped and able to improve BD Conservation.	require	conservation	-Stronger BD	recommendations integrated in the
b) The CBD Conference of the Parties (COP) uses results of the	strengthening.	coordination	conservation	NBSAPs.
project for decion making to improve BD conservation guidance.	All the participating	structures.	institutions with	
	countries have		operational	Majority of implementing countries have
By end of project	submitted their 4th	An updated CHM	CHMs compared	fully developed CHM with links to CBD
a) National BD Coordination Structures more strengthened and	national report to		to baseline	network.
Operatinal (b) National CHM Operational	the CBD	The 5th National		http://www.cbd.int/chm/network/
c)Fifth National Reports submitted to the SCBD by the		Report completed	-General public	
recommended COP 10 deadline		and feeds into COP	and stakeholders	Enhanced BD information access through
c)Communication Strategies are completed		decisions	better informed	CHM development.
d)Resource Mobilisation Plan for NBSAP implementation			about BD	
			conservation and	100% of implementing countries have
			country specific	submitted Fifth National Reports to SCBD
			targets	
			-A monitoring	
			system in place	
			for following	
			progress of	
			NBSAP	
			implementation	

For joint projects and where applicable ratings should also be discussed with the Task Manager of co-implementing agency.

3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Output	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of 30 June 2022 (%) (Towards overall project targets)	Implementation status as of 30 June 2023 (%) (Towards overall project targets)	EA: Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	TM: Progres rating
nder Comp 1					
Output 1: Stocktaking and Assessment	Dec-20	100%	100%	Partners heavily engage with project stakeholders to ensure sufficiency and efficiency in	HS
Activity 1: Rapid stocktaking and review of relevant plans, policies and reports.	Dec-20	100%	100%		s
Activity 2: Identification of stakeholders; consultations and awareness	Dec-20	100%	100%		S
Activity 3: Rapid assessment of the causes and Consequences of biodiversity loss highlighting the value of biodiversity and ecosystem services and Their contribution to Human well being	Dec-20	100%	100%		S
nder Comp 2					
Output 2: Setting National Targets, Principles & Main priorities of the strategy	Dec-20	100%	100%	The countries have set targets based on individual country priorities which have little variance considering that the countries are indifferent Geographic locations with diverse biodiversity concerns	S
Activity 4: Setting National Targets, principles & Main priorities of the strategy through national consultation	Dec-20	100%	100%		S

der Comp 3	1				
Output 3: Strategy and action plan development	Dec-20	97%	97%	Approximately 93% of participating countries have developed strategies and action plans, a continued outcome of output 2 above	S
Activity 7: Developing the strategy and actions to implement the agreed targets through national consultations	Dec-20	99%	99%		S
Activity 8: Application of the NBSAP to sub- national entities through sub-national and local consultations	Dec-20	99%	99%		S
Activity 9: Sectoral integration including mainstreaming into development, poverty reduction and climate change plans through sectoral consultations	Dec-20	99%	99%		S
Output 4: Development of Implementation plans and Related activities	Dec-20	97%	97%	NBSAPs approvals by respective governments and sectoral integration of BD issues is enhancing funding opportunities for NBSAP implementation	S
der Comp 4					
Output 3: Strategy and action plan development	Dec-20	97%	97%	Approximately 93% of participating countries have developed strategies and action plans, a continued outcome of output 2 above	S
Activity 10: Development of a plan for capacity development for NBSAP implementation	Dec-20	97%	97%		S
Activity 11: Technology needs assessment	Dec-20	97%	97%		S
Activity 12: Development of a communication and outreach strategy for the NBSAP	Dec-20	97%	97%		s
Activity 13: Development of a plan for resource mobilization for NBSAP implementation	Dec-20	97%	97%		S
der Comp 5					
Output 5: Institutional, monitoring, reporting and exchange	Dec-20	93%	93%	Variance due to different project commence date. Most countries previously had CHMs which required updating and hence why this	S
Activity 14: Establishment / strengthening of national Coordination structures	Dec-20	93%	93%		S
Activity 15: CHM Development	Dec-20	93%	93%		s
Activity 16: Development of indicators and monitoring approach	Dec-20	93%	93%		S
	Dec-20	93%	93%		s

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

gef UN @

4 Risk Rating 4.1 Table A. Project management Risk Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating EA's Rating TM's Rating **Risk Factor** Low : Well developed, stable Management Structure and Low : Well developed, stable Management Structure and Roles/responsibilities are clearly 1 Management structure - Roles and responsibilities V Roles/responsibilities are clearly defined/understood. Low likelihood of V defined/understood. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery. potential negative impact on the project delivery. Low : Steering Committee and/or other project bodies meet at least Low : Steering Committee and/or other project bodies meet at least once a yearand Active once a yearand Active membership and participation in decision-2 Governance structure - Oversight membership and participation in decision-making processes. SC provides direction/inputs. V A making processes. SC provides direction/inputs. Low likelihood of Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery. potential negative impact on the project delivery. Low : Project progressing according to original work planand Adaptive Low : Project progressing according to original work planand Adaptive management is A management is practiced and regular monitoring. Low likelihood of practiced and regular monitoring. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the project 3 Implementation schedule V potential negative impact on the project delivery. deliverv Low : Activities are progressing within planned budgetand Balanced Low : Activities are progressing within planned budgetand Balanced budget utilisation budget utilisation including PMC. Low likelihood of potential negative 4 Budget A A including PMC. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery. impact on the project delivery. Low : Funds are correctly managed and transparently accounted Low : Funds are correctly managed and transparently accounted forand Audit reports forand Audit reports provided regularly and confirm correct use of 5 Financial Management A V provided regularly and confirm correct use of funds. Low likelihood of potential negative funds. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the project impact on the project delivery. delivery. Low : Substantive reports are presented in a timely manner and Low : Substantive reports are presented in a timely manner and Reports are complete and Reports are complete and accurate with a good analysis of project 6 Reporting A A accurate with a good analysis of project progress and implementation issues. Low progress and implementation issues. Low likelihood of potential likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery. negative impact on the project delivery. Low : Sound technical and managerial capacity of institutions and other Low : Sound technical and managerial capacity of institutions and other project partners project partners and Capacity gaps were addressed before and Capacity gaps were addressed before implementation or during early stages. Low 7 Capacity to deliver A V implementation or during early stages. Low likelihood of potential likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery. negative impact on the project delivery. If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

4.2 Table B. Risk-log

Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

0

	Risk affecting:			Ris	k Rating				Varia	ation respect to last rating
Risk	Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	PIR 6	Δ	Justification
Risk 1: Experience from past Umbrella programs (for 3rd and 4th national reports to the CBD) showed that many countries have been slow in preparing and remitting country requests to the CEF implementing agency. Often requests were incomplete or contained inconsistent text.	outcome 1-5	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	=	
Risk 2: The review of several reports also showed that many countries missed the opportunity to truly involve civil society in consultations.	outcome 1-5	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	=	
Risk 3: The CBD may reject submissions beyond the original deadline of 30 March 2014 for 5NR.	outcome 1-5	М	М	М	Not Applicabl e	L	L	L	=	

tisk: Lack of capacity: Experience from the Fourth National Report Umbrella Projects (both UNDP's and UNEP's)	otucome 1-5	М	м	Not Applicabl	L	L	L	L	=	
howed that many countries do not have adequate capacity or the preparation of the reports to the CBD. In addition, his project includes revision of the NBSAPs- which				e						
equires a different type of training										
Consolidated project risk		L	L	L	L	L	L	L		This section focuses on the variation. The overall rating is discussed in section 2.3.

4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

List here only risks from Table A and B above that have a risk rating of **M or higher** in the current PIR

		Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period			Additional mitigation measures for the n	ext periods
	instance (PIR-1, MTR, etc.)			What	When	By whom
			_			
			-			
			_			
			-			
			-			
			_			
Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between	en 51% and 75% that assump n 26% and 50% that assumpti	il to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risi tions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantia ons may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may fa d or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risi	al risk ace o			

Please tick each category for which a						ng up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. rument as appropriate.	
				-			
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Mi	nor Amendmer	nt (TM)					
Minor amendme	ents	Changes				Minor amendments	
Results framework			None				1
Components and cost							
Institutional and implementation a	rrangements						
Financial management							
Implementation schedule		Explain in table B					
Executing Entity							
Executing Entity Category							
Minor project objective change							
Safeguards							
Risk analysis							
Increase of GEF project financing	up to 5%						
Co-financing							
Location of project activity							
Other							
5.2 Table B: History of proje	ct revisions an	d/or extensions (TM)					
Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last signiture Date)	Agreement Expiry Date		Main changes introduced in this revision	
Original Legal Instrument							
Amendment 1	Revision						
Extension 1	Extension						
ocation Information:							
scation information:							
						where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The	
						nal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as	
here(https://gefportal.worldbank.org				use this format. Consider using a	a conversion tool as needed, such a	s: https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking	
	Dr Phylosocca, Beller						
Location Name		Latitude	Longitude	Geo Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description	
Required field		Required field	Required field	Required field if the location is not an exact site	Optional text field	Optional text field	
				not an exact site			
		-1.27467	36.81178	Nairobi	Nairobi/Global Project		

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. *

This is a global project in 30 countries and so we cannot have a map. In addition, it is an enabling activity project.

[Annex any linked geospatial file]