

GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)

Document Generated by: GEF Coordination Office CO

At: 2024-08-28 07:41:50

Table of contents

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	3
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description	4
1.3 Project Contacts	4
2 Overview of Project Status	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators.....	6
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks	7
2.4 Co Finance.....	9
2.5. Stakeholder.....	9
2.6. Gender	10
2.7. ESSM	10
2.8. KM/Learning	11
2.9. Stories	12
3 Performance	13
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	13
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)	17
4 Risks	26
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	26
4.2 Table B. Risk-log	26
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks.....	29
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial	36
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM).....	36
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	36

UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024
Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

1.1 Project Details

GEF ID: 9402	Umoja WBS: GFL/11207-14AC0003-SB-006383
SMA IPMR ID: 30394	Grant ID: S1-32GFL-000617
Project Short Title: Antigua 2020	
Project Title: The Path to 2020 - Antigua and Barbuda	
Duration months planned:	48
Duration months age:	68
Project Type:	Full Sized Project (FSP)
Parent Programme if child project:	
Project Scope:	National
Region:	Latin America and Caribbean
Countries:	Antigua and Barbuda
GEF Focal Area(s):	Biodiversity
GEF financing amount:	\$ 2,729,153.00
Co-financing amount:	\$ 5,501,791.00
Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:	2018-10-17
UNEP Project Approval Date:	2019-02-06
Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force):	2019-02-08
Date of Inception Workshop, if available:	2019-01-31
Date of First Disbursement:	2019-02-22
Total disbursement as of 30 June 2024:	\$ 2,271,726.00
Total expenditure as of 30 June:	\$ 1,696,490.00

Midterm undertaken?:	Yes
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:	2022-09-12
Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:	
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2023-02-08
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:	2025-12-31
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2024-01-12
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2025-12-31

1.2 Project Description

Project Objective: To actualize protection and sustainable use of biodiversity and protected areas, under the umbrella of the newly passed Environmental Protection and Management Act (EPMA) of 2019. Executing Agency is the Department of the Environment, Ministry of Health, Wellness and the Environment, Antigua and Barbuda. Main government/other partners involved: Ministry of Agriculture, CARDI, IICA.

Component 1: Strengthening regulations, institutions and financing mechanisms for the national Protected Areas System: This component aims to improve coordination amongst the different entities through finalizing the Protected Areas System Management and Business Plans and standardize monitoring for transparency and measurability of the impact of conservation efforts.

Component 2: Expansion of protected areas in support of species conservation: This component aims to add the proposed extension of the Shekerley Mountain area as a conservation investment zone to the national protected areas system.

Component 3: Pilot livelihood financing mechanisms that support conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity and plant genetic resources in the newly designated Shekerley Mountain Management Area (SMMA): This component will catalyze conservation investments by establishing a window of the Sustainable Island Resource Framework Fund (SIRF) to provide blended financing (grants, loans and microequity) for deal/project structuring, building capacity for co-investment, document lessons learned and scale up good practices. This component is expected to raise significant financing from the private sector as co-financing for the overall project.

1.3 Project Contacts

Division(s) Implementing the project	Ecosystems Division
Name of co-implementing Agency	

Executing Agency (ies)	Department of the Environment, Ministry of Health, Wellness and the Environment, Antigua and Barbuda
names of Other Project Partners	
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Johan Robinson
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Christopher Cox
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	George Saddimbah
UNEP Support Assistants	Gloritzel Frangakis
Manager/Representative	Diann Black-Layne
Project Manager	Sherwyn Greenidge
Finance Manager	Chalissa Phillip/Dayjah Valarie
Communications Lead, if relevant	N/A

2 Overview of Project Status

2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s):	Thematic: Nature action subprogramme
UNEP previous Subprogramme(s):	Healthy and productive ecosystems
PoW Indicator(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature: (iii) Number of countries and national, regional and subnational authorities and entities that incorporate, with UNEP support, biodiversity and ecosystem-based approaches into development and sectoral plans, policies and processes for the sustainable management and/or restoration of terrestrial, freshwater and marine areas
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	2022-2026 UN MSDP in the Caribbean includes Outcome 6 'Caribbean countries manage natural resources & ecosystems strengthening their resilience & enhancing the resilience& prosperity of the people and communities that depend on them' which is relevant to the objectives under this project
Link to relevant SDG Goals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.5 By 2020, maintain the genetic diversity of seeds, cultivated plants and farmed and domesticated animals and their related wild species, including through soundly managed and diversified seed and plant banks at the national, regional and international levels, and promote access to and fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge, as internationally agreed 15.1 By 2020, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their services, in particular forests, wetlands, mountains and drylands, in line with obligations under international agreements 15.6 Promote fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources and promote appropriate access to such resources, as internationally agreed 15.9 By 2020, integrate ecosystem and biodiversity values into national and local planning, development processes, poverty reduction strategies and accounts 15.a Mobilize and significantly increase financial resources from all sources to conserve and sustainably use biodiversity and ecosystems

2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

Indicators	Targets - Expected Value			Materialized to date
	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	
1.2- Terrestrial protected areas under improved management effectiveness	Not defined	3035	3035	1,700

Implementation Status 2023: 5th PIR

2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	5th PIR	U	MU	S
FY 2023	4th PIR	MS	MS	M
FY 2022	3rd PIR	S	S	M
FY 2021	2nd PIR	S	S	M
FY 2020	1st PIR	MS	MS	M
FY 2019				
FY 2018				
FY 2017				
FY 2016				
FY 2015				

Summary of status

Overview:

Key Achievements: While The SMMA Management Plan and Business Plan have since been completed the DOE has faced hiccups in implementing the recommendations, however, the DOE continues to meet and work with relevant stakeholders within the area to find the best way to execute the recommendations from the plan, the Draft PES Framework has been developed, and has been submitted for approval by the relevant body, once this has been approved the PES will begin implementation. The PA Regulations have been drafted and introduced to the Cabinet, however, it is still awaiting approval from the Cabinet, after which the Minister will need to take the document to be laid in Parliament. **Critical Challenges:** The DOE has not yet been able to install a PA management team to achieve the financial sustainability of the PA. This is mainly related to the fact that the SMMA has not been in operation as the time of reporting, the DOE continues to work on finding a solution to rectifying this. However, the DOE has been closely supporting the Minister to install a capable Management team to manage the PA. The project anticipates that this management

capacity with an SMMA management team will be in place by the end of quarter 4 2024. A further challenge that the project has faced is limited project management capacity within the DOE over this reporting cycle. This has been hampering implementation and the DOE is looking to rectify the situation.

The project will require a no-cost extension, technical completion is in August 2024.

Outcome 1: PA management and financing framework in Antigua and Barbuda strengthened and coordinated to support biodiversity conservation and to enable a Public-Private Partnership agreement for future management of the PA system. Although the final draft of the PA System Plan is available new financing mechanisms were developed as part of the PA Business Plan and the PA Financing Instruments. this component has faced delayed due to the absence of a project coordinator. The PA Coordinating Mechanism (PACM) has been established as a subcommittee and approved by the Chair of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), the team has also reached out to various stakeholders to be a part of the committee. However, the PACM has yet to have the first meeting as we are still awaiting approval of nomination from the various stakeholders. The Draft PA Regulations have been prepared and are in the Ministry of Legal Affairs for the next processing step, which is to have the document laid in parliament.

Outcome 2: Globally significant biodiversity & agrobiodiversity conserved, managed and sustainably used to improve livelihoods and generate PA revenues. During this period the DOE has assessed the area to establish the proposed botanical gardens, a draft map was prepared to show the layout of the area, and the concept note has been updated and awaiting to be presented to the Cabinet for approval. In the meanwhile, the department has identified climate-friendly fencing material to fence the area. The Bat population surveys have since been completed. The PA Business Plan and Management Plan are still waiting to be presented to the Cabinet. The process of declaring Shekerley Mountain a PA under local legislation has been delayed due to delayed feedback from the Development Control Authority (DCA) under whose legislation the PA is being declared, however during this reporting period the DOE met with the DCA to follow up on the status of the declaration, the DCA is in the process of conducting public consultations on all documents to be taken to the cabinet and laid in parliament, this is expected to be completed by the end of Q4. Additionally, has done again with the support of the Minister to hire a capable Management team to manage the PA in the SMMA, however, based on budget restrictions this activity has been delayed, Once the SMMA management team is in place, this should reduce the risks and improve the progress.

Outcome 3: Reduced negative impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem services through enhanced sustainable livelihoods in rural communities. The financing mechanisms under PATH to 2020 have been revised to include a Pilot Blended Finance Programme. The Blended Finance programme includes an innovative financing mechanism that will seek to provide grants via technical assistance, concessional loans and equity investments in the form of climate-smart technologies to SMEs within the project area which is the SMMA. A total of seven (7) SMEs within the SMMA were approved by the SIF Fund Board and published on the DOEs website. The programme is currently ongoing as the selected SMEs are undergoing technical assistance to build their capacity financially and technically.

2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-finance:	\$ 5,501,791
Actual to date:	3,617,250
Progress	<p>Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:</p> <p>No significant work has been done on the project due to the lack of a coordinator, as a result, no cofinancing was done for this reporting period</p>

2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering committee meeting	2024-01-17
Stakeholder engagement (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	<p>In reference to the PACM, the DOE reached out to various stakeholders to follow up on their nomination to become a member of the TAC.</p> <p>The DOE in collaboration with the Commonwealth Secretariat conducted stakeholder consultations with the PATH to 2020 Beneficiaries that were selected as well as female-led and owned SMEs. These consultations were held to understand the challenges SMEs face in accessing financing and other resources to adapt to climate change. Additionally, a stakeholder consultation workshop was held to aid in the development of a gender-responsive blended finance window under the SIRF Fund to ensure this window is accessible and inclusive of female-led and owned businesses.</p> <p>The DOE otherwise maintains contact with project beneficiaries through ongoing outreach channels, for example through community and school visits and other collective fora, and via media opportunities. The project has been active in outreach to beneficiaries through the blended finance awards being made available under SIRF Fund to support environmentally-oriented livelihood investment opportunities that are mainly aligned with the agricultural sector. These interventions are principally targeting more economically disadvantaged segments of the community. The work of the project is overseen by a Technical Advisory Group that is represented by organizations that provide inputs on behalf of target beneficiaries. The DOE maintains an active web presence with information to stakeholders provided on current initiatives that allows for query and feedback.</p>

2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender action plan?	No
Gender mainstreaming (will be uploaded to GEF Portal):	<p>Due to the extremely high ratio of male to female applicants, the project faced challenges as it relates to the number of female applicants applying as there were more male applicants than female applicants. This also posed a challenge to the final selection of applicants. To resolve this issue, the DOE, through NDC partnership was provided with technical assistance in collaboration with the Commonwealth Secretariat to develop a gender-responsive blended finance window under the SIRF Fund. This technical assistance looked at the issues surrounding female-led and female-owned business that hinder their participation and access to financing. A workshop was held with participation from mainly female-led and owned business for them to share their insights and challenges in accessing financing at the local level. These issues were discussed in an open discussion and taken into account for further action by the DOE/SIRF Fund. The lessons learned will be used in the designing of a gender-responsive blended finance window under the SIRF Fund to support more female-owned and led businesses going forward. The DOE will also be utilizing a bulk purchasing approach as a tool for social inclusion to crowd in more vulnerable groups in accessing climate adaptation technologies. This approach as it relates to the PATH to 2020 project will seek to bulk purchase climate smart technologies that most nature based businesses require to adapt to climate change, building resilience and promote a low-carbon economy.</p>

2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in terms of Environmental and social safeguards)	<p>Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage? Yes</p> <p>If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?</p> <p>There are some “maybe” under resettlement and cultural heritage risks. Further work may need to be done to assess the safeguard risk issues and the project ability to avoid or mitigate them. Socio-economic dynamics among housing and tourism industry, farmers, cattle grazing, miners of sand and others should be skillfully managed in order to avoid compliance/grievance issues down the line. SS 1: Considering the fact that it is in fragile and biodiversity rich regions, special attention is necessary to avoid unintended and indirect negative consequences to it. SS 2: Water scarcity and chemical influx to water due to cash crops and pesticides were expressed as concern. Will there be any actions around this? SS 9: Valuation of biodiversity to society through the goods and services through WAVES and BIOFIN is to convince the policy makers on the economic reality of environmental conservation and to share economic returns equitably among the concerned stakeholders--communities, governments and private sector. This is great. Sugar cane, cotton and other</p>
--	---

	<p>economic developments may not be as profitable if one calculates the full cost for the long time But, such economic accounting does not factor the different economic interests among stakeholders. Winners and losers are often different groups. Short term gain often goes to private sector or rich people while leaving poor and vulnerable people in the minus side of the full costing. Government's engagement for fair and transparent sharing across the concerned stakeholders and communities will be important. Will the project engage local community in terms of the value, alternative economic livelihood and market for their products and services</p>
New social and/or environmental risks	<p>Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?</p> <p>No</p> <p>If yes, describe the new risks or changes?</p>
Complaints and grievances related to social and/or environmental impacts	<p>Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?</p> <p>No</p> <p>If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions were taken?</p> <p>The PATH to 2020 Pilot Blended Finance Programme is currently undergoing. The DOE and SIRF Fund has agreed as per the PATH to 2020 Term Sheet Agreement. The Term Sheet agreement outlines the key roles, and responsibilities as well as the terms and conditions governing the implementation of the PATH to 2020 Pilot Blended Finance Programme. The programme has been delayed, however, the DOE is taking measures for full roll-out in the short term.</p>
Environmental and social safeguards management	<p>There have been no specific environmental and social safeguards management applied for this report period.</p>

2.8. KM/Learning

Knowledge activities and products	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The SIRF Fund has selected and approved Samuel Fields Consulting Group to provide Technical assistance to all seven (7) SMEs that have been approved to benefit from the programme. 2. A Stakeholder Consultation Workshop was executed with project beneficiaries and female-led and owned businesses to share issues, challenges and experiences faced by local SMEs in accessing financing and other resources to build their capacity and adapt to climate change.
--	--

Main learning during the period	The project did not capture any key learning opportunities of note in this reporting period.

2.9. Stories

Stories to be shared	The project did not capture content that would be useful for story development in this period. This will be developed in subsequent reporting cycles.
-----------------------------	---

3 Performance

3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
1: PA management and financing framework in Antigua and Barbuda strengthened and coordinated to support biodiversity conservation and to enable a Public- Private Partnership agreement for future management of the PA system	1. Improved management and financial capacity of the national PA system in Antigua and Barbuda, as measured by scores on: -PA Capacity Scorecard - Financial Scorecard	PA Capacity Scorecard score: 54 Financial Scorecard Score3: 25	PA Capacity Scorecard scores increased by 15% over baseline Financial Scorecard score increased by 75% over baseline	PA Capacity Scorecard scores increased by 15% over baseline Financial Scorecard score increased by 150% over baseline	PA Capacity scorecard score: 70 Financial Scorecard: 45. No change this reporting period	No change this reporting period due to the absence of a project coordinator	MU
	2. Improved capacity for coordination on PA management among relevant agencies, NGOs, community groups and the private sector in Antigua and Barbuda	Management responsibility for PA units is dispersed among various organizations, without any cohesive plan, shared vision, or coordinated management	National PA coordinating mechanism established	National PA coordinating mechanism actively guiding PA management	85% progress	While PACM was approved and was established as a subcommittee of TAC by the Chair, the PACM has yet to initiate its first meeting. Invitations were sent out to various stakeholders asking to submit a nomination from their organization to represent them on the PACM. Only a few responses were received. the innovation was sent out again during this reporting period and it is expected that that this activity will be advanced before the end of the	MS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
						end of q4 2024	
	3. New planning tools for the coordinated management and financing of the national PA system	Draft PA System Plan (2009) is fragmented, outdated, and was never approved Draft analysis of PA financing needs and opportunities	PA System Plan is updated and endorsed by Cabinet and Parliament Draft PA System Business Plan	PA System Business Plan is endorsed by the Cabinet and Parliament	90% Progress	The draft National Protected Area Business Plan is completed. And has been to the cabinet, however, it is still waiting to be laid in parliament by the minister.	MS
	4. National protected area system under new public-private management mechanism	No Public-Private Partnership agreements for PA management exist in the country	PPP for PA management covering all PAs (except Nelson's Dockyard National Park) agreed for a 10-year period	Joint management of PA system fully operational under PPP agreement	0%	Continues to be delayed. Request to be made to TAC (PSC) to discuss way forward/ Strategy to accomplish this activity with contribution from UNEP	U
2: Globally significant biodiversity & agrobiodiversity conserved, managed and sustainably used to improve livelihoods and generate PA revenues	1. Increase in the total area of the PA System in Antigua and Barbuda, and in legal protection for the areas of the country officially designated for Environmental Protection	Existing PA System: 17,704 hectares 0% of the area designated under the EPMA for Environmental Protection is legally protected	Survey and boundary delineation of 3,035 hectares Demarcation and zoning of the Dunnings Forest Pilot	proposed SMMA legally established (gazetted), increasing the PA system area by 3,035 hectares and providing legal	no change this period	There was a delay in the gazetting progress pending feedback from the Chief Town and Country Planner. This has been provided, and the DOE will move forward with PA gazettelement (a letter is being drafted to the AG and the relevant ministers indicating the DOEs intention along with the accompanying ministerial order. A new timeframe for completion	MU

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period (numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
			Conservation Investment Zone and Body Ponds Watershed, thereby protecting 5% of the area for Environmental Protection	protection to 40% of the Environmental Protection area		has not been established but is anticipated by Q1 2023. Dunnings will no longer be used as the pilot site for the forest conservation investment zone. Christian Valley has been chosen as the alternative location (due to land use and tenure changes). This concept and circulation note for the designation of this site was presented to the Minister however no cabinet decision has been made. This is anticipated by Q4 2024.	
	2. Improved management capacity for the Shekerley Mountain Management Area, as measured by score on the Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool (METT)	Baseline METT Score: 8	METT score increased by 10% over baseline	METT score increased by 20% over baseline	No change this reporting period	Although the plans have been completed they have not yet been operationalized	MU
	3. Increase in the population of the Tree Bat <i>Ardops nicholli</i>	Population: Baseline population to be established in year 1 of project	Population and distribution established, available in the EIMAS	0-5% increase of Tree Bat populations in the Shekerley Mountain area	No change this reporting period	The baseline data collection was still ongoing	MU
3: Reduced negative impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem services through enhanced sustainable livelihoods in rural communities	1. Financing mechanisms in place to enable access to funds / credit for farmers who cultivate locally adapted crop varieties.	SIRF Fund has developed the Revolving Fund for Adaptation but not yet developed /	Financing mechanisms (e.g. micro-finance with credit unions;	Financing mechanisms finalized, tested, and revised as	The Blended Finance Package has begun where grants in the form of technical	The DOE & SIRF Fund has entered into an agreement via the PATH to 2020 Term Sheet which outlines the roles and responsibilities of the DOE & SIRF Fund and key terms and conditions in	MS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
		tested financing mechanisms for farmers/sustainable livelihoods	revolving fund for farmers; equity investments) developed 3-5 projects are provided with technical assistance and financing through SIFR Fund, of which 50% are female beneficiaries	necessary 3-5 more projects identified and presented as opportunities to private conservation finance investors, of which 50% are female beneficiaries	assistance is being provided to the seven (7) approved SMEs (farmers) under the programme to build their capacity financially and technically and fulfil their legal obligations as a businesses.	implementing the Blended Finance Programme. The Blended Financing Programmes has successfully approved seven (7) SMEs (farmers) within the SMMA to access a blended finance package inclusive of grants via technical assistance, concessional loans and equity investments via climate-smart technologies. The SMEs are currently undergoing the Technical assistance (TA) Phase where a consultant has been hired to execute the TA.	
	2. Genetic diversity and agrobiodiversity conserved through farmer use of locally adapted crop varieties	Locally adapted crop varieties (at least 19 nationwide ⁶) are at risk of being lost due to adoption of new crops and modified varieties	At least 5 locally adapted crop varieties are preserved (e.g. through a community seed bank) and being cultivated by local farmers (of which at least 30% are women)	At least 10 locally adapted crop varieties are preserved (e.g. through a community seed bank) and being cultivated by local farmers (of which at least 30% are women)	0 crop variety	Activity has not been initiated as yet based on the work plan/activity sequencing.	U

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period (numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
	3. Sustainable production projects up-scaled to other PA sites through additional grant and non-grant financing from various investors	SIRF Fund has not established/tested financing of sustainable production projects in PA sites	US\$200,000 in financing for sustainable production projects at other PA sites	US\$1,000,000 in financing for sustainable production projects at other PA sites	\$0USD	No update this reporting period	U

3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
1 Strengthening regulations, institutions and financing mechanisms for the national Protected Areas System	Output 1.1: Protected Areas legal and regulatory action plan and development of new regulations					S
	Activity 1.1.1: Comprehensively assess the current state of existing PA sites in Antigua and Barbuda, including assessment of the roles and capacities of PA management authorities	2021-01-21	100	100	This activity has been completed. Capacity Assessment forms received from Forestry, EAG, Wallings, NPA, CLNP. METT assessments received from NPA, Forestry, CLNP and Wallings.	
	Activity 1.1.2: Establish a national PA coordinating mechanism to provide a central point of coordination for all agencies responsible for PA management including (representation of NGOs, community groups and the private sector)	2024-10-31	81	90	The PACM has been established and is a subcommittee of the TAC. This was approved by the DOE Steering Committee. As previously reported only three responses were received after the request was made for nominating representatives from their respective	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
					agencies; Updated letters were delivered and emailed to the agencies requesting nomination. Aggressive follow-up has begun and awaiting further confirmation before finalizing the list of representatives and initiating the first meeting.	
	Activity 1.1.3 Finalize the draft national biodiversity gap analysis (initiated by the Nature Conservancy)	2021-10-29	100	100	This deliverable has been completed. The draft document was presented to the TAC. Comments received from Ruleo Camacho of the National Parks Authority and Helena Jeffrey Brown. Comments uploaded to DOE's Smartsheet	
	Activity 1.1.4: Develop methodologies/guidelines to standardize roles and responsibilities for PA management authorities and procedures for the development of PA Management Plans	2021-03-10	100	100		
	Activity 1.1.5: Develop national standards and key performance indicators for PA management	2020-12-18	100	100		
	Activity 1.1.6: Formalize cost-effective and participatory monitoring methods for protected areas	2021-01-29	100	100		
	Activity 1.1.7: Update the PA Systems Plan and submit to Cabinet and Parliament for approval	2024-08-31	90	90	PA systems plan has been completed and still awaiting submission to the cabinet for approval. and Legal Affairs so that the plan can be laid in parliament. This activity has been delayed	
	Output 1.2: Protected Areas legal and regulatory action plan and development of new regulations					S
	Activity 1.2.1: Conduct a legal and regulatory gap analysis of all existing PAs (including boundaries, Gazette publication, Management	2022-08-31	100	100		

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
	Authorities, etc.) and PA management/coordination across the various agencies and stakeholders					
	Activity 1.2.2: Develop a legal and regulatory action plan to address gaps in PA legislation	2024-12-31	90	90	Action Plan has been completed and still awaiting submission to cabinet for approval. A meeting was scheduled with the Minister regarding said submission. A follow-up will be initiated	
	Activity 1.2.3: Draft legislation to facilitate enhanced private sector investments in agrobiodiversity and other genetic diversity protection activities	2019-06-24	100	100		
	Output 1.3 - Technical assistance provided for PA management actions and development of the public-private partnership strategy.					S
	Activity 1.3.1: Issue an invitation via the SIRF Fund to all PA Management Authorities to apply for support to develop PA Management Plans that are aligned with the PA Systems Plan; select two PAs using transparent criteria	2022-07-06	100	100		
	Activity 1.3.2: Identify sustainable livelihood, biodiversity and genetic resource investment and business development opportunities	2019-06-24	100	100		
	Activity 1.3.3: Conduct training on assessment, planning and capacity building to strengthen PA management	2024-08-31	24	24	Still no progress. The Environmental Awareness Group was procured to execute the training. Some trainings were executed. The DoE will follow up on the status of their work plan and update it accordingly	
	Activity 1.3.4: Develop participatory Management Plans for two PAs	2024-12-31	76	76	no update this reporting period	
	Output 1.4 - PA System Business Plan developed for triple bottom line revenue generation					MS
	Activity 1.4.1: Establish a PA Financing Task Force (PAFTF)	2021-03-18	100	100		
	Activity 1.4.2: Assess existing PA financing instruments, develop	2020-08-18	100	100		

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
	and/or strengthening potential PA financing instruments					
	Activity 1.4.3: Develop a draft ten-year strategic PA System Business Plan and present PA System Business Plan to Cabinet and Parliament	2024-08-30	81	81	This activity was carried out by the PA Management Consultant and was completed in August 2020. The Legal Unit of the DOE has advised that the lessons learnt from Activity 1.4.4 should be included in the final version of the plan before it is presented to Cabinet.	
	Activity 1.4.4: Revise/finalize PA System Business Plan based on lessons learned (Output 3.3) and publish	2024-08-31	0	0	The Business Plan was completed. The lessons learnt will be incorporated in the Business Plan when available.	
	Output 1.5 - Public-Private Partnership for management of Antigua and Barbuda's Protected Areas System established.					U
	Activity 1.5.1: Develop an investment prospectus (IP) for a private operator to manage PAs under a ten-year Build- Operate-Transfer (BOT) and/or Operations- Maintenance-Management (OMM) agreement	2024-08-31	36	36	This activity has been at a standstill. Despite meeting with many experts the project has not come to any viable outcomes on this. The project need further guidance from the TAC (PSU) to advise on the way forward.	
	Activity 1.5.2: Issue prospectus, evaluate proposals, and enter into legal agreements for PA management	2024-08-31	0	0	Delayed, this activity has not yet been initiated. This activity is dependent on the conclusion of Activity 1.5.1.	
2 Expansion of protected areas in support of species conservation	Output 2.1 - Gazettement and management of the Shekerley Mountain Management Area and the Dunnings Forest ecosystem					MS
	Activity 2.1.1: Surveying and gazetting the boundaries for Shekerley Mountain Management Area (SMMA) including sub- zones (Dunnings Conservation Investment Zone, Body Ponds Watershed, etc.)	2024-11-30	76	76	No update this reporting period. Still waiting on the Development Control Authority to initiate the presentation to the cabinet and parliament	
	Activity 2.1.2: Fence the Dunnings Forest ecosystem to protect it from	2024-08-30	53	59	The minister has confirmed the approval	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
	roaming livestock				by the cabinet. The team has been awaiting the official document. During this period the DOE has created a new concept note to be shared with the minister justifying the botanical garden and the fencing, a map draft map was also done to show the possible layout of the area. The DOE has also researched the fencing type and where to procure it.	
	Activity 2.1.3: Conduct hydrological baseline studies and biodiversity assessment for the SMMA	2024-08-28	89	89	A contractor was hired to conduct the flora and fauna workshop during October-November. members from DOE, EAG and Dunbars were in attendance. Due to the absence of PC, the contract ended up lapsing which resulted in the activity being delayed. The DOE however has since communicated with one of the consultants and are looking at how to move forward. Outstanding is the two final deliverables which are the training manual and the the final report	
	Activity 2.1.3b: Develop a detailed PA Management & Business Plan for the Shekerley Mountain Area, based on PA Systems Plan guidelines, present to Cabinet and Parliament, and publish in the Gazette	2024-08-28	79	79	Still awaiting presentation to Cabinet then Parliament	
	Activity 2.1.4: Update the EIMAS and international websites (e.g. IUCN's World Commission on Protected Areas (WCPA)	2024-09-30	75	75	While the baseline report has been completed, this activity is dependent on the SMMA being gazetted, after which the EIMAS will be updated to reflect the	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
					updated PA	
	Activity 2.1.5: Build capacity of the SMMA management agencies and stakeholders to manage the SMMA and to engage in sustainable partnerships with the private sector	2024-08-31	67	71	The Environmental Awareness Group developed a survey to identify the type of businesses in the SMMA, their environmental practices, and where they can create synergies with other businesses. HTTP://www.surveymonkey.com/r/HWLJ5CN . The EAG still needs to conduct training on assessment, planning and capacity building to strengthen PA management. This contract has also lapsed and need to be revised to continue the activities.	
	Output 2.2 - Payment for ecosystem services pilot in the Dunnings Forest ecosystem					MS
	Activity 2.2.1: Operationalize PES system (e.g. required policy / regulatory changes; determine amount of water levy; design overall payment system; establish criteria for use of water levy funds; etc.)	2024-08-31	83	93	Final Deliverable 3 has been completed; Financial Feasibility of Payments for Ecosystem Service sche,e in the SMMA	
	Activity 2.2.2: Implement water levy to support watershed protection, and carry out associated education and outreach to customers	2024-08-31	0	65	No change this reporting period. The DOE is still exploring other PES options	
	Activity 2.2.3: Pilot watershed conservation using PES funds in Dunnings Forest area (create fencing to keep livestock out of the watershed; increase patrolling; possibly restore vegetative cover, etc.)	2024-08-31	0	0	SPPARE's watershed conservation initiative as well as the work of the Christian Valley Agriculture station are presently contributing towards water conservation in the area.	
	Activity 2.2.4: Assess potential for other PES systems (e.g. payments based on carbon sequestration, research on genetic biodiversity, and visitor fees for visiting the SMMA)	2024-08-31	70	70	The financial feasibility of the PES scheme was sent to the DOE members to review and waiting feedback	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating	
3 Pilot livelihood financing mechanisms that support conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity and plant genetic resources in the newly designated Shekerley Mountain Management Area (SMMA)	Output 3.1 - Updated policies and regulations in place for the SIRF Fund's non-grant financing instruments (to be restructured)					MS	
	Activity 3.1.1: Conduct consultations and design a Revolving Fund, micro-equity financing window, or other innovative financial instrument under the SIRF Fund for sustainable livelihoods	2024-08-30	100	100			
	Activity 3.1.2: Develop a suite of sustainable livelihood interventions that are eligible for financing and define prioritization/selection criteria	2021-07-28	80	100			
	Activity 3.1.3: Update operational and financial procedures, and develop and pass regulations via negative resolution in Parliament for the sustainable livelihoods private sector window under the SIRF Fund	2022-04-12	67	100			
	Output 3.2 - Technical assistance and financing provided to pilot grant and non-grant biodiversity conservation and mainstreaming activities in the Shekerley Mountain Management Area					The ESS and Gender Assessment for the SITE and Business strategy has been delayed due to the absence of a technical staff. Also the monitoring and implementation, and manage investments and repayment activity is on hold. This activity will be supported by the Technical Assistance Consultant that has been hired under component 3 for the Blended Finance Programme	MS
	Activity 3.2.1: Issue the Call for Proposals via the SIRF Fund using Output 3.1 guidelines	2021-10-31	96	100			
	Activity 3.2.2: Convene a specialized Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) and a Financial Evaluation Committee and provide training on sustainable agricultural practices to Committee members, Ministry of Agriculture staff and agriculture extension officers	2021-05-18	100	100			
	Activity 3.2.3: Screen proposals for environmental and social risks,	2024-12-31	40	40			

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
	technical feasibility, and financial risks					
	Activity 3.2.4: Select and award technical assistance sustainable production grants that comply with and contribute to biodiversity and genetic conservation in the SMMA	2024-07-31	50	100	This activity has been completed	
	Activity 3.2.5: Select and award innovative financing proposals (micro-equity; micro-loans/Revolving Fund box hand) that comply with and contribute to biodiversity and genetic conservation in the SMMA	2022-05-10	0	100	This activity has been completed	
	Activity 3.2.6: Monitor implementation and manage investments and repayments	2024-08-31	0	10	Although this activity is on hold, the first draft has been submitted. As stated in the previous PIR, this activity can commence once funding has been given to successful applicants and their projects have started (activity 3.2.4 and 3.2.5)	
	Output 3.3 - Lessons learned documented and used to facilitate additional project proposals seeking grant and non-grant financing from various investors					MS
	Activity 3.3.1: Conduct an independent evaluation of the projects financed under Output 3.2, identifying pilot projects that succeeded in delivering value-for-money and high impact conservation	2024-08-31	0	2	As stated in the previous PIR, this activity can commence once funding has been given to successful applicants and their projects have started (activities 3.2.4 and 3.2.5). Evaluation of the proposal has however been submitted and is under review by M&E team	
	Activity 3.3.2: Identify learning and best practices and develop content to facilitate up-scaling through technical case studies, multi-media documentaries, presentations, and integrate field visits on sustainable production into the school curriculum	2024-08-31	0	20	This activity is expected to be done during the SIRF Fund Evaluation.	
	Activity 3.3.3: Identify and support the development of at least 5	2024-08-31	34	34	While funding request was included in	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
	proposals that can be submitted for grant and non-grant financing to the SIRF Fund, the private sector, and other investors				the GCF readiness proposal	

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

4 Risks

4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and responsibilities	Substantial	High
2 Governance structure - Oversight	Low	Moderate
3 Implementation schedule	Substantial	Substantial
4 Budget	Low	Low
5 Financial Management	Moderate	Moderate
6 Reporting	Moderate	Substantial
7 Capacity to deliver	Moderate	High

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

4.2 Table B. Risk-log

Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
Cabinet and Parliament endorsement of PA System not obtained; changes in land use (e.g. hotel development) are approved within Shekerley Mountain Management	Outcome 1	L	M	M	M	S	N/A	S	=	No further updates as we are still awaiting the plan to be taken to the cabinet

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
Area										
Financial sustainability of SMMA is not secured (i.e. PA does not get sufficient visitors to be self-funding; agrobiodiversity research programmes/fees are not agreed to; PPG agreement for PA system is delayed)	Outcome 2	M	M	H	H	H	N/A	H	=	Management plan has yet to be operationalized
Opposition from local communities to establishment of SMMA. Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES). and/or research into local crop varieties; lack of buy-in from private landowners for the establishment of the SMMA	Outcome 2	M	M	M	M	M	N/A	H	↑	Management team still not in place
Illegal crop cultivation in intervention area presents potential danger to work of rangers and to visitors	Outcome 2	M	M	M	M	L	N/A	L	=	the Agricultural Extension Division will work on situations such as this as they arise. as there is no management team in place as yet
Delay in establishing some of the new innovative financing windows (e.g. equity) via the SIRF Fund (knowledge gaps on the design and implementation of equity and other innovative financing)	Outcome 3	M	M	M	H	H	N/A	H	=	The recipients are still undergoing technical assistance. They are expected to receive finance once technical assistance is completed. Timeline is by Q1 of 2025
Lack of demand for grants/loans by women or other under-represented groups.	Outcome 3	M	M	M	M	M	N/A	M	=	This is mainly due to factors such as the lack of female led/owned businesses with the SMMA. The DOE received technical assistance from the Commonwealth Secretariat through NDC Partnership to design and develop a gender responsive blended finance window under the

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
										SIRF Fund. This window will utilize the lessons learned under the PATH to 2020 Pilot Blended Finance Programme as well as stakeholder consultations with project beneficiaries and female owned business to ensure the Blended Finance Window under the SIRF Fund is more gender-responsive and inclusive of female led and owned businesses.
Chemical usage could increase as a result of the support for agriculture in the SMMA watershed	Outcome 3	M	M	M	M	M	N/A	M	=	Unable to track as as management team is not yet in place
Without securing the public-private partnership agreements in Components 1. 2 and 3. the project will fail to meet its financial sustainability and up-scaling goals for the Protected Areas System	Outcomes 1.2 and 3	H	H	H	H	H	N/A	H	=	Risk is still valid as management team is not yet in place
Climate change-related impacts. including natural disasters such as hurricanes and droughts. may cause declines in agricultural production and lead to negative assessments by farmers of the value of local crop varieties	Outcome 3	M	M	M	M	M	N/A	M	=	No change in risk rating; this is anticipated to remain given the inherent exposure to extreme events such as drought and storms. although the project anticipates to support climate-smart adaptations in agricultural practice.
		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		=	
		M	M	M	M	S	S	H	↑	The project slowed-down execution

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
										due to absence of strong project management oversight has been having compounding impacts on overall implementation hence the heightened risk

4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
Cabinet and Parliament endorsement of PA System not obtained; changes in land use (e.g. hotel development) are approved within Shekerley Mountain Management Area	Risk not triggered; No actions required during this reporting period	A letter was drafted by the DOE's Legal team to notify the Ministers of the intentions for the PA SMMA. Under the Physical Planning Act. 2003 it regulates any developmental activities and/or any future developments may have to go through legal procedures. The Project team continues to follow up with the Minister.	Provide periodic updates to the Ministers and relevant authorities on the status of the PA System and its implications for land use. ensuring ongoing support and attention. Also consideration will be made to	Q4 2024	Project Team and Legal Officer
Financial sustainability of SMMA is not secured (i.e. PA does not get sufficient	Risk not triggered; No actions required during this reporting period	Risk not triggered; No actions required during this reporting period	Once the SMMA Mgt team is in place and the business plan and PES Framework is	Q1 2025	SMMA Mgt Team and DOE

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
visitors to be self-funding; agrobiodiversity research programmes/fees are not agreed to; PPG agreement for PA system is delayed)			put in place. it is anticipated that this will begin to improve the financial sustainability of the SMMA		
Opposition from local communities to legalise the establishment of the SMMA. Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES). and/or research into local crop varieties; lack of buy-in from private landowners for the establishment of the SMMA	Risk not triggered because all deliverables have not been submitted by the PES consultant due to covid-19. The concept note however has been drafted.	In the past and present period the project team has hired community liaison officers to address these issues and highlight the benefits of establishing the SMMA as a PA.	Once the SMMA Mgt team is in place. they can give assurance to the local communities that work is being done to manage the SMMA effectively so everyone can benefit	Q1 2025	SMMA Mgt Team when in place and DOE
Governance structure - Oversight	The project. as are others under the DOE management are subjected to period review by a broad-based PSC and Technical Advisory Group and has been the prevailing governance mechanism. The DOE attempts to facilitate wide participation although representation may not be even at various sittings of these	The DOE continued to facilitate participation at various sittings of these committees.	The DOE will continue to facilitate the convening and active participation at these committee meetings. Attention will be on addressing implementation delays	On going	DOE Director

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
	committees.				
Delay in establishing some of the new innovative financing windows (e.g. equity) via the SIRF Fund (knowledge gaps on the design and implementation of equity and other innovative financing)	Procedures/guidelines for the development of a thematic window for PA management within the SIRF Fund are being developed	Risk not triggered	The project will continue to support capacity building within the DOE and the SIRF Fund management mechanism. This will be an ongoing process. The DOE will also seek to Organize targeted training sessions and workshops for the SIRF Fund team. focusing on the design and implementation of equity and other innovative financing mechanisms. Engage experts in sustainable finance to deliver these sessions and provide ongoing support.	On going	SIRF Fund Secretariat
Lack of demand for grants/loans by women or other under-represented groups	Risk not triggered; No actions required during this reporting period	Risk not triggered; No actions required during this reporting period. With respect to the calls for proposals	51 applications were received and 18 were from women. This can be improved. through communal enagement. which will significantly improve once management of the SMMA is in place.	Q1 2025	DOE. SMMA Mgt team (when in place) and SIRF Fund Secretariat
Chemical usage could	Risk not triggered; No	Risk not triggered. No	The SMMA Mgt Team when	Q1 2025	Agricultural Extension

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
increase as a result of the support for agriculture in the SMMA watershed	actions required during this reporting period	actions required for this period. Farmers have been trained in sustainable farm practices.	in place. will have their capacity built so that they can monitor this practice where deemed an issue.		Officers aided by the SMMA Mgt team when in place.
Without securing the public-private partnership agreements in Components 1. 2 and 3. the project will fail to meet its financial sustainability and up-scaling goals for the Protected Areas System	Risk not triggered; No actions required during this reporting periodRisk not triggered. No actions required for this period. Farmers have been trained in sustainable farm practices.		The SMMA Mgt team when in place will use the PES framework and the Business Plan to improve the chances of the PA becoming financially sustainable	Q1 2025	DOE. SMMA Mgt team (when in place)
Climate change-related impacts. including natural disasters such as hurricanes and droughts. may cause declines in agricultural production and lead to negative assessments by farmers of the value of local crop varieties	Risk not triggered; No actions required during this reporting period	Project has worked with agricultural Extension Officers to build their capacity in this area to transfer knowledge to farmers within the SMMA. Having a dedicated SMMA Management Team would also aid this process.	In addition the SMMA Management team's capacity will be built to provide guidance to the farmers	Ongoing	Agricultural Extension Officers aided by the SMMA Mgt team when in place.
Management structure - Roles and responsibilities		The project coordinator (PC) stepped down and the technical officer's contract came to an end. The project was without a PC for a period of time. A caretaker was brought on	Develop a succession plan that outlines the process for quickly filling key project roles. such as the Project Coordinator (PC) and Technical Officer. in the event of resignations or	Ongoing	Project Manager

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
		to move the activities forward.	contract terminations. This plan should include a pool of pre-identified candidates who can step into these roles on short notice. The DOE will also seek to implement a mentorship and training program for junior staff or team members, enabling them to assume higher responsibilities if key personnel are unavailable.		
Implementation schedule		A caretaker was brought on to assist in the implementation of activities. assistance from the DOE Technical Coordinator also is provided	The DOE will seek to Assess the current resource needs of the project and allocate additional personnel. financial. or technical resources to ensure that the implementation schedule remains on track. The team also will continue to Implement a system for regular progress monitoring, including weekly or bi-weekly check-ins to review the status of ongoing activities and	Ongoing	Project Team

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
			identify any potential delays early on.		
Financial Management		The Accounts Unit assist with this process with guidance of the Project Manager	The Director and Project caretaker will work closely with the accounts unit to ensure the financial reports are done.	Ongoing	Project Manager and Project caretaker
Reporting		The former PC. the Caretaker and the DOE Technical Coordinator assists with this process.	the Caretaker and the DOE Technical Coordinator assists with this process will continue to support each other in ensuring reporting is done	Ongoing	Project Team
Capacity to deliver		The Caretaker with the assistance of the DOE Technical Coordinator with SMMA management team and the DMU will improve the capacity to deliver.	Encourage cross-training among team members so that multiple individuals are capable of handling critical tasks. reducing dependency on specific personnel and increasing overall team capacity. Assess the current resource allocation and identify any shortfalls in human, technical, or financial resources. Increase staffing levels by hiring additional personnel or bringing on consultants to	Ongoing	Project Team

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
			fill specific gaps. Finally. Create a repository of resources, templates, and guides that can be used by the project team to streamline processes and ensure consistency in delivery.		

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

5 Amendment - GeoSpatial

Project Minor Amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes
Results Framework:	No
Components and Cost:	No
Institutional and implementation arrangements:	No
Financial Management:	No
Implementation Schedule:	
Executing Entity:	No
Executing Entity Category:	No
Minor project objective change:	No
Safeguards:	No
Risk analysis:	No
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	No
Location of project activity:	Yes
Other:	No

Minor amendments

no-cost extension to compensate for implementation lag triggered by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Proposed to change project site from Dunnings to Christian Valley; note that the environmental/ecological character is similar and is in the same general area so no impact on the project intended results. However formalized change to the site depends on a ministerial decision that remains delayed.

5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Type	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last signature Date)	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes introduced in this revision
Original Legal Instrument		2019-02-06	2019-02-08	2024-12-31	
Amendment 1	Extension	2023-03-28	2023-05-05	2025-12-31	no changes to the project document; facilitate COVID19 pandemic-related delays

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: <https://coordinates-converter.com> Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
Christian Valley (Bat Survey)	17.05431	-61.855646		Forest Ecosystem	Continuous data collection at 1 point (deployed on a flamboyant tree). Commenced on October 26th. 2021 for 1 year. This activity is Ongoing.
Christian Valley (Bat Survey)	17.05451	-61.85232		Forest Ecosystem	Field recon April 2021. Audio devices deployed to the five locations. June 2021. for 1 month. and data was collected on the biodiversity (agrobiodiversity) in the areas. These activities were completed in a one month

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
					period for the purpose of developing a bat monitoring plan.
Boggy Peak (Bat Survey)	17.03839	-61.85958		Forest Ecosystem	Field recon April 2021. Audio devices deployed to the five locations. June 2021. for 1 month. and data was collected on the biodiversity (agrobiodiversity) in the areas. These activities were completed in a one-month period for the purpose of developing a bat monitoring plan.
Cades Bay Plantation (Bat Survey)	17.03839	-61.85958		Forest Ecosystem	Field recon April 2021. Audio devices deployed to the five locations. June 2021. for 1 month. and data was collected on the biodiversity (agrobiodiversity) in the areas. These activities were completed in a one-month period for the purpose of developing a bat monitoring plan.
Claremont Plantation (Bat Survey)	17.02332	-61.83074		Forest Ecosystem	Field recon April 2021. Audio devices deployed to the five locations. June 2021. for 1 month. and data was collected on the biodiversity

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
					(agrobiodiversity) in the areas. These activities were completed in a one-month period for the purpose of developing a bat monitoring plan.
Wallings Forest Area (Bat Survey)	17.03616	-61.82436		Forest Ecosystem	Field recon April 2021. Audio devices deployed to the five locations. June 2021. for 1 month. and data was collected on the biodiversity (agrobiodiversity) in the areas. These activities were completed in a one-month period for the purpose of developing a bat monitoring plan.
Wallings Audio Moth 1 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.03616	-61.82436		Forest Ecosystem	Five points collected at Walling's Nature Reserve (each with a camera trap and audio moth) and a malaise trap in the center of the points. Data was collected every other week for the activity's duration. Began June 1st. 2021 and ended December 31st. 2021.
Wallings Audio Moth 2 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.034128	-61.827011		Forest Ecosystem	Five points collected at Wallings Nature Reserve (each with a camera trap

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
					and audio moth) and a malaise trap in the center of the points. Data was collected every other week for the activity's duration. Began June 1st. 2021 and ended December 31st. 2021.
Wallings Audio Moth 3 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.0338423	-61.825691		Forest Ecosystem	Five points collected at Wallings Nature Reserve (each with a camera trap and audio moth) and a malaise trap in the centre of the points. Data was collected every other week for the activity's duration. Began June 1st. 2021 and ended December 31st. 2021.
Wallings Audio Moth 4 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.0347289	-61.826774		Forest Ecosystem	Five points collected at Wallings Nature Reserve (each with a camera trap and audio moth) and a malaise trap in the centre of the points. Data was collected every other week for the activity's duration. Began June 1st. 2021 and ended December 31st. 2021.
Wallings Audio Moth 5 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.0344445	-61.825952		Forest Ecosystem	Five points collected at Wallings Nature Reserve (each with a camera trap

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
					and audio moth) and a malaise trap in the centre of the points. Data was collected every other week for the activity's duration. Began June 1st. 2021 and ended December 31st. 2021.
Wallings Camera Trap 1 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.0343092	-61.826399		Forest Ecosystem	Five points collected at Wallings Nature Reserve (each with a camera trap and audio moth) and a malaise trap in the centre of the points. Data was collected every other week for the activity's duration. Began June 1st. 2021 and ended December 31st. 2021.
Wallings Camera Trap 2 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.0338568	-61.825709		Forest Ecosystem	Five points collected at Wallings Nature Reserve (each with a camera trap and audio moth) and a malaise trap in the centre of the points. Data was collected every other week for the activity's duration. Began June 1st. 2021 and ended December 31st. 2021.
Wallings Camera Trap 3 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.0341146	-61.827027		Forest Ecosystem	Five points collected at Wallings Nature Reserve (each with a camera trap

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
					and audio moth) and a malaise trap in the centre of the points. Data was collected every other week for the activity's duration. Began June 1st. 2021 and ended December 31st. 2021.
Wallings Camera Trap 4 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.0344624	-61.825936		Forest Ecosystem	Five points collected at Wallings Nature Reserve (each with a camera trap and audio moth) and a malaise trap in the centre of the points. Data was collected every other week for the activity's duration. Began June 1st. 2021 and ended December 31st. 2021.
Wallings Camera Trap 5 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.0348028	-61.826738		Forest Ecosystem	Five points collected at Wallings Nature Reserve (each with a camera trap and audio moth) and a malaise trap in the centre of the points. Data was collected every other week for the activity's duration. Began June 1st. 2021 and ended December 31st. 2021.
Malaise Trap (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.0342998	-61.826377		Forest Ecosystem	Five points collected at Wallings Nature Reserve (each with a camera trap

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
					and audio moth) and a malaise trap in the centre of the points. Data was collected every other week for the activity's duration. Began June 1st. 2021 and ended December 31st. 2021.
Victoria Park Botanical Gardens - Audio moth and Camera trap 3 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.120889	-61.836872		Garden	Equipment (audio moths and camera traps) relocation to Botanical Gardens on January 6th. 2022.
Victoria Park Botanical Gardens - Audio moth and Camera trap 4 (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.120144	-61.837339		Garden	Equipment (audio moths and camera traps) relocation to Botanical Gardens on January 6th. 2022.
Victoria Park Botanical Gardens - Audio moth and Camera trap and malaise trap (LIFEPLAN Monitoring)	17.119744	-61.836465		Garden	Equipment (audio moths and camera traps) relocation to Botanical Gardens on January 6th. 2022.

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. *

[Annex any linked geospatial file]

Additional Supporting Documents:

Filename	File Uploaded By	File Uploaded At	
SIRF BFW Stakeholder Validation Workshop Report_A&B_Final (2).pdf	Executing Agency	2024-08-09 16:25:09	Download
Blended Finance Window Graphics.pptx	Executing Agency	2024-08-09 16:25:09	Download