

# **GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)**

Document Generated by: GEF Coordination Office CO
At: 2024-08-27 08:54:07

# **Table of contents**

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	3
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description	4
1.3 Project Contacts	4
2 Overview of Project Status	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators	6
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks	6
2.4 Co Finance	7
2.5. Stakeholder	8
2.6. Gender	9
2.7. ESSM	9
2.8. KM/Learning	10
2.9. Stories	10
3 Performance	11
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	11
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)	13
4 Risks	15
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	15
4.2 Table B. Risk-log	15
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks	17
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial	19
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)	19
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	19

# UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024 Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024

# **1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION**

### 1.1 Project Details

<b>GEF ID:</b> 5882	Umoja WBS:GFL-11207-14AC0003-SB-005823
SMA IPMR ID:29118	Grant ID:S1-32NPL-000006
Project Short Title:	
Gabon ABS	
Project Title:	
Gabon - Implementation of National Strategy and Action Plan on Access to Genetic Resou	rces and The Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Accruing From Their Utilization
Duration months planned:	36
Duration months age:	110,6
Project Type:	Medium Sized Project (MSP)
Parent Programme if child project:	
Project Scope:	National
Region:	Africa
Countries:	Gabon
GEF Focal Area(s):	Biodiversity
GEF financing amount:	\$ 863,200.00
Co-financing amount:	\$ 1,940,000.00
Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:	2016-01-13
UNEP Project Approval Date:	2016-09-12
Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force):	2016-09-12
Date of Inception Workshop, if available:	2017-06-16
Date of First Disbursement:	2017-08-24
Total disbursement as of 30 June 2024:	\$ 372,480.00
Total expenditure as of 30 June:	\$ 164,476.00

Midterm undertaken?:	No
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:	
Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:	2025-04-30
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2020-03-31
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:	2026-12-31
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2026-04-30
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2026-04-30

#### 1.2 Project Description

To implement the Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit sharing through a coordinated and coherent strategy that incorporates awareness raising and capacity development. The specific problem that this project will address is the lack of a functioning national legal, political and institutional framework in Gabon to allow it to implement and meet its obligations as a Party to the Nagoya Protocol. The current regulatory and institutional landscape is not adequate to foster the effective implementation of the Nagoya Protocol, and Gabon is therefore missing out on socio-economic benefits from research on and the use of products derived from bio-genetic resources. Such socio-economic benefits would accrue in an ABS system compliant with the Nagoya Protocol and could be used to bolster biodiversity conservation and management, so as to contribute to a better functioning ABS system. Component 1: Strengthening capacity of stakeholders Component 2: Regulatory framework on ABS Component 3: Institutional Framework for the Nagoya Protocol. Executing agency and main government/other partners: Ministry of Forest, Environment & Protection of Natural Resources, of Gabon

### 1.3 Project Contacts

Division(s) Implementing the project	Ecosystems Division
Name of co-implementing Agency	
Executing Agency (ies)	Ministry of Forest, Environment & Protection of Natural Resources, of Gabon
names of Other Project Partners	Law Division
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Johan Robinson
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Andre Toham
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	Paul Vrontamitis
UNEP Support Assistants	Eric Mugo
Manager/Representative	Stanislas Stephen Mouba

Project Manager	Emmanuel BAYANI NGOYI
Finance Manager	Romuald KASSA
Communications Lead, if relevant	

# 2 Overview of Project Status

#### 2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s)	Thematic: Nature action subprogramme, Foundational: Environmental governance	
UNEP previous	Environmental governance foundational sub-programme	
Subprogramme(s):		
PoW Indicator(s):	Nature: (i) Number of national or subnational entities that, with UNEP support, adopt integrated approaches to address	
	environmental and social issues and/or tools for valuing, monitoring and sustainably managing biodiversity.	
	Nature: (ii) Number of financial, public- and private-sector entities whose financial decisions and risk management frameworks	
	take biodiversity and ecosystem services into consideration, and the increase in financial flows towards ecosystem management	
	as a result of UNEP support.	
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	The project responds to the Republic of Gabon's UNDAF 2018-2022. The Strategic priority 4: Environmental sustainability and resilience:	
	and its outcome by 2022, Gabon will improve the preservation of biodiversity and the management of its natural resources, particularly	
	forestry, mining, energy and land, in a manner compatible with environmental sustainability.	
Link to relevant SDG Goals	Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat	
	desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss	
	Goal 17: Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development	
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	15.6 Promote fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources and promote	
	appropriate access to such resources, as internationally agreed	
	17.14 Enhance policy coherence for sustainable development	

#### 2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

	Targets - Expected Value			
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date

Implementation Status 2023: 7th PIR

### 2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	7th PIR	U	U	M
FY 2023	6th PIR	U	U	M
FY 2022	5th PIR	U	U	M
FY 2021	4th PIR	U	U	M
FY 2020	3rd PIR	MS	U	M
FY 2019	2nd PIR	MS	MS	L
FY 2018	1st PIR	S	S	L
FY 2017				
FY 2016				
FY 2015				

#### Summary of status

Rating towards outcomes: The rating is U because the project is not making progress as planned. The rating is U because the project is not making progress as planned. The EA did not have in place sufficient project execution arrangement. UNEP has worked with the EA to revise the workplan and ensure comprehensive execution arrangement has been made, following which UNEP disbursed the funds in early 2024 to resume the project activities.

#### 2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-	\$ 1,940,000
finance:	
Actual to date:	
Progress	Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:

Not reported, due to slow progress implementation.

# 2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering	2018-01-09
committee meeting	
Stakeholder engagement (will be	The project has put in place stakeholder consultation and participation of relevant stakeholders' groups including, representative of
uploaded to GEF Portal)	government agencies, universities, and indigenous people in the project steering committee to provide guidance to the project
	implementation.

### 2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender	No
action plan?	
Gender mainstreaming (will be	During the stakeholder sensitization workshops gender inclusivity was considered, and thus far the country has complied and submitted
uploaded to GEF Portal):	their participant lists provided for their awareness raising and capacity building workshops.
	With regard to gender mainstreaming, particular attention was paid to gender equality issues in the conduct of project activities. During
	the stakeholder sensitization and capacity building workshops gender inclusivity was considered and 35% of the participants were
	women and their involvement in the decision-making process has been promoted.

### 2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in	Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?
terms of Environmental and	No
social safeguards)	If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?
	N/A
New social and/or	Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?
environmental risks	No
	If yes, describe the new risks or changes?
	N/A
Complaints and grievances	Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?
related to social and/or	No
environmental impacts	If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions
	were taken?
	N/A
Environmental and social	
safeguards management	Awareness raising and trainings have been organized for indigenous people on the opportunities of the Nagoya Protocol and the

Ī	valua	ation of associated traditional knowledge associated to genetic resources.
	1 2 2 2 2	

# 2.8. KM/Learning

Knowledge activities and	The project has developed a strategy for communications, education and awareness raising of the public and development of education
products	materials. This includes the development of national ABS Clearing House to inform users and providers of genetic resources.
Main learning during the period	Working with the Government Ministry is a mid and long term undertaking, requiring time for improvement of the Ministry capability to
	deploy and implement the approved project

### 2.9. Stories

Stories to be	No stories to be shared during this reporting period
shared	

# **3 Performance**

# 3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

<b>Project Objective and Outcomes</b>	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term	End of Project	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	Progress
			Target or	Target	current period	& target as of 30 June	rating
			Milestones		(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry only)		
Objective: To implement the	The implementation of the	No policy,	Training and	Legal	0%	No progress due to lack of comprehensive	U
Nagoya Protocol on Access and	Nagoya Protocol in Gabon is	legislative and	capacity	frameworks		project execution arrangement in place,	
Benefit sharing through a	facilitated through relevant	administrative	development	and legislative		to lack of EA responsiveness and	
coordinated and coherent	legal frameworks and texts,	framework on	on ABS	texts are		institutional instability (High staff	
strategy that incorporates	institutionalization of a	ABS or	procedures	drafted		turn-over).	
awareness raising and capacity	Competent National	institutional	for				
development	Authority and through ABS	arrangements	stakeholders				
	awareness raising and	are in place	has begun				
	capacity development						
		Limited	A Competent	Identified	0%	No progress due to lack of comprehensive	U
		capacities to	National	enabling		project execution arrangement in place,	
		implement	Authority is	conditions for		to lack of EA responsiveness and	
		the Nagoya	established	the		institutional instability (High staff	
		Protocol		implementation		turn-over).	
				of the Nagoya			
				Protocol are			
				met			
Outcome 1: Strengthened	Relevant public servants	No capacity	At least four	At least 250	0%	No progress due to lack of comprehensive	U
capacity for the implementation	capable of developing cross-	development	training or	persons		project execution arrangement in place,	
of Nagoya Protocol and its	sectoral legal and	activities for	awareness	trained, of		to lack of EA responsiveness and	
provisions	administrative ABS measures.	ABS.	raising	which at least		institutional instability (High staff	
		Two	sessions for	100 officials		turn-over).	
		awareness	different	(accounted for			
		raising	stakeholder	by gender)			
		sessions were	groups (both				

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	_	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating	
		held in	men and					
		February and March 2013.	women)					
	ILC engaged and	No capacity	At least four	At least 250	0%	No progress due to lack of comprehensive	U	
	knowledgeable about PIC and	development	training or	persons		project execution arrangement in place,		
	MAT procedures	activities for	awareness	trained, of		to lack of EA responsiveness and		
		ABS.	raising	which at least		institutional instability (High staff		
		Two	sessions for	100 officials		turn-over).		
		awareness	different	(accounted for				
		raising	stakeholder	by gender)				
		sessions were	groups (both					
		held in	men and					
		February and	women)					
		March 2013.						
Outcome 2: Regulatory and	1. A bill incorporating ABS	No specific	The bill and	The bill and the	0%	No progress due to lack of comprehensive	U	
administrative procedures for	provisions is submitted for	ABS bill or	the	regulations are		project execution arrangement in place,		
ABS are developed, submitted	adoption	regulation is	regulations	ready for		to lack of EA responsiveness and		
validated and applied		in place	are drafted	adoption and		institutional instability (High staff		
				integration into		turn-over).		
				sectoral				
				processes				
	2. At least three sectoral	No specific	The bill and	The bill and the	0%	No progress due to lack of comprehensive	U	
	regulations incorporating ABS	ABS bill or	the	regulations are		project execution arrangement in place,		
	provisions are submitted for	regulation is	regulations	ready for		to lack of EA responsiveness and		
	adoption	in place	are drafted	adoption and		institutional instability (High staff		
				integration into		turn-over).		
				sectoral				
				processes				
Outcome 3: The institutional ABS	1. One regulation for the	No	A CNA is	Website	0%	No progress due to lack of comprehensive	U	

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator			End of Project Target	_	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
framework is established and operational	establishment and procedures of a Competent National Authority (CNA) is prepared	Competent National Authority (CAN) in place. 3 Institutional scenarios for a CNA are elaborated		established and functional Check points are nominated		project execution arrangement in place, to lack of EA responsiveness and institutional instability (High staff turn-over).	
	2. One regulation designating control points for ABS is drafted		are identified	"Website established and functional Check points are nominated	0%	No progress due to lack of country responsiveness and institutional instability (High staff turn-over).	U
	3. Website on ABS in Gabon is endorsed by the CBD ABS clearing house	_	are identified	"Website established and functional Check points are nominated	0%	No progress due to lack of comprehensive project execution arrangement in place, to lack of EA responsiveness and institutional instability (High staff turn-over).	U

# 3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

(	Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
			completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
			date	previous	current		
				reporting	reporting		
				period (%)	period (%)		
[	L	Output 1.1.1: Definition of roles and responsibilities of stakeholders in	2024-12-31	N/A	0%	No progress due to lack of country	U

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
Strengthening	the ABS processes				responsiveness and institutional	
capacity of					instability (High staff turn-over).	
stakeholders	Output 1.1.2: Organization of seminars for communication, education	2024-12-31	. N/A	0%	No progress due to lack of country	U
	and awareness raising of the public (CEPA) on ABS matters				responsiveness and institutional	
					instability (High staff turn-over).	
	Output 1.1.3: Training on ABS procedures for the main stakeholders of	2019-06-30	100%	100%	Completed	S
	indigenous and local communities (ILC) and staff of the					
	administrations involved (customs, ministries of forests, environment,					
	trade, research)					
2 Regulatory	Output 2.1.1: Elaboration of an ABS law and regulations	2024-12-31	. N/A	0%	No progress due to lack of country	U
framework					responsiveness and institutional	
on ABS					instability (High staff turn-over).	
	Output 2.1.2: Development of procedures for granting access to	2024-12-31	. N/A	0%	No progress due to lack of country	U
	genetic resources and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits (PIC,				responsiveness and institutional	
	MAT, manuals)				instability (High staff turn-over).	
3 Institutional	Output 3.1.1: Establishment of a Competent National Authority (ABS-	2024-12-31	. N/A	0%	No progress due to lack of country	U
Framework	CNA)				responsiveness and institutional	
for the					instability (High staff turn-over)	
Nagoya	Output 3.1.2 Establishment and enhancement of a clearing house and	2024-12-31	. N/A	0%	No progress due to lack of country	U
Protocol	information exchange center for ABS (ABS CH)				responsiveness and institutional	
					instability (High staff turn-over)	
	Output 3.1.3: Identification and designation of surveillance and check	2024-12-31	. N/A	0%	No progress due to lack of country	U
	points for monitoring the utilization of genetic resources				responsiveness and institutional	
					instability (High staff turn-over)	

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

### 4 Risks

### 4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and	High	High
responsibilities		
2 Governance structure - Oversight	Low	Low
3 Implementation schedule	High	High
4 Budget	Low	Low
5 Financial Management	Low	Low
6 Reporting	High	Low
7 Capacity to deliver	High	High

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

### 4.2 Table B. Risk-log

### Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Risk 1 In country capacity is low	All outcomes & outputs	N/A	L	L	М		М	M	=	"Project activities have been
										impacted by high national project
										Staff turn-over. in-country low
										capacity. and lengthy administrative

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
										procedures. which in term may affect
										the overall project duration. There is
										a need to accelerate the overall
										project execution. UNEP has taken
										some actions to build capacity of the
										project team and will work closely
										with the Ministry/National Project
										Unit to speed up the project activities
										execution. "
Risk 2 Nagoya Protocol receives low priority	Risk 2 Nagoya Protocol receives	M	М	M	М	М	M	M	=	
and stakeholders fail to engage in the	low priority and stakeholders fail									
project	to engage in the project									
Risk 3 High staff turnover in government	All outcomes & outputs	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	=	see above
agencies and loss of important staff with										
their "corporate knowledge".										
Risk 4 Communities may oppose regulations	All outcomes & outputs	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	=	
that restrict their activities relevant to ABS										
Risk 5 Lack of communication and	All outcomes & outputs	M	М	M	М	М	М	M	=	See above
coordination between participating agencies										
in-country										
Risk 6 Political buy in to NP changes for the	All outcomes & outputs	М	М	M	M	М	М	M	=	See above
worse during the project										
Management structure - Roles and	All outcomes & outputs	N/A	М	M	М	М	М	M	=	See above
responsibilities										
Implementation schedule	All outcomes & outputs	N/A	М	M	M	М	М	M	=	See above
Capacity to deliver	All outcomes & outputs	М	М	М	М	M	М	М	=	See above
		•		•	•	•				
		М	М	М	М	М	М	М	=	

# 4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Risk 1 In country capacity is	Due to delays in the project	UNEP is working with the	Additional capacity building	Immediately	Recipient country
low	execution. no progress has	National Project Unit and	of the national project team		
	been made to mitigate all	the project activities have	is required		
	these risks	just resumed under the new			
		agreement			
Risk 2 Nagoya Protocol	Due to delays in the project	UNEP is working with the	Additional capacity building	Immediately	Recipient country
receives low priority and	execution. no progress has	National Project Unit and	of the national project team		
stakeholders fail to engage	been made to mitigate all	the project activities have	is required		
in the project	these risks	just resumed under the new			
		agreement			
Risk 3 High staff turnover in	Due to delays in the project	UNEP is working with the	Additional capacity building	Immediately	Recipient country
government agencies and	execution. no progress has	National Project Unit and	of the national project team		
loss of important staff with	been made to mitigate all	the project activities have	is required		
their "corporate	these risks	just resumed under the new			
knowledge".		agreement			
Risk 4 Communities may	Due to delays in the project	UNEP is working with the	Additional capacity building	Immediately	Recipient country
oppose regulations that	execution. no progress has	National Project Unit and	of the national project team		
restrict their activities	been made to mitigate all	the project activities have	is required		
relevant to ABS	these risks	just resumed under the new			
		agreement			
Risk 5 Lack of	Due to delays in the project	UNEP is working with the	Additional capacity building	Immediately	Recipient country
communication and	execution. no progress has	National Project Unit and	of the national project team		
coordination between	been made to mitigate all	the project activities have	is required		
participating agencies in-	these risks	just resumed under the new	1		
country		agreement			

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Risk 6 Political buy in to NP	Due to delays in the project	UNEP is working with the	Additional capacity building	Immediately	Recipient country
changes for the worse	execution. no progress has	National Project Unit and	of the national project team		
during the project	been made to mitigate all	the project activities have	is required		
	these risks	just resumed under the new			
		agreement			
Management structure -	Due to delays in the project	UNEP is working with the	Additional capacity building	Immediately	Recipient country
Roles and responsibilities	execution. no progress has	National Project Unit and	of the national project team		
	been made to mitigate all	the project activities have	is required		
	these risks	just resumed under the new			
		agreement			
"Implementation schedule"	Due to delays in the project	UNEP is working with the	Additional capacity building	Immediately	Recipient country
	execution. no progress has	National Project Unit and	of the national project team		
	been made to mitigate all	the project activities have	is required		
	these risks	just resumed under the new			
		agreement			
"Capacity to deliver"	Due to delays in the project	UNEP is working with the	Additional capacity building	Immediately	Recipient country
	execution. no progress has	National Project Unit and	of the national project team		
	been made to mitigate all	the project activities have	is required		
	these risks	just resumed under the new			
		agreement			

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

# **5 Amendment - GeoSpatial**

#### **Project Minor Amendments**

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

### 5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes	
Results Framework:	No	
Components and Cost:	No	
Institutional and implementation arrangem	ents: No	
Financial Management:	No	
Implementation Schedule:		
Executing Entity:	No	
Executing Entity Category:	No	
Minor project objective change:	No	
Safeguards:	No	
Risk analysis:	No	
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	No	
Location of project activity:	No	
Other:	No	

Minor amendments

### 5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signature Date)		introduced in this
					revision

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signature Date)		introduced in this
					revision
	Amendment & Extension	2021-06-10	2021-09-23	2024-06-30	Implementation
					schedule
	Extension	2024-06-30	2024-06-30	2025-12-31	Implementation
					schedule update

**GEO Location Information:** 

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
Libreville	0.390100	9.454400		Ministère du	
				Développement durable. de	
				l'Environnement et de la	
				Lutte contre les	
				changements climatiques	

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. \*

[Annex any linked geospatial file]