

GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)

Document Generated by: GEF Coordination Office CO

At: 2024-09-11 06:27:31

Table of contents

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	3
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description	4
1.3 Project Contacts.....	5
2 Overview of Project Status	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN.....	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators.....	7
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks	7
2.4 Co Finance.....	8
2.5. Stakeholder.....	8
2.6. Gender	9
2.7. ESSM	9
2.8. KM/Learning	10
2.9. Stories	10
3 Performance	11
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	11
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)	13
4 Risks	15
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	15
4.2 Table B. Risk-log.....	15
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks.....	18
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial	22
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM).....	22
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	23

UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024
Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

1.1 Project Details

GEF ID: 10150	Umoja WBS: SB-017802
SMA IPMR ID: 84766	Grant ID: S1-32GFL-000682
Project Short Title: Thailand CBIT	
Project Title: Strengthening Thailand's institutional and technical capacities to comply with the Enhanced Transparency Framework of the Paris Agreement	
Duration months planned:	34
Duration months age:	21
Project Type:	Medium Sized Project (MSP)
Parent Programme if child project:	
Project Scope:	National
Region:	Asia Pacific
Countries:	Thailand
GEF Focal Area(s):	Climate Change Mitigation
GEF financing amount:	\$ 1,991,000.00
Co-financing amount:	\$ 2,027,293.00
Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:	2021-04-13
UNEP Project Approval Date:	2022-08-12
Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force):	2022-09-30
Date of Inception Workshop, if available:	2023-07-03
Date of First Disbursement:	2023-02-10
Total disbursement as of 30 June 2024:	\$ 250,000.00
Total expenditure as of 30 June:	\$ 15,265.00

Midterm undertaken?:	n/a
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:	
Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:	
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2025-07-31
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:	
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2026-01-31
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2026-07-31

1.2 Project Description

The objective of the project is to enable Thailand having the necessary capacities and institutional arrangements to comply with the requirements of the Enhanced Transparency Framework. This CBIT project includes activities related to the four elements of a Biennial Transparency Report as laid out in the Modalities, Procedures and Guidelines of the Enhanced Transparency Framework: a national inventory report, the tracking of progress in NDC implementation and achievement as well as information related to climate change impacts and adaptation and information on supported needed and received.

In line with national climate change policies, the CBIT project aims to enhance Thailand's national institutions for transparency-related activities and formalize institutional arrangements in alignment with national priorities, including the development of data-sharing agreements to be adopted by the government, with a focus on AFOLU sector. Specifically, the CBIT project is targeted towards institutional capacities, specifically focusing on developing capacities in institutions and providing relevant tools, guidelines, templates, databases and procedures, and building and enhancing adequate technical and institutional capacities to meet the provisions of the enhanced transparency framework.

The project includes the following components:

Component 1: Strengthening data collection processes and data quality for Greenhouse Gas inventory preparation

Component 2: Strengthening tracking and reporting of Thailand's mitigation actions

Component 3: Enhancing tracking of support for NDC implementation

Component 4: Strengthening of transparency framework for adaptation actions and resilience building

1.3 Project Contacts

Division(s) Implementing the project	Climate Change Division
Name of co-implementing Agency	
Executing Agency (ies)	Executing Agency: Office of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning Co-executing Agency: Geographic Information System for Agriculture and Natural Resource Management Center (GISANRM) However, EA responsibilities were transferred to the newly formed Department of Climate Change & Environment (DCCE) under the same Ministry.
names of Other Project Partners	
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Sudhir Sharma
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Sudhir Sharma
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	Fatma Twahir
UNEP Support Assistants	Manoj Kumar Muthumanickam
Manager/Representative	Mr. Sivach Kaewcharoen, Director, DCCE
Project Manager	
Finance Manager	
Communications Lead, if relevant	

2 Overview of Project Status

2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s):	Thematic: Climate action subprogramme
UNEP previous Subprogramme(s):	
PoW Indicator(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Climate: (iii) Number of national, subnational and private-sector actors reporting under the enhanced transparency arrangements of the Paris Agreement with UNEP support.
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	<p>Thailand's United Nations Partnership Framework (UNPAF) (2017-2021) : One of the four Outcome Strategies of the UNPAF is to 'Collaborate at national and subnational levels to strengthen systems, structures and processes for effective, inclusive and sustainable policymaking and implementation'. As such, the CBIT project is aligned with this Outcome Strategy as it will strengthen institutional arrangements and data collection processes, building on existing systems (e.g TGEIS) and enhance the evidence basis for policymaking.</p> <p>Further it is stated to this Outcome Strategy that "The UN system in Thailand will support the RTG (Royal Thai Government) and other development stakeholders in enhancing mechanisms and processes for making and implementing policies within the sectors and areas that are pertinent to national development". The AFOLU sector, and the Agriculture sector in particular is a key sector in the Thai economy with millions of livelihoods depending on it and as a major exporting sector. The CBIT project with its focus on the AFOLU/Agriculture sector is thus contributing to achieving this Outcome Strategy.</p>
Link to relevant SDG Goals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Goal 13: Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 13.3 Improve education, awareness-raising and human and institutional capacity on climate change mitigation, adaptation, impact reduction and early warning 15.2 By 2020, promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forests, halt deforestation, restore degraded forests and substantially increase afforestation and reforestation globally

2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

Indicators	Targets - Expected Value			Materialized to date
	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	
11- People benefitting from GEF-financed investments		Women: 60Men: 60Total: 120	Women: 60Men: 60Total: 120	Nil

Implementation Status 2024: 1st PIR

2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	1st PIR	U	U	H
FY 2023				
FY 2022				
FY 2021				
FY 2020				
FY 2019				
FY 2018				
FY 2017				
FY 2016				
FY 2015				

Summary of status

Subsequent to the GEF project endorsement and Thai Government's cabinet clearance, a project cooperation agreement was signed between UNEP (as the IA), the Office of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning (ONEP), the Executing Agency and Geographic Information System for Agriculture and Natural Resource Management Center (GISANRM) nominated by ONEP, the co-Executing Agency on 30th Sept 2022. The elections were held in May 2023 and finalization of government process was completed in August 2023. Further, Government of Kingdom of Thailand communicated in Nov 2023 regarding the transfer of responsibilities of CBIT project management from ONEP to the newly created Department of Climate Change & Environment (DCCE) under the same ministry of Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (MNRE). The transfer of responsibilities affected the project implementation.

A PMU was constituted and put in place by February 2023. The inception workshop was held on July 3, 2023, wherein the project objectives, tasks, and roles of each collaborating agency were presented. The CO-EA initiated the work of review of the Project design and developing TORs for the activities in consultation with partners parallel to organization of the inception workshop. A number of meetings and discussions between GISNARM, DCCE and UNEP were organized to guide the finalization of TORs and initiate the work. It was noticed that GISNARM PMU lacked the capacity to understand and develop the TORs as per the GEF requirements as well as lacked the technical capacity. This was informed to GISNARM and DCCE and remedial measures were requested. It was also identified that GISNARM was unable to provide necessary documentations to verify the expenditures and was non-cooperative in providing the necessary information. After repeated reminders and meetings, UNEP, in consultation with DCCE, notified the Co-EA of the project suspension on 3rd Jan 2024 to address the capacity and reporting challenges. Subsequent meetings held in Jan and Feb 2024 agreed to give additional time to GISANRM to rectify these issues. The Co-EA was also informed about an internal assessment audit in Feb 2024 and were requested to provide necessary support. However, the same was not facilitated as well.

All the above issues were discussed during the PSC meetings held under the chairmanship of the Director General, DCCE on 23rd April 2024 and 30th May 2024. Based on the decisions taken during these PSC meetings, UNEP has issued a termination notice to the Co-EA (16th July 2024) with a 3 month’s notice period. The process of engaging a new Co-EA would be starting in Q3 2024 upon confirmation of DCCE on the processes involved. It is expected that a new Co-EA would be in place before end of CY 2024.

The project risk rating is High, mainly because of the delays in the start of the project activities.

2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-finance:	\$ 2,027,293
Actual to date:	
Progress	Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:

2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering committee meeting	2024-05-30
Stakeholder engagement (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	Two project steering committee meetings have been held under the project till date - 23rd Apr 2024 and 30th May 2024 - under the chairmanship of Director General, DCCE, Govt of Thailand. Both these meetings involved representatives from different line ministries /

	<p>departments involved in the project including but not limited to Office of Agricultural Economics (OAE), Land Development Department (LDD), Department of Livestock Department (DLD), Geo-Informatics and Space Technology Development Agency (GISTDA), Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency (DEDE), Kasetsart University, Chiang Mai University, etc. Further a inception workshop was also organized on July 03, 2023 involving the same set of stakeholders wherein the project objectives, tasks, and roles of each collaborating agency were presented. A few bilateral meetings were also organized to discuss on the scope of work under each component.</p> <p>However, since no project deliverables started from the project start, required stakeholder engagement to design, validate, finalize and proliferate the deliverables did not take place.</p>
--	--

2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender action plan?	Yes
Gender mainstreaming (will be uploaded to GEF Portal):	Project activities have not started. To be reported in the next reporting cycle.

2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in terms of Environmental and social safeguards)	<p>Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?</p> <p>No</p> <p>If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?</p>
New social and/or environmental risks	<p>Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?</p> <p>No</p> <p>If yes, describe the new risks or changes?</p>
Complaints and grievances related to social and/or environmental impacts	<p>Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?</p> <p>If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions were taken?</p>

	Not applicable
Environmental and social safeguards management	Not applicable

2.8. KM/Learning

Knowledge activities and products	Nil.
Main learning during the period	Nil.

2.9. Stories

Stories to be shared	Nil.
----------------------	------

3 Performance

3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period (numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
Strengthening Thailand's institutional and technical capacities to comply with the Enhanced Transparency Framework of the Paris Agreement	Indicator A: Quality of reporting and transparency mechanisms under the Paris Agreement (1. Low; 2. Medium; 3. High)	2		3	2	Project activities have not yet started. Would be assessed in future reporting period.	U
	Indicator B: Qualitative assessment of institutional capacity for transparency related activities (as per CBIT programming directions, Annex IV)	Baseline: 2		End-of-project target: 3	Not applicable	Project activities have not yet started. Would be assessed in future reporting period.	U
	Indicator C: C1. Number of persons trained, and C2. percentage of persons trained that are women	Baseline: C1= 0; C2 = not known		End-of-project target: C1=120; C2=50%	Not applicable	Project activities have not yet started. Would be assessed in future reporting period.	U
Outcome 1: Thailand has the institutional and human capacities to regularly prepare accurate and transparent GHG inventories of the Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) and Energy Sector through its national GHG inventory system	Number of GHG inventory sectors with improved reporting	Zero		Agriculture, Forestry, Other Land Use, and Energy Sectors	0	Project activities have not yet started. Would be assessed in the next reporting cycle.	U
Outcome 2: Thailand's transparency framework enabled to track	Agricultural mitigation actions for which Thailand has	0		At least 1	0	Same as above	U

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period (numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
progress in implementing its mitigation action and report regularly as per Paris Agreement	the institutional arrangements needed for progress tracking						
Outcome 3: Thailand has systems and tools to effectively track international support received and report transparently as per international obligations	Number of Sectoral Authorities that report on support received for adaptation or mitigation	0		At least 3	0	Same as above	U
Outcome 4: Thailand has tools and human capacities to monitor and evaluate adaptation actions and assess vulnerabilities to climate change, as well as use the information for adaptation policy development in the Agriculture sector	Sectors which routinely use standardized metrics, indicators, methodologies for M&E of adaptation	0		1 (Agriculture)	0	Same as above	U

3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
1 COMPONENT 1: Strengthening data collection processes and data quality for Greenhouse Gases (GHG) inventory preparation	Output 1.1: Institutional arrangements, including processes and procedures for data collection and reporting in the Agriculture, Forestry and other Land Use (AFOLU)	2024-03-31	0	0	Activities have not started. The project will be restarted once the new co-EA is engaged.	U
	Output 1.2: Sectorial guidelines, templates and tools for data collection are developed and training provided to support operationalization of the web-based inventory system in the Agriculture, Forestry and other Land Use (AFOLU) sector	2025-06-30	0	0	Same as above	U
	Output 1.3: Country-specific emission factors following IPCC Guidelines are developed in the Agriculture, Forestry and other Land Use (AFOLU) and Energy sectors	2025-06-30	0	0	Same as above	U
	Output 1.4: Methodology and system for QA/QC for activity data from the Forestry sector is developed	2025-06-30	0	0	Same as above	U
2 COMPONENT 2: Strengthening tracking and reporting of Thailand's mitigation actions	Output 2.1: Institutional arrangements for tracking of mitigation action, including quality check of GHG reduction estimations, formalized in the Agriculture sector	2024-03-30	0	0	Same as above	U
	Output 2.2: Monitoring indicators and information matrix to track progress of mitigation action in the Agriculture sector developed, and training to lead agencies to report indicators provided	2024-09-30	0	0	Same as above	U
	Output 2.3: Methodologies, tools, and templates for estimating GHG emissions reduction impacts in the Agriculture sector developed, and capacity building of stakeholders on the usage of the tools provided	2025-05-31	0	0	Same as above	U
3 COMPONENT 3: Enhancing tracking of support for NDC implementation	Output 3.1: Institutional arrangements to track support received established	2025-04-30	0	0	Same as above	U
	Output 3.2: Institutional arrangements to track support received established	2024-09-30	0	0	Same as above	U

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
4 COMPONENT 4: Strengthening of transparency framework for adaptation actions and resilience building	Output 4.1: Metrics, indicators and methodologies for Agriculture for the purpose of tracking the adaptation goals outlined in the NDC and NAP developed	2024-03-31	0	0	Same as above	U
	Output 4.2: Templates for a national information gateway on climate risk, vulnerability and adaptation in the Agriculture sector developed and disseminated	2025-01-31	0	0	Same as above	U
	Output 4.3: Capacity on integrating information on V&A into policy formulation, and on monitoring and evaluation of adaptation activities strengthened in the Agriculture sector	2025-06-30	0	0	Same as above	U

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

4 Risks

4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and responsibilities		Substantial
2 Governance structure - Oversight		Substantial
3 Implementation schedule		High
4 Budget		High
5 Financial Management		High
6 Reporting		High
7 Capacity to deliver		High

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

4.2 Table B. Risk-log

Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
Insufficient participation of key institutions: Participation of lead agencies in the overall GHG inventory process. i.e. data collection and	All	L						L	=	

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
reporting is key for the preparation of inventories and for tracking progress of the NDC implementation.										
Limited cooperation on data and information sharing among stakeholders: The provision of data from the various ministries, agencies and other stakeholders is a precondition for the elaboration of a national GHG inventory encompassing all relevant sectors.	All	L						L	=	
Slow or in-existent coordination among institutions: Ministries tend to work independent from each other with limited or no coordination between them. Lack of coordination can lead to a duplication of work, ineffective use of resources and jeopardizes sharing of information and the harvesting of synergies.	All	M						M	=	
Professional and staff turnover: The provision of capacity building support is an integral part in this project. A high staff turnover especially in key institutions can lead to a loss of technical capacities and the overall institutional memory.	All	M						M	=	
Lack of political willingness: High political support is crucial for the overall process and to ensure the buy-in of all relevant ministries and agencies.	All	L						L	=	

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
Duplicity of activities among other related projects: Communication between relevant stakeholders and coordination of on-going projects is essential to harvest synergies and avoid overlaps.	All	M						M	=	
Not completing the project on time: finishing the project in its 3-year timeframe demands a continuous monitoring of the adequate implementation of activities and outputs	All	L						H	↑	Because of the issues with the Co-EA listed in the previous sections, the project timelines have been impacted significantly. A new Co-EA will be engaged in the next reporting period. However, the project in all likelihood is going to be extended to cover the lost time.
Restrictions in stakeholder participation and other activities due to periodic COVID-19 outbreaks: restrictions set in place in order to reduce the transmission of SARS-nCOV-2 may affect the mobility and participation of stakeholders and implementers	All	M						L	↓	COVID-19 restrictions have been lifted
Climate-related risks: climate-related exposures impacts and parallel activities affecting the project implementation	All	L						L		
Delayed implementation and/or insufficient coordination with other initiatives to strengthen MRV and ETF Impacts the overall achievement of the CBIT project	All	L						H		The risk has risen because of the delays at the end of the Co-EA, who has been served a notice of termination of services. A new Co-EA will be engaged in the next reporting period. However, the project in all

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
										likelihood is going to be extended to cover the lost time.
New risk: Timeliness of project procurement. reporting and concerns about reports quality. including non-responsiveness of the Co-EA (covering the risks identified in 4.1. table)	All	N/A						H		New risk identified during the reporting period. Based on the decisions made at the PSC. the Co-EA's engagement has been terminated. However. this has resulted in delay of the project
	All	N/A						S		Because of the issues at the end of Co-EA, the project's risk rating has been increased to S.

4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
Slow or in-existent coordination among institutions: Ministries tend to work independent from each other with limited or no coordination between them. Lack of coordination can lead to a duplication of work, ineffective use of resources and jeopardizes	As per the risk mitigation strategy identified during the CEO endorsement stage, this project will build on existing institutional arrangements for transparency and climate change as a whole. established for the BUR and NC processes.	DCCE continued to interact with the key stakeholders as part of the various reporting obligations. DCCE also held meetings under the NCCC comprising members from various ministries. The major focus was on initiating the project through finalization of TORs	After the engagement of the new Co-EA. the project would be thoroughly assessed including the risks and need based actions will be agreed.	Q1 2025	DCCE and the new Co-EA

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
sharing of information and the harvesting of synergies (Medium)	Formalizing the institutional arrangements for data collection and defining clear roles will also enhance coordination and the communication flow between ministries and agencies. ONEP is also part of the National Committee on Climate Change Policy, comprising members from various ministries.	for the work to be undertaken.			
Professional and staff turnover: The provision of capacity building support is an integral part in this project. A high staff turnover, especially in key institutions can lead to a loss of technical capacities and the overall institutional memory (Medium)	At the endorsement stage, the development of sectorial guidelines, templates for data collection processes, as well as regularly provided trainings ensure the continuity of required technical capacities and skills was identified as the risk mitigation strategy.	The major focus was on initiating the project through finalization of TORs for the work to be undertaken.	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above
Duplication of activities among other related projects: Communication between relevant	As per the risk mitigation strategy identified during the CEO endorsement stage, this project will build	DCCE continued to interact with the key stakeholders as part of the various reporting obligations. The	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
<p>stakeholders and coordination of on-going projects is essential to harvest synergies and avoid overlaps. (Medium)</p>	<p>on existing institutional arrangements set-up for the preparation of the BURs and NCs. with ONEP as the lead coordinating agency for GHG inventories as well as the national focal point to the UNFCCC in order to sustain institutional arrangements. Key stakeholders involved in pre-existing transparency work will be engaged from the beginning of this project with a view to retain institutional memory.</p>	<p>major focus was on initiating the project through finalization of TORs for the work to be undertaken.</p>			
<p>Delayed implementation and/or insufficient coordination with other initiatives to strengthen MRV and ETF Impacts the overall achievement of the CBIT project</p>	<p>At the endorsement stage, the risk was rated low as ONEP's has a record of timely implementing donor projects. ONEP is responsible for coordinating all MRV/ETF related donor projects and internal projects. Existing ONEP mechanism of coordination will be used to regularly track progress of other</p>	<p>- Supported Co EA (GISANRM) in understanding the project design, UNEP and GEF rules for fund utilization. - Supported Co-EA on guiding development of TORs to initiate the work. - Regular meetings with the Co-EA and request to EA for facilitating the interactions with other line</p>	<p>A new Co-EA would be identified after a thorough due diligence and quality assurance process. The work plan & budget would be revised to reflect the current baseline.</p>	<p>Q1 2025</p>	<p>Led by DCCE and supported by UNEP</p>

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
	initiatives and ensure synergies. TOR requires the PM to regularly review and coordinate with other MRV/ETF initiatives.	ministries with mandate for project activities. - Inception Workshop and two PSC meetings to speed up the project implementation through coordination with stakeholders.			

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

5 Amendment - GeoSpatial

Project Minor Amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes
Results Framework:	
Components and Cost:	
Institutional and implementation arrangements:	Yes
Financial Management:	
Implementation Schedule:	
Executing Entity:	
Executing Entity Category:	
Minor project objective change:	
Safeguards:	
Risk analysis:	
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	
Location of project activity:	
Other:	

Minor amendments

Due to the poor performance and project management, decision was taken in the PSC to terminate their engagement. Subsequently, UNEP has issued a termination notice. It is expected that a new Co-EA would be engaged by Q4 2024. Subsequently the work plan and budget would recasted.

5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Type	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last signature Date)	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes introduced in this revision

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: <https://coordinates-converter.com> Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
Thailand	15.5	101	1605651		

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. *

[Annex any linked geospatial file]