

1- Identification

1.1 Project details

GEF ID	10266	Umoja No:	SB-012788.05
Project Title	Implementing Sustainable Low and Non-Chemicals Development in Small Island Development States (ISLANDS) - Communication, Coordination and Knowledge Management		
Duration months	Planned: 48 Extension: -	GEF financing amount	USD 2,000,000
Division(s) Implementing the project	UNEP Economy Division, GEF Chemicals and Waste, Chemicals and Health Branch	Co-financing amount	USD 10,921,550
Name of co-implementing Agency	UNEP Economy Division, Green Growth Knowledge Platform (GGKP)	Date of CEO Endorsement	16-Mar-21
Executing Agency(ies)	-	Start of Implementation	30-Mar-21
Names of Other Project Partners	-	Date of first disbursement	30-Jun-21
Project Type	Medium-Sized Child Project	Total disbursement as of 30 June	USD 644,582
Project Scope	Global	Total expenditure as of 30 June	USD 379,510
Region (delete as appropriate)	-	Expected Mid-Term Date	30-Sep-23
Countries	-	Completion Date	Planned: 31-Mar-25 Revised: -
Programme of Work	PoW 5: Chemicals, waste and air quality	Expected Terminal Evaluation Date	30-Sep-25
GEF Focal Area(s)	Chemicals and Waste	Expected Financial Closure Date	31-Mar-26

EA: UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages

EA: Link to relevant SDG target(s) & indicator(s)

N/A the CCKM is global in nature and while supports the general principles of the UNSDCF, does not make link to national level strategies.

12.4 by 2020, achieve the environmentally sound management of chemicals and all wastes throughout their life cycle, in accordance with agreed international frameworks, and significantly reduce their release to air, water and soil in order to minimize their adverse impacts on human health and the environment; and 12.5 by 2030, substantially reduce waste generation through prevention, reduction, recycling and reuse. The programme is also consistent with the guiding global policy for SIDS' development, the SAMOA Pathway. On chemicals and wastes management, the SAMOA pathway recognises the need to reduce, reuse, recycle, recover and return approaches according to national capacities and priorities inter alia through capacity-building and environmentally appropriate technologies.

1.2 Project description

The objective of the project is to coordinate, communicate, and manage knowledge from ISLANDS Programme to amplify the results of ISLANDS child projects throughout each region resulting in the Programme being more than the sum of the parts of the individual projects.

Component 1: Creation of programme visibility, branding, and templates

Component 2: Providing information and opportunities for exchange among SIDS governments and other SIDS stakeholders to take action technically, legally and through partnerships.

Component 3: Monitoring and performance assessment of the GEF ISLANDS Programme

1.3 History of project revisions (TM)

Version	Date	Main changes introduced in this revision
Rev0 (CEO ED)	16-Mar-21	
Rev1 (Agreement IA)	03-Mar-21	Internal Agreement with UNEP Economy Division/ GGKP
Rev2 (Amendment 1)	19-Aug-22	No-cost budget revision as result of COVID-19 Pandemic

2- OVERVIEW OF PROJECT STATUS

2.1 UNEP PoW	UNEP Subprogramme(s)	Subprogramme 5. Chemicals and Pollution Action	Specify the relevant Expected Accomplishments & Indicator(s)	PoW Outcomes: 3A, 3B, 3C PoW Outcomes Indicators: (i), (ii), (iii), (v), (vi) Direct Outcomes to which project contributes: 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, 3.6, 3.11, 3.13	
	TM: Progress towards delivering the stated PoW	The project adapted implementation to mitigate travel bans caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Coordination meetings, workshops and trainings took place remotely, so project activities were not significantly delayed and stakeholder participation and engagement has been high. There is a steady progress in delivery of the stated PoW expected accomplishments and indicators.			
2.2. GEF Core Indicators	GEF Core Indicators	11 Number of direct beneficiaries disaggregated by gender as co-benefit of GEF investment			
	Indicative expected Results				
	TM: GEF core indicators targeted by the	Indicators	Expected value at		
		11 Number of direct beneficiaries disaggregated by gender as co-benefit of GEF investment	Mid-term	End-of-project	
				3,680,047 (50% m, 50% f)	
	Implementation Status	2022			
	FY 2022	PIR # 1st	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1) S	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2) S	Risk rating (section 3.3) L

2.3 Implementation status & Risk	FY 2021			
	FY 2020			
	FY 2019			
	FY 2018			
	FY 2017			
	FY 2016			
	FY 2015			

EA: Summary of status
(will be uploaded to GEF Portal)

The project is in its first year, having started officially in July 2021. During its first year the project convened a Programme Coordination Group meeting (October 2021), as well as a Programmatic Global Launch in the sidelines of the BRS COP (June 2022). As well as these key meetings convening, Programmatic guidance has been developed and published including the ISLANDS Gender Equality and Action Plan, the Stakeholder Engagement Handbook and the Brand Kit and Visibility guide. Communities of practice have been established on the Green Forum, and www.gefislands.org is online and contains an extensive archive of SIDS knowledge. Training on the GAP and SHEH has been convened for the Caribbean region (virtually). Further training is scheduled for the Pacific and Caribbean regions.

2.4 Co-finance	EA: Planned Co-finance	10,921,550	EA: Actual to date:	2,175,000 (20%) June 2022
	EA: Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges.	All IETC resources have been included on GEFISLANDS.org and are now available for SIDS across all regions to use. GGKP co-finance has also been fully realized, providing the backbone and backend to facilitate the efficient web development of gefislands.org (which is built on the existing GGKP architecture). Swire shipping co-financing partially realized at the attendance of Swire Shipping at the ISLANDS Global Launch. UNEP Youth co-finance will be realized in the next year.		

2.5. Stakeholder	EA: Stakeholder engagement (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	CCKM developed a Programmatic Stakeholder Engagement Handbook and Plan. Training has been conducted for the Caribbean region and is being planned for the Pacific and Indian Ocean regions. https://www.greengrowthknowledge.org/guidance/islands-stakeholder-engagement-handbook-0 . As CCKM specific stakeholder engagement plan is currently being drafted.
------------------	---	--

2.6. Gender	EA: Gender mainstreaming (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	CCKM developed a gender action plan. Training on this plan has been conducted in the Caribbean region and is being scheduled for the Pacific and Indian Ocean regions. https://www.greengrowthknowledge.org/guidance/islands-gender-equality-action-plan-0
-------------	---	--

2.7. ESSM	EA: Environmental and social safeguards management (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	Not applicable. No in-field activities.
-----------	---	---

2.8. KM	EA: Knowledge activities and products (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	CCKM has established a beta version of a knowledge management platform www.gefislands.org . This includes resources tailored to SIDS needs, as well as news, and links to e-courses relevant to SIDS.
---------	--	---

2.9. Stories	EA: Stories to be shared (section to be shared with communication division/ GEF communication)	ISLANDS Global Launch was convened in June 2022, and included high-level representation from international organisations and the private sector. https://www.greengrowthknowledge.org/news/island-nations-get-new-lifeline-beat-pollution
--------------	--	--

3. RATING PROJECT PERFORMANCE

3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	EA: Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	TM: Progress rating
Objective						
To coordinate, communicate, and manage knowledge from ISLANDS Programme to amplify the results of ISLANDS child projects throughout each region resulting in the Programme being more than the sum of the parts of the individual projects						
Outcome 1						
ISLANDS SIDS implement Programme activities following best practices identified, and in a harmonized and consistent way	No. of child projects implementing harmonized approaches to ISLANDS Programme visibility, gender, stakeholder engagement and programmatic reporting.	Current chemicals and wastes activities across SIDS are piecemeal and uncoordinated.	4 child projects by Year 1; 5 child projects (that is including the additional child project), implementing harmonized approaches to ISLANDS Programme.		Training completed in the Caribbean on the ISLANDS Programme visibility, gender, stakeholder engagement and programmatic reporting. Training scheduled for other regions. All guidance documents shared with each region.	S
Outcome 2						
SIDS governments and communities share, access and use information, technical materials, guidance, partnership opportunities and lessons learned to assist the development and implementation of activities in SIDS.	Number of governments engaged in CCKM through participation in digital platform, adopting behaviors, through communicating and sharing knowledge	Information from past and current projects in SIDS currently scattered and unavailable for easy use of other SIDS. As such, lessons are not learned, meaning mistakes are often repeated, and successes not transferred from one SIDS to other SIDS. There is growing acknowledgement of the need to make information and knowledge products accessible and to inform SIDS governments and communities about their availability.		>40 SIDS governments/communities participating actively in the digital platform of the ISLANDS Programme	GEFISLANDS.org has developed communities of practice for plastics, e-waste, used oil, and ELVs. Currently representatives from 5 SIDS have joined and are participating .	S
	No. of activities replicated from one SIDS to another.			>10 activities replicated from one SIDS to another		
	No. of cruiselines involved in project partnership			>3 cruiselines involved in cruise partnership		S
	No. shipping companies members of shipping partnership			>15 shipping companies member of ISLANDS shipping coalition		HS
Outcome 3						
SIDS governments and communities replicate successes and use ISLANDS lessons	No. of annual lessons applied to new projects and activities by governments and communities	Currently no lessons in chemicals and waste activities shared between SIDS in a systematic and ongoing way to facilitate continuous improvement	2 annual lessons learned publications	4 annual lessons learned publications	First annual lessons learned publication scheduled for October 2022.	

For joint projects and where applicable ratings should also be discussed with the Task Manager of co-implementing agency.

Output	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of 30 June 2021 (%)	Implementation status as of 30 June 2022 (%)	EA: Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	TM: Progress rating
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs					
Under Comp 1					
1.1 The GEF ISLANDS Programme visibility guideline is finalized and disseminated and executed	Year 1	N/A	100%	Published and being executed.	S
1.2 The GEF ISLANDS programmatic stakeholder engagement plan is finalized and executed	Year 1	N/A	100%	Published and being executed.	S
1.3 The GEF ISLANDS programmatic Gender action plan is finalized and executed.	Year 1	N/A	100%	Published and being executed.	S
1.4 Programmatic reporting templates are finalized and used.	Year 1	N/A	100%	Complete and being executed.	S
Under Comp 2					
2.1 Global best practice for recycling from SIDS key sectors is developed (in e-waste recycling, end of life vehicles, and used oil) and made available to all participating SIDS	Year 1 and 2	N/A	20%	Two recycling consultants being recruited (e-waste specialist and used oil specialist)	S
2.2 Opportunities for private sector collaboration with SIDS for chemical and waste management are negotiated, facilitated and fostered	Ongoing	N/A	30%	Working closely with Swire Shipping on on-boarding additional shipping partners	S
2.3 ISLANDS knowledge is synthesized, developed, packaged and managed	Ongoing	N/A	10%	gefislands.org beta site is up and running improvements required to include regional pages	S
2.4 ISLANDS is communicated to a broad audience	Ongoing	N/A	10%	Branding completed, communications officer is on board and developing communications strategy	S
Under Comp 3					
3.1 Status of project execution monitored regularly and adaptative management applied when necessary	Ongoing	N/A	10%	EA reports under review	S
3.2 Synthesis of the Midterm Reviews shared with GEF ISLANDS stakeholders and corrective actions taken	Mid-term	N/A	0%	Scheduled for 2023	

Table A. Risk-log

Implementation Status: PIR 1

Risk	Risk affecting:	Risk Rating						Variation respect to last rating		
	Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	PIR 6	Δ	Justification
The programme fails to reach and impact the behaviours of key chemicals users, meaning demand for chemicals continues as do chemicals imports.	All	M	M						=	The project is in its first year and has been successful in developing stakeholder engagement guidance to ensure a broad cross section of stakeholders are involved in ISLANDS activities. This will in tern ensure that the Programme has a broad reach and opportunity to impact behaviours of key chemicals users.
Lessons from regions are not shared effectively with SIDS in other regions	All	M	L						↓	The project has developed both gefislands.org and also communities of practice on the Green Forum to share knowledge and for peer-to-peer learning exchange respectively.
ISLANDS activities are scattered and impact impossible to monitor nor evaluate	All	L	L						=	A Programmatic reporting template has been developed to ensure consistent monitoring of ISLANDS Programmatic progress across child projects. These reports are submitted with quarterly reports to streamline reporting and ease reporting burden on projects. CCKM monitors them annually to assess progress against Programme components.
High cost of environmental insurance precludes the shipping of recycling and hazardous waste from SIDS	Output 2.2	H	L						↓	This issue has not been posed so far. Ongoing consultation underway with the shipping industry to confirm procedures and requirements.
Cruise lines not serious about making a concerted effort at improving waste management for the benefits of SIDS	Output 2.2	M	M						=	Carinval Cruise lines took part in the ISLANDS global launch, noted it would listen to Caribbean countreis regarding waste disposal preferences. ISLANDS SIDS to speak with one voice to cruise companies, this will be coordinated through CCKM activities.
SIDS communities feel uninvolved, excluded, or prevented from participating in ISLANDS activities.	Output 2.4	L	L						=	Concerted efforts being made through the CCKM Community engagement officer to engage SIDS communities in the Green Forum. ISLANDS communities highlighted as key stakeholder group in stakeholder engagement plan. Communication messages for communities and pathways for interaction to be defined in the ISLANDS Communications Strategy (under development).
Consolidated project risk		#N/A M	L							Consolidated risk rated as low. Risks identified have not affected project activities

Table B. Outstanding medium & high risks

List here only risks from Table A above that have a risk rating of **M or worse** in the **current PIR**

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	Additional mitigation measures for the next periods		
			What	When	By whom

The programme fails to reach and impact the behaviours of key chemicals users, meaning demand for chemicals continues as do chemicals imports.	N/A	Developed stakeholder engagement guidance to ensure a broad cross section of stakeholders are involved in ISLANDS activities	Apply stakeholder engagement guidance and continue constant communication with project stakeholders to maintain engagement	Continuos	Project Coordinator
Cruise lines not serious about making a concerted effort at improving waste management for the benefits of SIDS	N/A	Actively involved Cruise lines in project activities such as ISLANDS Global project launch	Continue to build rubust partnerships with cruouse lines through constant communication and participation in project activities	Continuos	Project Coordinator

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that **assumptions** may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks.
Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that **assumptions** may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks.
Medium Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that **assumptions** may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.
Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that **assumptions** may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

Project Minor Amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines.

Minor amendments	Changes
Results framework	No
Components and cost	Yes
Institutional and implementation arrangements	No
Financial management	No
Implementation schedule	No
Executing Entity	No
Executing Entity Category	No
Minor project objective change	No
Safeguards	No
Risk analysis	No
Increase of GEF project financing up to 5%	No
Co-financing	No
Location of project activity	No
Other	No

Minor amendments
Budget revision to adjust for covid 19 travel restrictions

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: <https://coordinates-converter.com> Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name Required field	Latitude Required field	Longitude Required field	Geo Name ID Required field if the location is not an exact site	Location Description Optional text field	Activity Description Optional text field
Port of Spain, Trinidad and Tobago	10.66668	-61.51889	3573890	Capital of participating country in the ISLANDS Caribbean child project 10279	
Apia, Samoa	-13.83333	-171.76666	4035413	Capital of participating country in the ISLANDS Pacific child project 10267	

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. *

[Annex any linked geospatial file]