

# **GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)**

Document Generated by: CW TM At: 2024-09-09 21:18:28

## **Table of contents**

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	3
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description	4
1.3 Project Contacts	5
2 Overview of Project Status	7
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN	7
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators	7
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks	8
2.4 Co Finance	10
2.5. Stakeholder	10
2.6. Gender	12
2.7. ESSM	12
2.8. KM/Learning	13
2.9. Stories	13
3 Performance	14
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	14
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)	14
4 Risks	17
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	17
4.2 Table B. Risk-log	17
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks	19
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial	21
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)	21
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	21

#### UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024 Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024

## **1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION**

### 1.1 Project Details

GEF ID: 10119	<b>Umoja WBS:</b> SB-019907
SMA IPMR ID:112093	Grant ID:S1-32GFL-000767
Project Short Title:	· · · ·
GEF-CW.10119.SAICM MSP	
Project Title:	
Global best practices on emerging chemical policy issues of concern under the	he Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM)
Duration months planned:	36
Duration months age:	19
Project Type:	Medium Sized Project (MSP)
Parent Programme if child project:	
Project Scope:	Global
Region:	
Countries:	
GEF Focal Area(s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF financing amount:	\$ 999,050.00
Co-financing amount:	\$ 3,414,683.00
Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:	2022-03-09
UNEP Project Approval Date:	2022-05-06
Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force):	2022-11-22
Date of Inception Workshop, if available:	2023-06-07
Date of First Disbursement:	2022-12-29
Total disbursement as of 30 June 2024:	\$ 531,740.00
Total expenditure as of 30 June:	\$ 360,727.00

Midterm undertaken?:	No
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:	
Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:	2024-12-31
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2026-04-30
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:	2026-04-30
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2027-04-30
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2026-09-30

#### **1.2 Project Description**

The project is funded by the Global Environment Facility (GEF) with the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) as the GEF Implementing Agency, and World Health Organization (WHO) and UNEP Knowledge and Risk Unit (K&R-Unit) as the Executing Agencies.

The project objective is to accelerate measures to regulate SAICM Emerging Policy Issues (EPIs) contributing to the attainment of the 2030 Agenda. This objective will be achieved by following two components:

Component 1: This component addresses the lack of evidence and policy, particularly in low- and middle-income countries, on emerging pollutants.

Output 1.1: Global toolkit on pharmaceutical residues in the environment developed: This output addresses the limited policy development on environmental control and safe waste management of pharmaceuticals and antimicrobials, by developing and updating global guidance on improving the control of Environmentally Persistent Pharmaceutical Pollutants (EPPPs) emissions during the key lifecycle stages of medicines, including during manufacture and disposal of medicines. The global toolkit will be developed by WHO and UNEP with consultation and input from countries that have developed a National Action Plan on AMR and are implementing projects funded by the Multi-Partner Trust Fund, and with relevant stakeholders e.g. the Global Leaders Group on AMR. The toolkit will be based around following four distinct modules that address the full lifecycle of medicines and pharmaceutical products:

(a) Disposal of Medicines (WHO): This module will address the lack of policy in developing and LMIC to control EPPP sources from unused pharmaceuticals, and develop a Guidance on Safe Management of Pharmaceutical Waste from Healthcare Facilities.

(b) Wastewater from Manufacturing (WHO): This module will provide a policy tool/Guidance on wastewater and solid waste management for manufacturing of antibiotics to drive improvements in wastewater treatment facilities. The focus will be on manufacturing effluents rather than municipal water since these are likely to be 'hotspots'

resulting in high environmental concentrations; and also because regulators have an immediate potential mechanism in the form of maximum discharge levels via environmental permitting regimes, which are routinely applied for other pollutants but are less common for pharmaceutical residues.

(c) Life Cycle Assessment expert report (UNEP): This module will propose a systematic life cycle-based approach to assess the environmental impacts of medicines through their life cycle and prioritise risk reduction interventions accordingly.

(d) Disposal Options for Pharmaceutical Waste expert report (UNEP): This module will primarily address the lack of coordinated approaches between health and environment sectors in many countries.

Output 1.2 EPPP and EDC emissions pathways from manufacturing and wastewater are prioritized: This output addresses the lack of field data on concentrations of pharmaceuticals, including some EDCs, particularly for LMICs, and the lack of adequate wastewater treatments especially from manufacturing facilities. Existing data will be compiled and evidence will be provided to develop an action plan on addressing releases of these emerging pollutants. The following specific activities will be completed under this output:

Life cycle assessment to identify hotspots in the lifecycle of medicines/ EPPP/ antimicrobials. The manufacturing process will be assessed to identify key points where emissions may not be controlled and suggest priority intervention points to immediately reduce these. Working in conjunction with different stakeholders, including private sector initiatives on manufacturing processes, UNEP will provide tailored recommendations both to manufacturers and regulatory authorities on reducing emissions in pharmaceutical manufacturing wastewater.

Engagement of policy makers in LMIC to share data on pharmaceutical monitoring and disseminate results from project, including development of infographics and other media knowledge products. This activity will be coordinated between WHO and UNEP-KRU, and aims to integrate the project results into national national AMR plans, and other relevant national environmental, industrial and development strategies.

Component 2: his component will focus on Monitoring and Evaluation - to ensure the project progress is regularly reported and knowledge is shared with relevant stakeholders.

#### **1.3 Project Contacts**

Division(s) Implementing the project Industry and Economy Division		
Name of co-implementing Agency		
Executing Agency (ies)	World Health Organization (WHO)	

names of Other Project Partners	UNEP K&R-Unit: Stéphanie Laruelle
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Kevin Helps
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Neha Dharmshaktu
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	Edward Aput
UNEP Support Assistants	
Manager/Representative	Bruce Gordon
Project Manager	Kate Medlicott
Finance Manager	Ramatu Salahu
Communications Lead, if relevant	

## **2** Overview of Project Status

### 2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s)	Thematic: Chemicals and pollution action subprogramme
UNEP previous	N/A
Subprogramme(s):	
PoW Indicator(s):	<ul> <li>Pollution: (ii) Number of Governments developing or implementing policies, strategies and mechanisms to prevent or reduce waste and ensure environmentally sound waste treatment or disposal, including in the context of disaster or conflict-related environmental emergencies, with UNEP support</li> <li>Pollution: (iii)Number of policy, regulatory, financial and technical measures developed with UNEP support to reduce pollution in air, water, soil and the ocean</li> </ul>
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	N/A
Link to relevant SDG Goals	Goal 6: Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	• 6.3 By 2030, improve water quality by reducing pollution, eliminating dumping and minimizing release of hazardous chemicals and materials, halving the proportion of untreated wastewater and substantially increasing recycling and safe reuse globally

### 2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

#### GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

		Targets - Expected	Value	
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date
9.4- Countries with legislation and policy		5	5	WHO - guidance is being finalised
implemented to control chemicals and waste				of both outputs. Once guidance
				finalised and dissemination (second
				half of project cycle), targets will be
				worked towards.
11- People benefitting from GEF-financed		500	500	WHO - guidance is being finalised
investments				of both outputs. Once guidance
				finalised and dissemination (second
				half of project cycle), targets will be
				worked towards.

		Targets - Expected V	Value	
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date
9.5- Low-chemical/non-chemical systems	130	130	130	WHO - guidance is being finalised
implemented, particularly in food production,				of both outputs. Once guidance
manufacturing and cities				finalised and dissemination (second
				half of project cycle), targets will be
				worked towards.

Implementation Status 2024: 1st PIR

#### 2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	1st PIR	S	S	L
FY 2023				
FY 2022				
FY 2021				
FY 2020				
FY 2019				
FY 2018				
FY 2017				
FY 2016				
FY 2015				

#### Summary of status

This is the first PIR since the inception and first project steering committee (PSC) meeting on 7 June 2023. The project has held 2 PSC meetings so far, first one in-person in June 2023 and the second one virtually in June 2024.

Under Component 1, for WHO's part, all progress on guidance documents for the Global Toolkit is ahead of schedule with the "Guidance on wastewater and solid waste management for manufacturing of antibiotics" due to be published in August 2024. In preparation of the document, three expert group meetings were run to review and

inform the document. A public consultation was run over December 2023-January 2024 to seek written comments from the public on the draft. Adaptations were made to the Guidance document and a public consultation meeting was held to report adaptations and response to public consultation. The expert group was provided written opportunity to provide final comment before internal WHO sign off and publication. The guidance document is likely to be referenced in the upcoming UNGA High Level Declaration on AMR. Widespread dissemination through a launch of this document and SAICM Secretariat is covered under this grant. The second part is the development of a document on the "Safe Management of Pharmaceutical Waste from Healthcare Facilities". A scoping review of government policies and emergency processes was conducted October-December 2023. Technical group was established in March 2024. Zero draft document was shared with the technical group in April 2024. Coordination has continued with UNEP to align relevant components of the guidance with their complimentary efforts.

For UNEP K&R-Unit's part of the component, recruitment process of pharmaceutical waste expert has been conducted, and consultant onboarded. The expert supported the delivery of UNEP-led activities related to pharmaceutical waste management under the project and provided technical inputs to WHO-led outputs (including WHO "Guidance on wastewater and solid waste management for manufacturing of antibiotics" and "Safe Management of Pharmaceutical Waste from Healthcare Facilities").

Further, desk review of literature/background information as well as of guidance/best practices in the management of wastes from pharmaceuticals has been carried out. Work on development of case studies on pharmaceutical waste management and supplemental guidance has been initiated including liaising with focal points and key stakeholders and development of concept note/survey for information collection. Draft outline has been developed for the written deliverable.

Recruitment process of pharmaceutical lifecycle expert has been conducted, and consultant onboarded. The expert supported the delivery of UNEP-led activities related to pharmaceutical lifecycles under the project and provided technical inputs to WHO-led outputs (including WHO "Guidance on wastewater and solid waste management for manufacturing of antibiotics"). The expert conducted desk review of relevant literature/background information on methodologies/hotspots analysis applicable to pharmaceutical pollutants.

Planning for online and in-person meetings of expert group on mapping of EPPPs hotspots and priority intervention points to be held in Q3/Q4 2024 has been initiated, including identification of possible experts to be part of the expert group. A draft outline of the guidance for the mapping of hotspots and priority intervention points along the lifecycle of pharmaceuticals with respect to pharmaceuticals residues in the environment has been prepared. Additionally, collection and desk review of relevant available data/information on monitoring and analysis of releases of pharmaceutical residues (including databases, scientific papers, IGOs reports and manufacturers reports) as well as country data has been carried out and draft outline of report on identified key hotspots/major pathways of pharmaceutical residues as well as priority intervention points, and recommendations to support action, tailored to manufacturers and regulatory authorities on reducing releases of pharmaceutical residues has been developed.

Under Component 2 on Monitoring and Evaluation, during the reporting period, the Executing Agencies timely submitted their quarterly progress and expenditure reports to the Implementing Agency (IA) as per approved templates. Overall, the EAs are coordinating with various stakeholders for effective execution of the components, which are on track.

Regarding the financial progress during reporting period, the project has reported expenditure of 68% (\$236,677 out of available 347,211). The project will initiate mid-term review in Q3/Q4 of 2024.

#### 2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-	\$ 3,414,683
finance:	
Actual to date:	1,159,682
Progress	Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:
	Reported actual co-financing for WHO is 1,036,926 USD and for UNEP K&R-Unit is 122,756 USD, which covers the reporting period till 30 June 2024.
	The contribution towards the co-financing is ongoing with funds mapped to support key personnel involved in the project to ensure optimal service
	delivery of project objectives.
	With a huge reliance on Voluntary Contributions (VC), the current global economic and political situation has somewhat impacted some donor interests,
	resulting in reduced funding capacity.
	Nevertheless, efforts remain ongoing to build new relationships for future funding that include strategic partnerships and collaboration to create more
	resource mobilization opportunities.

## 2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering	2024-06-12
committee meeting	
Stakeholder engagement (will be	The inception and first project steering committee (PSC) meeting was convened in Geneva on 7 June 2023. Since then, one PSC meeting
uploaded to GEF Portal)	has been held virtually on 12 June 2024. The inception workshop and PSC meetings were attended by representatives of UNEP GEF
	Chemicals and Waste Unit, WHO, UNEP K&R-Unit, OECD, and project technical experts. The PSC meetings discussed the progress made in
	the past year, the annual work plan and budget, and recommendations for the next actions.
	The project outputs have engaged stakeholders in the development and consultation processes (e.g. in technical advisory groups and
	public consultation). UNEP K&R-Unit is planning for online and in-person meetings to be held in Q3/Q4 2024 including identification of

possible experts to be part of the expert group.
The Expert Advisory Group for the "Guidance on wastewater and solid waste management for manufacturing of antibiotics" included representatives from UN Agencies, academia, non-government organizations, regulators and auditors. The public consultation responders included representatives from industry, academia, UN agencies, auditors, country institutions and procurers.
The Technical Group for "Safe Management of Pharmaceutical Waste from Healthcare Facilities" development includes representatives from WHO IPC, medicines, emergencies, UNEP, UNICEF, UNDP, WFP, CDC, USAID, ISWA, MSF.

### 2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender action plan?	Yes
Gender mainstreaming (will be uploaded to GEF Portal):	Within the formation and make-up of technical advisory and expert groups for the project, gender balance has been considered and applied.
	The Technical Group for "Safe Management of Pharmaceutical Waste from Healthcare Facilities" includes 14 men and 24 women currently. The Expert Advisory Group for "Guidance on wastewater and solid waste management for manufacturing of antibiotics" included 11 women and 12 men.
	Furthermore, for Output 1.1 under Component 1, consideration has been given to gender-specific prescribing practices and the influence this may have on safe disposal practices. In addition, the frontline workforce is predominantly women and consideration of this is also being applied to the development of relevant guidance.

### 2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in	Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?
terms of Environmental and	No
social safeguards)	If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?
Now costal and /or	Here any new social and for any incompanial visits been identified during the reporting period?
New social and/or	Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?
environmental risks	No
	If yes, describe the new risks or changes?
Complaints and grievances	Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?
related to social and/or	No
environmental impacts	If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions

	were taken?
	None during this reporting period.
Environmental and social	
safeguards management	As above - none during this reporting period.

## 2.8. KM/Learning

ronment authorities, a side event was organized in June 2024 in cooperation with the Geneva Environment Network in the context
ne 14th meeting of the Basel Convention Open-Ended Working Group (OEWG-14) entitled "Pharmaceutical waste: practices and
lenges in ensuring their environmentally sound management". The event featured experiences from selected countries, inter-
ernmental organizations (IGOs) and other relevant stakeholders.
he guidance on the management of waste and wastewater for antibiotic manufacturing is finalised, a series of materials and inars are being planned for initial launch and preliminary dissemination activities.
specific knowledge products produced in this reporting period. Further learning will be shared in the next reporting period when lance documents have been finalised and disseminated.
h i

## 2.9. Stories

Stories to be	No stories to be shared for this reporting period.
shared	

## **3** Performance

### 3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline	Mid-Term	End of	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator &	Progress
		level	Target or	Project	current	target as of 30 June	rating
			Milestones	Target	period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry only)		
Objective: Measures to regulate	No. of countries reporting new	0	0	5	0	While the target hasn't progressed	S
SAICM Emerging Policy Issues (EPIs)	legislation, policies or action					during this reporting period,	
accelerated and contributing to the	plan concerning emerging					development of relevant guidance	
attainment of the 2030 Agenda	priority chemicals (EDC and					documents for this project are occurring	
	EPPP)					ahead of planned schedule. Dissemination	
						of these products, including potential	
						reference included in UN High-Level AMR	
						Declaration, will contribute to this	
						milestone.	
Outcome 1. Global policy guidance	No. of beneficiaries using the	0	130	130	0	As above - while no progress has been	S
on EPPP emissions available for Low-	published guidance to develop					made on this milestone to date, outputs	
and Middle-Income Countries	national actions.					are likely to be completed ahead of	
(LMICs).						schedule and progress made on this	
						milestone during the next reporting	
						period.	

**3.2** Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
1 Emerging	Output 1.1: Global toolkit on pharmaceutical residues (EPPPs) in the	2025-06-30	N/A	60%	Progress is ahead of schedule for this	HS
scientific issues	environment developed (managed by WHO)				milestone. The "Guidance on wastewater	
(Environmentally					and solid waste management for	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
Persistent					manufacturing of antibiotics" is due	
Pharmaceutical					to be published in August 2024. In	
Pollutants					preparation of the document, three	
[EPPPs] and					expert group meetings were held to	
Endocrine					review and inform the document. A public	
Disrupting					consultation was run over December	
Chemicals					2023-January 2024 to seek written	
[EDCs]					comments from the public on the draft.	
					Adaptations were made to the Guidance	
					document and a public consultation	
					meeting was held to report adaptations	
					and response to public consultation. The	
					expert group were provided written	
					opportunity for final comment before	
					internal WHO sign off. The second part	
					is the development of a document on the	
					"Safe Management of Pharmaceutical	
					Waste from Healthcare Facilities". A	
					scoping review of government policies	
					and emergency processes was conducted	
					during October-December 2023. Technical	
					group was established in March 2024.	
					Zero draft document was shared with the	
					technical group in April 2024.	
					Coordination has continued with UNEP to	
					align relevant components of the	
					guidance with their complimentary	
					efforts.	
1 Emerging	Output 1.2: EPPP and EDC emissions pathways from manufacturing	2025-12-31	NA		Conducted collection and desk review of	S
scientific issues	and wastewater are prioritized (managed by UNEP)				relevant available data / information on	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progres	
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating	
		date	previous	current			
			reporting	reporting			
			period (%)	period (%)			
(Environmentally					monitoring and analysis of releases of		
Persistent					pharmaceutical residues (including		
Pharmaceutical					databases, scientific papers, IGOs		
Pollutants					reports and manufacturers reports) as		
[EPPPs] and					well as country data. Draft outline of		
Endocrine					report on identified key hotspots /		
Disrupting					major pathways of pharmaceutical		
Chemicals					residues as well as priority		
[EDCs]					intervention points, and recommendations		
					to support action, tailored to		
					manufacturers and regulatory authorities		
					on reducing releases of pharmaceutical		
					residues prepared.		
2 Monitoring	Output 2.1 Quarterly financial reports and annual progress reports	2025-11-30	N/A	50%	Reports have been submitted as planned	S	
and Evaluation	monitoring status of project execution				to date.		
2 Monitoring	Output 2.2 Midterm and Terminal evaluations of project impacts	2027-04-30	NA	0	The project is on schedule and the MTR	S	
and Evaluation	shared with SAICM stakeholders				and TE will be completed by December		
					2024 and April 2027, respectively.		

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

## 4 Risks

#### 4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and responsibilities	Low	Low
2 Governance structure - Oversight	Low	Low
3 Implementation schedule	Low	Low
4 Budget	Low	Low
5 Financial Management	Low	Low
6 Reporting	Low	Low
7 Capacity to deliver	Low	Low

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

### 4.2 Table B. Risk-log

#### Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Governments supportive. but lack adequate	Output 1.1 and 1.2	L	L					L	=	As previous
resources to be engaged										
Lack of consensus on technical	Output 1.1 and 1.2	М	L					L	$\checkmark$	Lower rating than previous as
methodologies and definitions of EPIs										consensus thus far progressed well.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Curren	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Technical assessments on EDCs and EPPPs	Output 1.1 and 1.2	М	L					L	$\downarrow$	Lower rating as progress currently
cannot be completed within project										ahead of schedule.
timeframe										
Evidence on concentrations of EPIs in the	Output 1.1 and 1.2	М	Μ					М	=	As previous
environment cannot be expressed as										
impacts on health and environment. and										
therefore support for policy action is limited										
Technical capacity (staff and laboratories) do	Output 1.1 and 1.2	М	Μ					М	=	As previous
not exist in the project countries for EPI										
analysis or cannot be accessed within the										
available budget										
Lack of coordinated actions by IOMC	Output 1.1 and 1.2	М	Μ					М	=	As previous
partners										
Lack of stakeholder. community and NGO	Output 1.1 and 1.2	L	L					L	=	As previous
interest in the project										
Competing interests prevent countries in	Output 1.1 and 1.2	L	L					L	=	As previous
engaging in work on HHPs. EDCs. and EPPPs										
Shift in political priorities	Output 1.1 and 1.2	L	L					L	=	As previous
Increase in untreated wastewater and the	Output 1.1 and 1.2	L	L					L	=	As previous
reuse of wastewater due to extreme										
weather conditions										
Increased runoff of chemicals into surface	Output 1.1 and 1.2	L	L					L	=	As previous
water due to more extreme weather										
conditions										
Infrastructure damage due to more extreme	Output 1.1 and 1.2	L	L					L	=	As previous
weather events										
Increase in use of pharmaceuticals due to	Output 1.1 and 1.2	L	L					L	=	As previous
increase in vector-borne diseases										
Travel restrictions	Output 1.1 and 1.2	М	L					L	$\downarrow$	COVID-19 likely travel restrictions is

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
										low.
Increased generation of emissions from pharmaceutical manufacturing facilities	Output 1.1 and 1.2	L	L					L	=	As previous
Decreased local support due to shifted priorities and impacts to countries' economies	Output 1.1 and 1.2	Μ	Η					Η	Ť	Indirect risks and decreased resilience from the COVID-19 pandemic include decreased local support due to shifted priorities and impacts to countries' economies, which increases the risk of adoption of guidance documents by countries. Post-COVID-19 pandemic, Governments have had to prioritise strengthening their COVID-19 resilience over other management issues, including chemicals and waste management
		L	L					L	=	

## 4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Evidence on concentrations	NA	Expert group with relevant	Expert group input. Public	Periodically throughout	All groups and consultation
of EPIs in the environment		stakeholders convened and	consultation. Multisector	reporting period.	led by WHO.
cannot be expressed as		public consultation held	technical groups formed		
impacts on health and		where necessary to build	and used to build expert		

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
environment. and therefore		consensus before finalizing	consensus on guidance		
support for policy action is		guidance.	documents.		
limited					
Technical capacity (staff and	NA	WHO guidance documents			
laboratories) do not exist in		are global in development			
the project countries for EPI		so this risk is not applicable			
analysis or cannot be		in this reporting period.			
accessed within the					
available budget					
Lack of coordinated actions	NA	Project steering group	Project steering group	June 2024	Led by WHO.
by IOMC partners		meetings held to involve			
		relevant stakeholders and			
		seek input.			
Decreased local support	NA	WHO guidance documents			
due to shifted priorities and		are global in development			
impacts to countries'		so this risks not applicable			
economies		in this reporting period.			

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

## 5 Amendment - GeoSpatial

#### **Project Minor Amendments**

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

#### 5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes
Results Framework:	No
Components and Cost:	No
Institutional and implementation arrangements:	No
Financial Management:	No
Implementation Schedule:	
Executing Entity:	No
Executing Entity Category:	No
Minor project objective change:	No
Safeguards:	No
Risk analysis:	No
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	No
Location of project activity:	No
Other:	No

Minor amendments

#### 5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signature Date)		introduced in this
					revision

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signature Date)		introduced in this
					revision

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
N/A					

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. \*

Not relevant as this is a global project.

[Annex any linked geospatial file]