

# **GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)**

Document Generated by: GEF Coordination Office CO At: 2024-08-21 07:37:28

## **Table of contents**

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	3
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description	4
1.3 Project Contacts	5
2 Overview of Project Status	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators	6
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks	7
2.4 Co Finance	8
2.5. Stakeholder	9
2.6. Gender	11
2.7. ESSM	11
2.8. KM/Learning	12
2.9. Stories	13
3 Performance	15
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	15
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)	24
4 Risks	34
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	34
4.2 Table B. Risk-log	34
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks	36
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial	38
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)	38
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	38

#### UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024 Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024

## **1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION**

### 1.1 Project Details

GEF ID: 4739	Umoja WBS:GFL-11207-14AC0003-SB-006681
SMA IPMR ID:30679	Grant ID:S1-32GFL-000617
Project Short Title:	
PINESMAP-BPCE	
Project Title:	
Participative Integrated Ecosystem Services Management I	Plans for Bakassi Post Conflict Ecosystems (PINESMAP-BPCE)
Duration months planned:	48
Duration months age:	82.4
Project Type:	Full Sized Project (FSP)
Parent Programme if child project:	
Project Scope:	National
Region:	Africa
Countries:	Cameroon
GEF Focal Area(s):	Biodiversity
GEF financing amount:	\$ 2,652,968.00
Co-financing amount:	\$ 13,600,000.00
Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:	2017-02-02
UNEP Project Approval Date:	2017-05-10
Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force):	2017-05-23
Date of Inception Workshop, if available:	2017-10-01
Date of First Disbursement:	2017-06-28
Total disbursement as of 30 June 2024:	\$ 1,781,433.00
Total expenditure as of 30 June:	\$ 1,726,898.00

Midterm undertaken?:	Yes	
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:	2021-06-14	
Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:		
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2021-12-31	
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:	2025-06-30	
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2024-01-12	
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2024-12-31	

#### **1.2 Project Description**

PINESMAP-BPCE is a biodiversity project funded by the GEF/UNE and executed by the government of Cameroon through the Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development (MINEPDED). The project is being implemented in the Bakassi Peninsular of the Ndian division in the South West Region of Cameroon. PINESMAP-BPCE is geared at ensuring biodiversity conservation and sustainable use and improved management of Bakassi ecosystems through integrated ecosystem management plans including ecosystem valuation. The executing partner of the project is the Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development (MINEPDED). MINEPDED is accompanied by other local based, non-governmental organisations in the implementation of the project. These other partners include: Cam-Eco, CWCS, CHEDE Cooperative, ERuDeF, OPED andFIDEPE.

Component I of the project seeks to improve upon the institutional and policy frameworks by closing up gaps in policy, regulatory and institutional frameworks and reenforcing capacities for the management of natural resources. To accomplish this, the project will liaise with government institutions and other local based organizations to identify knowledge and gaps in capacity and endeavor to close up these gaps. Project capacity building under this component will target individuals, organized communities, government agencies/administration, private sector and CSOs in order to equip them to embark on an integrated natural resources management approach. The strengthening of policies, laws and regulations and the institutional and individual capacity building will create an enabling environment for the implementation of the first integrated ecosystem management approach within the country.

Component II is geared towards a participative and inclusive development and implementation of the IESMP. Through this component, the project seeks to bring existing initiatives both current and planned interventions, including the Council Development Plans (CDP), ongoing process to create the Ndongore Marine Protected Area, Rio del Rey Ramsar Site into a single Integrated Ecosystem Services Management Plan that includes biodiversity conservation, and pilot activities to demonstrate the potential to ensure livelihood options, the role of the IESMP as a framework for biodiversity conservation. The project also will identify and support the implementation of key livelihoods initiatives that will help to alleviate poverty by increasing and diversifying household income sources while also enhancing the involvement of local communities in natural resource management.

Component III is centered on Knowledge management, monitoring and evaluation. Through this component, the project will assess available knowledge related to the sustainable management of ecosystem services and combine the results of that assessment with the results of the capacity assessments in order to generate knowledge that will both serve IESMP development and implementation and also provide an opportunity to compile lessons learned for national and international users.

#### 1.3 Project Contacts

Division(s) Implementing the project	Ecosystems Division
Name of co-implementing Agency	
Executing Agency (ies)	Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development (MINEPDED)
Names of Other Project Partners	The Environment and Rural Development Foundation (ERuDef), Cameroon Wildlife Conservation Society
	(CWCS), Organisation For Environment and Sustainable Development (OPED), Chede Cooperative (CHEDE),
	International Foundation for Development, Education, Entrepreneurship and environmental Protection
	(FIDEPE), Cameroon Ecology (CAM-ECO),
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Johan Robinson
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Andre Toham
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	Paul Vrontamitis
UNEP Support Assistants	Eric Mugo
Manager/Representative	Dr. WASSOUNI A.
Project Manager	NGENDOH ZEDEKIAH
Finance Manager	TSAPI THEOPHILE DEDZO
Communications Lead, if relevant	

## **2** Overview of Project Status

### 2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s):	Foundational: Environmental governance	
UNEP previous	Sub-programme 3: Nature Actions Sub-programme 4 Environmental governance	
Subprogramme(s):		
PoW Indicator(s):	<ul> <li>Climate: (i) Number of national, subnational and private-sector actors that adopt climate change mitigation and/or adaptation and disaster risk reduction strategies and policies with UNEP support.</li> <li>Climate: (ii) Amounts provided and mobilized in \$ per year in relation to the continued existing collective mobilization goal of the \$100 billion commitment through to 2025 with UNEP support.</li> <li>Governance: (ii) Number of international legal agreements or instruments advanced or developed with UNEP support to address emerging or internationally agreed environmental goals</li> </ul>	
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	The project contributes to the Cooperation Axe No1: Support to a strong growth, sustainable and all-inclusive growth. The project will contribute to Outcome 1: By 2017, the national institutions develop and implement in participative manners policies and strategies favorable to sustainable development and the inclusive growth.	
Link to relevant SDG Goals	Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat     desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss	
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	<ul> <li>15.1 By 2020, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their services, in particular forests, wetlands, mountains and drylands, in line with obligations under international agreements</li> <li>15.5 Take urgent and significant action to reduce the degradation of natural habitats, halt the loss of biodiversity and, by 2020, protect and prevent the extinction of threatened species</li> <li>15.9 By 2020, integrate ecosystem and biodiversity values into national and local planning, development processes, poverty reduction strategies and accounts</li> <li>15.a Mobilize and significantly increase financial resources from all sources to conserve and sustainably use biodiversity and ecosystems</li> </ul>	

### 2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

	Targets - Expected Value			
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date

	Ta	Targets - Expected Value		
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date

Implementation Status 2023: 7th PIR

#### 2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	7th PIR	S	S	L
FY 2023	6th PIR	S	S	L
FY 2022	5th PIR	S	S	L
FY 2021	4th PIR	S	S	L
FY 2020	3rd PIR	S	S	L
FY 2019	2nd PIR	S	S	L
FY 2018	1st PIR	S	S	L
FY 2017				
FY 2016				
FY 2015				

#### Summary of status

Rating towards outcomes:

The rating is satisfactory (S) given there is a substantial development and progress. The project in collaboration with stakeholders at local, regional and national levels identified gaps in policy and develop propositions to amend the Jan 20 1994 Forestry law. More so, the project has also supported the inter-institutional coordination mechanism by putting in place and operationalizing 5 sub-divisional platforms, 1 regional platform and 1 mangrove platform as well as working in close collaboration with the Cameroon Mangrove Network (CMN) . In line with land use plans and tools addressing land use conflicts in the region, the project has supported the development of a draft land use plan (pending validation by MINEPAT) and a conflict risk and mitigation plan to promote natural resources management within the region. More so, the development of Procedural manual for creation and management of community mangrove forests with due gender consideration developed and more than 2000 stakeholders sensitized and trained on the application of the manual, ecosystems management and land use laws in the region. 50 members of the defense forces trained

to support and enforce the laws on natural resources management and conservation. Furthermore, the development of the IESMP that includes mangrove forest conservation and biodiversity mainstreaming is being developed pending review and validation by local stakeholders, experts and other stakeholders. Through the New public notice N°0053 /PN/MINFOF/SETAT/SG/DFAP/SDCF/SAIF/TTCL and the strategic partnership between the project, MINFOF and other stakeholders, the project is working towards finalization of the Ndongore Marine Protected Area. Existing Council Development Plans revised through a collaborative effort with PNDP and LSO's to incorporate ecosystem services and sustainable livelihood activities benefiting at least 50% to women identified, promoted in 2 pilots sites in Isangele and Kombo Abedimo benefiting 28 CBO's and more than 2000 women. More so, the increase in sensitization and information dissemination through various channels (such as the regional, subdivisional platforms, mangrove platforms, local media, capacity building workshops) developed and exploited by the project has led to an increase in knowledge and understanding on the importance of mangroves and terrestrial ecosystems amongst the different stakeholders in the project area. Delays caused by the outbreak of the socio-political crisis since 2017 have slowed down implementation and realization of the different project outcomes.

#### Rating towards outputs:

The rating at output levels is satisfactory (S) because, some of the outputs have been completed and those planned have been executed at a relatively satisfactory rate of progress and success (with an average rate of 50%). Some of the outputs have been fully realized within the stipulated time frame assigned for such outputs. Notwithstanding, delays in accomplishing other outputs are visible and can be attributed to the security situation in the region (socio-political tension) which slowed down project implementation.

#### Overall risk rating:

The overall risk rating is Low (L). This is because, the measures put in place to mitigate these risks have been effectively implemented especially at the implementation level. The government of Cameroon has put in place measures to curb the socio-political crisis in the region. Also, a draft IESMP has been developed pending its review and validation for implementation by the project. The development and implementation of the IESMP in collaboration with government authorities at the local level will serve as foundation for integrating ESMP concepts into legal and fiscal frameworks.

Propositions to strengthen the laws and policy regarding mangrove management have been tabled for integration into the existing forest law by MINFOF. The Project is also working in close collaboration with the Directorate of legal affairs to ensure that these proposals and others effective measures are taken to integrate them into the existing laws.

#### 2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-	\$ 13,600,000	
finance:		
Actual to date:	7,450,200	
Progress	Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:	
	In terms of co-finance mobilization, there has been a noticeable slowdown since the mid-term review. Mobilization of funds from implementing partner	
	has experienced a major disruption over this reporting period. During this period, Minepded has been the only institution implementing field activities	
	through the coordination team. MINEPAT has also been fully involved in the mobilisation of funding and activity implementation through her various	
	branches. Promises had been made by partners to live up to expectations with regards to their quota of co-financing but util date, very little has been	
	done in respect to these promises.	

### 2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering	2023-06-01
committee meeting	
Stakeholder engagement (will	be Based on a stakeholder mapping to identify stakeholders and their roles and responsibilities, the sub-divisional, regional and mangrove
uploaded to GEF Portal)	platforms which brings together stakeholders at every level was put in place and operational in the five sub-divisions as well as the
	regional level to bring together stakeholders to contribute in the development and implementation of field activities especially in line
	with the development of the IESMP.
	A New Public Notice for the gazettement of the Ndongore National Park that was signed by MINFOF shared with all stakeholders in the
	project zone even to the grass root level where the local communities are expected to express their approval or disapproval for the grazettement process. Also, 2 consultation workshops organised with communities and local stakeholders (Bamusso, Isangele, Kombo
	Itindi, Kombo Abedimo and Idabato) and other stakeholders at regional and national levels in the development and implementation of
	the IESMP. More than 200 stakeholders and Rio-Del-Rey mangrove platform members have been fully implicated in the reforestation of
	10ha of degraded mangroves in Bakassi Peninsular.
	In addition, LCC members and other stakeholders involved in land boundary conflicts in collaboration with the project provided essential
	tools for land boundary as well as conflict mitigation plans to help curb land boundary as well as natural resources conflicts.
	More so, stakeholders and local population in two sub-divisions have benefited from 4 micro-projects geared at providing the

communities alternative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimations and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources to diversify household incomes, improve living standards in the two sub-divisions and ultimative income sources	tely
alleviating the excessive pressure on mangroves within the region.	

### 2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender	Yes
action plan?	
Gender mainstreaming (will be	The Gender Mainstreaming Strategy targets women as specific beneficiaries and full stakeholders of the process. The project in
uploaded to GEF Portal):	collaboration with decentralized technical services and structures such as MINPROFF (Ministry of Women Affairs and the Family)
	"Maison de la Femme" (Women Center) have embarked on capacity building of women and coach women's associations for their role in
	the development and implementation of the IESMP. More than 2000 women through CBO's are beneficiaries of IGA's and micro-project
	initiatives and projects geared at increasing household incomes and combatting wantom destruction of mangroves in two pilot sites
	(Isangele and Kombo Abedimo) in the project zone. Also, the project through sensitisation and capacity building enlightened women on
	the critical role they play in resource usage, management and conservation of natural resources. The Women Community Initiative
	Group Forum (Bawocudef: Bakassi women cultural and development Forum) has been fully involved in the mobilization of communities,
	engage in intercommunity-dialogue, contribute to the elaboration of sensitization tools. Members of Bawocudef have been, trained in
	environmental issues, coached on how best to represent their interest in consultation processes. More than 500 women in the Bakassi
	area have been trained/sensitized on different thematic such as: importance of mangroves, management of these ecosystems, the role
	of women in mangrove ecosystems management, waste disposal, disaster risk and conflicts, etc.
	The project in collaboration with the decentralized structures has been supporting and encouraging user groups (fish smokers, fish
	buyers, mangrove harvesting groups) to be registered with the competent services with the ultimate goal of integrating them in capacity
	building programmes that will ensure the wise use of resources.

### 2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in	Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?
terms of Environmental and	No
social safeguards)	If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?
	N/A
New social and/or	Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?
environmental risks	No

	If yes, describe the new risks or changes?								
	N/A								
Complaints and grievances	Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?								
related to social and/or	Νο								
environmental impacts	If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions were taken?								
	N/A								
Environmental and social									
safeguards management	Results from a participatory mapping process revealed that 22ha of degraded sites with the possibility of restoration were identified. A total of 121,631.22 ha of mangrove forest earmarked for the creation of the Ndongore Marine Protected Area (NMPA) and the RAMSAR Site with the ultimate goal of preserving biodiversity and also limiting the impacts of over exploitation of mangrove leading to the destruction of the fragile mangrove ecosystems of Bakassi identified and consultation process with stakeholders in process. Minority and vulnerable groups such as women associations (Bakassi Women Development forum (Bawocudef), youths and social groups have been sensitized, consulted and implicated for the development of IESMP and implementation of Income Generating activities to diversify income sources and improve upon living standards in the region. The project via a series of consultation at local, regional and national levels has developed proposals to amend the 1994 forestry law and these proposals have been adopted and are being integrated into the recent forestry law being deliberated in the parliament.								

### 2.8. KM/Learning

Knowledge activities and products	Training modules developed to train community members, security forces, social clubs on different key thematic pertaining to mangrove resource use and management in the Bakassi area. The singing of a new public notice and pasted in the field for community participation and decision making and indicate interest in the classification process. Strategic tools for conflict risk mitigation developed and shared
	with LCC members and other key stakeholders.
	Participatory processes and communal implications via meetings, consultations, platform etc. have contributed positively, to some extent to good governance by improving dialogue, redistributing roles, responsibilities, resource access and control rights within the Bakassi peninsular. The creation, operationalization and working together with the Regional, sub-divisional and mangrove platforms have greatly improved synergy, decision making at every level amongst different stakeholders. The continuous organization of platform

meetings have helped the project make concrete decisions that have not only helped in realisation of project activities but also
encouraged continuous stakeholder involvement and engagement. By ensuring that local authorities (Mayors, chiefs and community
leaders) become an integral part of decision making is imperative for the successful realization of the IESMP, conservation development
agreements and the Council Development plans that will see the integration of Ecosystems services and biodiversity priorities into these
local development tools. Integrating women leaders, leaders of social groups, jangi and savings association in capacity strengthening,
training and sensitization on best practices in resources management, conservation, land zoning options and use, resources conflicts,
have ensured they are now fully involved in decision making processes in the Bakassi peninsular. Creating and putting in place the BEF
requires a lot of time and as such, a fund instead of a foundation is being envisaged by the project. The process to develop an IESMP and
its operational plan will require series of consultations,

### 2.9. Stories

Stories to be	Achieving Sustainability through empowering local communities in the Protection and Preservation of the Fragile mangrove ecosystems of the Bakassi
shared	peninsular.
	The fragile yet specie rich mangrove ecosystems of the Bakassi peninsular for a long period of time have witnessed intense degradation and deforestation with little or no intervention. Since her inception, the project with the support and collaboration of competent sectorial ministries has worked tirelessly to build capacity and empower in diverse dimensions the ability of local communities to preserve and protect the rich yet very fragile ecosystem within the Bakassi peninsular. Beginning with the construction of 5 mangrove nurseries comprising over 16,000 plants, 10ha of degraded mangroves was reafforested in five sub-divisions through direct project intervention and support of the peninsular. Local Communities further develop 3 new and additional nurseries that enabled them restore an additional 3 ha of mangrove. This has therefore increased the total area reforested to13ha over a period of 4 years. Also, local communities have intensified local efforts and the fight against illegal or destructive practices through variety of means ranging from complaints and local community sensitization and actions against illegal and destructive practices within the mangrove areas. This has been strengthened by key administrative decisions from the senior divisional officer of Mundemba and Sub-Divisional officer who have not only prohibited the harvesting of mangroves through communiqués but have stepped up the fight and increase the number sensitization and patrol missions in the creeks and high seas with the support of the Rapid Intervention Battalion (BIR), Gendarmes, Marine Marshalls and the Ministry of forestry who through the project intervention have also been trained and sensitized on the values of the mangrove ecosystems. The implementation of four micro projects, alternative livelihood sources and Income Generating Activities (IGA) benefiting over 2000 women and 28 CBO's has since galvanized local communities to break the over dependence on mangrove resource exploitation and promote conservation of the rich biod

peninsular.

The commitment and devotion towards the conservation of the fragile mangroves ecosystems is also evident by the newly signed public notice No. 0053/PN/MINFOF/SETAT/SG/DFAP/SDCF/SAIF/TTCL of April 15th 2020 delimiting the external limits of the Ndongore Marine protected area with a total surface area of 121,631ha, with 42,612ha being wetland and 79,036ha being marine.

## **3** Performance

### **3.1** Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term	End of Project	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	Progress
Outcomes			Target or	Target	current	& target as of 30 June	rating
			Milestones		period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry		
					only)		
Objective: To ensure	Number of sustainable	Local	At least 5	At least 10	4	In line with the number of sustainable	S
biodiversity conservation and	livelihoods opportunities	communities	income-	income-		livelihood options and opportunities,	
sustainable use and improved	created for communities	are dependent	generating	generating		reports identifying and prioritising	
management of Bakassi	within the Bakassi area	on	micro-projects	micro-projects		IGA's in all five sub-divisions	
ecosystems through integrated		unsustainable	benefiting at	benefiting at		developed. Four IGA micro projects	
ecosystem management plans		resource	least 50%	least 50%		benefiting at least 2000 women and 28	
including ecosystem valuation		extraction	women funded	women funded		CBO's have been implemented in two	
		activities	through the	through the		pilot sites (in Isangele and Kombo	
		(overfishing;	Bakassi	Bakassi		Abedimo sub-divisions) to assist	
		intensive	Ecosystem	Ecosystem		alleviate the over-dependence and over	
		mangrove	Foundation by	Foundation by		exploitation of these natural resources.	
		cutting; etc.)	end of year 2,	end of year 4			
			and 10 projects				
			by end of year 4				
	Number of tools for	Bakassi	IESMP which		3	With respect to tools for ecosystems	S
	ecosystem level approaches	Development	consider women			management, a draft IESMP and its	
	to resource management	Plan under	role validated			operational under development. Also, a	
	that incorporate ecosystem	implementation	and under			gender mainstreaming strategy	
	services / values	does not	implementation			integrating women in resources	
		recognize most	by middle of			management/decision making mechanism has	
		ecosystem	year 2			been developed and under implementation.	
		services or					
		address				-In	
		sustainable				collaboration with PNDP and LSO's	

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progres rating
		livelihoods opportunities			only)	ecosystems services and biodiversity priorities integrated into CDP's	
	Number of ha of mangrove and % increase of other component is under integrated landscape management that consolidates BD conservation and sustainable use of natural resources	0 hectares of Bakassi Ecosystem are under effective management, and land degradation (terrestrial and coastal) is estimated to be 3,000 ha. per year		25,000 ha of mangroves and at least 10% of other components of the Bakassi ecosystem (marine, terrestrial) under measurably improved management by the end of the project		New public notice N°0053 /PN/MINFOF/SETAT/SG/DFAP/SDCF/SAIF/TTCL for the gazettement of the proposed Ndongore National Park covering a total of 121,631ha signed by MINFOF and made public to all communities around the park. -Construction of five mangroves nurseries in five sub-divisions for the purpose of restoring 10ha of degraded mangrove in five sub-divisions of the project areaRoad Map for the gazettement process with MINFOF developed.	MS
Outcome 1.1: An enhanced policy, institutional and technical environment to develop an Integrated Ecosystem Services Management Plan (IESMP) for Bakassi mangrove ecosystems	Number of tools dt support community capacity to effectively and sustainably manage natural resources (e.g. mangrove forest	in the Bakassi area do not have guidelines or experience in communal	Procedural manual for creation and management of community mangrove forests with due gender consideration validated at technical level and		1	In conjunction with tools to support community mangrove management, a gap analysis report at the local level identifying gaps in policies and regulations developed. Proposals to strengthen policies and regulatory framework developed. Procedural manual developed and training of community members on the use of the manual carried out in five sub-divisions.	HS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or	End of Project Target	Progress as of current	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progres rating
outomes			Milestones	Target	period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	a target as of so June	rating
			disseminated to			-capacity need identified for	
			local communities by end of year 2			different stakeholders and community members on several thematic such as sustainable management of natural	
			,			resources, disaster risks, land zoning option etcTraining course modules	
						developed and trainings done with more than 2800 persons with over 350 women involved to bridge knowledge gap in the	
						above thematic so as to ensure effective management of natural resources	
	Number of mechanisms developed to support	Numerous ministries and	At least one inter-	At least one inter-	7	In respect to inter-institutional collaborative management, one regional	HS
	Institutional coordination on integrated ecosystem management	investment active in the	institutional collaborative management	institutional collaborative management		platform created and functional bringing together different sectoral ministries, international NGO's (GIZ, WWF),	
			which consider women equal	agreement which consider women equal		development partners (BADEP, SOWEDA) to re-enforce synergy, improve coordination and avoid duplication of efforts.	
		with very minimal coordination	participation established and functional by end of year 2	functional by end of year 2		-Five sub-divisional collaborative platforms created and fully operational bringing together all sectoral	
			and evaluated annually	and evaluated annually		ministries and other stakeholders at the sub-divisional level to engage in the development and implementation of the IESMP and other project related	
						activities. -Mangrove	

Project Objective and	Indicator		Mid-Term	End of Project	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	
Outcomes			Target or Milestones	Target	current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	& target as of 30 June	rating
						Rio-Del Rey platform bringing together private sector (CDC, PAMOL) and councils created and fully functional.	
	Number of tools to support local land use planning	guidelines exist	Guidelines for local land use planning which recognized the role of women agreed with MINEPAT and other stakeholders by end of year 2		1	Detailed land use map of the communities within the project area elaborated with the different land use and zoning optionsLocal Land use guidelines developed pending review and validation by MINEPAT and other stakeholders.	S
	Number of tools to manage risks of conflict and disaster	Frequent conflicts over land use and limited ability to respond to disaster in the	Conflict risk and mitigation plan with due gender consideration adopted at local level by the end of year 1		3	Conflict risk and disaster report elaborating experiences of different stakeholders as well as the different conflicts and disaster risk developed. More so, a conflict risk and mitigation plan developed and shared alongside other technical tools to members of the land consultative committees and other key stakeholders.	S
	Number of regulations adapted to local conditions and priorities to enable effective ecosystem management	Regulations on mangrove ecosystems, fisheries and land zoning/use		Proposals for amendments to integrate sustainable management o		Identified propositions from the gap analysis reports and consultations consolidated and transformed into juridical texts (decrees) with due consideration to gender equality to	S

Project Objective and	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term	End of Project	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	-
Outcomes			Target or Milestones	Target	current period(numeric, percentage, or	& target as of 30 June	rating
					binary entry		
					only)		
		are not clearly		mangrove		amend policies and regulatory frameworks	
		defined or		ecosystems		pertaining to mangrove management. Some	
		detailed in		with due		of the Propositions developed have been	
		existing legal		gender		adopted and integrated into the new	
		framework		consideration		forestry policy being deliberated upon	
				into the existing	r	in the 2nd Ordinary Parliamentary	
				Forest Law, and		session of June 2024. Section I	
				strengthened		(Permanent forest domains), Paragraph V	
				regulations		details that Marine protected areas are	
				governing		marine and coastal areas within national	
				fisheries and		jurisdiction specifically dedicated to	
				land zoning and		the protection of marine ecosystems,	
				use, by end of		processes, habitats and species, form	
				year 4		part of the natural public domain	
						managed by the State and managed under	
						the control of the State, by other legal	
						entities governed by public law and	
						their management are defined by	
						law. Gender mainstreaming strategy	
						developed	
	Number of stakeholders	Very low levels		At least 500	2,438	Training needs developed for key project	S
	reached by awareness	of awareness,		persons (50%		thematic. At least 900 women (more than	
	raising, training and capacity	understanding		women) trained		50%),1000 men and 500 youths, 38	
	building events to	and capacity		and 1,000 (50%		soldiers (BIR) and other stakeholders at	
	implement integrated	among local		women)		every level (local, regional, national	
	ecosystem management	stakeholders		sensitized on		and international) have been and are	
		regarding		ecosystem		continuously been trained and sensitized	
		ecosystem		, management		, through media, training workshops and	
		, management,		and land use		sensitization meetings on several key	

Project Objective and	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term	End of Project	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	-
Outcomes			Target or	Target	current	& target as of 30 June	rating
			Milestones		period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry		
					only)		
		land use laws		laws and		thematic and on best practices in	
		and		regulations at		sustainable management of natural	
		regulations, etc.		local, regional		resources.	
				and national			
				level through at			
				least 10			
				consultative			
				meetings (with			
				at least 50%			
				women			
				representation)	,		
				by end of year 3			
	Number of local agreements	No existing		Conservation	10	24 communities sensitized on the	MS
	developed to support	local		and		importance, their role in CDA process,	
	conservation and local	mechanism		Development		community participation in co-management	
	development	with local		Agreements		interventions, identification and	
		communities		(CDAs) which		development of co- management issues and	
		on conservation	1	consider gender	-	adopted strategies, co-management	
				equality		guidelines within the different	
				negotiated with		communities, creation of LCMC, Local	
				at least 20		Collaborative Management Committees. In	
				villages (4 per		addition, pre-negotiations with these	
				council) by the		communities have been carried out and a	
				end of year 3		draft CDA document pending signing	
						developed pending signing by	
						communities. Implementation of four key	
						Income Generating Activities to support	
						local development and combat	
						biodiversity in 2 sites.	

Project Objective and	Indicator	Baseline level			Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	-
Outcomes			Target or	Target	current	& target as of 30 June	rating
			Milestones		period(numeric, percentage, or		
					binary entry		
					only)		
Outcome 2.1: Integrated	Number of PA gazetted and	Farming,	Ndongoré and	Guidelines for	1	Detailed participatory mapping of	MS
Ecosystem Services	effectively managed	fishing, mining	Rio del Rey	РА		land use in project area to carry out	
Management plans that include		and logging	protected areas	Management		land use assessment, socio-economic and	
mangrove forest conservation		practices	gazetted by end	Plans that		administrative land use.	
and mainstreaming in Bakassi		around existing	of year 2;	incorporate		Preparation and	
forest ecosystems developed		PAs (Rio Del		social impacts		submission of technical note and public	
and implementation initiated in		Rey Ramsar		including on		notice N°0053	
few selected pilot areas through		site, proposed		women		/PN/MINFOF/SETAT/SG/DFAP/SDCF/SAIF/TTCL	
cross sectorial participatory		Ndongoré		adopted and		for the gazettement of 121,631ha of the	
processes that facilitate		marine		submitted to		Ndongore National Park. Newly signed	
increased investments and		protected area)		MINFOF for		Public notice pasted in all communities	
adoption by local communities		unsustainable		approval and		in and around the proposed National	
				action by end of	F	park.	
				year 3			
	Number of ha of High	No protection	2,000 ha of HCV		2000	Community members in Isangele, Kombo,	U
	Conservation Value (HCV)	or management	forest areas			Abedimo, Kombo Itindo, Bamusso, Idabato.	
	forests in the Bakassi area	of HCV forests	identified and			10ha of degraded forest reforested in 5	
			management			subdivisions. Diagnostic report for	
			plans developed			IESMP developed	
			for				
			implementation				
			for these areas				
			by end of year 2				
	Number of funding	Government	Feasibility study		1	A strategic plan highlighting the	MS
	mechanism established, and	investment	and strategic			potential stakeholders, existing and	
	micro projects funded for	exists through	plan for Bakassi			possible funding mechanism to finance	
	integrated approaches to BD	the Bakassi	Ecosystem			activities within the framework of the	
	conservation and livelihoods	Development	Foundation			BEF has also been developed	
	development	Committee but	(BEF)with due				

Project Objective and	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term	End of Project	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	Progress
Outcomes			Target or	Target	current	& target as of 30 June	rating
			Milestones		period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry		
					only)		
		is not sufficient	gender				
		to support	consideration				
		effective	finalized, and				
		programs	BEF resource				
			mobilization				
			strategy				
			finalized by end				
			of year 2;				
			agreement				
			signed to				
			support the BEF				
			by year 1				
	Number of local planning	Council		Existing Council	5	Revised Council Development Plans (CDP)	HS
	framework which integrate	Development		Development		have integrated biodiversity priorities	
	local development and	Plans do not		Plans revised to		and ecosystems services into the local	
	conservation	address		incorporate		development initiatives as well as	
		ecosystem		ecosystem		environmental considerations.	
		services /		services /			
		values or		biodiversity			
		biodiversity		priorities and			
		concerns		gender equality			
				by end of year 3			
	Number of operations to	Weak technical,		At least 5	4	ASEA report identifying and prioritizing	S
	improve livelihoods	financial and		profitable and		Income Generating Activities for the	
	opportunities based on	institutional		sustainable		five sub-divisions developed	
	sustainable resource use,	support for the		livelihood			
	decrease pressure on	development of	:	activities		Four income generating	
	ecosystems and biodiversity			benefiting at		activities geared at improving household	
		activities		least 50% to		incomes, local development and support	

	Indicator				Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	-
Outcomes			Target or Milestones		current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry	& target as of 30 June	rating
					only)		
				women		conservation initiatives and targeting	
				identified,		14 community organizations (CIG's) with	
				tested and		more than 800 women at the center of	
				promoted in at		these initiatives have been implemented	
				least 3 pilot		in 2 pilot sites of Isangele, Kombo	
				sites,		Abedimo and Kombo itindi of the Bakassi	
				benefitting 50		peninsular.	
				CBOs and 2,500			
				individuals			
				including at			
				least 50%			
				women			
Outcome 3.1: Increased	Number of awareness	Low levels of	Training and	At least 1,000	10000	IEC plan developed and under	S
knowledge products, inter-	raising, education and	awareness and	Information,	persons (of		implementation. Training needs developed	
stakeholders sharing of	communication framework	understanding	Education and	which 50% are		for key project thematic. At least 900	
	and events developed and	among local	Communications			women (more than 50%),1000 men and 500	
0	implemented to support	populations	(IEC) plan under			youths.	
terrestrial ecosystem services to	understanding of the values	and resource	implementation	in at least 5		MOU signed	
foster the development and	of ecosystem services and	managers in	by end of middle	training session		between project and 4 local media to	
implementation of the IESMP.	biodiversity	the Bakassi	of year 2,	and 10		broadcast project related	
		area		sensitization		activities, (The Mangrove) and events.	
				meetings by		PINESMAP-BPCE web portal on MINEPDED	
				end of year 4		website developed and fully operational	
						for project information sharing at	
						national and international levels. More	
						so, awareness raising has been achieved	
						via project fact sheets, flyers,	
						sensitization and training workshops.	

Project Objective and	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term	End of Project	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	Progress
Outcomes			Target or	Target	current	& target as of 30 June	rating
			Milestones		period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry		
					only)		
	Number of tools developed	No systems or	Guidelines		3	Key socio-economic and environmental	MS
	to monitor Improved socio-	indicators in	documents for			indicators for monitoring project	
	economic conditions and	place to	indicator-based			impacts identified using the DPSIR and	
	biodiversity status (habitat,	measure status	monitoring of			FDES framework. Data collection	
	species, genetic)	of biodiversity	Bakassi			mechanisms for indicators developed.	
		or ecosystem	ecosystem				
		services critical	adopted by end				
		for socio-	of year 2				
		economic well-					
		being					

### **3.2** Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
1 Institutional	1.1.1.2. Strengthen policy and regulatory frameworks to close	2019-12-31	70	80	Identified propositions from the gap	S
and	identified gaps, including development of proposals for amendments				analysis reports and consultations	
stakeholder	to integrate sustainable management of mangrove ecosystems into				consolidated and transformed into	
capacity	the existing Forest Law, and regulations governing fisheries and land				juridical texts (decrees) to amend	
building to be	zoning and use				policies and regulatory frameworks	
able to engage					pertaining to mangrove	
in the					management. Terms of reference	
development					developed to organize working session	
and					with competent services of MINFOF to	
implementation					develop road map on the integration of	
of the IESMP					proposed juridical text into the forest	
					law and regulations governing mangrove	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					resources. The Socio-political crisis	
					in the South/Northwest regions led to	
					the slow realization of all activities	
					on this PIR	
	1.1.1.5 Build the capacity of Cameroon's defence forces on mangrove	2020-09-30	90	90	Capacity needs for different defense	S
	conservation issues				corps revealed the existence of	
					knowledge gaps in 3 key thematic areas	
					(Knowledge of mangroves, management and	
					usage and laws/sanctions). Training	
					modules developed on the above	
					thematic. Training workshop organised in	
					Jabane and 38 members of the BIR	
					sensitised on the above thematic	
	1.1.1.6. Conservation and Development Agreements (within the	2020-12-31	40	60	Sensitization meetings organized and 25	S
	framework of the IESMP) negotiated with at least 20 villages in the				communities expressed the desire and	
	Bakassi area				willingness to engage in CDA.24	
					communities sensitized on the	
					importance, their role in CDA process,	
					community participation in co-management	
					interventions, identification and	
					development of co- management issues and	
					adopted strategies, co-management	
					guidelines within the different	
					communities, creation of LCMC, Local	
					Collaborative Management Committees. In	
					addition, pre-negotiations with these	
					communities have been carried out and a	
					draft CDA document pending signing	
					developed pending signing by	
					communities. Implementation of four key	

Component		Expected	-	-	Progress rating justification, description of	Progres
		-	status as of		challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
				reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					Income Generating Activities to support	
					local development and combat	
					biodiversity loss in 2 pilot sites.	
	Output 1.1.2: Strengthened institutional framework and capacities to					
	support integrated management of natural resources in the Bakassi					
	area					
	1.1.2.4. At least one inter-institutional collaborative management	2021-12-31	. 70	100	10 sub-divisional platform meetings	HS
	agreement established and evaluated annually				organized in five sub-divisions (2	
					meetings per sub-division) and 2	
					regional platform meetings organized to	
					promote collaboration and synergy	
					amongst different stakeholders and	
					sectorial ministries in the management	
					of resources within the peninsular.	
	Output 1.1.3: Strengthened processes and capacities for mitigating					
	the risks of conflicts and disasters with the active participation of key					
	resource users					
	1.1.3.2. Strengthen the functioning of existing Land Consultative	2019-09-31	70	100	Existing land Consultative committees	S
	Committees responsible for land boundary conflicts in the Bakassi				responsible for land boundaries	
	area by providing them with good practices guide and other strategic				identified. Good practice guide for	
	and technical tools for conflict.				land boundary conflict and management	
					developed. Different types of conflicts	
					occurring in the area identified	
					planned priority initiatives	
					developed Strategic tools and documents	
					such as planned priority initiatives,	
					conflict risk and mitigation plan	
					developed and shared to members of LCC	
					and other stakeholders.	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementati	onImplementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
	1.1.3.4. Develop guidelines for local land use planning, agreed with	2019-12-31	50	70	Land use types within the project area	S
	MINEPAT and other stakeholders				identified and categorized into macro	
					and micro land use types. Land use and	
					Zoning options developed for the	
					Bakassi area. Draft Land use guidelines	
					developed pending review and validation	
					by MINEPAT and other stakeholders.	
	1.1.3.5. Develop and implement a conflict risk and mitigation plan,	2019-03-31	60	100	Conflict risk and mitigation plan	S
	specifically adapted to the context of the Bakassi area, including				developed and shared with key	
	multiple competing uses of natural resources, the presence of several				stakeholders especially members of the	
	nationalities, and a post-conflict environment				LCC in the Bakassi Peninsular.	
	1.1.3.6 Disseminate lessons learned on the conflict risk and	2019-12-31	0	30	TOR developed. Delays in the	MU
	mitigation plan at local, regional and national level through				realization of activity can be	
	experience exchange workshops				attributed to fact that the	
					Implementation of the conflict risk plan	
					is still pending (due to some	
					administrative bottle necks arising from	
					the socio-political crisis in the	
					region) even though the plan has been	
					developed.	
2 Participative	Output 2.1.1: Integrated Ecosystem Services Management Plan					
and inclusive	(IESMP) developed and under implementation, that increases the %					
development	of mangrove land cover and the conservation of aquatic biodiversity					
and	2.1.1.2. Develop the IESMP document and its operational plan for the	2019-06-30	50	70	Diagnostics report for the IESMP	S
implementatior	Bakassi ecosystem, through a participatory approach where local				developed.	
of IESMP	communities, government authorities and other stakeholders agree					
	on integrated ecosystem management standards at the local level					
					Draft IESMP with operational plan	
					developed pending stakeholder validation	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementatior status as of previous	-	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progres: Rating
			reporting period (%)	reporting period (%)		
	2.1.1.3. Preliminary Implementation of the IESMP on a participatory basis, and document and share lessons learned with all stakeholders at local, regional and national level	2021-12-31	. 0		Delays in realization are attributed to the fact that the IESMP is still under development. The first draft has been submitted and under review. consequently implementation of the plan cannot commence.	MU
	2.1.1.5. Initiate the gazettement process (stakeholder consultation, delimitation and technical files prepared) for the Rio del Rey Ramsar site and the Ndongoré Marine Protected Area and develop detailed guidelines for PA Management Plans that incorporate social impacts	2020-12-31	45		Sensitization/consultative meetings with MINFOF and other key sector ministries to develop a new public notice for the area. New public notice N°0053 /PN/MINFOF/SETAT/SG/DFAP/SDCF/SAIF/TTCL as well as Technical Note signed by MINFOF and published in all the communities within and around the project area. Sensitisation material developed in collaboration with MINFOF to sensitize both local authorities and elites and local communities.	MS
	2.1.1.6. Based on mapping of mangroves to identify degraded areas and needs for reforestation (local adapted species and quantities, etc.), establish community mangrove nurseries for reforestation of degraded areas	2020-06-30	80		-Training of local community members on the construction and management of mangrove nurseries housing 16 000 plants 10ha of mangrove restored in five sub-divisionsMangrove restoration plan elaborated10ha of mangrove restored in all 5 sub-divisions.	S
	2.1.1.7. Identify 2,000 ha of High Conservation Value (HCV) forest areas and develop and implement management plans.	2019-12-31	. 0		Draft report identifying HCV areas submitted and under review. Delays have so far been as a result of the crisis rocking the region thereby limiting	U

Component		Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay field movements for the identification of HCV areas	Progres Rating
	Output 2.1.2: Livelihood options that enhance ecosystem management and biodiversity conservation are tested and promoted in at least three different sites					
	2.1.2.2. Undertake a pilot certification process on fish production, focused on the utilization of fish dryers and technologies for fish smoking that use less mangrove wood and provide better tasting and higher value	2020-06-30	45		Modern fish dryer ovens identified and project is working in close collaboration with local communities, councils and MINADER to identify suitable locations for oven construction in targeted communities in the region. Certification body identified (ANOR)as well for the certification process of fish from the Bakassi Peninsular.	U
	2.1.2.4. Identify, develop at least two pilot ecotourism tours (one in terrestrial areas and one in swamp areas)	2019-06-30	80		Proposed Eco-tourism agency to work in collaboration with project identified. Eco-tourism tour guide and destination profile developed. No eco-tourism centers in the region. One of the key propositions is to create two eco-tourism centers and how the local population can benefit from these centers as a means to improve livelihood	S
	2.1.2.6. Document lessons learned from pilot programs on NTFPs and fisheries value chains, fishery waste management, and ecotourism and disseminate for potential replication and up-scaling	2021-06-30	30	30	TOR Developed to share lessons learnt from NTFP's and fishery management. Delays in realizing this activity has	U

omponent	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progres
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					been attributed to slow nature in which	
					some implementing partners have been	
					implementing their activities.	
	Output 2.1.3: Bakassi Ecosystem Foundation established in order to					
	support implementation of the IESM plan					
	2.1.3.2 Develop a strategic plan for establishment of the Bakassi	2020-06-30	60	80	Strategic Plan and feasibility studies	
	Ecosystem Foundation (BEF), including an analysis of existing funding				for the BEF developed identifying	
	mechanisms and their best practices in other GEF-funded projects,				different funding mechanisms, procedures	
	and a resource mobilization strategy for the BEF				for the establishment of the foundation	
					as well the potential members that will	
					make up the foundation.	
	2.1.3.3. Establish a framework for GEF funds involvement in the BEF	2020-06-30	0 0	30	TOR developed but activity not fully	U
	and sign agreements with other potential donors				realized. The implementation of this	
					activity is dependent on 2.1.3.2 above	
					which has still not been completed due	
					to administrative difficulties caused by	
					the socio-political crisis in the	
					region.	
	2.1.3.4. Assess the performance of BEF in terms of supporting and	2021-09-30	0 0	0	The implementation of this activity is	HU
	improving livelihoods and the conservation of biodiversity and				dependent on 2.1.3.2 above which has	
	ecosystem services in the Bakassi area				still not been completed due to	
					administrative difficulties caused by	
					the socio-political crisis in the	
					region.	
	Output 2.1.4: One viable and sustainable multi-stakeholder					
	consultation, interaction and decision-making framework that links					
	clearly to IESMP is established in the Bakassi area.					
	2.1.4.1. Establish a collaborative platform to support collaboration	2019-12-31	. 90	100	PINESMAP-BPCE Project Portal on MINEPDED	S
	among stakeholders, including a project portal developed on the				website fully operational and updated	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progres
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
	MINEPDED website				regularly. The Rio-Del-Rey platform bringing together municipal authorities, local administration and private sector at the sub-divisional level gone operational and actively involved in	
					restoration and other project related activities.	
3 Knowledge	Output3.1.1: Learning and knowledge management framework					
management,	established; training package developed to build capacity for IESMP					
-	implementation					
evaluation	3.1.1.1. Identify communication and sensitization tools, and develop, validate and implement an Information, Education and Communication (IEC) plan on the values of ecosystem services and biodiversity in the Bakassi area				IEC plan developed and fully under implementation Nationwide sensitization during the world wetland and biodiversity days. Production and distribution of 20 000 project flyers on project activities as well as importance of mangrove conservation and management Sensitization of communities, authorities and locals on the ecosystems services and their roles in the IESMP	S
	3.1.1.3. Develop a learning framework and information kits to capture, analyze and disseminate lessons learned from project interventions	2021-12-31	0		TOR Developed for activity. Delays in realization of this activity has been attributed in the fact that activities related to this activity in components 2 have not been fully realized. As a result, this activity has been implemented fully.	MS
	3.1.1.4. Support exchanges of experiences between local existing	2021-06-30	30		Local Organizations in Mouanko and	S
	groups and other initiatives at national and international level				Tiko/Limbe involved in best practices in	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	ImplementationImplementation		nProgress rating justification, description of	Progre
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
			previous reporting period (%)	current reporting period (%)		
					mangrove management identified.	
					TOR developed for exchange and sharing of experiences with local groups at regional level between mangrove resource users in Bakassi Peninsular and those in Mouanko, Tiko and Limbe municipalities	
	Output 3.1.2: Key indicators to monitor changes in socio-economic impacts and environmental conditions under the Bakassi IESMP developed, tested and approved by all stakeholders					
	3.1.2.2. Conduct participatory field-testing of the indicators (perception survey, METT, etc) in project area.	2019-06-30	30	50	TOR developed and indicators identified at global level within the Bakassi PeninsularData collection mechanism for collection of data developed Pilot sites for indicator monitoring identified.	S
	3.1.2.3. Based on results of field-testing, revise indicators and carry out a validation / approval process for their use under the IESMP	2019-06-30	0	0	Delays have been caused by the fact that field testing and information and data collection has not been carried out and as a result, list of indicators cannot be revised. Secondly, the IESMP has not been finalized to permit the project team identify indicators for data collection and subsequently review the list of indicators.	HU

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
	3.1.2.4. Develop guideline documents and carry out technical training	2019-12-31	15	40	Guideline documents for long term	HU
	to support long-term monitoring of the Bakassi ecosystem using the				monitoring of Bakassi Indicators	
	IESMP indicator sets				developed pending review and	
					validation.	
	3.1.2.5. Consolidate and disseminate knowledge products and	2021-12-31	0	0	TOR Developed for activity. Delays in	HU
	environmental data developed under the IESMP, including (reports,				realization of this activity has been	
	flyers, lesson learned, policy briefs, manuals, etc.)				attributed in the fact that activities	
					related to this activity in components 2	
					have not been fully realized.	
	Output 3.1.3: Project monitoring and evaluation system in place					
	3.1.3.2. Implement M&E during the project implementation period	2021-06-30	40	50	M&E field mission undertaken by PMU to	S
	and draw lessons for the sustainable implementation of the IESMP				all 5 sub-divisions to: Collection of	
					information to feed M&E systems in	
					collaboration with the Liaison officers	
					of the project. Evaluate the	
					implementation of partners'	
					activities.	
	3.1.3.3. Constitute local IESMP monitoring committees and train	2021-12-31	30	50	Five IESMP monitoring committees created	HU
	them in various ecosystem monitoring techniques, in partnership				in five sub-divisions	
	with existing groups identified by the project (e.g. village committees,					
	CIG, cooperatives, women's groups, youth groups, traditional					
	councils and municipal councils, etc.)					

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

## 4 Risks

### 4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and responsibilities	Low	Low
2 Governance structure - Oversight	Low	Low
3 Implementation schedule	Moderate	Moderate
4 Budget	Low	Low
5 Financial Management	Low	Low
6 Reporting	Low	Low
7 Capacity to deliver	Low	Low

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

### 4.2 Table B. Risk-log

#### Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Data collection risk. in terms of non-	Outcome 1-3	М	L	L	L	L	L	L	=	No Changes
validated reports or other data that could be										
incorrect or misstated.										
Partners. having made implementation	All Outcomes & Outputs	Μ	М	L	L	L	L	L	=	No changes

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification	
	outputs	ED						PIR			
commitments and set goals. back away from											
or abandon their goals as deadlines											
approach.											
Drafted and proposed legislation is not	All Outcomes & Outputs	М	Μ	L	L	L	L	L	=	No Changes	
passed into law											
The existing policy. legal and fiscal	Outcome 2.1	Н	М	L	L	L	L	L	=	No Changes	
framework a) Does not encourage IESMP											
and b) Does not adequately protect											
ecosystem services such as carbon stocks.											
biodiversity and supply of natural products											
that contribute to local livelihoods.											
Key private sector and conservation	Outcome 2.1	М	М	М	М	L	L	L	=	No changes	
organisations are reluctant to coordinate											
and co-finance pilot projects for											
conservation and sustainable production											
Reluctance of local communities to	Outputs 2.1.1. 2.1.2; 3.1.1	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	=	No Changes	
participate in the project as a result of their											
culture and traditions. as well as the											
potential social impacts the project may											
have on them											
Institutional instability	All Outcomes	М	Μ	L	L	L	L	L	=	No Changes	
Political instability and conflict	All Outcomes	М	М	L	L	L	L	L	=	No Changes	
Weak enforcement of environmental laws	All Outcomes	М	L	L	L	L	L	L	=	No Changes	
and regulations. allows the arrival of											
unsustainable projects. and persistent illegal											
or unsustainable exploitation of natural											
resources. This will continue to foster											
degradation of ecosystems and loss of											
biodiversity.											

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Lack of adequate budget for conservation.	Outcomes 1.1 and 2.1	М	L	L	L	L	L	L	=	No Changes
Risk of confusion over the role of different										
GoC institutions.										
The risk was that MINEPDED would have	Outcome 2.1	М	М	L	L	L	L	L	=	No Changes
taken on a legally non-mandated role in PA										
management within the project.										
There is a risk that the Executing Agency	All Outcomes	М	М	М	M	L	L	L	=	No changes
(MINEPDED) lacks capacity and experience										
for project and fiduciary management.										
There is additional risk that project										
execution by a government institution will										
not be sufficiently rooted at the field level.										
Delays in provision of co-financing from	All Outcomes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	L	$\uparrow$	Some changes
some implementing partners										
	-	•	•	•				•		
			L	L	L	L	L	L	=	

### 4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Implementation schedule	No cost extension of project	Review activities attributed	re-allocate activities handed	July-Dec.	PMU
	lifespan	to implementing partners	to partners to consultants		
		for local or national			
		consultants and PMU			

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

## 5 Amendment - GeoSpatial

#### **Project Minor Amendments**

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

#### 5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes
Results Framework:	No
Components and Cost:	No
Institutional and implementation arrangements:	No
Financial Management:	No
Implementation Schedule:	
Executing Entity:	No
Executing Entity Category:	No
Minor project objective change:	No
Safeguards:	No
Risk analysis:	No
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	No
Location of project activity:	No
Other:	No

Minor amendments

### 5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signiture Date)		introduced in this
					revision

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signiture Date)		introduced in this
					revision
Original Legal Instrument		2017-05-10	2017-05-23	2022-04-30	Agreement validity and
					extension of technical
					completion dates
Amendment 1	Revision	2022-03-03	2022-03-03	2023-04-30	Agreement validity and
					extension of technical
					completion dates
Amendment 2	Amendment & Extension	2023-03-30	2025-06-30	2025-12-31	Agreement validity and
					extension of technical
					completion dates

GEO Location Information:

Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
Newly Proposed National	4.7837	8.7826		Gazettement	Gazettement of the
Park Limits					Ndongore National park
					(stakeholder consultation.
					preparation of technical
					files. delimitation of limits)
Isangele	4.77708	8.6780		All five sub-divisions of the	Sub-divisional Platform
				Bakassi Peninsular:	meetings
Isangele	4.77708	8.6780			Working session to share
					strategic tools and conflict
					risk and mitigation plan to

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
					LCC
Kombo Abedimo	4.8094	8.6206			Initiation of Income
					generating activities in 2
					pilot sites. Communities in
					Isangele and Kombo
					Abedimo. have been
					provided with piglets. 8
					cassava processing
					machines. kids. 600 birds. to
					targeted communities
					thereby increasing
					household income and
					alternative sources of
					income to alleviate poverty
					and combat mangrove
					deforestation

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. \*

[Annex any linked geospatial file]