

UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2023 1 July 2022 to 30 June 2023

1- Identification

GEF ID		10806	SMA IPMR ID			84226
Project Short Title		GSP-III	Grant ID			S1-32GFL-000691
			Umoja WBS			SB-018046.01
Project Title		To enhance technical and institu		degradation monitoring and ork 2018-2030 and SDG15.3		ting in the context of the UNCCD Strateo
	Г			i		
Project Type	A	Medium Sized Project (MSP)	Duration months	Planned		Jul-21
Parent Programme if child project				Age		32.0 months
GEF Focal Area(s)		Land Degradation	Completion Date	Planned -original PCA		1-Mar-24
Project Scope	A	Global		Revised - Current PCA		
Region	A		Date of CEO Endors	ement/ <mark>Approval</mark>		19-Jul-21
Countries		Global	UNEP Project Appro	val Date (on Decision Shee	t)	8-Jul-21
GEF financing amount		2,000,000	Start of Implementa	tion (PCA entering into forc	e)	1-Jul-21
Co-financing amount		USD 545,000	Date of First Disburs	sement		15-Aug-21
			Date of Inception W	orkshop, if available		
Total disbursement as of 31 July		1,340,000.33	Midterm undertaker	?	A	No
Total expenditure as of 31 July		USD 1,075,659	Actual Mid-term Da	ite, if taken		
			Expected Mid-Term	Date, if not taken		
			Expected Terminal E	Evaluation Date		
			Expected Financial (Closure Date		1-Mar-24

The project objective is to enhance technical and institutional capacity for land degradation monitoring and reporting in the context of the UNCCD Strategic Framework 2018-2030 and SDG15.3.

Consistent with the COP 14 decision, the proposed GSP III is conceived to provide tailored technical capacity and tools for Parties on land use planning including on national-level coordination and cooperation based on, inter alia, integrated land use planning, to guide the implementation of transformative projects and programmes. This also includes strengthening capacities of technical institutions and human resources by providing guidance on estimating and monitoring soil organic carbon for land-use planning, land degradation neutrality monitoring and other applications (Decision 18/COP.14).

The GSP III will provide technical assistance within the framework of GEF enabling activities focusing on three major components based on the lessons learned and issues identified during previous reporting cycle. The project will build on GSP I and GSP II experience in support UNCCD reporting.

Component 1. Component 1: Facilitating UNCCD reporting against agreed indicators through updated and improved tools. Component 1 would focus on establishing or updating reporting tools to support Parties' efforts on reporting to the Convention. This component will be further guided by COP 14 decision for improving the reporting platform, prefilled data (default data) and reporting tools. Through component 1, pre-filled default data in country-specific reporting template will be made accessible to the Parties in all UN languages.

Component 2: Enhancing capacities of country Parties for reporting against UNCCD indicators base and improved versions of technical facilities

This component will respond to country needs highlighted during CRIC 17 to provide clear guidelines and technical support for future reporting. Targeted and timely workshops will be organized at the regional level involving Country Parties relevant for reporting.

Component 3: Enabling Parties to monitor land degradation and develop integrated approaches to address DLDD and gender mainstreaming. This component seeks to take a long term perspective that is beyond a single reporting cycle and will be built on two analyses: i) the study carried out on the tracking of financial flow with the support of GSP II and ii) the report on coordination mechanisms for monitoring UNCCD biophysical indicators and land degradation trends at the national level.

1.3 Project Contact

Division(s) Implementing the project

Name of co-implementing Agency

TM: UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)

TM: UNEP Task Manager(s)

TM: UNEP Budget/Finance Officer

TM: UNEP Support/Assistant

Ecosystems Division

Ersin Esen

Adamou Bouhari

Paul Vrontamitis

Eric Mugo

Executing Agency(ies)

Names of Other Project Partners

EA: Manager/Representative

EA: Project Manager

EA: Finance Manager

EA: Communications lead, if relevant

The Global Mechanism of the ${\tt UNCCD}$

Louise Baker

Munazza Naqvi Soi Ha Lie

2- OVERVIEW OF PROJECT STATUS

TM: UNEP Current Subprogramme(s)

TM: PoW Indicator(s)

Nature Action 2.16 TM: UNEP previous Subprogramme(s)

Governance

EA: UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages

NA

ow & un

2.7. ESSM	TM & EA: Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period? TM & EA: If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail including	▼ No
	EA: Environmental and social safeguards management (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	According to the Environment, Social and Economic screening determinations at the time of project conception, the project activities maintain very low risk in terms of environmental, social and economic impacts but on the contrary, the project activities have helped the Parties in monitoring the land degradation trends and encouraged them to adopt sustainable land management practices.
ning	EA: Knowledge activities and products (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	The official documentation for the CRIC21 to be held in November 2023 was prepared with the support from the project. https://www.unccd.int/cric21/official-documents Please attach a copy of any products
2.8. KM/Learning	EA: Main learning during the period	GSP-III project was launched to support the 2022-2023 reporting period. Despite the logistical challenges posed by COVID-19 during this period, the project was able to provide support to the Parties and they were able to submit reports. The analysis of reports was conducted with the project's support and it will be presented and further discussed at the CRIC21 in November 2023. The support from the project is playing an important role in enabling the Parties for monitoring their land resources.
2.9. Storie	EA: Stories to be shared (section to be shared with communication division/ GEF communication)	NA III



3. RATING PROJECT PERFORMANCE

3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes (Development	Objectives)

Project objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period (numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	EA: Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	TM: Progres
To enhance technical and institutional capacity for land degradation monitoring and reporting in the context of the UNCCD Strategic Framework 2018-2030 and SDG15.3	The 2021-22 UNCCD reporting process provides country Parties with the capacity and motivation to continue UNCCD reporting and monitoring.	Limited experience or knowledge of reporting against the revised UNCCD progress indicators	NA	Majority of Parties that are contacted for the evaluation consider positively their skills and motivation for future UNCCD reporting.	80%	Despite the challenges faced during the reporting period, the feedback received from the Parties about the support received through GSP was positive. The pre-recoded videos and other online learning material provided in advance helped in creating awareness and understanding of the reporting process amongst the Parties and helped in keeping them motivated. The team of experts was available to troubleshoot any problem that they faced so a positive momentum was maintained during the reporting period.	S
Outcome 1.1: UNCCD country Parties use the reporting platform and regularly produce national reports that meet UNCCD reporting standards.	Number of Parties that use the UNCCD reporting platform for preparing the report	Parties have not yet used the new and updated reporting platform	NA	90% of reports that are submitted by countries using the new reporting platform and it is used regulary by the Parties to monitor land degradation trends.	64%	64% countries reported through the portal. The reporting portal was launched in November 2021 for the current ongoing reporting period. The new reporting portal (PRAIS4) contains improved geo spatial features which are aimed at not only to help the Parties with completion of reporting in a successful manner but also to support the Parties in making informed decisions based on the land degradation trends in the country. Revised and updated user manual guidance was and the effectiveness and impact of the project will be more obvious by the next reporting timeline.	S
Outcome 2.1 County Parties able to apply UNCCD guidelines and equipped with technical understanding of the reporting requirements for UNCCD and SDG 15.3	% of Parties that submit national reports assess, improve and/or validate data on biophysical progress indicators,	Parties have treated data on biophysical progress indicators for the 2017-18 UNCCD reporting	NA	75% of Parties submitted national reports assess, improve	90%	Parties were able to submit national reporting with the help of the project. The default data provided by the project against biophysical indicators was used to ascertain the land degradation trends. In some cases, the default data was further improved and validated by the Parties	s

				indicators.		utilizing natonional sources.	
Outcome 3.1: UNCCD country Parties monitor land degradation and mainstream gender in Land Degradation Neutrality Parties develop integrated land monitoring of land degradatio opportunities for financing.	d use planning based on improved n indicators and explore	Parties have limited capacity of land degradation monitoring and subsequent land use planning.	NA	50 % of develop integrated land use planning based on improved monitoring of land degradation indicators and explore opportunities for financing.	0%	The work on this outcome will be carried out in synergy with GEF project, tilted, Integrating Land Degradation Neurtality (LDN) in Land Use Planning frameworks to enable national UNCCD enabling environments. The project is approved and will be inception phase soon and the activities under this outcome will be defined in collaboration with the project	HU
Outcome 3.2: Parties are able to incorporate Parties capable of making infor reliable financial resources data into the activities mobilization for UNCCD related to address DLDD planning.	d interventions.	No guideline for systematic assessment of financial flows using global datasets		The results of the global assessment are disseminated by 31/12/2023	60%	Parties reported against various indicators under the Strategic Objective-5 on the new template. Quantitaive information was provided by the Parties against the indicators on Official Development Assistance and domestic sources. This information wasn't received in previous reporting cycles. Analysis was carried out which will be presented at CRIC21. This will contribute to helping the Parties in making informed decsions about UNCCD related interventions.	s

3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

For joint projects and where applicable ratings should also be discussed with the Task Manager of co-implementing agency.

Output	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of 30 June 2022 (%) (Towards overall project targets)	Implementation status as of 30 June 2023 (%) (Towards overall project targets)	EA: Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	TM: Progress rating
		copy from previous			
Output 1.1.1 An Improved UNCCD reporting system to collect, assess and utilize data on Land Degradation, Descrification and Drought (DLDD) and LDN indicators	30/11/2021	50%		Work on improved reporting portal started with the funds from GSP-II but since after its approval, GSP-III contributed towards developments of updated reporting portal (PRAIS4).	S
Output 1.1.2 Standardized quality assurance guidelines for UNCCD reporting produced and disseminated to key stakeholders and country Parties in five regional UNCCD annexes	30/12/2022	100%		The quality assurance guideline was prepared and the received information from the Parties was scrutinized using the criteria provided in the guidelines.	s
Output 1.1.3 Preliminary analysis of UNCCD reporting submitted by Parties and dissemination of results	30/03/2022	0%	100%	The prelimnery analysis of the UNCCD reporting submitted by the countries is completed and results will be disseminated at CRIC21 to be held in November 2023.	S

Output 1.1.4 Revised and updated user manual guideline, e-learning modules and online training material developed to support country Parrties in the UNCCD reporting process.	30/07/2021	95%	100%	User manual guideline and glossary were provided to the Parties in UN languages. E- learning modules and pre-recorded videos were also provided to the Parties which displayed step-by-step guide to the Parties for completion of their reports.	S
Output 2.1.1 Stakeholders' knowledge and capacity on data collection, management, analysis; gender mainstreaming in SLM and monitoring of land degradation enhanced through five Regional level capacity building training workshops on data collection, management, analysis; gender mainstreaming in SLM and monitoring of land degradation	30/09/2022	70%	100%	The capacity building workshops are replaced by virtual learning material including tutorials and pre-recorded videos, user manual, glossary etcdue to COVID19. In addition, subject experts/consultants were hired to provide one-on-one support and a helpdesk is also functional.	S
Output 3.1.1 Guidelines and tools for National Focal Points on land degradation monitoring to inform Land Use Planning and interventions to combat land degradation, desertification and drought and gender mainstreaming in SLM developed and disseminated.	06/06/2023	0%	0%	Work on this output will be initiated in collabration with the the GEF project, Integrating Land Degradation Neurtality (LDN) in Land Use Planning frameworks to enable national UNCCD enabling environments.	S
Output 3.2.1 Financial monitoring framework for tracking resources for UNCCD-related interventions developed.	30/06/2023	100%	100%	The financial reporting framework was improved. SO-5 reporting template and indicators were revised providing an opportunity to the Parties for creating meaningful trends of financial flows for UNCCD implementation. This will enable Parties to make informed decisions based on quantitative and reliable information.	S

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).



4 Risk Rating Risk Factor

4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

1 Management structure - Roles and responsibilities 😽 2 Governance structure - Oversight 3 Implementation schedule

4 Budget 5 Financial Management

6 Reporting 7 Capacity to deliver

EA's Rating

Low: Well developed, stable Management Structure and Roles/responsibilities are clearly defined/understood. Low likelihood Low: Steering Committee and/or other project bodies meet at least once a yearand Active membership and participation in decision-Low: Project progressing according to original work planand Adaptive management is practiced and regular monitoring. Low likelihood of Low: Activities are progressing within planned budgetand Balanced budget utilisation including PMC. Low likelihood of potential negative Low: Funds are correctly managed and transparently accounted forand Audit reports provided regularly and confirm correct use of Low: Substantive reports are presented in a timely manner and Reports are complete and accurate with a good analysis of project Low: Sound technical and managerial capacity of institutions and other project partners and Capacity gaps were addressed before

TM's Rating

Low: Well developed, stable Management Structure and Roles/responsibilities are clearly defined/understood. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery. Low: Steering Committee and/or other project bodies meet at least once a yearand Active membership and participation in decision-making processes. SC provides direction/inputs. Low Low: Project progressing according to original work planand Adaptive management is practiced and regular monitoring. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery. Low: Activities are progressing within planned budgetand Balanced budget utilisation including PMC. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery. Low: Funds are correctly managed and transparently accounted for and Audit reports provided regularly and confirm correct use of funds. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the Low: Substantive reports are presented in a timely manner and Reports are complete and

accurate with a good analysis of project progress and implementation issues. Low likelihood of Low: Sound technical and managerial capacity of institutions and other project partners and Capacity gaps were addressed before implementation or during early stages. Low likelihood of

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

4.2 Table B. Risk-log

Implementation Status (Current PIR)

2nd PIR

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

institute the tists dentified entire at the enduration of	Risk affecting:	-			k Rating	•	<u>. </u>	-		ation respect to last rating
Risk	Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	PIR 6	Δ	Justification
Reporting timeframe: Potential lack of capacity in the participating countries for reporting on time due to tight deadlines Political and institutional risks: Limited uptake of reporting and adoption of the progress indicators due to lack or insufficient capacity at national level and lack of necessary political will to report/ Reporting to UNCCD may be considered as a lower priority for developing countries	Outcomes 1-3 All outcomes and outputs	M	M	M						There is no variation. Timely implementation of project activities and a keen a close follow-up with partners and country Parties has been practiced minimizing this risk. The project has also contributed to the preparatory activities for 2022 reporting cycle Global Support Programme's support has been recognized by the country Parties for reporting against the objectives of Strategic Framework and they appreciate the efforts and the improved capability at national level for monitoring land degradation trends.
Coordination between national level activities and GSP regional support Consolidated project risk		L Not Applicable	L	L						No variation. Stakeholder engagement during project implementation has remained as planned and new partners were identified and engaged with project.

					This section	on focuses on the variation. The overall
Consolidated project risk					rating is di	iscussed in section 2.3.
		 	 	_		

4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

List here only risks from Table A and B above that have a risk rating of **M or higher** in the **current** PIR

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIR-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period			Additional mitigation measures for the n	-
	(1.1.1.1,1111) (10.)			What	When	By whom
Political and institutional risks: Limited uptake of reporting and adoption of the progress indicators due to lack or insufficient capacity at national level and lack of necessary political will to report/ Reporting to UNCCD may be considered as a lower priority for developing countries	national focal points and national officers was made sure	Online sessions were organized to keep the national stakeholders involved.	b L	The significant role of UNCCD reporting will be highlighted for the UNCCD Focal Points through dissemination of reporting results.	CRIC 21, November 2023	Project Management

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks.

Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks

Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.



Droiget	Minor	Amono	lmonte

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate.

5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor amendments	Changes
Results framework	
Components and cost	
Institutional and implementation arrangements	
Financial management	
Implementation schedule	Explain in table E
Executing Entity	
Executing Entity Category	
Minor project objective change	
Safeguards	
Risk analysis	
Increase of GEF project financing up to 5%	
Co-financing	
Location of project activity	
Other	

м	inor amendments

5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP
Original Legal Instrument		
Amendment 1	Revision	
Extension 1	Extension	

Entry Into Force (last signiture Date)	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes introduced in this revision

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap (https://www.openstreetmap.org//maps=4/21.448/22.79) or GeoMamsei, http://www.geonames.org/) use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here[https://gefportal.worldbank.org/App/assets/general/Geocoding%20User%20Guide.docx)

Location Name Required field	Latitude Required field	Longitude Required field	Geo Name ID Required field if the location is not an exact site	Location Description Optional text field	Activity Description Optional text field
Kenya	-1.28333	36.81667	184744		

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. *						

[Annex any linked geospatial file]