

# GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)

Document Generated by: GEF Coordination Office CO  
At: 2024-08-29 12:32:03

---

## Table of contents

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION .....	3
1.1 Project Details .....	3
1.2 Project Description .....	4
1.3 Project Contacts.....	4
2 Overview of Project Status.....	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN.....	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators .....	6
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks .....	6
2.4 Co Finance .....	7
2.5. Stakeholder .....	7
2.6. Gender .....	9
2.7. ESSM .....	9
2.8. KM/Learning.....	9
2.9. Stories .....	10
3 Performance.....	11
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes .....	11
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress) .....	13
4 Risks.....	16
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk .....	16
4.2 Table B. Risk-log.....	16
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks.....	17
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial.....	19
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM).....	19
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM) .....	19

**UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024**  
**Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024**

## 1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

### 1.1 Project Details

<b>GEF ID:</b> 10806	<b>Umoja WBS:</b> SB-017675
<b>SMA IPMR ID:</b> 84230	<b>Grant ID:</b> S1-32GFL-000691
<b>Project Short Title:</b> GSP III	
<b>Project Title:</b> GSP III: Strengthening capacities of Country Parties for UNCCD monitoring and reporting	
<b>Duration months planned:</b>	32
<b>Duration months age:</b>	38
<b>Project Type:</b>	Medium Sized Project (MSP)
<b>Parent Programme if child project:</b>	
<b>Project Scope:</b>	Global
<b>Region:</b>	
<b>Countries:</b>	
<b>GEF Focal Area(s):</b>	Land Degradation
<b>GEF financing amount:</b>	\$ 2,000,000.00
<b>Co-financing amount:</b>	\$ 545,000.00
<b>Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:</b>	2021-07-19
<b>UNEP Project Approval Date:</b>	2021-07-08
<b>Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force):</b>	2021-07-01
<b>Date of Inception Workshop, if available:</b>	2022-01-19
<b>Date of First Disbursement:</b>	2021-10-22
<b>Total disbursement as of 30 June 2024:</b>	\$ 1,550,000.00
<b>Total expenditure as of 30 June:</b>	\$ 1,550,000.00
<b>Midterm undertaken?:</b>	No
<b>Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:</b>	

<b>Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:</b>	2024-09-30
<b>Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:</b>	2021-08-15
<b>Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:</b>	2025-12-31
<b>Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:</b>	2025-10-31
<b>Expected Financial Closure Date:</b>	2025-12-31

## 1.2 Project Description

The project objective is to enhance technical and institutional capacity for land degradation monitoring and reporting in the context of the UNCCD Strategic Framework 2018-2030 and SDG15.3. Consistent with the COP 14 decision, the proposed GSP III is conceived to provide tailored technical capacity and tools for Parties on land use planning including on national-level coordination and cooperation based on, inter alia, integrated land use planning, to guide the implementation of transformative projects and programmes. This also includes strengthening capacities of technical institutions and human resources by providing guidance on estimating and monitoring soil organic carbon for land-use planning, land degradation neutrality monitoring and other applications (Decision 18/COP.14).

The GSP III will provide technical assistance within the framework of GEF enabling activities focusing on three major components based on the lessons learned and issues identified during previous reporting cycle. The project will build on GSP I and GSP II experience in support UNCCD reporting.

Component 1: Facilitating UNCCD reporting against agreed indicators through updated and improved tools. Component 1 would focus on establishing or updating reporting tools to support Parties' efforts on reporting to the Convention. This component will be further guided by COP 14 decision for improving the reporting platform, prefilled data (default data) and reporting tools. Through component 1, pre-filled default data in country-specific reporting template will be made accessible to the Parties in all UN languages.

Component 2: Enhancing capacities of country Parties for reporting against UNCCD indicators base and improved versions of technical facilities

This component will respond to country needs highlighted during CRIC 17 to provide clear guidelines and technical support for future reporting. Targeted and timely workshops will be organized at the regional level involving Country Parties relevant for reporting.

Component 3: Enabling Parties to monitor land degradation and develop integrated approaches to address DLDD and gender mainstreaming. This component seeks to take a long term perspective that is beyond a single reporting cycle and will be built on two analyses: i) the study carried out on the tracking of financial flow with the support of GSP II and ii) the report on coordination mechanisms for monitoring UNCCD biophysical indicators and land degradation trends at the national level.

## 1.3 Project Contacts

<b>Division(s) Implementing the project</b>	Ecosystems Division
<b>Name of co-implementing Agency</b>	
<b>Executing Agency (ies)</b>	The Global Mechanism of UNCCD

---

<b>names of Other Project Partners</b>	
<b>UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)</b>	Johan Robinson
<b>UNEP Task Manager(s)</b>	Adamou Bouhari
<b>UNEP Budget/Finance Officer</b>	Paul Vrontamitis
<b>UNEP Support Assistants</b>	Eric Mugo
<b>Manager/Representative</b>	Ms. Louise Baker
<b>Project Manager</b>	Ms. Munazza Naqvi
<b>Finance Manager</b>	Ms. Soi Ha Lei
<b>Communications Lead, if relevant</b>	

## 2 Overview of Project Status

### 2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

<b>UNEP Current Subprogramme(s):</b>	Thematic: Nature action subprogramme, Foundational: Environmental governance
<b>UNEP previous Subprogramme(s):</b>	
<b>PoW Indicator(s):</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nature: (i) Number of national or subnational entities that, with UNEP support, adopt integrated approaches to address environmental and social issues and/or tools for valuing, monitoring and sustainably managing biodiversity.</li> <li>• Governance: (iv) Number of entities at the national, regional or global levels that UNEP has supported in developing integrated approaches and tools for enhanced coordination, cooperation and synergies for the coherent implementation of multilateral environmental agreements</li> <li>• Partnerships: (iii) Number of organizations engaged through UNEP-supported partnerships to enhance the integration of environmental sustainability into their strategies, policies, programs and operations</li> </ul>
<b>UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages</b>	NA
<b>Link to relevant SDG Goals</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss</li> </ul>
<b>Link to relevant SDG Targets:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 15.3 By 2030, combat desertification, restore degraded land and soil, including land affected by desertification, drought and floods, and strive to achieve a land degradation-neutral world</li> </ul>

### 2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

Indicators	Targets - Expected Value			Materialized to date
	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	

Implementation Status 2023: 3rd PIR

### 2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	3rd PIR	S	MS	L

FY 2023	2nd PIR	S	S	L
FY 2022	1st PIR	S	S	L
FY 2021				
FY 2020				
FY 2019				
FY 2018				
FY 2017				
FY 2016				
FY 2015				

### Summary of status

The project was conceived to support the reporting and monitoring capacities of the Parties for the reporting cycle 2022-2023. The new updated version of the reporting portal (PRAIS 4) was launched successfully in November 2022 with the support of the project. This is a geo-spatial platform with several new features introduced against various strategic objectives, especially SO1. The new platform was well received by the Parties and its new features were appreciated. However, due to the outbreak of COVID-19, in-person training workshops couldn't be held. However, UNCCD and GM made sure to carry out extra ordinary efforts to compensate for this. Pre-recorded videos against all SOs were uploaded on-line to provide step-by-step guidance to the Parties. The user manual and glossary were also provided in UN languages. A team of consultants were available to provide one-on-one backstopping to the Parties who were facing issues. A helpdesk was also established that responded to the received queries within 48 hours. So overall the project's performance remained satisfactory and it was successful and providing help and support to the Parties in fulfilling their reporting obligations.

### 2.4 Co Finance

<b>Planned Co-finance:</b>	\$ 545,000
<b>Actual to date:</b>	443,646
<b>Progress</b>	<p><b>Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:</b></p> <p>The co-financing is mobilized through the in-kind contribution from the staff of the UNCCD Secretariat and the Global Mechanism. This in-kind contribution is being mobilized while the project activities are being implemented.</p>

### 2.5. Stakeholder

<b>Date of project steering committee meeting</b>	2024-02-07
<b>Stakeholder engagement (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)</b>	<p>The UNCCD Secretariat have collaborated with technical partners for completion of envisaged activities under the reporting period. The company EduWeb developed the new geo-spatial platform in collaboration with Conservation International and other technical partners. The new reporting platform provides country Parties with a user-friendly medium not only for reporting against indicators but also to monitor land degradation trends with visual features.</p> <p>UNCCD Secretariat collaborates with Conservation International and WOCAT for the purpose of data collection. The coordination with OECD also continued for acquisition of financial data for provision of financial default data to the country Parties. Regarding the capacity building workshops, coordination with regional organizations like AFoCO was done to obtain technical and logistical support.</p>



## 2.6. Gender

<b>Does the project have a gender action plan?</b>	No
<b>Gender mainstreaming (will be uploaded to GEF Portal):</b>	CRIC21 was held in Samarkand in November 2023 and a large part of the reporting period was used in follow-up with countries for reporting, trouble shooting, collection and analysis of the data and development of reports. The results of the reporting was presented at the CRIC21 where a large number of country representatives were present including CSOs and NGOs. The public and non-governmental organizations had representation both from men and women and women representatives were present in a large number. The data collected and the analysis was discussed in great details and everyone present provided their views and opinions openly.

## 2.7. ESSM

<b>Moderate/High risk projects (in terms of Environmental and social safeguards)</b>	<b>Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?</b> No <b>If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?</b>
<b>New social and/or environmental risks</b>	<b>Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?</b> No <b>If yes, describe the new risks or changes?</b>
<b>Complaints and grievances related to social and/or environmental impacts</b>	<b>Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?</b> No <b>If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions were taken?</b> NA
<b>Environmental and social safeguards management</b>	According to the Environment, Social and Economic screening determinations at the time of project conception, the project activities maintain very low risk in terms of environmental, social and economic impacts but on the contrary, the project activities have helped the Parties in monitoring the land degradation trends and encouraged them to adopt sustainable land management practices.

## 2.8. KM/Learning

<b>Knowledge activities and products</b>	The official documentation for the CRIC21 held November 2023 was prepared with the support from the project. <a href="https://www.unccd.int/cric21/official-documents">https://www.unccd.int/cric21/official-documents</a>
<b>Main learning during the period</b>	GSP-III project was launched to support the 2022-2023 reporting period. The project was able to provide support to the Parties in collection of data, compilation and presentation in the online platform. Although the in-person capacity building workshops couldn't be held but a team of technical experts provided support to the countries and provided immediate response to their queries. The analysis of reports was conducted with the project's support and it will be presented and further discussed at the CRIC21 in November 2023. The support from the project is playing an important role in enabling the Parties for monitoring their land resources.

## 2.9. Stories

<b>Stories to be shared</b>	NA
-----------------------------	----

### 3 Performance

#### 3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period (numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
To enhance technical and institutional capacity for land degradation monitoring and reporting in the context of the UNCCD Strategic Framework 2018-2030 and SDG15.3	The 2021-22 UNCCD reporting process provides country Parties with the capacity and motivation to continue UNCCD reporting and monitoring.	Limited experience or knowledge of reporting against the revised UNCCD progress indicators	NA	Majority of Parties that are contacted for the evaluation consider positively their skills and motivation for future UNCCD reporting.	90%	Despite the challenges faced during the reporting period, the feedback received from the Parties about the support received through GSP was positive. The pre-recorded videos and other online learning material provided in advance helped in creating awareness and understanding of the reporting process amongst the Parties and helped in keeping them motivated. The team of experts was available to troubleshoot any problem that they faced so a positive momentum was maintained during the reporting period.	S
Outcome 1.1: UNCCD country Parties use the reporting platform and regularly produce national reports that meet UNCCD reporting standards.	Number of Parties that use the UNCCD reporting platform for preparing the report	Parties have not yet used the new and updated reporting platform	NA	90% of reports that are submitted by using the new reporting platform and it is used regularly by the Parties to monitor land degradation trends.	100%	The reporting portal was launched in November 2021 for the current ongoing reporting period. The new reporting portal (PRAIS4) contains improved geo spatial features which are aimed at not only to help the Parties with completion of reporting in a successful manner but also to support the Parties in making informed decisions based on the land degradation trends in the country. Revised and updated user manual guidance was and the effectiveness and impact of the project will be more obvious by the next reporting timeline.	HS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
Outcome 2.1 County Parties able to apply UNCCD guidelines and equipped with technical understanding of the reporting requirements for UNCCD and SDG 15.3	% of Parties that submit national reports assess, improve and/or validate data on biophysical progress indicators,	Parties have treated data on biophysical progress indicators for the 2017-18 UNCCD reporting	NA	75% of Parties submitted national reports assess, improve and/or validate data on biophysical progress indicators.	90	Parties were able to submit national reporting with the help of the project. The default data provided by the project against biophysical indicators was used to ascertain the land degradation trends. In some cases, the default data was further improved and validated by the Parties utilizing national sources.	S
Outcome 3.1: UNCCD country Parties monitor land degradation and mainstream gender in Land Degradation Neutrality	Parties develop integrated land use planning based on improved monitoring of land degradation indicators and explore opportunities for financing.	Parties have limited capacity of land degradation monitoring and subsequent land use planning.	NA	50 % of develop integrated land use planning based on improved monitoring of land degradation indicators and explore opportunities for financing.	50%	The online platform for reporting is further developed in coordination with technical partner agencies where the module for integrated land use planning will be introduced so that Parties can use this platform for managing their lands. The GEF ILUP project is also approved and will be launched soon. The experts hired through this project will further assist countries in enhancing their capacities for integrated land use planning.	S
Outcome 3.2: Parties are able to incorporate reliable financial resources data into the activities to address DLDD planning.	Parties capable of making informed decisions about resource mobilization for UNCCD related interventions.	No guideline for systematic assessment	NA	The results of the global assessment are disseminated	70%	Parties reported against various indicators under the Strategic Objective-5 on the new template. Quantitative information was provided by the Parties against the indicators on	S

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
		of financial flows using global datasets		by 31/12/2023		Official Development Assistance and domestic sources. This information wasn't received in previous reporting cycles. Analysis was carried out which will be presented at CRIC21. The methodology of the needs assessment was also presented at this forum. Further results on the needs assessment will be provided to Parties at COP16.	

### 3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
1 Component 1: Facilitating UNCCD reporting against agreed indicators through updated and improved tools.	Output 1.1.1 An Improved UNCCD reporting system to collect, assess and utilize data on Land Degradation, Desertification and Drought (DLDD) and LDN indicators	2021-11-30	100%	100%	Work on improved reporting portal started with the funds from GSP-II but since after its approval, GSP-III contributed towards developments of updated reporting portal (PRAIS4).	HS
	Output 1.1.2 Standardized quality assurance guidelines for UNCCD reporting produced and disseminated to key stakeholders and country Parties in five regional UNCCD annexes..	30/12/2022	100	100	The quality assurance guideline was prepared and the received information from the Parties was scrutinized using the criteria provided in the guidelines.	HS
	Output 1.1.3 Preliminary analysis of UNCCD reporting submitted by Parties and dissemination of results	30/03/2022	100%	100%	The preliminary analysis of the UNCCD reporting submitted by the countries is completed and results was disseminated at CRIC21 held in November 2023.	HS
	Output 1.1.4 Revised and updated user manual guideline, e-learning modules and online training material developed to support country Parties in the UNCCD reporting process.	30/07/2021	100%	100%	User manual guideline and glossary were provided to the Parties in UN languages. E-learning modules and pre-recorded	HS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
					videos were also provided to the Parties which displayed step-by-step guide to the Parties for completion of their reports.	
2 Component 2: Enhancing capacities of Country Parties for reporting against UNCCD indicators base and improved versions of technical facilities.	Output 2.1.1 Stakeholders' knowledge and capacity on data collection, management, analysis; gender mainstreaming in SLM and monitoring of land degradation enhanced through five Regional level capacity building training workshops on data collection, management, analysis; gender mainstreaming in SLM and monitoring of land degradation	30/09/2022	100%	100%	The capacity building workshops were replaced by virtual learning material including tutorials and pre-recorded videos, user manual, glossary etc due to COVID19. In addition, subject experts/consultants were hired to provide one-on-one support and a helpdesk is also functional.	S
3 Enabling Parties to monitor and develop integrated approaches to address Desertification, Land Degradation, and Drought (DLDD) and gender mainstreaming	Output 3.1.1 Guidelines and tools for National Focal Points on land degradation monitoring to inform Land Use Planning and interventions to combat land degradation, desertification and drought and gender mainstreaming in SLM developed and disseminated.	06/06/2023	0%	50%	UNCCD Secretariat is working on introducing an integrated Land Use Planning feature in the online platform that will help the country Parties in developing land use plans based on the data available. The GEF ILUP project is also approved and will be launched soon. The experts hired through this project will help enhance the capacities of the country governments in land use planning.	S
	Output 3.2.1 Financial monitoring framework for tracking resources for UNCCD-related interventions developed.	30/06/2023	100%	100%	The financial reporting framework was improved. SO-5 reporting template and indicators were revised providing an opportunity to the Parties for creating meaningful trends of financial flows for	HS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
					UNCCD implementation. Reporting results for SO5 were presented at CRIC21 in November 2023. Due to improved reporting framework, first time, reporting on domestic financial flows for UNCCD implementation was presented based on the data collected from countries.	

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

## 4 Risks

### 4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and responsibilities	Low	Low
2 Governance structure - Oversight	Low	Low
3 Implementation schedule	Low	Low
4 Budget	Moderate	Moderate
5 Financial Management	Low	Low
6 Reporting	Low	Low
7 Capacity to deliver	Low	Low

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

### 4.2 Table B. Risk-log

#### Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
Reporting timeframe: Potential lack of capacity in the participating countries for reporting on time due to tight deadlines	All outcomes	L	L	L	L					There is no variation. Timely implementation of project activities and a keen a close follow-up with partners and country Parties has been practiced minimizing this risk. The project has also contributed to the preparatory activities for 2022



Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
										reporting cycle
Political and institutional risks: Limited uptake of reporting and adoption of the progress indicators due to lack or insufficient capacity at national level and lack of necessary political will to report/ Reporting to UNCCD may be considered as a lower priority for developing countries	All outcomes	M	M	M	M					Global Support Programme's support has been recognized by the country Parties for reporting against the objectives of Strategic Framework and they appreciate the efforts and the improved capability at national level for monitoring land degradation trends.
Coordination between national level activities and GSP regional support	All outcomes	L	L	L	L					No variation. Stakeholder engagement during project implementation has remained as planned and new partners were identified and engaged with project.
		L	L	L	L	L	L	L	=	

### 4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
Political and institutional risks: Limited uptake of reporting and adoption of the progress indicators due to lack or insufficient capacity at national	Review of National Report during CRIC 21	Consideration of the CRIC Report to design GSP IV	Training at regional level and Online Reporting Platform	During the 2026 Reporting Cycle	UNCC GM/Secertariat and partners including UNEP/GEF

---

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

## 5 Amendment - GeoSpatial

### Project Minor Amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

#### 5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes
Results Framework:	No
Components and Cost:	No
Institutional and implementation arrangements:	No
Financial Management:	No
Implementation Schedule:	
Executing Entity:	No
Executing Entity Category:	No
Minor project objective change:	No
Safeguards:	No
Risk analysis:	No
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	No
Location of project activity:	No
Other:	No

Minor amendments

#### 5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Type	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last signature Date)	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes introduced in this revision
Original Legal Instrument		2021-07-19	2021-07-19	2024-06-30	None
Amendment 1	Amendment & Extension	2024-03-28	2024-03-28	2025-06-30	Only project time-frame

Version	Type	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last signature Date)	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes introduced in this revision
					was changed.
	Amendment & Extension				

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: <https://coordinates-converter.com> Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
NA					

**Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. \***

This is a global capacity building project. Therefore there is no physical site on the ground operations.

[\[Annex any linked geospatial file\]](#)

**Additional Supporting Documents:**

Filename	File Uploaded By	File Uploaded At	
CRIC21 Report.pdf	BDLD TM	2024-08-03 18:33:23	<a href="#">Download</a>