

## **SECTION IV: PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS** **IMPLEMENTATION STATUS AND RATING**

This section of the PIR describes the progress made towards complying with the approved Environmental and Social Safeguard plans, as well as recommendations to improve the implementation of the safeguard plans, when needed. This section is divided in three parts:

- a. Progress towards Complying with the CI-GEF Project Agency's Environmental & Social Safeguards
- b. Overall Project Safeguard Implementation Rating
- c. Recommendations

### **a. Progress towards Complying with the CI-GEF Project Agency's Environmental & Social Safeguards**

MINIMUM SAFEGUARD INDICATORS	PROJECT TARGET	END OF YEAR STATUS	PROGRESS RATING <sup>11</sup>	COMMENTS/JUSTIFICATION
<b>ACCOUNTABILITY AND GRIEVANCE MECHANISM</b>				
1. Number of conflict and complaint cases reported to the project's Accountability and Grievance Mechanism	0	0 conflicts reported	IS	The grievance mechanism is still being finalized. No complains or conflicts have been reported to the project.
2. Percentage of conflict and complaint cases reported to the project's Accountability and Grievance Mechanism that have been resolved	100%	0 %	IS	Same as above
<b>GENDER MAINSTREAMING</b>				
1. Number of men and women that participated in project activities (e.g. meetings, workshops, consultations)	30% women	42% women 58 % men	IS	There have been 10 workshops with a total of 227 attendees
2. Number of men and women that received benefits (e.g. employment, income generating activities, training, access to natural resources, land tenure or resource rights, equipment, leadership roles) from the project	300 people (44 % women; 56% men)	0	NS	Certifications for adhesion to the environmental services mechanism have not yet been initiated

<p>3. Number of strategies, plans (e.g. management plans and land use plans) and policies derived from the project that include gender considerations (this indicator applies to relevant projects)</p>	<p>N/A</p>			
<b>STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT</b>				
<p>1. Number of government agencies, civil society organizations, private sector, indigenous peoples and other stakeholder groups that have been involved in the project implementation phase on an annual basis</p>	<p>At least 8 agencies involved (SEAM, INFONA, INDI, MAG, Min. Mujer, Gob. Boqueron y Alto Py. y Ministerio de Hacienda)</p>	<p>7 government agencies</p>	<p>IS</p>	<p>SEAM, INFONA, INDI, MAG, Min. Mujer, Gob. Boqueron y Alto Py.</p>
<p>2. Number persons (sex disaggregated) that have been involved in project implementation phase (on an annual basis)</p>	<p>At least 20 people</p>	<p>12 women (60 %) 8 men (40 %)</p>	<p>IS</p>	<p>Currently within the framework of the Project have worked 19 people consisting of the project management unit, product consultants and focal points</p>
<p>3. Number of engagement (e.g. meeting, workshops, consultations) with stakeholders during the project implementation phase (on an annual basis)</p>	<p>At least 15 meeting with stakeholders</p>	<p>6 meetings with stakeholders</p>	<p>IS</p>	<p>The project has held meetings with INDI, Ministerio de la Mujer, Gobernacion de Boqueron, Municipailidad de Fladelfia and others stackeholders</p>
<p>4. Percentage of stakeholders who rate as satisfactory the level at which their views and concerns are taken into account by the project <i>(responsible party for measuring this indicator is CI-GEF Agency and this will be undertaken by the consultant hired by the CI-GEF Agency to conduct the</i></p>				

<i>MTR and Terminal Evaluation)</i>				
<b>INDIGENOUS PEOPLES</b>				
1. Percentage of indigenous/local communities where FPIC have been followed and documented	100% of the communities in the project area that meets the requirements	36%	IS	Through INDI, a total of 11 indigenous communities have been identified within the project area. FPIC has been carried out in 4 indigenous communities that are located in the Department of Boqueron, the others are in another Department. For all the communities that will participate in the project FPIC will be carried out.
2. The percentage of communities where project benefit sharing have been agreed upon through the appropriate community governance mechanisms and documented	100% of the communities that gave their full consent	0%	NS	They have not yet given full consent to initiate activities within communities

## b. Overall Project Safeguard Implementation Rating

### SUMMARY: PROJECT SAFEGUARD IMPLEMENTATION RATING BY TYPE OF PLAN

SAFEGUARDSTRIGGERED BY THE PROJECT	CURRENT FY2017 IMPLEMENTATION RATING	RATING TREND
Accountability and Grievance Mechanisms	MS	N/A. First Year of Implementation
Gender Mainstreaming Plan (GMP)	MS	N/A. First Year of Implementation
Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP)	MS	N/A. First Year of Implementation
Indigenous Peoples	MS	N/A. First Year of Implementation

### OVERALL PROJECT SAFEGUARD IMPLEMENTATION RATING

RATING	JUSTIFICATION	RATING TREND
MS	A moderately satisfactory rate has been given to the safeguards implementation for FY17. Safeguards plans took time to be completed. However, many activities related to safeguards compliance were carried out by the project in their activities. In particular, stakeholders engagement, gender considerations and FPIC process with indigenous people. Women's participation was 40% (above target of 30%). Engagement with stakeholders continued during this period. FPIC was followed and	N/A. First Year of Implementation

	<p>documented in the Indigenous communities where work was carried out during this period.</p> <p>No grievances were reported during this period. Although the project has developed a grievance mechanism, this needs to be shared with key stakeholders.</p> <p>Safeguards were not properly included in project workplan and budget. It is expected that safeguards activities are fully included in project planning and field implementation for FY18. The progress of FY17 is being systematized to be included as part of the safeguards plans.</p>	
--	--	--

**c. Recommendations**

CORRECTIVE ACTION(S)	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	DEADLINE
Please ensure safeguards plans are included in yearly workplan and budget	Guyra Paraguay	December 2017
	Guyra Paraguay	June 2018
The Grievance mechanism has been approved and needs to be disseminated to all stakeholders.		