



# **Project Implementation Report**

(1 July 2022 – 30 June 2023)

| Project Title:                              | Regional Demonstration Project for Coordinated Management of ODS and POPs Disposal in Ukraine, Belarus, Kazakhstan and Armenia  |
|---|---|
| GEF ID:                                     | 5300  |
| UNIDO ID:                                   | 150105  |
| GEF Replenishment Cycle:                    | GEF-5   |
| Country(ies):                               | Regional (Armenia, Belarus, Kazakhstan and Ukraine)   |
| Region:                                     | ECA - Europe and Central Asia   |
| GEF Focal Area:                             | Multifocal Area (MFA)   |
| Integrated Approach Pilot (IAP) Programs¹:  | N/A   |
| Stand-alone / Child Project:                | Stand-alone   |
| Implementing Department/Division:           | ENV / MPD   |
| Co-Implementing Agency:                     | N/A   |
| Executing Agency(ies):                      | The national executing partners are the Government focal points in each country, namely the Ministry of Environmental Protection of Ukraine, the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Protection of the Republic of Belarus, the Ministry of Environment Protection of the Republic of Kazakhstan and the Ministry of Natural Protection of the Republic of Armenia. |
| Project Type:                               | Full-Sized Project (FSP)  |
| Project Duration:                           | 60 months   |
| Extension(s):                               | 1 extension for 36 months (as recommended by the Midterm Review report and endorsed by the national executing partners)   |
| GEF Project Financing:                      | USD 18,000,000  |
| Agency Fee:                                 | USD 1,620,000   |
| Co-financing Amount:                        | USD 74,500,000  |
| Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:           | 5/1/2017  |
| UNIDO Approval Date:                        | 5/15/2017   |
| Actual Implementation Start:                | 6/22/2017   |
| Cumulative disbursement as of 30 June 2023: | USD 14,554,724.57   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only for **GEF-6 projects**, if applicable

| Mid-term Review (MTR) Date:                  | 5/31/2022    |
|--|--------------|
| Original Project Completion Date:            | 6/30/2022    |
| Project Completion Date as reported in FY22: | 6/22/2025    |
| Current SAP Completion Date:                 | 6/22/2025    |
| Expected Project Completion Date:            | 6/22/2025    |
| Expected Terminal Evaluation (TE) Date:      | 7/1/2025     |
| Expected Financial Closure Date:             | 11/30/2025   |
| UNIDO Project Manager <sup>2</sup> :         | Yury Sorokin |

## I. Brief description of project and status overview

## **Project Objective**

The Regional Demonstration Project for Coordinated Management of ODS and POPs Disposal in Ukraine, Belarus, Kazakhstan and Armenia will demonstrate environmentally sound collection and destruction of Ozone Depleting Substances (ODS) and Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) stocks. This demonstration project will assist the countries involved in meeting their obligations under the Montreal Protocol and the Stockholm Convention and establish local capacities for destruction of ODS and some POPs substances. Through the demonstration activities, the project aims to destroy a minimum of 11,700 tons of PCB-containing waste, 418 tons of extracted ODS. It will introduce regulatory reforms in Armenia, Belarus, Ukraine and Kazakhstan and strengthen national capacity in identifying, assessing, managing, and treating such wastes in an environmentally sustainable manner.

| GEF Focal Area       | Project Core Indicators  | Expected at CEO Endorsement   |
|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Phase out POPs and   | Quantifiable and verifiable tons of  | The Project aims to destroy a |
| reduce POPs releases | POPs eliminated or reduced   | minimum of 11,700 MT of PCBs  |
|                      | Countries have phased out Ozone<br>Depleting Substances and replace<br>them with zero ODP, low GWP |                               |
|                      | alternatives   |                               |

#### **Baseline**

There is a significant gap in the project countries with regards to the management of ODS banks and the collection, recovery and destruction of ODS from end of life equipment. The countries do not have a suitable system in place for the collection and storage of end-of-life refrigerant gases, or equipment containing ODS and deliberate venting of refrigerants is commonplace due to lack of awareness or limited regulatory enforcement. Additionally, in relation to the control of POPs; the NIPs of the target countries indicate that considerable action is required for the countries to meet the requirements of the Stockholm Convention.

Currently, refrigerators and other ODS containing equipment are sent to landfill and POPs are simply abandoned in inappropriate storage conditions or burned in poorly controlled incinerators creating pollution and human health risks. Without GEF intervention this situation is unlikely to change. GEF funding is needed for the provision of necessary equipment for ODS and POPs destruction, the development of national waste disposal plans and building long-term national capacity for the management of ODS and POPs.

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Person responsible for report content

| Overall Ratings <sup>3</sup>  | FY23                         | FY22                         |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Global Environmental<br>Objectives (GEOs) /<br>Development Objectives<br>(DOs) Rating | Moderately Satisfactory (MS) | Moderately Satisfactory (MS) |

In 2021, the Project was on target to achieve the planned objectives, with all project components completed in Armenia, all investment activities completed for Kazakhstan and the majority of investment activities (circa 80%) completed for Belarus. The investment activities were partially completed in Ukraine (50%) however, the remaining equipment cannot be procured for both political reasons (no formal extension of the project in Ukraine) and technical reasons (no shipment or insurance to conflict zones).

The political situation that escalated in the region posed significant challenges in implementation and resulted in a request from the Government of Ukraine to modify the project on political grounds (declining to participate in a project with the Government of Belarus).

As this is a regional project, the Government of Ukraine has been informed that it is not possible to change the geographical scope of the project or make any other major changes to the project without the endorsement of the Regional Project Steering Committee. There are significant concerns that the Global Environmental Objectives of the project cannot be fully achieved if the target countries cannot work together.

Notwithstanding, given the achievements of the project to date, from a technical perspective, the target countries have obtained the required technical support and infrastructure and are still in a position to meet their compliance commitments under the Montreal protocol and Stockholm Convention set by the project.

| Implementation<br>Progress ( <b>IP</b> ) Rating | Moderately Satisfactory (MS) | Moderately Satisfactory (MS) |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|

Implementation progress was in line with the activities set out in the Project Results Framework, outlined in the Project Document at CEO Endorsement, however given the conflict in the region, there is significant concern that the objectives of the project cannot be fully achieved. Though the national executing entities requested to extend the project, the recommended course of action is to terminate the project as a whole and return the remaining funds to the GEF.

| Overall Risk Rating | Moderate Risk (M) | Moderate Risk (M) |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|

The risk rating increased in FY22 and FY23 given the political situation that escalated in Belarus and Ukraine, which posed challenges in implementation and uncertainty regarding the outcomes of the project in these two countries.

# II. Targeted results and progress to-date

Please describe the progress made in achieving the outputs against key performance indicator's targets in the project's **M&E Plan/Log-Frame at the time of CEO Endorsement/Approval**. Please expand the table as needed.

| Project Strategy  | KPIs/Indicators  | Baseline  | Target level  | Progress in FY23   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Component 1 - National  | Component 1 – National regulatory framework for ODS and PCB/POPs management and disposal |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Outcome 1.1: Relevant redisposal in order to meet r                                     |  | ctions in each country  | developed to allow  | enforcement of POPs and ODS waste  |  |  |  |
| Output 1.1.1: Revised national policies, regulations and guidelines on PCB/POPs and ODS | New or revised national policies, regulations and  | Local legislation<br>does not<br>specifically mention<br>ODS, PCB and<br>POPs especially in | Existing legislation in the project target countries on ODS and POPs disposal | Implementation built on the legislative activities carried out in the previous years of the project. |  |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Please refer to the explanatory note at the end of the document and assure that the indicated ratings correspond to the narrative of the report

|   | I   | T.   | T   |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| waste disposal developed  | guidelines issued on: PCB/POPs contaminated sites management issues; and PCB/POPs monitoring and reporting, ODS-based refrigeration appliances collection | terms of their<br>destruction in the<br>project target<br>countries  | in comparision with EU directives analysed  | The project component in Armenia was completed and all legislative documents developed for PCB management were submitted and shared amongst the participating countries, including the "Rulebook on Management of PCBs, Equipment and Waste Containing PCBs", "Maintenance Guidelines for PCBs and Potential PCBs holders" and "Polychlorinated Biphenyls Inspection Checklist". In Belarus, the draft Technical Code on BAT for waste treatment and the draft Technical Code on BAT for waste incineration were approved by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Protection.  In Kazakhstan, the project beneficiary is working to ensure that a solid regulatory framework for ODS management, including ODS recovery, handling, testing and disposal is in place and that the proposed ODS/POPs co-disposal facility meets these requirements.  In Ukraine, The bill "On waste management", drafted with support from project experts, remains under consideration of the Parliament. No further guidance on the legislative activities has been received from the Ministry of Environmental Protection. |
| Output 1.1.2: A new regulatory mechanism on various aspects of ODS/PCB/POPs disposal in the project target countries developed            | A modern<br>regulatory<br>system<br>established for the<br>management,<br>control and<br>disposal of ODS<br>and PCB and<br>POPs waste                     | i) No refrigerators collection fee is introduced; ii) No producer responsibility program except Belarus is introduced iii) Waste disposal fee is not introduced iv) No interest of cement kilns in ODS/PCB/POP destruction | A relevant policy<br>enforcement<br>strategy<br>based on the EU<br>directives in each<br>country developed                                      | Proposals for the adoption of regulatory instruments in the project target countries on ODS and POPs disposal were included in the above-mentioned legislative proposals submitted to the responsible bodies.  At the regional level, no further inputs or guidance was received from the target countries on the proposed 'Guidance Document on the Coordinated Management of ODS and POPs, to develop a holistic framework for the management, treatment and disposal of ODS and POPs.   |
| Output 1.1.3: Adequate financial models to ensure long-term sustainability of the subregional centers developed                           | Economic and market based incentives for collection, transportation, storage and final destruction of ODS and PCB/POP waste developed                     | International<br>standards are not<br>applied in the<br>project target<br>countries  | Within 12 months of the start-up of project implementation financial plans on ODS and PCB/POP disposal in the project target countries prepared | The working agreements signed with the project recipients in the target countries include the development of comprehensive national waste systems, to ensure the long-term sustainability of the project activities.   |
| Output 1.1.4: Qualified sampling and analytical capability in the region for characterizing PCB and POPs wastes and assessment of PCB and | A set of measures<br>for<br>national<br>laboratories in<br>strengthening their<br>capacity  | Local legislation<br>does not<br>specifically mention<br>ODS, PCB and<br>POPs especially in<br>terms of their<br>destruction in the  | Availability of<br>PCBs<br>screening kits for<br>detection of PCB<br>levels in<br>transformer oil and   | The project recipients in the target countries were selected on the basis of pre-existing sampling and analytical capability or access to qualified services.  |

| POPs content<br>strengthened  | in PCB/POPs<br>analyses<br>developed   | project target<br>countries   | measuring devices<br>for<br>POPs assessment<br>in<br>the national<br>laboratories<br>analyzed<br>and if necessary   |   |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Component 2 – Waste m<br>waste collection, storage  |  |   |   | et countries including ODS and POPs<br>onal network   |
| Outcome 2.1: In country c specified recycling and de  |  | g, collecting, and tran   | sporting POPs and 0   | ODS waste (refrigeration appliances) to   |
| Output 2.1.1:ODS, POPs waste for disposal at the sub-regional disposal centers assessed   | reports on POPs<br>and PCBs waste<br>revised, ODS<br>banks analyzed to<br>estimate the scope       | the project target<br>countries. The<br>inventory on POPs   | Strengthening national waste management equipped with secure storage and basic infrastructure to allow introduction of new technologies   | Collection of data on national POPs and ODS banks completed in all project target countries.  |
| Output 2.1.2: National<br>ODS and POPs disposal<br>plans developed  | targeted figures of ODS and POPs quantities to be  | No ODS disposal<br>plans available.<br>The NIPs on POPs<br>phase-out based on<br>the establishment<br>of incineration<br>facilities funded by<br>IOs only | Long-term site<br>management plans<br>for remediation of<br>the<br>dumpsites and for<br>refrigerator<br>recycling and ODS<br>destruction in the<br>project target<br>countries prepared | Data collection for national ODS and POPs disposal plans completed for Belarus, pending for Ukraine and Kazakhstan. The national project teams are coordinating with other development agencies to obtain data on the current and potential types and volumes of POPs and ODS, and the current and potential types and volumes of hazardous waste that can be destroyed jointly with POPs or ODS. |
| Output 2.1.3: Three national ODS and POPs collection, transportation and disposal centers including infrastructure, control and reporting systems established | collection,  | autonomous<br>destruction centers<br>are available in the<br>CEIT countries   | Implementation of centers design, operational procedures and a conformance with national legislation  | Selection of project beneficiaries completed in all project target countries. Working agreement signed with project beneficiaries in Belarus, Kazakhstan and Ukraine, to ensure the timely implementation of the project activities.  |
| Outcome 2.2: Potential for countries within the region  |  | ement of refrigerator   | recycling and ODS a   | and POPs waste disposal among the   |
| Output 2.2.1: A regional<br>ODS and POPs pesticide<br>waste stocks database<br>designed   | Guidance<br>documentation<br>on the regional<br>database on ODS<br>and POPs<br>pesticide<br>stocks | No activities in the<br>project target<br>countries on<br>regional disposal of<br>ODS or PCB/POPs<br>waste pesticides                                     | The availability of<br>the<br>regional database<br>would allow the<br>regional network to<br>plan the project<br>waste  | Project website, including a knowledge management platform and waste database, was developed. In addition to serving as a knowledge resource for counterparts to share documents and exchange lessons learned, the website will serve as a basis for the waste stocks database.   |
| Output 2.2.2: A framework for regional cooperation for ODS appliances recycling, ODS and POPs disposal among the project target countries developed           | A new set of regulatory instruments for regional cooperation adopted                               | No regional<br>cooperation on<br>POPs disposal<br>among the project<br>target countries and<br>in the region in the<br>past                               | Agreements among stakeholders on the content of the regional framework cooperation made   | A written framework for regional cooperation on ODS and POPs disposal among the project target countries is under preparation, however, it is unclear if this will be endorsed by all target countries, considering the current conflict.   |
| Component 3 – ODS ext established national fac  |  | geration appliances   | recycling, and sub  | sequent destruction of ODS at the   |
| Outcome 3.1: In country c consequent destruction  | apacity in establishin   | ng refrigeration applia   | nces recycling faciliti   | les for ODS extraction and their  |

| Output 3.1.1: Advanced technology options for refrigeration appliances recycling and ODS destruction  | Feasible local refrigerators and airconditioners recycling and ODS destruction options   |   | A refrigerators and airconditioners recycling facility selected including carcasses shredding and automatic ODS extraction and filtration | Technologies assessed and procurement of equipment completed.   |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Output 3.1.2: Construction, installation and commission of a refrigeration appliances recycling facilities for ODS extraction in the project target countries performed | Refrigeration appliances recycling facility in the project target countries established. Site preparation arrangements for hosting the required technology completed                   | No ODS extraction<br>from refrigerator<br>appliances are<br>made; no<br>refrigerators and<br>air-conditioners are<br>recycled in the<br>project target<br>countries                             | National capacities in refrigeration appliances recycling   | Construction of the refrigeration appliances recycling facility completed in Ukraine. Installation and commissioning pending in Kazakhstan.   |
| Output 3.1.3:An<br>estimated 400,000 units<br>of EOL refrigerators and<br>air conditioners collected<br>and recycled  | 800,000 pieces of<br>refrigerators<br>recycled, an<br>estimate of 248<br>tons of ODS<br>extracted and<br>disposed of   | No refrigerators<br>and air-conditioners<br>are recycled in the<br>project target<br>countries  | A national capacity in place in the project target relative to EOL refrigerator and air conditioners recycling                            | This will take place once the installation of the equipment is finalized.   |
| Output 3.1.4:An estimated 418 tons of extracted ODS destroyed   | Annual quantities of ODS extracted from refrigerator appliances in the project target countries destroyed  | No ODS extraction<br>from the<br>refrigeration<br>appliances in the<br>project target<br>countries available  | 800,000 pieces of<br>old<br>refrigerators<br>recycled<br>and approx. 248<br>tons<br>of ODS destroyed<br>in<br>Phase I                     | This will take place once the installation of the equipment is finalized.   |
| Component 4 – Environn<br>POPspesticide waste sto   |  | nagement and destr  |   | aminated equipment and  |
| Outcome 4.1: In country caestablished national ODS,   |  |   | uipment and POPs o  | ontaminated pesticide waste at the  |
| Output 4.1.1: Advanced technology options for treatment and disposal methods of PCB and POPs destruction assessed   | Selection of<br>feasible local<br>PCB and POPs<br>waste<br>pesticide<br>destruction<br>technology<br>meeting the EU<br>requirements  | No treatment and<br>disposal of PCB<br>and POPs waste<br>pesticides<br>techniques applied<br>in the project target<br>countries   | Assessment of BAT technologies for PCB and POPs destruction and selection of appropriate one  | Decision on destruction technologies for ODS, POPs/PCBs reached in all countries.   |
| Output 4.1.2: A national plan for PCB-containing transformer oil decontaminated and pure PCB destruction in the project target countries developed                      | A national facility for environmental sound disposal of PCB-containing equipment including their handling, disposal and occupational and environmental safety measures and transformer | No PCB decontamination or destruction facility is yet available in the project target countries; current transformer and capacitor management practices allow for further crosscontamination of | Start-up of annual<br>PCB<br>destruction in the<br>project target<br>countries  | The establishment of the national facilities for ODS, POPs and PCB destruction is well advanced in Belarus and Kazakhstan. The final decision on destruction technologies for ODS, POPs/PCBs disposal pending for Ukraine. The current conflict has made progress in this area difficult. |

|  | cases cleaning<br>established<br>in each country   | PCB free<br>equipment; no<br>PCB-contaminated<br>oil from transformer<br>parts removed  |   |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Output 4.1.3: A national facility for ODS and POPs contaminated pesticides destruction in the project target countries established     | A national facility for environmentally sound disposal of POP waste pesticides including their handling, disposal and occupational and environmental safety measures | Through the POPs waste management projects funded by the GEF a certain quantity of POPs waste is destroyed abroad; no long-term capacity in POPs waste disposal established in the project target countries | Annual POPs<br>waste<br>pesticides<br>destruction<br>and later on OPs<br>waste<br>in selected<br>countries  | The establishment of the national facilities for ODS and POPs-contaminated pesticides destruction is well advanced in Belarus and Kazakhstan. The procurement process for the equipment for ODS, POPs/PCBs disposal is still ongoing / on hold in Ukraine. |
| Output 4.1.4:PCB-containing waste and POPs disposed  | 11,700 tonnes of<br>PCB containing<br>waste, other<br>POPs and<br>pesticides<br>destroyed  | Not all electrical transformers and capacitors containing PCB - contaminated oil stockpiled. Not all POPs contaminated pesticides buried at the dumpsites in selected countries                             | Getting down to<br>annual planning<br>and<br>disposal of PCB<br>and<br>POPs waste in<br>selected<br>countries   | This will take place once the installation of the equipment is finalized.  |
| Component 5 – Project r  | monitoring and Eva   | luation   |   |  |
| Outcome 5.1: Project resu  | ılts monitored and ev<br>n disseminated  | aluated effectively ar  | nd "best practices" in  | the region and "lessons learned" during  |
| Output 5.1.1:Country<br>level and regional<br>monitoring and<br>evaluation plans<br>developed and<br>implemented, reports<br>published |  | Baseline indicators<br>are assessed and<br>documented at<br>project start-up  | Monitoring and evaluation team established in due time. Country level and regional monitoring and evaluation plans developed and implemented, reports published | Project implementation follows the annual work plans and budget, including monitoring and evaluation activities.   |
| Output 5.1.2:Mid-term and final evaluation constructed   |  | Baseline indicators<br>are assessed and<br>documented at<br>project start-up  | Monitoring and evaluation team established in due time. Country level and regional monitoring and evaluation plans developed and implemented, reports published | The mid-term evaluation was successfully completed in May 2022. The recommendation was to extend the project duration by 3 years.  |

# III. Project Risk Management

**1.** Please indicate the <u>overall project-level risks and the related risk management measures</u>: (i) as identified in the CEO Endorsement document, and (ii) progress to-date. Please expand the table as needed.

|   | (i) Risks  | (i) Risk<br>level  | (i) Risk<br>level FY 23 | (i) Mitigation measures  | (ii) Progress to-date  | New<br>defined<br>risk <sup>4</sup> |
|---|--|--------------------|-------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Government at national, provincial, and local levels, as appropriate would not endorse and adopt the required standards, guidelines and specifications according to the project timeline | Modest<br>risk (M) | Modest<br>risk (M)      | #1 Ensure laws, regulations, standards, guidelines and specifications are practical and enforceable and support with institutional capacity building and training  | The proposed modifications to national policies were developed by national experts, in close cooperation with the line Ministries in the target countries and consultations with Government representatives are ongoing, to encourage their adoption.                                  |                                     |
| 2 | Co-financing will<br>not reach the<br>target level   | Modest<br>risk (M) | Low risk<br>(L)         | Seeking additional funds/donors or lowering the targeted amount of old refrigeration appliances for recycling, targeted amounts of extracted ODS and POPs/PCB contaminated waste for their disposal. While there will be safe storage available, the final decisions will be made by the Project Steering Committee. Policy incentives to be provided for potential investors.           | Consultations with private sector representatives in the target countries are ongoing to gage the potential for additional investment. To date significant co-financing has been raised.   |                                     |
| 3 | Disposal technology not meeting performance requirements, resulting in unacceptably high emissions of dioxin/furan and other toxic chemicals   | Low risk<br>(L)    | Low risk<br>(L)         | Selection of proven technology and equipment from recognized suppliers, provision of adequate training, and active supervision of the operation of disposal facilities will mitigate this risk   | Detailed assessments of commercially available technologies for the destruction of POPs and ODS were conducted, resulting in recommendations to support the selection of applicable technologies for the ODS/POPs co-destruction centres in the target countries.                      |                                     |
| 4 | Delays in project<br>implementation<br>and low quality<br>performance  | Low risk<br>(L)    | Modest<br>risk (M)      | Carefully selected success indicators and the adaptive monitoring practice will enable timely implementation and high quality results  | National monitoring and evaluation plans are underway in the participating countries. An extension of the project was recommended to ensure that all of the objectives could be met, however, the current conflict in the region poses significant risk to the project implementation. |                                     |
| 5 | Public opposition<br>to the disposal<br>project  | Low risk<br>(L)    | Low risk<br>(L)         | Public awareness raising and inclusion of all stakeholders in project implementation will minimize the likelihood of this occurring  | All stakeholders included in key project activities to date, e.g. Technical Evaluation Group meeting.  |                                     |
| 6 | Owners of old<br>refrigerators and<br>air conditioners<br>and POPs<br>contaminated<br>waste might not be<br>willing to actively<br>participate   | Low risk<br>(L)    | Low risk<br>(L)         | Focus on stakeholder awareness raising as a priority; introduction of financial incentives for collection of old refrigeration appliances and their transportation to the recycling centers and a producer responsibility programme; coverage of IOC at the time of the project for PCB and POPs contaminated waste disposal including their transportation to the incineration centers. | Discussion held at first Project Steering Committee meeting on producer responsibility programmes and potential financial incentives. Specific models for each target country to be developed.   |                                     |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> New risk added in reporting period. Check only if applicable.

| 7  | Vendors, owners of old refrigerators and air conditioners and POPs waste and other parties might not be willing to cooperate           | Modest<br>risk (M) | Modest<br>risk (M) | A policy for POPs disposal fee will be issued and a proper price mechanism will be introduced to allow suitable revenue for the contractors   | As a precursor to a POPs disposal fee, proposals on national legislation on POPs and hazardous waste have been submitted to the line ministries in the target countries.   |  |
|----|--|--------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| 8  | Engagement<br>of PCB owners<br>complex or slow in<br>the relevant<br>countries or<br>owners will not<br>declare their PCB<br>inventory | Low risk<br>(L)    | Low risk<br>(L)    | Clear communications and open guidance with explanation of recovery and treatment process and benefits for users  | Synergies are being made with the GEF-funded project 4386 on the Environmentally Sound Management and Final Disposal of Polychlorinated Biphenyls to ensure the proper engagement of PCB owners  |  |
| 9  | Climate change risk  | Low risk<br>(L)    | Low risk<br>(L)    | No activities are planned in the area of possible risks, resulted by the climate change processes   | Selection criteria for project sites includes climate change mitigation.   |  |
| 10 | Political<br>imbalances hinder<br>project<br>implementation  | Modest<br>risk (M) | Modest<br>risk (M) | The political imbalances and security issues in Ukraine have been recognized during the formulation of the project document. The implementation activities planned to be undertaken in the territories which are not part of the conflict. The possibility of collecting old refrigerators for recycling from the Eastern Ukrainian part can be considered, if the situation does not deteriorate. The geographical scope of the project including its work plan and timeline could be always readjusted. | Political issues which escalated in Belarus and Ukraine posed some challenges to project implementation. Though measures are being taken to safeguard implementation, including the extension of the project, there is still a significant risk to the achievement of the Global Environmental Objectives. |  |
| 11 | Delays in<br>project<br>implementation<br>and week project<br>coordination   | Low risk<br>(L)    | Modest<br>risk (M) | Carefully selected national institutions specialized in waste destruction, project personnel, success indicators and adaptive monitoring practice will enable timely implementation. UNIDO, as a GEF agency responsible for the project, will use the experience accumulated through other similar projects to facilitate accelerated and efficient implementation of the project   | Political issues which escalated in Belarus and Ukraine posed some challenges to project implementation. Though measures are being taken to safeguard implementation, including the extension of the project, there is still a significant risk to the achievement of the Global Environmental Objectives. |  |
| 12 | The national destruction centres established by the project are not sustainable  | Modest<br>risk (M) | Modest<br>risk (M) | Project design seeks to provide a viable business model over a 10 year period and planned financial incentives will continue to refine this model and ensure sustainability.  | Working agreements signed with the project recipients in the target countries include the development of comprehensive national waste systems and incentive mechanisms, to ensure the long-term sustainability of the project activities.  |  |

**2.** If the project received a <u>sub-optimal risk rating (H, S)</u> in the previous reporting period, please state the <u>actions taken</u> since then to mitigate the relevant risks and improve the related risk rating. Please also elaborate on reasons that may have impeded any of the sub-optimal risk ratings from improving in the current reporting cycle; please indicate actions planned for the next reporting cycle to remediate this.

| N/A  |  |  |
|------|--|--|
| IV/A |  |  |
|      |  |  |

3. Please indicate any implication of the COVID-19 pandemic on the progress of the project.

Periodic monitoring missions, as well as the second Project Steering Committee (PSC) meeting (planned for March 2020) were all postponed due to COVID-19 containment measures. These containment measures placed restrictions on regional and national travel over the course of 2020, resulting in a delay in the mid-term review of the project.

In 2021, the PSC took place virtually, as did the mid-term review in 2022, with online interviews and virtual site visits, with support from national experts wherever possible.

The COVID-19 containment measures also resulted in significant challenges for the final installation and commissioning of the equipment for ODS extraction and refrigeration appliances recycling in Ukraine and ODS/POPs disposal in Belarus.

Engagement with key project stakeholders has shifted primarily to telephone calls, email engagement and virtual meetings, with additional efforts placed on adding information and resources to the project website and knowledge sharing platform, so as to engage a wider range of stakeholders and ensure that communication and engagement with stakeholders is maintained regardless of the global situation.

4. Please clarify if the project is facing delays and is expected to request an extension.

The mid-term review of the project included the following recommendation from the evaluators: "The UNIDO project team in cooperation with the focal ministries in Belarus, Kazakhstan and Ukraine should submit request for a 3-year project extension.". UNIDO requested the endorsement of the GEF OFPs accordingly and received endorsement letters for the extension of the project from the GEF Operational Focal Points.

**5.** Please provide the **main findings and recommendations of completed MTR**, and elaborate on any actions taken towards the recommendations included in the report.

The main findings and recommendations of the mid-term review of the project are as follows:

Recommendation 1: The UNIDO project team in cooperation with the focal ministries in Belarus, Kazakhstan and Ukraine should submit request for a 3-year project extension.

Recommendation 2: The UNIDO project team in cooperation with the focal ministries should reassess the task to develop the framework for regional cooperation in light of the recent political developments in the participating countries.

Recommendation 3: The UNIDO project team in cooperation with the focal ministries should assess feasibility of the regional database on waste management.

Recommendation 4: The UNIDO project team in cooperation with the focal ministries and UNDP Country Offices in Belarus, Ukraine and Kazakhstan should strengthen links between the regional project and relevant national projects focussing on POPs and ODS management

Recommendation 5: The UNIDO project team should discuss with the focal ministries to ensure smooth and uninterrupted functionality of the project webpage and the knowledge management platform beyond the project implementation period.

Recommendation 6: The UNIDO project team should consider provision of assistance for targeted dissemination of detailed experience from the successfully implemented national components of the regional project amongst the project participating countries.

Recommendation 7: The UNIDO project team should assess the realistic options to achieve the quantitative targets for destruction of POPs and ODS within the project period and communicate the changes to the GEF Secretariat.

Recommendation 8: The UNIDO project team should discuss with the counterparts in Belarus options for technical assistance that would lead to repair and operation of the existing refrigerator recycling plant in Belarus.

Recommendation 9: The UNIDO project team and the national project coordinators should continuously monitor the actually provided co-financing commitments and include the actually provided co-financing totals in annual GEF Project Implementation Reports.

Recommendation 10: The UNIDO project team should conduct critical revision of the project risk matrix including development of mitigation measures for newly emerged risks including cooperation with relevant national projects for provision of assistance for strengthening of existing national laboratory facilities for characterisation of ODS/POPs waste.

Following the completion of the mid-term review, the UNIDO project team shared the recommendations with the focal ministries in Belarus, Kazakhstan and Ukraine and requested an endorsement from each target country on the extension of the project. The Government of Ukraine responded with a request to modify the project on political grounds. As this is a regional project, there are significant concerns that the objectives of the project cannot be fully achieved. Notwithstanding, efforts will be taken to comply with the recommendations during the remainder of the project implementation.

# IV. Environmental and Social Safeguards (ESS)

1. As part of the requirements for projects from GEF-6 onwards, and based on the screening as per the

| project?  | tal and Social Safe   | eguards Policies and Procedure                             | s (ESSPP), which category is the                               |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| ☐ Category A proj   | ect                   |  |  |
| ☐ Category B proj   | ect                   |  |  |
| Category C proj (By selecting Category Please expand the ta   | ory C, I confirm that | the E&S risks of the project have                          | not escalated to Category A or B).                             |
|   | E&S risk              | Mitigation measures undertaken during the reporting period | Monitoring methods and procedures used in the reporting period |
| (i) Risks identified<br>in ESMP at time of<br>CEO Endorsement |                       |  |  |
| (ii) New risks identified during                              |                       |  |  |

#### V. Stakeholder Engagement

project implementation (if not applicable, please insert 'NA' in each box)

The Project Steering Committee (PSC) was established at the regional level, with participation from stakeholders from Government, Academia, NGOs and UN organisations. The Project Steering Committee meetings are held on a regular basis with representatives from each of the target countries.

Following the completion of the mid-term review, the UNIDO project team shared the recommendations with the focal ministries in Belarus, Kazakhstan and Ukraine and requested an endorsement from each target country on the extension of the project. Endorsement letters were received from the Governments of Belarus and Kazakhstan, however, the Government of Ukraine responded with a request to modify the project on political grounds (refusal to cooperate on a regional level with the Government of Belarus).

2. Please provide any feedback submitted by national counterparts, GEF OFP, co-financiers, and other partners/stakeholders of the project (e.g. private sector, CSOs, NGOs, etc.).

3. Please provide any relevant stakeholder consultation documents.

Mid-term Review report

#### VI. Gender Mainstreaming

1. Using the previous reporting period as a basis, please report on the **progress** achieved **on implementing gender-responsive measures** and **using gender-sensitive indicators**, as documented at CEO Endorsement/Approval (in the project results framework, gender action plan or equivalent),.

Throughout project implementation continuous efforts have been made to engage women and women's organizations in project activities, including technical evaluation groups, the PSC meetings and as experts for project activities. Sex-disaggregated data, including participation rates of women and men, is also collected.

## VII. Knowledge Management

1. Using the previous reporting period as a basis, please elaborate on any **knowledge management activities** / **products**, as documented at CEO Endorsement / Approval.

The Project website, available in English and Russian, was launched in 2020, alongside a knowledge sharing platform (KSP). The KSP serves as a knowledge resource for counterparts to share documents and exchange lessons learned with partners at the national and regional level, to organize, store and disseminate information and knowledge generated under the Project. The KSP is expected to reduce challenges in the form of frequent political changes, by helping project partners preserve institutional memory.

The content of the website is directed towards a wider range of project stakeholders, policy makers project data users and the general public.

- 2. Please list any relevant knowledge management mechanisms / tools that the project has generated.
  - Project website: <a href="https://www.waste-management.org/en/">https://www.waste-management.org/en/</a>
  - Knowledge sharing platform (KSP).

### VIII. Implementation progress

1. Using the previous reporting period as a basis, please provide information on **progress, challenges and outcomes achieved/observed** with regards to project implementation.

Implementation progress during the reporting period was advancing in line with the proposed work plan, however, challenges in implementation emerged due to the political instability in the target region, as well as enduring restrictions due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Key activities continued to be conducted by means of virtual meetings or with the support of national experts in the target countries to the extent possible. In this manner the mid-term review was successfully conducted and key recommendations for project implementation were obtained and shared with the project counterparts.

On the basis of the findings and discussions with the counterparts in each of the target countries, the

recommendation by the evaluators was as follows: "The UNIDO project team in cooperation with the focal ministries in Belarus, Kazakhstan and Ukraine should submit a request for a three-year project extension." The project team shared the mid-term review report with the project counterparts and requested endorsement letters for the extension of the project from the GEF operational focal points. The endorsement letters from the Governments of Belarus and Kazakhstan were received. In order to ensure the continuation and culmination of the project activities, and achievement of the Global Environmental Benefits, the GEF Secretariat is kindly requested to endorse the extension of the project. The project currently has a financial implementation of 80% with a total expenditure of \$14,554,724.57 as of 15 July 2023.

**2.** Please briefly elaborate on any **minor amendments**<sup>5</sup> to the approved project that may have been introduced during the implementation period or indicate as not applicable (NA).

Please tick each category for which a change has occurred and provide a description of the change in the related textbox. You may attach supporting documentation, as appropriate.

|   | Results Framework                             | N/A  |
|---|---|--|
|   | Components and Cost                           | N/A  |
|   | Institutional and Implementation Arrangements | N/A  |
|   | Financial Management                          | N/A  |
| X | Implementation Schedule                       | Following the request for extension by the national executing agencies, the project is expected to be terminated by June 2025. |
|   | Executing Entity                              | N/A  |
|   | Executing Entity Category                     | N/A  |
|   | Minor Project Objective Change                | N/A  |
|   | Safeguards                                    | N/A  |
|   | Risk Analysis                                 | N/A  |
|   | Increase of GEF Project Financing Up to 5%    | N/A  |
|   | Co-Financing                                  | N/A  |
|   | Location of Project Activities                | N/A  |
|   | Others  |  |

3. Please provide progress related to the financial implementation of the project.

The latest Project Delivery Report is attached for more detailed information.

### IX. Work Plan and Budget

1. Please provide **an updated project work plan and budget** for the remaining duration of the project, as per last approved project extension. Please expand/modify the table as needed.

Please fill in the below table or make a reference to a file, in case it is submitted as an annex to the report.

| Outputs by Project  |         | Yea      | ar 1    |        |        | Year 2  |        |          | Year 3-4 |        |          |        | Year 5 |      |       |      | GEF Grant<br>Budget Available |  |  |
|---|---------|----------|---------|--------|--------|---------|--------|----------|----------|--------|----------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Component   | Q1      | Q2       | Q3      | Q4     | Q1     | Q2      | Q3     | Q4       | Q1       | Q2     | Q3       | Q4     | Q1     | Q2   | Q3    | Q4   | (US\$)                        |  |  |
| Component 1 - National regula                             | atory f | ramev    | vork fo | or ODS | S and  | PCB/P   | OPs n  | nanag    | ement    | and d  | isposa   | al     |        |      |       |      |                               |  |  |
| Outcome 1.1: Relevant regulatio meet relevant obligations | ns and  | l instru | ctions  | in eac | h cour | ntry de | velope | d to all | ow en    | forcem | ent of I | POPs a | and C  | DS v | vaste | disp | osal in order to              |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> As described in Annex 9 of the *GEF Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines*, **minor amendments** are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5%.

| Output 1.1.1: Revised national policies, regulations and guidelines on PCB/POPs and ODS waste disposal developed  |                |         |                  |                    |          |         |         |                  |          |        |          |          |       |        |        |       | 111,732.79        |
|---|----------------|---------|------------------|--------------------|----------|---------|---------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|----------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------------------|
| Output 1.1.2: A new regulatory mechanism on various aspects of ODS/PCB/POPs disposal in the project target countries developed  |                |         |                  |                    | •        |         |         |                  |          |        |          |          |       |        |        |       |                   |
| Output 1.1.3: Adequate financial models to ensure long-term sustainability of the sub-regional centers developed  |                |         |                  |                    |          |         |         |                  |          |        |          | •        |       |        |        |       |                   |
| Output 1.1.4: Qualified sampling and analytical capability in the region for characterizing PCB and POPs wastes and assessment of PCB and POPs content strengthened     |                |         |                  |                    |          |         | •       |                  |          |        |          |          |       |        |        |       |                   |
| Component 2 – Waste manage storage, transportation and fin  | ment<br>al des | and di  | sposa<br>on link | al subr<br>ced int | networ   | ks in t | he pro  | oject ta<br>work | arget c  | ountri | ies inc  | luding   | ODS   | S and  | POF    | 's wa | aste collection,  |
| Outcome 2.1: In country capability  |                |         |                  |                    |          | _       |         |                  | nd OD    | S was  | te (refr | igeratio | on ap | plian  | ces) t | o spe | ecified recycling |
| and destruction locations  Output 2.1.1: ODS, POPs and OPs waste for disposal at the sub-regional disposal centers assessed   |                |         | •                |                    | •        |         |         |                  |          |        |          |          |       |        |        |       | 106,439.06        |
| Output 2.1.2: National ODS and POPs disposal plans developed  |                |         |                  |                    |          |         |         |                  |          |        |          |          |       |        |        |       | 1                 |
| Output 2.1.3: Three national ODS and POPs collection, transportation and disposal centers   |                |         |                  | •                  | -        | •       | •       | •                | •        |        |          |          |       |        |        |       |                   |
| Outcome 2.2: Potential for coord countries within the region  | inated         | mana    | gemer            | t ofref            | rigerato | or recy | cling a | nd OD            | S and    | POPs   | waste    | dispos   | al am | ong    | the    |       |                   |
| Output 2.2.1: A regional ODS and POPs pesticide waste stocks database designed  |                |         |                  |                    |          |         |         |                  |          |        |          |          |       |        |        |       |                   |
| Output 2.2.2: A framework for regional cooperation for ODS appliances recycling, ODS and POPs disposal among the project target countries developed                     |                |         |                  |                    |          |         |         |                  |          |        |          |          |       |        |        |       |                   |
| Component 3 – ODS extraction facilities   | durir          | ng refr | igerat           | ion ap             | plianc   | es rec  | ycling  | , and            | subse    | quent  | destru   | ction    | of OI | OS at  | the e  | estab | olished national  |
| Outcome 3.1: In country capacity  | / in est       | tablish | ing ref          | rigerati           | on app   | oliance | s recyc | cling fa         | cilities | for OE | OS extr  | action   | and t | heir d | conse  | quer  | nt destruction    |
| Output 3.1.1: Advanced technology options for refrigeration appliances recycling and ODS destruction  |                |         |                  |                    |          |         |         |                  |          |        |          |          |       |        |        |       | 819,579.94        |
| Output 3.1.2: Construction, installation and commission of a refrigeration appliances recycling facilities for ODS extraction in the project target countries performed |                |         |                  |                    |          |         | •       |                  |          |        |          |          |       |        |        |       |                   |
| Output 3.1.3: An estimated<br>400,000 units of EOL<br>refrigerators and airconditioners<br>collected and recycled   |                |         |                  |                    |          |         |         |                  |          |        |          |          | •     |        |        |       |                   |
| Output 3.1.4: An estimated 418 tons of extracted ODS destroyed  |                |         |                  |                    |          |         |         |                  |          |        |          | •        |       |        |        | •     |                   |

| Component 4 – Environmental  | lly Sou                             | und M                               | anage                           | ment                             | and de                         | estruc           | ion of  | PCB            | contar       | ninate         | d equi  | pment           | and      | POP          | spes        | ticid   | e waste stockpiles |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------|----------------|--------------|----------------|---------|-----------------|----------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------|
| Outcome 4.1: In country capacity PCB/POPs destruction facilities   | y for de                            | estroyi                             | ng PC                           | B cont                           | taining                        | equipr           | nent a  | nd POI         | Ps con       | tamina         | ited pe | sticide         | wast     | e at t       | he es       | stablis | shed national ODS, |
| Output 4.1.1: Advanced technology options for treatment and disposal methods of PCB and POPs destruction assessed  |                                     |                                     |                                 |                                  |                                |                  |         |                |              |                |         |                 |          |              |             |         | 2,124,981.79       |
| Output 4.1.2: A national plan for PCB-containing transformer oil decontaminated and pure PCB destruction in the project target countries developed   |                                     |                                     |                                 |                                  |                                | -                |         |                |              | •              |         | -               |          |              |             |         |                    |
| Output 4.1.3: A national facility for ODS and POPs contaminated pesticides destruction in  |                                     |                                     |                                 |                                  |                                |                  |         |                |              |                |         |                 |          |              |             |         |                    |
| Output 4.1.2: A national plan for PCB-containing transformer oil decontaminated and pure PCB destruction in the project target countries developed   |                                     |                                     | -                               | -                                |                                | •                | •       | •              | -            | -              |         |                 |          |              |             |         |                    |
| Component 5 – Project monito   | ring a                              | nd Ev                               | aluati                          | on                               |                                |                  |         |                |              |                |         | ı               | <u> </u> | 1            |             |         |                    |
| Outcome 5.1: Project results mo implementation disseminated  | nitored                             | d and e                             | evalua                          | ted eff                          | ectivel                        | y and "          | best pi | ractice        | s" in th     | e regio        | on and  | "lesso          | ns lea   | arned        | " duri      | ing th  | e project          |
| Output 5.1.1: Country level and regional monitoring and evaluation plans developed and implemented, reports published  |                                     |                                     |                                 |                                  |                                |                  |         |                |              |                |         |                 |          |              |             |         | 182,541.85         |
| Output 5.1.2: Mid-term and final evaluation constructed  |                                     |                                     |                                 |                                  |                                |                  |         |                |              |                |         |                 |          |              |             |         |                    |
| Synergies achieved:  |                                     |                                     |                                 |                                  | x. s                           | yner             | gies    |                |              |                |         |                 |          |              |             |         |                    |
| Discussions are ongoing national or regional project In Kazakhstan, initial discussion Management of Pesticide ID 5000). Potential areas raising, legal framework a  | cts ar<br>cussic<br>es and<br>of co | nd pro<br>ons ha<br>d Disp<br>ooper | ograr<br>ave k<br>posa<br>ation | nmes<br>been l<br>I of P<br>whic | s.<br>held v<br>OPs i<br>h are | vith F<br>Pestic | AO o    | n the<br>in Ce | GEF<br>ntral | -funa<br>Asiar | led pr  | oject<br>ntries | "Life    | ecycl<br>Tur | le<br>'key' | ' (GE   |                    |
| In Belarus, discussions have been held with UNDP representatives leading the "Sustainable Management of Persistent Organic Pollutants and Chemicals in the Republic of Belarus, GEF-6" (UNDP POPs Project). Under this project, UNDP agreed to support the certification of the CUE destruction facility that will be established under UNIDO's regional project.  |                                     |                                     |                                 |                                  |                                |                  |         |                |              |                |         |                 |          |              |             |         |                    |
| In Ukraine, UNIDO was requested by the previous GEF Operational Focal Point for Ukraine to identify potential synergies between the regional project and the GEF-funded "Environmentally Sound Management and Final Disposal of Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)" project. To increase the impact of its technical cooperation as well as to promote the efficient implementation of project resources, UNIDO proposed to design a joint implementation plan to encompass the PBC-related activities in Ukraine. |                                     |                                     |                                 |                                  |                                |                  |         |                |              |                |         |                 |          |              |             |         |                    |
| 3. Stories to be shared (Optional)   |                                     |                                     |                                 |                                  |                                |                  |         |                |              |                |         |                 |          |              |             |         |                    |

#### XI. GEO LOCATION INFORMATION

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate.

Web mapping applications such as <a href="OpenStreetMap">OpenStreetMap</a> or <a href="GeoNames">GeoNames</a> use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: <a href="https://coordinates-converter.com">https://coordinates-converter.com</a>

Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

| Location Name | Latitude | Longitude | Geo Name ID | Location and Activity Description |
|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
|               |          |           |             |                                   |
|               |          |           |             |                                   |

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate.

| Considering the political conflict in the region, it would be preferable not to disclose this information. |
|--|
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |