

GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)

Table of contents

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	3
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description	4
1.3 Project Contacts	4
2 Overview of Project Status	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators	6
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks	7
2.4 Co Finance	7
2.5. Stakeholder	8
2.6. Gender	9
2.7. ESSM	9
2.8. KM/Learning	10
2.9. Stories	10
3 Performance	11
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	11
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)	17
4 Risks	22
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	22
4.2 Table B. Risk-log	22
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks	23
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial	25
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)	25
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	25

UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024 Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

1.1 Project Details

Umoja WBS:SB-006685
Grant ID:S1-32GFL-000620
st to Promote SLM Practices and Carbon Stock Conservation ALDD SLM CSC
48
79
Programme Framework Document
National
Africa
Ivory Coast
Land Degradation
\$ 1,726,027.00
\$ 2,570,122.00
2016-12-04
2017-03-17
2017-03-17
2018-07-04
2018-01-12
\$ 1,342,043.00
\$ 1,215,925.00

Midterm undertaken?:	n/a
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:	2023-02-11
Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:	
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2021-03-17
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:	2025-06-30
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2025-06-30
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2025-12-30

1.2 Project Description

The objective of the project is to maintain the functionality of the coffee and cocoa production areas in the center and to reverse the trend of land degradation in the northern part of the country by creating an enabling policy and capacity environment through the development of community-based land management plans and facilitating access to SLM best practices. The project has three components as follows Component 1: Identifying policies to support the dissemination of SLM good practices Component 2: SLM Good Practices to support community livelihoods improvement Component 3: Advocacy and Sensitization for Sustainable Land Management The project is housed at the Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development (MINEDD). The implementing agency is the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).

1.3 Project Contacts

Division(s) Implementing the project	Ecosystems Division
Name of co-implementing Agency	
Executing Agency (ies)	Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development (MINEDD) Coffee-Cocoa
	adviceROADCIFEREADDMunicipality of Korhogo
names of Other Project Partners	ANADER-CNRA -CNTIG
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Johan Robinson
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Adamou Bouhari
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	Paul Vrontamitis
UNEP Support Assistants	Eric Mugo
Manager/Representative	SANHOU Koutoua
Project Manager	Kouadio Jean

Finance Manager	Charlotte Ehounou
Communications Lead, if relevant	n/a

2 Overview of Project Status

2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s):	Thematic: Nature action subprogramme	
UNEP previous	Biennium 2022-2023Healthy and Productive Ecosystem	
Subprogramme(s):		
PoW Indicator(s):	 Nature: (i) Number of national or subnational entities that, with UNEP support, adopt integrated approaches to address environmental and social issues and/or tools for valuing, monitoring and sustainably managing biodiversity. Nature: (iii) Number of countries and national, regional and subnational authorities and entities that incorporate, with UNEP support, biodiversity and ecosystem-based approaches into development and sectoral plans, policies and processes for the sustainable management and/or restoration of terrestrial, freshwater and marine areas 	
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	Outcome 3 of UNDAF 2017 – 2020 : By 2020 the public administration implement policies which ensure sustainable production and	
	consumption and fight against Climate Change, creation of income and resilience to climate change of vulnerable population	
Link to relevant SDG Goals	Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture	
	 Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss 	
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	 15.3 By 2030, combat desertification, restore degraded land and soil, including land affected by desertification, drought and floods, and strive to achieve a land degradation-neutral world 	

2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

	Targets - Expected Value			
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date

Implementation Status 2024: 7th PIR

2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	7th PIR	S	S	М
FY 2023	6th PIR	MS	MS	M
FY 2022	5th PIR	MS	MS	L
FY 2021	4th PIR	MS	MS	L
FY 2020	3rd PIR	MS	MS	М
FY 2019	2nd PIR	MU	MS	L
FY 2018	1st PIR	S	S	M

Summary of status

The project is delivering the expected outputs as planned but with significant delays. The planned interventions to benefit the local communities are gaining momentum now. The impact on policy (Establishment of National Platform on SLM) which is one of the most expected outcomes of the project is running the risk of not being obtained in time due to a lack of political commitment as a result of successful changes in the government of Cote d'Ivoire. This offers the project a Medium Risk.

The policy angle of the project is currently being handled by the integrated SSATRMAC project also being implemented in CI, on specific issues related to REDD+, and payment for ecosystems services. The issues related typically to SLM will the main focus during the next reporting cycle.

2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-	\$ 40,101,220
finance:	
Actual to date:	10,500,000
Progress	Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:
	The Government cofinancing is being materialized as planned but with delays. The partners cofinancing are also being materialized also with delays, however, there is poor recording and tracking by the project team. Since this is not affecting delivery of planned outputs, the delayed cofinancing can be considered as moderate risk.

2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering	2023-02-08
committee meeting	
Stakeholder engagement (will be	The local government and communities partners of the projects have been actively engaged in the following:
uploaded to GEF Portal)	
	- identification of the rural infrastructure to be constructed
	- Training of key stakeholders on SLM issues
	- Discussion on the management of local rural infrastructures constructed by the project
	- Sharing of the project outcomes during technical meetings at national level

2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender	Yes
action plan?	
Gender mainstreaming (will be	The project has ensure that all the rural activities constructed have a due gender consideration and it is planned at the end of the project
uploaded to GEF Portal):	to assess the implementation of the gender action plan. In mean time reporting on key activities is desgrated by gender.

2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in	Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?	
terms of Environmental and	No	
social safeguards)	If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?	
New social and/or	Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?	
environmental risks	Yes	
	If yes, describe the new risks or changes?	
	The policy impact of the project is lagging behind due to the lack of commitment. Due to political changes in the Government officials	
	in the Ministry in Charge of Environment., there is hope that the SLM stakeholders Platform be adopted.	
Complaints and grievances	Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?	
related to social and/or	No	
environmental impacts If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and		
	were taken?	
	n/a	
Environmental and social		
safeguards management	The project is constructing rural infrastructures. These infrastructures may be source of environmental impact as it may change the	
	resoources uses dynamic at the site. Also, these rural infrastructures may be sources of conclifts between local and migrant	
	communities. Before the end of 2024, an assessment of potential environmental and social impacts of these infrastructures will be	
	conducted and the adequate measures to mitigate possible impacts will be considered for the rest of the project life.	

2.8. KM/Learning

Knowledge activities and	The project is at it high level of delivering its key outputs. The Knowledge products are expected to be generated in he next reporting
products	cycle.
Main learning during the period	Interaction with different project and initiative at national level and the participation to the global UNCCD and LDN processes.

2.9. Stories

Stories to be	Not yet available
shared	

3 Performance

3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target	End of	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	Progress
			or Milestones	Project	current	& target as of 30 June	rating
				Target	period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry		
					only)		
Objective: To maintain	An enhanced enabling	No cohesive	Regulatory	Country level	60%	The political, legal and regulatory	MU
functionality of cocoa-coffee	environment within the	country level	framework under	policy, legal		framework at the national level which	
production zones in the central	agricultural sector	legal and	development	and		integrates the principles of SLM has	
and reverse land degradation		regulatory		regulatory		been developed and submitted for	
trend in northern parts of the		framework		frameworks		signature.	
country by creating an enabling		with		that integrate			
capacity and policy environment		integrated SLM		SLM			
through development of		practices		principles			
community land use plans and				developed			
facilitating access to good SLM	Number of participatory land	No	3 participatory LD	Country level	60%	The political, legal and regulatory	MU
practices	degradation assessment	participatory	assessment	policy, legal		framework at the national level which	
	reports	LD assessment	reports developed	and		integrates the principles of SLM,	
		reports		regulatory		including participatory LD assessment	
				frameworks		reports has been developed and submitted	
				that integrate		for signature.	
				participatory			
				LD			
				assessment			
				reports			
Outcome 1: An Enabling	Number of participatory land	Non-	Availability of the	Availability of	50%	The SLM mapping was carried out with the	S
Environment for SLM	degradation assessment	availability of	report assessing	local natural		support of stakeholder institutions.	
	reports	land	the level of land	resource		However, it needs to be revised.	
		degradation	degradation in the	management			
		statistics in the	study areas	plans			

Project Objective and Outcomes	Number of development	study areas No-availability of local plans	Mid-Term Target or Milestones Availability of loca natural resource	Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June The development plans of 6 pilot regions have been elaborated	Progress rating
			management plans	plans			
	best practices have their capacities strengthened and tools developed	ANADER and CNRA staff and 5% of farmers are aware of	60% of the staff of CNRA, ANADER and the Farmers have their capacity reinforced.	100% of the staff of CNRA, ANADER and the Farmers have their capacity reinforced.	100%	Stakeholders are aware of and committed to the project	MS
	disseminating SLM best practices have strengthened capacities and developed	level with a clear mandate to support SLM	institutions disseminating SLM best practices	disseminating SLM best practices have their capacities strengthened with consideration of gender		Institutions have been identified and arrangements are being made for their capacity building	S

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	Project Target		Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
	,		Evaluation Report Recommendations		60%	The development of the legal framework is subject to the issuance of a decree by the President of the Republic	MU
		produced to support		A Charter is developed, adopted and negotiated with all stakeholders	50%	The drafting of the charter is in progress	М
Outcome 3: Alternative welfare options to reduce pressure on natural resources and increase incomes	developed	documentation of local sustainable soil	of local sustainable	various of SLM best practices developed, tested and disseminated through awareness raising and capacity building	100	At least 111 good practice SLM techniques have been developed	S
	Number of good practices tested				40	good practice SLM techniques have been developed. The techniques of good	U

Project Objective and Outcomes	Number of people reached		Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	practices in SLM concerning agroforestry, cassava cropping, living hedges, FIP were highly appreciated during the capacity building sessions for actors and stakeholders. We hope that these are the ones that will be the subject of evaluation in the next field missions. There are 420 trained participants including 24 facilitators in the project coverage area who are responsible for relaying the knowledge acquired and supervising the people concerned in the localities. These people are also	Progress rating
						responsible for verifying the applicability of good cultural practices in terms of SLM	
	inventoried	·	Regulatory framework for inventory establishment	Good practices inventory established	100%	The Good Practices Guide for SLM lists 111 techniques covering several areas (water and soil conservation (22), cultivation techniques (13), soil fertilization techniques (10), forestry and agroforestry techniques (16), natural resource management (15), sustainable water management techniques (11), sustainable land use planning (17) and organizational practices in SLM (7).	S
	Number of sites identified			6 locations	100%	At least 6 pilot villages have been selected in the regions and are	S

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator		Mid-Term Target or Milestones	Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
						concerned	
	Number of stakeholders identified				70	These are the actors and stakeholders identified in the 6 regions covered by the project. Thus, in each region, the standard composition includes representatives of the administration, local authorities, the regional council, the town hall, the services under supervision, ANADER, CNRA, SODEFOR, civil society, NGOs, the local community ,etc. That is about 70 people taking gender into account.	S
	Number of rural infrastructures to support income regeneration for local communities in order to reduce the pressure on natural resources and promote the adaptation of measures and mechanisms created for their sustainability put in place					4 water reservoirs were built on the sites identified with the participation of the village communities to general satisfaction.	MS
Outcome 4: Institutions and actors capable of ensuring Sustainable Land Management	Number of stakeholder groups and stakeholders trained	No stakeholder groups	7 Stakeholders groups	20 Stakeholders groups	40	The sessions held during the first half of the year saw the participation of several actors at the level of the administration, local communities, decentralized structures, youth associations, women's and youth cooperatives, society Civil Society,	MS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target	End of	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	Progress
			or Milestones	Project	current	& target as of 30 June	rating
				Target	period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry		
					only)		
						Non-Gov	
	Number of steering	One each year	at least 2	At least 4	50%	A mid-term self -evaluation meeting of	MS
	committee sessions held		stakeholder	stakeholder		the project activities was held from	
			meetings	meetings		February 8 to 10, 2023.	
	Number of workshops and	0	4 per year	4 workshops	50%	2 workshops were organized with the	MS
	seminars held			per year		actors of the project	

3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	1 '	'	l '		Progress
		date	I	current	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	ľ	reporting		
			ı ·	period (%)		
1 Output 2.1: Alternative welfare options to reduce pressure on natural resources and increase incomes	Output 2.1: Alternative welfare options to reduce pressure on natural resources and increase incomes	2024-12-31	60		The introduction of agroforestry with soil fertilization and the development of vegetation cover, capacity building of communities and institutions, and the forthcoming implementation of SLM structures are all alternatives for the improvement of well-being.	S
COMPONENT 1: Identify	Activity 1.1.1 Elaborate local development plans integrating the management of natural resources on the basis of the studies conducted, taking into account the gender aspect and the specific situation of each region	2024-12-31	100		The local development plans are completed	S
support the dissemination of SLM Good Practices	Activity 1.1.2: Create TDM oversight committees	2024-12-31	20		The decree creating the platform is not yet available	MU
	institutions for the dissemination of SLM Good Practices	2021-12-31	100%	100%	Target on track	S

Component		1 -	status as of previous reporting	l '	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
and tools developed						
COMPONENT 2: SLM Good Practices to Support Community Livelihoods	Output 2.1: Alternative welfare options to reduce pressure on natural resources and increase incomes	2024-12-31	60		The introduction of agroforestry with soil fertilization and the development of vegetation cover, capacity building of communities and institutions, and the forthcoming implementation of SLM structures are all alternatives for the improvement of well-being.	MS
	Activity 2.1.1: Strengthen the capacities of stakeholders (deconcentrated and decentralized services, civil society, beneficiaries) in SLM practices	2024-12-31	40		The schedule for capacity building of local institutions and communities should take place in the second half of 2024	MS
	Activity 2.1.2: Support local bushfire control committees	2024-12-31	20		Working sessions were conducted with stakeholders to inform them on preventive measures against bushfires	U
	Activity 2.1.3: Create pilot plots for the production of fodder, living hedges, biomass, organic fertilizer, etc.	2024-12-31	50		The works have begun, including the realization of nurseries, cleaning, provision of plots (dedicated space) by the community	MS
	Activity 2.1.4: Restore severely degraded sites using agroforestry techniques	2024-12-31	60		The realization of the pilot plots is in progress. The nurseries are realizedEstablishment of a cocoa plantation associated with agroforestry in the Autonomous District of Yamoussoukro (Loukoukro)Training of village communities in the regions of PORO (Mamougou, Ogaré), IFFOU	S

Component	Output/Activity Activity 2.1.5: Improve and disseminate new SLM techniques	1 '	status as of previous reporting period (%)	status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay (Koffisegbegbrekro), BOUNKANI (Niandégué), Loukoukro Materials for the dissemination of good practices are developedThe publication	Progress Rating
	Activity 2.1.6: Set up rural infrastructures (water catchment and storage structures, firebreaks, living hedges, seed banks, food exchange, establishment of artificial pastures and transhumance corridors etc.)	2024-12-31	. 80	90	of the Good Practice Guide Missions were carried out with competing service providers in the six regions in each of the villages concerned, in order to determine the feasibility of the infrastructures and to propose their invoices Establishment of four water reservoirs: in the villages of Mamougou (Poro Region), Loukoukro (Yamoussoukro Autonomous District), Guehio (Nawa Region), Niandégue (Bounkani)	S
	Activity 2.1.7 : Identify and implement micro-projects	2024-12-31	60	80	Establishment of cassava fields in Guehio in the Nawa and Koffi sègbrègbèkro in the Iffou Region Food crop projects with support for the establishment of pepper fields in Loukoukro in the Autonomous District of Yamoussoukro.Establishment of a plantation of Akpi (Ricinodendron heudelotii) in Yamoussoukro.	MS
	Activity 2.1.8: Set up rural infrastructure management committees	2024-12-31	30		The populations have been made aware of the issue and the project is waiting to formalize the activity by making the actors responsible through administrative acts	MS

Component	· · · · ·	1 -	Implementation status as of	1 '	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
			reporting			
	Activity 2.1.9: Promote improved seeds	2024-12-31	90		The beneficiaries of the project were sensitized on improved seeds in the framework of the improvement of the agricultural yield and their well-being	S
2 Output 1.2: Local institutions for the dissemination of SLM Good Practices have their capacities strengthened and tools developed		2023-12-30	90	100	Target achieved with delays	MS
3 COMPONENT	Outcome 3:1: Institutions and actors capable of ensuring Sustainable Land Management	2024-12-31	. 50	60	Stakeholders and populations adhere to the project and become more involved	MS
3: Advocacy and awareness for Sustainable	Activity 3.1.1: Promote sustainable land management with local actors	2024-12-31	90		Ongoing with sensitization and training sessions for populations and stakeholders, implementation of agroforestry, realization of infrastructures, etc.	S
Land Management	Activity 3.1.2: Develop guides for the promotion of sustainable land management at local, regional and national levels	2022-12-31	. 100		SLM Good Practice Guides are developed and available	S
	Activity 3.1.3: Organize a local forum in each of the country's target areas	2024-12-31	. 0		Activites underway for second half of 2024	U
	Activity 3.1.4: Create radio and television programs on SLM	2024-12-31	. 0	20	Activity planned for second half of 2024	U
	Activity 3.1.5: Organize workshops and seminars on SLM	2024-12-31	. 100	100	Activities carried out every year	S

4 Risks

4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and	Low	Low
responsibilities		
2 Governance structure - Oversight	Moderate	Low
3 Implementation schedule	Moderate	Substantial
4 Budget	Low	Substantial
5 Financial Management	Low	Substantial
6 Reporting	Low	Low
7 Capacity to deliver	Low	Low

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

4.2 Table B. Risk-log

Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Drought		L	М	L	L	L	М	М	=	We are in an election year with
										possible social tensions
Land conflicts		L	М	М	M	М	М	М	=	
Conflicts between farmers and breeders		L	M	М	М	М	М	M	=	

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Political instability		М	М	М	М	M	M	М	=	
COVID 19		N/A	N/A	Н	Н	М	L	L	=	
Low cofinancing level		М	М	М	М	M	M	М	=	
М		М	М	Н	М	М	М	М		

4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Conflicts between farmers	Awareness on peace and	Awareness on peace and	Engaging local community	During the coming cycle	project team.
and breeders	stability	stability			
Political instability	Involvement of the key staff	Involvement of the key staff	Engaging Regional	During the coming cycle	Project Team and Steering
	in the Minister's cabinet	in the Minister's cabinet	authorities		Committee
Low cofinancing	Partnership development	Collaboration with Regional	Engaging Private Sector	During the next cycle	Project team with support
		Institutions			from UNEP Task Manager
Implementation schedule	Revised workplan	Collaboration with	Engaging regional and local	During the next cycle	Project Team and Steering
		executing partners to	authorities as well as		Committee
		facilitate project progress	private sector actors for		
			cofinancing mobilization		
Budget, financial	Revised budget	Collaboration with	Engaging regional and local	During the next cycle	Project Team and Steering
management and low		executing partners to	authorities as well as		Committee
cofinancing levels		facilitate project progress	private sector actors for		
			cofinancing mobilization		

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of

between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

5 Amendment - GeoSpatial

Project Minor Amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes	
Results Framework:	No	
Components and Cost:	No	
Institutional and implementation arrangem	ents: No	
Financial Management:	No	
Implementation Schedule:		
Executing Entity:	No	
Executing Entity Category:	No	
Minor project objective change:	No	
Safeguards:	No	
Risk analysis:	No	
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	No	
Location of project activity:	No	
Other:	No	

Minor amendments

5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signature Date)		introduced in this
					revision

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signature Date)		introduced in this
					revision
		2023-07-20	2023-10-01	2024-12-31	

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
PoroIffou Nzi District	9.593995596795434	-5.9185436039817425			
Autonome de Yamoussoukro					
Bounkani Nawa					
Bounkani	9.26562759425333	-3.450622848790625			
Iffou	7.536160739458579	-4.061615744868495			
Nzi	7.223979352462777	-4.456299778588873			
Nawa	5.885865409240419	-6.858277857957768			
District Autonome de	6.869749324366215	5.319956146163444			
Yamoussoukro					

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. *

[Annex any linked geospatial file]