

## Adaptation governance and community resilience in Burundi

**GEF Secretariat Review for Full Sized Project** 

## **Basic Information**

**GEF ID** 

10099

Countries

Burundi

**Project Title** 

Adaptation governance and community resilience in Burundi

**GEF Agency(ies)** 

**UNDP** 


Agency ID

UNDP: 5879


**GEF Focal Area(s)** 

Climate Change

**Program Manager** 



Co-financing
3. Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented and consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines, with a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified and meets the definition of investment mobilized?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
GEF Resource Availability
4. Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines? Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply):
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
The STAR allocation?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response



Impact Program Incentive?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Project Preparation Grant
5. Is PPG requested in Table E within the allowable cap? Has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated? (not applicable to PFD)
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Core indicators
6. Are the identified core indicators in Table F calculated using the methodology included in the correspondent Guidelines? (GEF/C.54/11/Rev.01)
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response


Project/Program taxonomy
7. Is the project/ program properly tagged with the appropriate keywords as requested in Table G?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Part II - Project Justification
1. Has the project/program described the global environmental / adaptation problems, including the root causes and barriers that need to be addressed?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
2. Is the baseline scenario or any associated baseline projects appropriately described?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
3. Does the proposed alternative scenario describe the expected outcomes and components of the project/program?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
4. Is the project/program aligned with focal area and/or Impact Program strategies?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
5. Is the incremental / additional cost reasoning properly described as per the Guidelines provided in GEF/C.31/12?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
6. Are the project's/program's indicative targeted contributions to global environmental benefits (measured through core indicators) reasonable and achievable? Or for adaptation benefits?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response

7. Is there potential for innovation, sustainability and scaling up in this project?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Project/Program Map and Coordinates
Is there a preliminary geo-reference to the project's/program's intended location?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Stakeholders
Does the PIF/PFD include indicative information on Stakeholders engagement to date? If not, is the justification provided appropriate? Does the PIF/PFD include information about the proposed means of future engagement?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response

Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment
Is the articulation of gender context and indicative information on the importance and need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women, adequate?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Private Sector Engagement
Is the case made for private sector engagement consistent with the proposed approach?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Risks
Does the project/program consider potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, that might prevent the project objectives from
being achieved or may be resulting from project/program implementation, and propose measures that address these risks to be further developed during the project design?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response
Coordination
Is the institutional arrangement for project/program coordination including management, monitoring and evaluation outlined? Is there a description of possible coordination with relevant GEF-financed projects/programs and other bilateral/multilateral initiatives in the project/program area?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Consistency with National Priorities
Has the project/program cited alignment with any of the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Knowledge Management
Is the proposed "knowledge management (KM) approach" in line with GEF requirements to foster learning and sharing from relevant projects/programs, initiatives and evaluations; and contribute to the project's/program's overall impact and sustainability?



## Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

GEFSEC, 11/20/2018 - As decided by the LDCF/SCFF Council countries, including Burundi, that had GEF-6 projects in the pipeline were requested to hold consultations with the GEF Secretariat on adaptation priorities and LDCF programming at the onset of the GEF-7 period.

In light of the recent National Dialogue and consultation held from 10 to 12 October 2-19, the Operational Focal Point has been requested to confirm the current LDCF priorities. The Agency is requested await and duly consider the priority confirmation before considering to re-submit the proposal.

## **Review Dates**

	PIF Review	Agency Response	
First Review			
Additional Review (as necessary)			
Additional Review (as necessary)			
Additional Review (as necessary)			
Additional Review (as necessary)			