

# **GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)**

Document Generated by: CW TM At: 2024-09-09 22:17:35

## Table of contents

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description	4
1.3 Project Contacts	5
2 Overview of Project Status	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators	6
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks	7
2.4 Co Finance	8
2.5. Stakeholder	9
2.6. Gender	
2.7. ESSM	
2.8. KM/Learning	13
2.9. Stories	14
3 Performance	15
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	15
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)	17
4 Risks	
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	22
4.2 Table B. Risk-log	
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks	24
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial	
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)	
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	

#### UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024 Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024

## **1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION**

### 1.1 Project Details

GEF ID: 10086	Umoja WBS:SB-012768
SMA IPMR ID:40294	Grant ID:S1-32GFL-000632
Project Short Title:	·
GEF-CW.10086.Mexico Mercury Mining	
Project Title:	
Reducing Global Enviornmental Risks through the Monitoring and Developme	nt of Alternative Livelihood for the Primary Mercury Mining Sector
Duration months planned:	60
Duration months age:	36
Project Type:	Full Sized Project (FSP)
Parent Programme if child project:	
Project Scope:	National
Region:	Latin America and Caribbean
Countries:	Mexico
GEF Focal Area(s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF financing amount:	\$ 7,035,000.00
Co-financing amount:	\$ 51,068,844.00
Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:	2020-12-07
UNEP Project Approval Date:	2021-02-19
Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force):	2021-06-07
Date of Inception Workshop, if available:	2021-06-25
Date of First Disbursement:	2021-07-02
Total disbursement as of 30 June 2024:	\$ 864,069.00
Total expenditure as of 30 June:	\$ 318,186.00

Midterm undertaken?:	No
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:	
Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:	2024-08-01
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2026-12-31
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:	2026-12-31
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2026-12-31
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2027-06-30

#### **1.2 Project Description**

The project's main objective is to prevent the risks to environment and human health from mercury through the control of primary mercury mining and enabled environmentally and socially sound alternative economic activities and livelihoods in Sierra Gorda Region in the state of Queretaro. The project consists of 2 main components:

Component 1: Characterization of primary mercury mining and reinforcement of control mechanisms. This component aims to improve the capacity of regulators to better characterize the primary mercury mining sector in Queretaro, including its releases and emissions. It also intends to cultivate a regulatory environment that will result in the generation of conditions that allow the permanent closure of the mercury mines in 2032 without affecting the situation of the miners and their families (who are expected to have already transitioned to an alternative way of life by that date), and the effective ending of new mercury mine development in accordance with the stated by the Minamata Convention.

Component 2: Introduction of alternative livelihood. This component will be informed by the results of assessment work carried on the feasibility of viable and sustainable alternatives in the Sierra Gorda region.

The project executing agency is the National Institute of Ecology and Climate Change (INECC). Also, other main partners involved for this project are the Global Mercury Partnership, General Direction of Integral Management of Materials and Risky Activities of the Secretariat of Environment and Natural Resources (DGGIMAR-SEMARNAT), SEMARNAT regional office in Querétaro (SEMARNAT-QRO) and the Secretariat of Sustainable Development of the state of Querétaro (SEDESU). Likewise, there is additional support from other federal, state and local agencies in areas of education, health, infrastructure, such as the following: the Autonomous University of Querétaro, the National Institute of Social Economy, the National Water Commission, the Agrarian Attorney's Office, the National Commission of Protected Natural Areas, the Health Secretariat of the State of Querétaro, the Querétaro Institute of Women, among others.

## 1.3 Project Contacts

Division(s) Implementing the project	Industry and Economy Division
Name of co-implementing Agency	
Executing Agency (ies)	National Institute of Ecology and Climate Change (INECC)
names of Other Project Partners	
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Kevin Helps
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Ramon Jimenez Galicia
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	Edward Aput
UNEP Support Assistants	
Manager/Representative	Mariana Morales Hernandez
Project Manager	Jose Abraham Ortinez Alvarez
Finance Manager	Jaime Estanislao Perez Martinez
Communications Lead, if relevant	Jose Carlos Perez Rodriguez

## **2** Overview of Project Status

### 2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s)	: Thematic: Chemicals and pollution action subprogramme
UNEP previous	
Subprogramme(s):	
PoW Indicator(s):	<ul> <li>Pollution: (i) Number of Governments that, with UNEP support, are developing or implementing policies, strategies, legislation or action plans that promote sound chemicals and waste management and/or the implementation of multilateral environmental agreements and the existing framework on chemicals and waste</li> <li>Pollution: (iii)Number of policy, regulatory, financial and technical measures developed with UNEP support to reduce pollution in air, water, soil and the ocean</li> </ul>
	Pollution: (iv)Reduction in releases of pollutants to the environment achieved with UNEP support
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	UNSDCF Mexico 2020-2025 Cooperation area III which refers to climate change and green economy
Link to relevant SDG Goals	Goal 3: Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages
	Goal 12: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	• 3.9 By 2030, substantially reduce the number of deaths and illnesses from hazardous chemicals and air, water and soil pollution and contamination
	<ul> <li>12.4 By 2020, achieve the environmentally sound management of chemicals and all wastes throughout their life cycle, in accordance with agreed international frameworks, and significantly reduce their release to air, water and soil in order to minimize their adverse impacts on human health and the environment</li> </ul>

#### 2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

		Targets - Expected Value		
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date
<ul><li>4- Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected areas)</li></ul>	1000	2000	2000	
9- Chemicals of global concern and their waste reduced	70	140		50 tons considered in the key work area

		Targets - Expected Value		
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date
11- People benefitting from GEF-financed	50000	At least 100,000 people	At least 100,000 people	414 people related to primary
investments		from the communities in	from the communities in	mercury mining contacted to
		the Sierra Gorda region	the Sierra Gorda region	alternative livelihoods workshops
				(without considering authorities),
				equivalent to 57,000 people
				indirectly impacted in the
				communities in the Sierra Gorda
				region.
11.1- Male	23500	47000	47000	331 miners contacted to
				alternative livelihoods workshops,
				equivalent to 40,000 men indirectly
				impacted in communities in the
				Sierra Gorda region.
11.2- Female	26500	53000	53000	83 women related to primary
				mercury mining contacted to
				alternative livelihoods workshops,
				equivalent to 17,000 women
				indirectly impacted in the
				communities in the Sierra Gorda
				region.

Implementation Status 2024: 3rd PIR

## 2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	3rd PIR	MU	MU	L
FY 2023	2nd PIR	MS	MS	L
FY 2022	1st PIR	MU	MU	М

FY 2021		
FY 2020		
FY 2019		
FY 2018		
FY 2017		
FY 2016		
FY 2015		

#### Summary of status

During this third PIR reporting year, the project experienced limited progress compared to the previous period due to political constraints. In October 2023, the PSC evaluated project progress and initiated discussions on upcoming consultancies required for both components. The project has achieved significant coordination in gaining approval for the ToRs regarding intervention workshops aimed at training and creating favorable conditions in the Sierra Gorda communities. This will support the transition from primary mercury mining to alternative economic activities through pilot projects.

For Component 1, progress was hindered by national political constraints, which limited the Executing Agency's (EA) ability to implement activities. Planned risk-based site assessments of hotspots for specific remediation were halted. Despite assessing laboratory capacities last year, no further progress was made to link these with remediation activities, resulting in delays according to the approved work plan.

Under Component 2, field consultations concluded in the project's municipalities (Pinal de Amoles, San Joaquin, Cadereyta de Montes, and Peñamiller). The consultations for alternative livelihoods considered legal, economic, financial, social, and gender aspects. Intervention workshops began in the communities at the end of June, with the first pilot alternative livelihoods expected to materialize by the end of 2024.

Total expenditure for FY2023-2024 was \$318,186 (23% of the planned forecast of \$1,372,196). Project activities ceased during the national electoral process, as the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit prematurely closed the bidding and contracting processes. This unforeseen early cessation had a direct impact on the EA, making it impossible to carry out field activities from March 1st to June 2nd. This resulted in a delay of approximately four months, and administrative recruitment processes took longer than expected. A PSC meeting is scheduled for August 29th to discuss corrective actions.

#### 2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-	\$ 51,068,844
finance:	
Actual to date:	9,448,269
Progress	Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:
	To develop the committed activities, numerous planning, decision-making, and follow-up actions have been undertaken with the Steering Committee's
	approval. These efforts have incurred costs for the participation of expert personnel from DGGIMAR-SEMARNAT, INECC, SEDESU, SEMARNAT-QRO, and
	the Autonomous University of Querétaro (UAQ).
	Additionally, meeting time and technical advice have been provided by staff and experts from various federal, state, and municipal agencies on topics
	such as education, health, infrastructure, and the environment. A crucial support for component 2 of the project has been the training initiated by the
	National Institute of Social Economy (INAES) for miners and their wives, helping them form cooperatives that will develop pilot studies serving as models
	for transitioning to alternative activities and lifestyles. Similarly, regarding the risks to people exposed to mercury, the support from the Ministry of
	Health of the State of Querétaro has been crucial in organizing and conducting training for doctors on community clinical toxicology. This training aims to
	enhance the diagnostic and treatment capabilities for mercury poisoning.
	Moreover, as an indirect benefit stemming from the project's identification of socio-economic development needs, the access road to the community of
	Bucareli in the Municipality of Pinal de Amoles, where one of the main mercury mines is located and training for conversion has already begun, is being
	improved.
	One of the main challenges in the upcoming phases is the careful coordination of the GEF-funded financing with the economic mechanisms provided by
	various Mexican agencies (through co-financing) to the pilot projects. This will ensure the swiftest possible conversion of the largest number of miners
	and their wives to alternative livelihoods.

### 2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering	2024-07-22
committee meeting	
Stakeholder engagement (will be	INECC staff has been actively involved in management meetings for planning and decision-making, as well as various activities in the
uploaded to GEF Portal)	Sierra Gorda region. Continuous communication and participation have been maintained to ensure progress toward achieving project
	objectives. However, a more systematic approach, based on a formalized stakeholder engagement plan monitored by the PSC partners,
	is needed.

Coordination has been facilitated by PSC members, regional authorities from the four municipalities, state authorities (SEMARNAT-QRO, SEDESU), UAQ (the project coordinator in the territory), and the mining communities. These communities include key stakeholders such as mining leaders and miners from the project's municipalities. Beneficiaries involved in primary mercury mining and the transition to alternative economic activities have already been identified and engaged in project activities. Key mining leaders, miners, women
associated with primary mercury mining, opinion leaders, and others have participated in various workshops and meetings organized by the EA in the region.
Mexican government institutions, like INAES, have actively participated in supporting activities related to economic transition. The Ministry of Health of the State of Querétaro, the Geological Survey of Mexico, and academic institutions with local information on health conditions and mercury-contaminated sites and other heavy metals have also been engaged. However, their participation was temporarily halted due to political constraints related to the Mexican electoral process during the first half of 2024.
In 2025, the Project Steering Committee (PSC) partners will monitor the Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) and prepare a report for the PIR. This report will cover the purpose of the consultations, the topics discussed, the concerns raised by relevant stakeholders, the communication channels used, and the status of the resolution of these concerns.

### 2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender	Yes
action plan?	
Gender mainstreaming (will be	To achieve the objectives related to the transition of women's economic activities, an educational program focused on the participation
uploaded to GEF Portal):	of women in the target communities began in the communities. The educational program included in the alternative livelihood
	workshops currently implemented, aims to achieve the identification and organization of women for the development and
	implementation of economic reconversion projects.
	During 2024, previous efforts were expanded with the training that INAES has begun to provide for the formation of cooperatives that
	give rise to pilot reconversion projects. The idea of supporting women, given their relevant social role in their communities, is to be an
	engine that drives the reconversion of men through the example of the businesses they start for the benefit of their families and
	communities. In this sense, women are considered the spearhead to promote the transition to new productive activities. In this way,
	priority has been given to miners' wives.
	In addition, with the support programs provided by the Queretaro Women's Institute, issues of gender perspective and family well-being
	will be disseminated, networks of sisterhood will be consolidated among women in mining communities, and the social enterprises that
	they build under supervision will be strengthened. of the INAES.
	For next PIR 2025, the project in coordination with EA will produce a report on the implementation status of the pilot reconversion
	projects and other programs supported by the Queretaro Women's Institute.

## 2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in	Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?
terms of Environmental and	Yes
social safeguards)	If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?
	SS1: Sierra Gorda is a biodiversity rich protected region. The project aims to improve local people's livelihood by exploring some viable
	options including forest management, non-timber forest harvesting and tourism. Ecosystem restoration and conservation should not be
	compromised.SS2: The project aims to prevent mercury releases through permanent closure of primary mercury mines and effective

New social and/or environmental risks	<ul> <li>ending of new mercury mine development. health and safety of project team, contractors and local communities will be considered as high priority through minimizing their exposure to mercury-contaminated soil and water. Protective equipment and safety-related training will be provided and enforced.SS5: While indigenous communities are not direct target of the economic livelihood alternatives, conservation and commercialization of the resources in the protected areas will engage them actively if necessary.</li> <li>Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, describe the new risks or changes?</li> <li>\n</li> </ul>
Complaints and grievances	Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?
related to social and/or	Νο
environmental impacts	If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions were taken?
Environmental and social	
safeguards management	The project has been identified with moderate risk safeguards. To manage these risks effectively, the EA, in coordination with PSC members and stakeholders, maintains consistent and thorough communication to prevent any escalation of these risks. Informative workshops have been conducted collaboratively by the EA and regional stakeholders to prepare viable alternative livelihoods that do not threaten the natural protected areas and biodiversity of the region (SS1). Although there has been a delay in implementing planned actions at mercury-contaminated sites, the monitoring and laboratory capacities assessment is about to be concluded, since the corresponding report is almost finished; nevertheless, subsequent activities have been delayed due to political constraints of Mexican electoral process. The health risk monitoring plan is scheduled to begin in the second half of 2024 or beginnings of 2025. Outreach workshops on the environmental and health risks of mercury for the communities have successfully concluded (SS2). Since the first quarter of 2024, these outreach workshops have engaged most of the communities in the mining municipalities, in collaboration with the project coordinator in territory (UAQ), municipal authorities, federal authorities, mining leaders, and miners from the four municipalities (SS5). Additionally, an educational program has commenced, focusing on women in the Sierra Gorda, and INAES is integrating it into the training using the Social and Solidarity Economy methodology.For PIR 2025, a report will be developed to recognize the commendable efforts in developing sustainable alternatives that do not threaten biodiversity. The report will include progress on the closure and rehabilitation of mercury-contaminated sites, along with the outcomes of monitoring and capacity assessments. Remediation will address contamination cases, and health and safety risks for workers exposed to mercury will be mitigated by providing proper PPE. Additionally, the report will detail the communities involved i

## 2.8. KM/Learning

Knowledge activities and	For the development of the project components, several by-products (banners, information brochures, flipchart canvas and Power point
products	presentations about the project, Minamata Convention and the risks posed by mercury use in the mining sector) have been developed.
	As advances of the different activities, several documents have been prepared; some are under editorial review and the following have been shared with the PSC:
	<ul> <li>First, second and third report on activities of the Autonomous University of Querétaro (UAQ), as support in the territory for the coordination of the project.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Descriptive sheets of the communities of Camargo, Bucareli and San Joaquín.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Analysis of the Mining Law within the Framework of the Minamata Convention</li> </ul>
	For the work in the communities, an evaluation matrix and heat map were developed for the selection of the target localities. As a complement, detail fact sheets were integrated for each one of these target localities, which will be the ones where reconvention pilot project will initiate.
	Also preliminary alternative economic activities with the potential to be developed have been identified for each one community.
	They will be the start point considered during the training in the territory suitability analyisis that INAES will provide.
Main learning during the period	The main lessons learned are in line with component 2. The transition to alternative economic activities is a process that must respect the identity, dignity and free will of the communities. Although there is a previous exploratory study on the potential economic activities to be implemented in the region, it is necessary to identify and propose them in a context-specific manner, respecting the will of the miners, women and communities in general. This has represented a complementary work that guarantees the strategic approach for the communities to achieve the identification and integration of the alternatives, as well as their validation by the participating communities.

Although the tenders were stopped due to the Mexican electoral process, the terms of reference are ready to restart contracting as
soon as possible.

## 2.9. Stories

Stories to be	In July 2023, a visit by the UNEP communication team about the project was carried out, in which testimonies were obtained from the miners and their
shared	wives in the Sierra Gorda communities through a video recording. Although this video was not published in UNEP official channels, this material is
	available to disseminate the stories of the people who are experiencing the reconversion of mercury mining. With the development of the first social
	enterprises that are launched in the second half of 2024, there will be success stories to be shared from the beginning of 2025.

## **3** Performance

## **3.1** Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline	Mid-Term	End of	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator &	Progres
		level	Target or	Project	current	target as of 30 June	rating
			Milestones	Target	period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry only)		
Prevent the risks to environment	Number of tons of reduced	104 tons of	70 tons Hg	140 tons	50 tons considered	For the strategic achievement of this	MS
and human health from mercury	emissions from primary	Hg emitted	production	Hg	in the key work	indicator, an assessment of the	
through the control of primary	mercury mining	or produced	reduced	production	area	communities related to the 19 mines	
mercury mining and enabled		from 19		reduced		initially identified has been developed.	
environmentally and socially sound		assessed		over the		The assessment allowed the selection of	
alternative economic activities and		mines		life of the		3 locations to develop the initial work	
livelihoods in the state of		annually.		project		(Camargo, Bucareli and San Joaquin),	
Queretaro		Baseline to				which together represent the generation	
		be revised				of 50 tons of mercury.	
		following					
		monitoring					
		data from					
		activity 1.2.2					
		and 1.2.3					
Prevent the risks to environment	Number of people benefiting	None.	150, 51 %	400, 51 %	414 miners and 83	For the strategic achievement of this	MS
and human health from mercury	from the alternative economic	Alternative	female	female	(40% of the target	indicator, an assessment of the	
through the control of primary	activities and livelihoods	livelihoods			based on the	communities related to the 19 mines	
mercury mining and enabled		have not yet			proyect threshold)	initially identified has been carried	
environmentally and socially sound		been			womens contacted	out considering the population	
alternative economic activities and		introduced			to alternative	distribution. The key work locations are	
livelihoods in the state of					livelihoods	Camargo, Bucareli and San Joaquín. The	
Queretaro					workshops.	initial workshops have been prepared not	
						only for the miners, but for the	
						involvement of their families as well	
						(wives and children), and to expand the	

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	Project Target	-	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progres rating
						knowledge and relevance of the project within the communities.	
The Government monitors primary mercury mining and adopts an adequate regulatory framework	Number of new regulations and enforcement protocols adopted to implement article 3		regulations or protocols adopted	one revision to existing laws and 5 new regulations or protocols adopted	two proposals for legislative initiatives on mercury to further expand the scope of the modifications to the	The mining Law has been modified. National Government is aware of the priorities of the country in order to comply with the Minamata Convention and has made positive progress for doing the necessary changes to remove mercury as an exploitable mineral based on the consultations carried as part of the activities of the project.	MS
The Government monitors primary mercury mining and adopts an adequate regulatory framework		There are several inconsistent though disconnected efforts by researchers. No regular monitoring by government is conducted	system developed	system	0 Monitoring system	In general activities under this output are delayed, but the terms of reference are ready to carry out an evaluation of the mining liabilities located in the four municipalities of interest within the Sierra Gorda, which considers both the presence of artisanal mines and kilns, as well as mining waste deposits. The hiring of this consultancy will be restarted as soon as possible.Contact has been made with the National Metrology Center, which will join the project to support the validation of mercury measurement methods in air and soil.	U

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline	Mid-Term	End of	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator &	Progress
		level	Target or	Project	current	target as of 30 June	rating
			Milestones	Target	period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry only)		
Miners in Queretaro adopt	Percent of targeted miners	Miners are		At least	414 miners	414 people have been contacted in the	MS
alternative income generation	adopting alternative livelihoods	not currently		40% (n=80)	contacted	region, corresponding to 103.5% of the	
activities		leaving the		of targeted		target population. This population has	
		practice.		miners		not yet adopted new livelihood	
		There is a				alternatives but the information	
		robust				provided is being analyzed by a group of	
		regional				experts to propose the first pilot	
		economy in				alternatives based on the criteria	
		which				matrix evaluated by EA and technical	
		miners can				personnel.	
		be					
		integrated					

## 3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
	co dat		completion status as of st		challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
			previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		1
1	Output 1.1: Modifications to the existing legislative and regulatory	2026-07-31	50%	60%	[Mid-term: At least 3 draft regulations	S
Characterisatior	frameworks on mercury production, management, use and trade,				or protocols modified][End of project:	
of primary	are developed with relevant government experts and				At least 5 draft regulations or	
mercury mining	representatives from NGOs and key stakeholders				protocols modified][End of project: One	
and					gender review received1 draft	
reinforcement					regulations or protocols modified. In	
of control					this case the mining law has removed	
mechanisms					mercury as an exploitable mineral in the	
					nation.We already have two proposals	
					for legislative initiatives on mercury	
					to further expand the scope of the	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
				reporting		
				period (%)		
					modifications to the Mining Law already	
					published and to reform the General	
					Health Law.	
1	Output 1.2: Relevant agencies' capacity to identify and quantify	2026-04-30	20%	25%	[Mid-Point Target: Completion of	MU
Characterisation	mercury sources, including ongoing monitoring of mercury emissions				monitoring needs assessment][Mid-term:	
of primary	and releases in the primary mining sector is enhanced				Development of one monitoring	
mercury mining					regimen][End of project: One gender	
and					review of training materials}[Mid-term	
reinforcement					Target: At least 75 mines	
of control					inventoried][End of Project Target: All	
mechanisms					mines in the Sierra Gorda	
					inventoried][Mid-term: 3 preliminary	
					risk assessments conducted][End of	
					project: At least 10 preliminary risk	
					assessments conducted]The monitoring	
					and laboratory need assessment is being	
					developed, results are being	
					incorporated in a special inform.In	
					preparation for the human health risk	
					studies, a course on community clinical	
					toxicology was taught to first and	
					second level doctors in the state of	
					Querétaro, with emphasis on exposure to	
					mercury. The terms of reference are	
					ready to hire experts to develop a guide	
					with the key elements to develop an	
					official Mexican standard with limits to	
					protect human health and wildlife.	
1	Output 1.3: Site specific remediation mapping and planning are	2026-04-30	0%	0%	[Mid-Point Target: At least 3	MU
Characterisation	undertaken				potentially contaminated sites	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progre
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
		period (%)	period (%)			
of primary				i	identified][End of Project Target: At	
nercury mining					least 10 potentially contaminated sites	
ind				i	identified][End of project: At least 3	
einforcement				i	alternatives matrices developed with	
f control				i	inclusion of preliminary	
nechanisms					costing][Mid-term: At least 1 detailed	
				i	assessment consistent with SEMARNAT's	
					National Program forthe Remediation of	
					Contaminated Sites][End of project: At	
					least 3 detailed assessments consistent	
					with SEMARNAT's NationalProgram for the	
					Remediation of Contaminated Sites][End	
					of project: At least one set of gender	
					specific recommendations included in	
					reports.]1 potentially contaminated	
					site identified. In general activities	
					under this output are delayedbut the	
				1	terms of reference are ready to carry	
					out an evaluation of the mining	
					liabilities located in the four	
					municipalities of interest within the	
					Sierra Gorda, which considers both the	
					presence of artisanal mines and kilns,	
				i	as well as mining waste deposits. The	
					hiring of this consultancy will be	
				1	restarted as soon as possible.	
Introduction	Output 2.1: Alternative economic activities and livelihoods for	2026-07-31	30%	35%	[Mid-term: Five alternative livelihoods	MS
falternative	miners and local communities identified			i	identified][End of project: At least	
velihood					one set of gender reviews of workshop	
					and training materials receivedand	

Component	Output/Activity	-	-	-		Progres
			status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
				i	annexed to reports][Mid-term: At least	
					100 miners trained in alternative	
					ivelihoods][End of project: At least	
					200 miners trained in alternative	
					ivelihoods]Five alternative	
					ivelihoods identified. (pilot studies	
					have been updated with the feedback	
				á	andinformation provided by selected	
					mining communities. The technical group	
					responsible for the development of this	
				i	activity has selected the most relevant	
					communities to begin the pilot studies,	
					based on the previously constructed	
					matrix and heat map. In these	
					communities, INAES has already begun	
				1	training women and miners to join	
					cooperatives who will then put their	
					knowledge into practice to undertake the	
				1	first mining reconversion businesses.	
Introduction	Output 2.2: Awareness of miners and local communities of the	2026-07-31	57%	65%	Mid-term: at least 50 % of mining	S
of alternative	Minamata Convention obligations enhanced				communities report awareness of the	
ivelihood					Convention][End of project: at least	
					100 % of mining communities report	
				i	awareness of the convention]{End of	
					project: 100 % of materials include	
					gender and principles of equal	
					opportunity}65% of the communities	
					dentified are aware of the aspects	
					related to the Minamata Convention.	
					During the workshops carried in this	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					cycle, the EA in coordination with key	
					stakeholders, have visited new mining	
					communities in San Joaquín Municipality	
					to share legal and health knowledge of	
					mercury and the risk it poses not only	
					to the environment, but to the health of	
					the mining communities.	

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

## 4 Risks

#### 4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and	Low	Low
responsibilities		
2 Governance structure - Oversight	Moderate	Moderate
3 Implementation schedule	Moderate	Moderate
4 Budget	Low	Low
5 Financial Management	Low	Low
6 Reporting	Moderate	Moderate
7 Capacity to deliver	Moderate	Moderate

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

### 4.2 Table B. Risk-log

#### Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Risk 1: Political instability and shifting priorities	Outcome 1 Outputs 1.1. 1.2	М	L	L	М					Political constraints delayed full implementation of activities during
										2024

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Risk 2: Local support is not provided or is	Outcome 2Outputs 1.2. 1.3. 2.1	М	Μ	L	L				=	
not adequate for project needs	and 2.2									
Risk 3: Lack of transparency in financial	All outcomes and outputs	L	L	L	L				=	
management and distribution										
Risk 4: Limited mobility of project team due	Outcome 2Outputs 1.2. 1.3. 2.1	Н	S	L	L				=	
to ongoing COVID-19 pandemic inhibits	and 2.2									
project execution										
Risk 5: Environmental assessment	Outputs 1.2 and 1.3	L	L	L	L				=	
inadequately characterizes site										
Risk 6: Accident or spill during the field	Output 1.3	L	L	L	L				=	
waste operation										
Risk 7: Injury resulting to investigators	Outputs 1.2. 1.3	Н	S	М	Μ				=	
during site visits										
Risk 8: Increased COVID-19 exposure risk to	Outcome 2Outputs 1.2. 1.3. 2.1	М	L	L	L				=	
project staff and targeted communities	and 2.2									
Risk 9 : Disregard for the environmental and	Outputs 1.2 and 1.3	М	Μ	М	М				=	
health impacts of the mercury mines										
Risk 10: Poor uptake of alternative	Outcome 2Output 2.1	М	Μ	М	М				=	
livelihoods										
Risk 11: Increases in the Price paid for	Outcome 2Outputs 2.1 and 2.2	М	L	М	Н				$\uparrow$	According to information recently
mercury resulting from external or internal										shared by miners. mercury prices
forces affects adoption of alternatives										show a tendency to increase
livelihood										compared to previous years
Risk 12: Climate change adversely impacts	Outcome 2Output 2.1	L	L	М	н				$\uparrow$	Climate change has exacerbated
tourism (e.g. through forest fires) reducing			1							water scarcity in the communities of
employment in this sector										the Sierra Gorda in recent years.
SS 1: Biodiversity. natural habitat and	Objective	М	Μ	L	L				=	
Sustainable Management of Living										
Resources (M)										

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
SS 2: Resource Efficiency. Pollution	Objective	М	М	М	Μ				=	
Prevention and Management of Chemicals										
and Wastes (M)										
SS 5: Indigenous peoples (M)	Objective	М	М	L	L				=	
	-									·
Consolidated project risk		М	М	L	L				=	

## 4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Governance structure -	Steering Committee and/or	In addition to informing and	Improve	During the second half of	Executing Agency and
Oversight	other project members are	requesting approval from	communicationchannels	2024 until the end ofthe	Steering Committee
	notified of any consultancy	the Steering Committee of	with SteeringCommittee	project (2026)	members
	requested by the project	the consultancies to be	members.		
	considering sound	hired to carry out the			
	deadlines for accepting or	project activities. all			
	rejecting them (PIR 2023)	documents and products			
		that are obtained will be			
		shared on a regular basis so			
		that they have a clear			
		perspective of the results			
		that are being achieved.			
Implementation schedule	Most of Project's activities	All adjustments to the work	Maintain periodic reports to	During the second half of	Executing Agency
	are progressing according	calendar are promptly	the Steering Committee	2024 until the end ofthe	
	to last work plan approved	communicated to the	during its regular meetings	project (2026)	
	in August 2022 (PIR 2023)	Steering Committee so that			

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
		it approves the changes and	and. if necessary. through		
		is aware of the adjustment	extraordinary meetings.		
		of compliance dates.			
Reporting	Substantive reports are	There is the support of a	A new contract for the	Start Q1 2025	Executing Agency
	presented in a timely	project manager to	project manager will be		
	manner and are completed	generate the quarterly.	prepared for a second		
	with a good analysis of	semi-annual and annual	phase of the		
	project progress (PIR 2023)	technical and financial	project.Progress reports will		
		reports that must be	be requested from the EA's		
		presented to UNEP/GEF and	Financial Area further in		
		the Steering Committee.	advance than previously		
			considered. to reduce the		
			delay in the delivery of		
			relevant information to		
			integrate the annual and		
			semi-annual reports.		
Capacity to deliver	Sound technical and	The project combines the	Extend the contracts of the	Start Q1 2025	Executing Agency
	managerial capacity of EA	managerial capabilities of	project manager and the		
	with participant	the executing agency. with	coordinator in territory for		
	consultancies and	the technical and logistical	a second phase of the		
	institutions (PIR 2023)	support of the project	project.		
		manager and the			
		coordinator in the territory.			
		which allows for timely			
		follow-up of the contracted			
		consultancies and activities			
		in the communities.			

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Political instability and	New risk	Close communication will	Communication channels	During the second half of	Executing Agency
shifting priorities		be established with the	will be established with the	2024	
		transition team that will	transition team to inform		
		transfer information from	about the project.		
		the current government to			
		the one that will take office			
		in October 2024 so that it is			
		aware of the relevance of			
		the project and the			
		obligation to comply with			
		the commitments acquired.			
		in such a way that it			
		continues with planned			
		activities in a timely			
		manner.			
Injury resulting to	Evaluations of the	Close communication has	Maintain close	During the second half of	Executing Agency and
investigators during site	communities were carried	been maintained with the	communication and cordial	2024 until the end ofthe	consultants.
visits	out. considering aspects	communities since the	collaboration with	project (2026)	
	such as political will. social	beginning of activities in the	communities.		
	acceptance and identified	territory. this has generated			
	risks. (PIR 2023)	a cordial and trusting			
		environment for the free			
		movement of staff and			
		consultants. In cases where			
		it has been necessary.			
		specific meetings have been			
		held to clarify doubts and			
		address project concerns			

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
		that reduce pressure on			
		staff.			
Disregard for the	Project staff will ensure	A training program	In meetings with the new	During 2024	Executing Agency.
environmental and health	participating communities	formedical sector in the	communities of the		consultants and Health
impacts of the mercury	have a clear understanding	regionhas been started	Municipality of San Joaquín		Authorities
mines	of the risks to environment	tostrengthen the diagnosis	recently involved in the		
	and health from mercury	ofhealth impacts derived	project. information was		
	mines (PIR 2022). Health	fromprimary mercury	also shared with them		
	fairs and workshops have	mining.	about the environmental		
	been held in target		and health risks of mercury.		
	communities where health		This is how their awareness		
	and environmental issues		was standardized with the		
	have been shared and		rest of the communities.		
	reinforced. (PIR 2023)				
Poor uptake of alternative	Constant communications	Through INAES. training has	Alternative	Continued support from	Executing Agency. INAES
livelihoods	from project staff to	begun to establish	economicactivities will be	2023-2026	and target communities
	participate in communities	cooperatives that will	developed considering the		
	will take place to ensure	implement pilot projects in	models and methodologies		
	commitment and	the target communities.	of the social economy.		
	willingness for alternative	With this. it is intended that			
	livelihoods (PIR	these projects serve as			
	2022)Effective	strategic models so that			
	communication with the	more members of the			
	communities has been	mining communities are			
	carried out to motivate the	encouraged to participate			
	change to alternative	in reconversion.			
	economic activities (PIR				
	2023)				

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Increases in the Price paid	Communities are aware of	Through consultations with	An information channel will	second half of 2023 until	Executing Agency and
for mercury resulting from	the increase in mercury	miners. changes in the price	be maintained to link the	end of project (2026)	Ministry ofEnvironment and
external or internal forces	prices. which may	of mercury have been	implementation of NAPs in		Ministry of Health
affects adoption of	temporarily motivate the	monitored.	Latin America with the		
alternatives livelihood	market. but will eventually		Sierra Gorda market.		
	decline.(PIR 2023)				
Climate change adversely	The economic activities to	Within the feasibility	Comprehensive services will	Second half of 2024 until	Executing Agency and
impacts tourism (e.g.	be developed will be	analysis carried out for pilot	be contracted to provide	end of project (2026)	consultants
through forest fires)	evaluated and selected	projects to be implemented	support for the		
reducing employment in	considering environmental.	in the target communities.	development and		
this sector	economic and social	environmental (including	implementation of		
	aspects. (PIR 2023)	climate change). economic.	alternative		
		social and political aspects	economicactivities.		
		will be taken into account.			
Resource Efficiency.	A strategic program for the	As part of the remediation	The economic activities to	Second half of 2024 until	Executing Agency.
Pollution Prevention and	remediation of	program. in an initial stage.	be developed will	end of project (2026)	SEMARNAT and INAES
Management of Chemicals	contaminated sites will be	experts will be hired to	beevaluated and		
and Wastes (M)	proposed. (PIR 2023)	carry out an analysis and	selectedconsidering		
		location of the mining	environmental. economic		
		liabilities and the areas	and socialaspects.		
		most affected by mercury			
		contamination.			
Stakeholder engagement -	A stakeholder engagement	Develop and	From the participation and	Second half of 2024 until	Executing Agency. INAES
development of a	plan will be developed (PIR	implementStakeholder	degree of involvement	end of project (2026)	and communities
stakeholder engagement	2023)	EngagementPlan	obtained from the training		
plan		considering	that INAES is providing.		
		vulnerablepeople groups.	valuable information will be		
			obtained to strengthen the		

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
			engagement of the		
			communities.		
Gender sensitivity -	Information is being	As a fundamental part of	Consider in the	Second half of 2024 until	Executing Agency. INAES
Education programs lead to	collected and analyzed. The	the gender report. the	GenderAction Plan the	end of project (2026)	and consultants
economic advancement of	outcomes will be included	advances in the training	economicadvancement of		
women	in the generated gender	that women receive and the	women.		
	report.(PIR 2023)	pilot projects they			
		undertake in their			
		communities will be			
		considered.			
Safeguards - Sound	technical studies conducted	Technical studies conducted	Develop the	Start 2025	Executing Agency
management of mercury	(PIR 2023)		informationprocessing of		
contamination			mercurycontamination		
			management		
Safeguards - health risk	technical studies conducted	Technical studies conducted	Develop the	Start 2025	Executing Agency
monitoring plan	(PIR 2023)		informationprocessing of		
			mercurycontamination		
			management		
Delays in administrative	New risk	All requirements and	The revised terms of	Start Q1 2025	Executing Agency and
contracting processes due		documents have been	reference are now in place		consultants.
to early closure by the		prepared to expedite the	to launch the tenders for		
Ministry of Finance and		hiring processes as much as	the studies. consultancies		
Public Credit		possible as soon as they	and services that were		
		reopen.	planned for 2023. in such a		
			way that the contracting		
			processes are accelerated		
			when they are reopened.		

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Dissemination of artisanal	New risk	The benefits of the project	Training workshops on	During the second half of	Executing Agency. INAES
ovens in the homes of		will be disseminated among	entrepreneurship of	2024 until the end ofthe	and target communities
miners. whose magnitude		the largest number of	productive projects are	project (2026)	
and location are very		miners possible. in such a	being held in several		
difficult to identify.		way that those who have	communities of the		
		furnaces in their homes are	Municipality of San Joaquín		
		considered and with this. it	where homes that have		
		is expected that a broad	furnaces are concentrated		
		reconversion will be	to discourage mineral		
		achieved that reduces the	processing in homes.		
		need to process mineral ore			
		mercury in homes and will			
		prevent family exposure.			
Difficulty in identifying who	New risk	A registry of people who	The construction of the	During the second half of	Executing Agency.
are really miners and who		can be classified as active	registry has already begun	2024 and 2025	coordinator in territory.
therefore. should receive		miners. ex-miners and	in the municipality of San		INAES. municipal
support for reconversion.		migrant miners is being	Joaquín.		authorities
		built with the support of			
		municipal authorities.			

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

## 5 Amendment - GeoSpatial

#### **Project Minor Amendments**

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

#### 5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes
Results Framework:	
Components and Cost:	
Institutional and implementation arrangements:	
Financial Management:	
Implementation Schedule:	
Executing Entity:	
Executing Entity Category:	
Minor project objective change:	
Safeguards:	
Risk analysis:	
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	
Location of project activity:	
Other:	

Minor amendments

5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signature Date)		introduced in this
					revision
Original Legal Instrument		2021-06-07	2021-06-07	2026-06-30	Project Cooperation
					Agreement with INECC
Original Legal Instrument		2023-04-24	2023-04-24	2026-06-30	Internal Agreement with
					UNEP Knowledge and
					Risk Unit

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
Pinal de Amoles	21.13448	-99.6259	3521440 Pinal de	Pinal de Amoles occupies 6.08% of the state surface. has 219	
			Amoles	localities with a mainly semi-warm sub-humid climate	
				(INEGI. 2010). The total population registered in 2020 was	
				27.365 inhabitants (INEGI.	
				2021).https://www.geonames.org/8583263/pinal-de-	
				amoles.html	
San Joaquín	20.95754	-99.53221	8583276 San Joaquín	San Joaquin occupies 2.37% of the surface of the state. with	
				74 localities with a predominantly semi-warm sub-humid	
				climate (INEGI. 2010) and a registered population of 8.359	
				inhabitants (INEGI.	
				2021).https://www.geonames.org/8583276/san-	
				joaquin.html	
Cadereyta de Montes	20.78238	-99.65621	8583265 Cadereyta	Cadereyta de Montes occupies 11.52% of the state surface.	
			de Montes	has 285 localities in a mostly semi-dry temperate climate	

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
				(INEGI. 2010). It has a registered population of 69.075	
				inhabitants (INEGI.	
				2021).https://www.geonames.org/8583265/cadereyta-de-	
				montes.html	
Peñamiller	21.09568	-99.83628	8583274 Peñamiller	Municipality of 694.9 km2 (5.9% of the extension of	
				Querétaro). where 69.7% of its extension belongs to the	
				Sierra Gorda Queretana. Its population is 19.141 inhabitants	
				equivalent to 0.8% of the state population (INEGI.	
				2021).https://www.geonames.org/8583274/penamiller.htm	I

#### Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. \*

 $https://public.tableau.com/shared/X4PD6DNBK?:display\_count=n\&:origin=viz\_share\_link$ 

[Annex any linked geospatial file]

#### Additional Supporting Documents:

Filename	File Uploaded By	File Uploaded At	
GEFID_10086_Mexico Mercury	CW TM	2024-06-26 06:29:22	<u>Download</u>
Mining_PIR 2023_final.pdf			