## **Design & Appraisal Stage Quality Assurance Report**

Overall Project Rating:	
Decision:	
Project Number:	00096221
Project Title:	FSP-Invasive Alien Species
Project Date:	01-Jan-2018
Strategic	Quality Rating:
1. Does the project's The best reflects the project	eory of Change specify how it will contribute to higher level change? (Select the option from 1-3 that
contribute to outcome le	a theory of change with explicit assumptions and clear change pathway describing how the project will evel change as specified in the programme/CPD, backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in this cument clearly describes why the project's strategy is the best approach at this point in time.
	a theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project intends to contribute to and why the project strategy is the best approach at this point in time, but is backed by limited evidence.
	s not have a theory of change, but the project document may describe in generic terms how the project will ent results, without specifying the key assumptions. It does not make an explicit link to the programme/CPD's
Evidence	Management Response
	Change Diagram for the Project in PD.
Please see Theory of 0  2. Is the project aligned project)  3: The project resp	Change Diagram for the Project in PD.  with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the conds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least
Please see Theory of 0  2. Is the project aligned project)  3: The project respone of the proposed ne	Change Diagram for the Project in PD.  with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the
2. Is the project aligned project)  3: The project respone of the proposed neproject's RRF includes are 2: The project respone of the pro	Change Diagram for the Project in PD.  with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the conds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least we and emerging areas; an issues-based analysis has been incorporated into the project design; and the
2. Is the project aligned project)  3: The project respone of the proposed neproject's RRF includes  2: The project respinctudes at least one SF  1: While the project a sectoral approach with	Change Diagram for the Project in PD.  with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the conds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least we and emerging areas; an issues-based analysis has been incorporated into the project design; and the call the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option)  sonds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF
2. Is the project aligned project)  3: The project response of the proposed neproject's RRF includes  2: The project respinctudes at least one SF  1: While the project a sectoral approach with the RRF. This answer is	with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the conds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least we and emerging areas; an issues-based analysis has been incorporated into the project design; and the fall the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option)  conds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option)  ct may respond to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan, it is based on hout addressing the complexity of the development issue. None of the relevant SP indicators are included in
2. Is the project aligned project)  3: The project response of the proposed neproject's RRF includes  2: The project respinctudes at least one SF  1: While the project a sectoral approach with the RRF. This answer is Plan.  Evidence  This project will be link	with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the conds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least we and emerging areas; an issues-based analysis has been incorporated into the project design; and the fall the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option)  conds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option)  ct may respond to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan, it is based on hout addressing the complexity of the development issue. None of the relevant SP indicators are included in
2. Is the project aligned project)  3: The project response of the proposed neproject's RRF includes  2: The project respinctudes at least one SF  1: While the project a sectoral approach with the RRF. This answer is Plan.  Evidence  This project will be link	with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the conds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least we and emerging areas; an issues-based analysis has been incorporated into the project design; and the all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option)  conds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option)  conduct may respond to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan, it is based on nout addressing the complexity of the development issue. None of the relevant SP indicators are included in also selected if the project does not respond to any of the three areas of development work in the Strategic ed to the following output of the UNDP Strategic Plan.1.4.1. Solutions scaled up for sustainable management
2. Is the project aligned project)  3: The project response of the proposed neproject's RRF includes  2: The project respinctudes at least one SF  1: While the project a sectoral approach with the RRF. This answer is Plan.  Evidence  This project will be link	with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the conds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least we and emerging areas; an issues-based analysis has been incorporated into the project design; and the all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option)  conds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option)  conduct may respond to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan, it is based on nout addressing the complexity of the development issue. None of the relevant SP indicators are included in also selected if the project does not respond to any of the three areas of development work in the Strategic ed to the following output of the UNDP Strategic Plan.1.4.1. Solutions scaled up for sustainable management

3. Does the project have strategies to effectively identify, engage groups/geographic areas with a priority focus on the excluded an this project)	
3: The target groups/geographic areas are appropriately specified identified through a rigorous process based on evidence (if applied ensure the meaningful participation of specified target groups/geogrand decision-making (such as representation on the project board) (	aphic areas throughout the project, including through monitoring
2: The target groups/geographic areas are appropriately specific document states how beneficiaries will be identified, engaged and h (both must be true to select this option)	
1: The target groups/geographic areas are not specified, or do project does not have a written strategy to identify or engage or ens areas throughout the project.	
Not Applicable	
Evidence	Management Response
Women, young people and ethnic minorities are considered in the	
4. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of toption from 1-3 that best reflects this project)	JNDP and others informed the project design? (select the
4. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of toption from 1-3 that best reflects this project)  3: Knowledge and lessons learned (gained e.g. through peer a corporate policies/strategies, and monitoring have been explicitly us change and justify the approach used by the project over alternative.	assist sessions) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, sed, with appropriate referencing, to develop the project's theory of es.  If the development of the de
4. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of toption from 1-3 that best reflects this project)  3: Knowledge and lessons learned (gained e.g. through peer a corporate policies/strategies, and monitoring have been explicitly us change and justify the approach used by the project over alternative  2: The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned change but have not been used/are not sufficient to justify the approach used.  1: There is only scant or no mention of knowledge and lessons.	assist sessions) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, sed, with appropriate referencing, to develop the project's theory of es.  If the development of the project's theory of each selected over alternatives.
4. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of to option from 1-3 that best reflects this project)  3: Knowledge and lessons learned (gained e.g. through peer a corporate policies/strategies, and monitoring have been explicitly us change and justify the approach used by the project over alternative 2: The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned change but have not been used/are not sufficient to justify the approach 1: There is only scant or no mention of knowledge and lessons made are not backed by evidence.	assist sessions) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, sed, with appropriate referencing, to develop the project's theory of es.  If backed by evidence/sources, which inform the project's theory of each selected over alternatives.  It is learned informing the project design. Any references that are

2: A gender analysis on the project has been conducted. This analysis reflects on the different needs, roles and access to/control over resources of women and men. Gender concerns are integrated in the development challenge and strategy sections of the project

document. The results framework includes outputs and activities that specifically respond to this gender analysis, with indicators that measure and monitor results contributing to gender equality. (all must be true to select this option)

Evidence	Management Response
The UNDP Gender Marker for this project is 2. 50% beneficiary will be women.	of the direct
i. Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in t levelopment partners, and other actors? (select the	the role envisioned by the project vis-à-vis national partners, other option from 1-3 that best reflects this project)
evidence supports the proposed engagement of UND	of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and credible P and partners through the project. It is clear how results achieved by relevant plementing the project's intended results. If relevant, options for south-south and ropriate. (all must be true to select this option)
evidence supports the proposed engagement of and	le of other partners where the project intends to work, and relatively limited division of labour between UNDP and partners through the project. Options for not been fully developed during project design, even if relevant opportunities
limited evidence supports the proposed engagement	role of other partners in the area that the project intends to work, and relatively of UNDP and partners through the project. There is risk that the project overlaps s in this area. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have not been
Evidence	Management Response
Partners have been identified during the PPG.	
ocial & Environmental Standards	Quality Rating:
3: Credible evidence that the project aims to furt national laws and standards in the area of the project identified and assessed as relevant, with appropriate budget. (all must be true to select this option)	human rights using a human rights based approach? (select from options her the realization of human rights, upholding the relevant international and . Any potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were rigorously mitigation and management measures incorporated into project design and r the realization of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of
	nt, and appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into the
1: No evidence that the project aims to further the impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered.	ne realization of human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse ed.
Evidence	Management Response
	as been
To ensure human righst during the implementation had one during the risk assessment for this project.	

fully considered as relevant, and integrate	ties to enhance environmental sustainability and integrate poverty-environment linkages were ed in project strategy and design. Credible evidence that potential adverse environmental sly assessed with appropriate management and mitigation measures incorporated into project elect this option).
Credible evidence that potential adverse	strengthen environmental sustainability and poverty-environment linkages were considered. environmental impacts have been identified and assessed, if relevant, and appropriate corporated into project design and budget.
	strengthen environmental sustainability and poverty-environment linkages were considered. erse environmental impacts were adequately considered.
Evidence	Management Response
See Sustainability and Scaling Up in PD	
environmental impacts and risks? [If yes reason(s) for the exemption in the evide	reening Procedure (SESP) been conducted to identify potential social and s, upload the completed checklist as evidence. If SESP is not required, provide the nce section. Exemptions include the following:  ports, documents and communication materials
<ul> <li>Strengthening capacities of partners</li> <li>Partnership coordination (including l</li> </ul>	s to participate in international negotiations and conferences  UN coordination) and management of networks  ntry level activities (e.g. knowledge management, inter-governmental processes)
<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>	
O No	
SESP not required	
Evidence	
SESP conducted.	
lanagement & Monitoring	Quality Rating:
10. Does the project have a strong result	ts framework? (select from options 1-3 that best reflects this project)
change. Outputs are accompanied by SM the theory of change, each with credible	and activities are at an appropriate level and relate in a clear way to the project's theory of MART, results-oriented indicators that measure all of the key expected changes identified in data sources, and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, sexate. (all must be true to select this option)
of change. Outputs are accompanied by	and activities are at an appropriate level, but may not cover all aspects of the project's theory SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may not yet be itive, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true to select this option)
of outputs and activities are not at an approper not accompanied by SMART, results-orie	meet all of the conditions specified in selection "2" above. This includes: the project's selection propriate level and do not relate in a clear way to the project's theory of change; outputs are ented indicators that measure the expected change, and have not been populated with not specified, and/or no gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators.
Evidence	Management Response
See VI. PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEW	ORK in PD.

11. Is there a comprehensive and costed M&E plan with specific based management, monitoring and evaluation of the project?	ed data collection sources and methods to support evidence-
Yes	
O No	
Evidence	
See VII. MONITORING AND EVALUATION (M&E) PLAN.	
	e project document. Individuals have been specified for each position
in the governance mechanism (especially all members of the project responsibilities as specified in the terms of reference. The ToR of must be true to select this option).	ect board.) Project Board members have agreed on their roles and the project board has been attached to the project document. (all
2: The project's governance mechanism is defined in the progovernance roles, but individuals may not have been specified ye board, project director/manager and quality assurance roles. (all not be considered)	t. The prodoc lists the most important responsibilities of the project
1: The project's governance mechanism is loosely defined in filled at a later date. No information on the responsibilities of key p	the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need to be positions in the governance mechanism is provided.
Evidence	Management Response
Roles and responsibilities of the project's governance mechanism is elaborated in the PD.	1
drawing on the theory of change, Social and Environmental Stand other analysis. Clear and complete plan in place to manage and n	described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive analysis ards and screening, situation analysis, capacity assessments and
1: Some risks may be identified in the initial project risk log, be identified. This option is also selected if risks are not clearly identified.	out no evidence of analysis and no clear risk mitigation measures
	ned and no miliar not log to moladed with the project document.
Evidence	Management Response
Evidence  Project risks and proposed mitigation measures is clearly presented in the PD	
Project risks and proposed mitigation measures is clearly	

Yes	
O No	
Evidence	
See ToC in PD.	
15. Are explicit plans in place to ensure the project links up wit UNDP, national or other partners, to achieve more efficient rescoordinating delivery?)	th other relevant on-going projects and initiatives, whether led by ults (including, for example, through sharing resources or
Yes	
O No	
Evidence	
This is one of the 5 child projects under C-SAP programme (3 un	nder UNDP China) with the leading IP as MARA.
year budget. Costs are supported with valid estimates using bend inflation and foreign exchange exposure have been estimated and  2: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding so in a multi-year budget. Costs are supported with valid estimates to 1: The project's budget is not specified at the activity level, as Evidence	ources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project based on prevailing rates.
See X. TOTAL BUDGET AND WORK PLAN in PD.	
and development effectiveness services related to strategic coun policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, human resource general services, information and communications based on full of a 2: The budget covers significant direct project costs that are (i.e., UPL, LPL) as relevant.	rectly attributable to the project, including programme management atry programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline development, es, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, costing in accordance with prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.) are directly attributable to the project based on prevailing UNDP policies costs. UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project and the office should
Evidence	Management Response
See X. TOTAL BUDGET AND WORK PLAN in PD.	

into all project outputs at a minimum.

Effective	Quality Rating:
18. Is the chosen implementation mo	dality most appropriate? (select from options 1-3 that best reflects this project)
there is evidence that options for imp	artner assessments (capacity assessment, HACT micro assessment) have been conducted, and lementation modalities have been thoroughly considered. There is a strong justification for d on the development context. (both must be true to select this option)
	artner assessments (capacity assessment, HACT micro assessment) have been conducted and s consistent with the results of the assessments.
1: The required assessments had have been considered.	eve not been conducted, but there may be evidence that options for implementation modalities
Evidence	Management Response
See HACT.	
	marginalized and excluded populations that will be affected by the project, been engaged that addresses any underlying causes of exclusion and discrimination?
affected by the project, have been ac	geted groups, prioritising marginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in or tively engaged in the design of the project. Their views, rights and any constraints have been ot cause analysis of the theory of change which seeks to address any underlying causes of selection of project interventions.
have been engaged in the design of t	eted groups, prioritising marginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in the project, the project. Some evidence that their views, rights and any constraints have been analysed and visis of the theory of change and the selection of project interventions.
	with marginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in the project during project ights and constraints of populations have been incorporated into the project.
Not Applicable	
Evidence	
Ethnic minorities and women were to	argeted during the implementation.
	monitoring activities, have explicit plans for evaluation, and include other lesson learning r Lessons Learned Workshops), timed to inform course corrections if needed during
Yes	
No	
Evidence	
PSC meetings will be held annually.	Mid-term review and Terminal evaluation will be conduct accordingly.

21. The gender marker for all project outputs are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully mainstreamed

	Yes
0 1	No
Evide	ence Management Response
Gend	der mainstreaming is emphasised in the PD.
	ere a realistic multi-year work plan and budget to ensure outputs are delivered on time and within allotted resources? from options 1-3 that best reflects this project)
	3: The project has a realistic work plan & budget covering the duration of the project at the activity level to ensure outputs are red on time and within the allotted resources.
0 2	2: The project has a work plan & budget covering the duration of the project at the output level.
	1: The project does not yet have a work plan & budget covering the duration of the project.
Evide	ence
See 2	X. TOTAL BUDGET AND WORK PLAN in PD.
Sustaina	ability & National Ownership Quality Rating:
23. Hav	e national partners led, or proactively engaged in, the design of the project?
0 3	3: National partners have full ownership of the project and led the process of the development of the project jointly with UNDP.
• 2	2: The project has been developed by UNDP in close consultation with national partners.
0 1	1: The project has been developed by UNDP with limited or no engagement with national partners.
0 1	Not Applicable
Evide	ence
The	project is jointly developed by IP and UNDP.
24	travinatitutions and systems identified and is there a strategy for strangth mine specific/somewhat is senseting
	key institutions and systems identified, and is there a strategy for strengthening specific/ comprehensive capacities on capacity assessments conducted? (select from options 0-4 that best reflects this project):
	). The project has a comprehensive etrategy for etranethoping enecific conscition of national institutions based on a systematic
and de	3: The project has a comprehensive strategy for strengthening specific capacities of national institutions based on a systematic etailed capacity assessment that has been completed. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor national cities using clear indicators and rigorous methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national capacities dingly.
streng	2.5: A capacity assessment has been completed. The project document has identified activities that will be undertaken to atthese activities are not part of a comprehensive strategy to monitor and strengthen had capacities.
	2: A capacity assessment is planned after the start of the project. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific

1.5: There is mention in the project document of capacities of national institutions to be strengthened through the project, but no

capacities of national institutions based on the results of the capacity assessment.

capacity assessments or specific strategy development are planned.

cap	1: Capacity assessments have not been carried out and are not foreseen. There is no strategy for strengthening specific acities of national institutions.
	Not Applicable
Evi	dence
HA	CT is finished and periodic review/evaluation will be conducted during implementation.
	there is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, oring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?
•	Yes
	No
	Not Applicable
Evi	dence
bo	th UNDP and IP have own procurement standards to follow.
esult	there a clear transition arrangement/ phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up s (including resource mobilisation strategy)?
•	Yes
	No
Evi	dence

## **Quality Assurance Summary/PAC Comments**

Overall the project is well-designed abiding requirements from all parties.