



## FAO-GEF Project Implementation Review

**2019 – Revised Template**

Period covered: 1 July 2018 to 30 June 2019



### 1. Basic Project Data

#### General Information

<b>Region:</b>	Asia and the Pacific
<b>Country (ies):</b>	Fiji
<b>Project Title:</b>	Forestry and protected area management in Fiji, Samoa, Vanuatu and Niue (GEFPAS-FPAM)
<b>FAO Project Symbol:</b>	GCP/RAS/262/GFF
<b>GEF ID:</b>	3819
<b>GEF Focal Area(s):</b>	<i>Biodiversity (BD)</i> <i>BD SP-3 Strengthening terrestrial PA networks</i> <i>BD SP-4 Strengthening the policy and regulatory framework for mainstreaming biodiversity</i> <i>BD SP-5 Fostering markets for biodiversity goods and services</i>
<b>Project Executing Partners:</b>	Ministry of Local Government, Urban Development, Housing and Environment
<b>Project Duration:</b>	7 years

#### Milestone Dates:

<b>GEF CEO Endorsement Date:</b>	01/27/2009
<b>Project Implementation Start Date/EOD :</b>	07/1/2011
<b>Proposed Project</b>	06/30/2015

<b>Implementation End Date/NTE<sup>1</sup>:</b>	
<b>Revised project implementation end date (if applicable) <sup>2</sup></b>	06/30/2019
<b>Actual Implementation End Date<sup>3</sup>:</b>	06/30/2019

### Funding

<b>GEF Grant Amount (USD):</b>	6,283,750
<b>Total Co-financing amount as included in GEF CEO Endorsement Request/ProDoc<sup>4</sup>:</b>	11,787,220
<b>Total GEF grant disbursement as of June 30, 2019 (USD m):</b>	6,218,929
<b>Total estimated co-financing materialized as of June 30, 2019<sup>5</sup></b>	9,071,640

### Review and Evaluation

<b>Date of Most Recent Project Steering Committee:</b>	June 2018
<b>Mid-term Review or Evaluation Date planned (if applicable):</b>	NA
<b>Mid-term review/evaluation actual:</b>	NA
<b>Mid-term review or evaluation due in coming fiscal year (July 2019 – June 2020).</b>	<b>No</b>

---

<sup>1</sup> as per FPMIS

<sup>2</sup> In case of a project extension.

<sup>3</sup> Actual date at which project implementation ends/closes operationally -- only for projects that have ended.

<sup>4</sup> This is the total amount of co-financing as included in the CEO document/Project Document.

<sup>5</sup> Please see last section of this report where you are asked to provide updated co-financing estimates. Use the total from this Section and insert here.

Terminal evaluation due in coming fiscal year (July 2019 – June 2020).	No
Terminal Evaluation Date Actual:	22 May to 09 June 2017
Tracking tools/ Core indicators required <sup>6</sup>	No

### Ratings

Overall rating of progress towards achieving objectives/ outcomes (cumulative):	S	
Overall implementation progress rating:	S	
Overall risk rating:	L	

### Status

Implementation Status (1 <sup>st</sup> PIR, 2 <sup>nd</sup> PIR, etc. Final PIR):	Final PIR
--	-----------

---

<sup>6</sup> Please note that the Tracking Tools are required at mid-term and closure for all GEF-4 and GEF-5 projects. Tracking tools are not mandatory for Medium Sized projects = < 2M USD at mid-term, but only at project completion. The new GEF-7 results indicators (core and sub-indicators) will be applied to all projects and programs approved on or after July 1, 2018. Also projects and programs approved from July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2018 (GEF-6) must apply core indicators and sub-indicators at mid-term and/or completion

## Project Contacts

Contact	Name, Title, Division/Affiliation	E-mail
<b>Project Manager / Coordinator</b>	NA	-
<b>Lead Technical Officer</b>	Mr. Aru Mathias	Aru.Mathias@fao.org
<b>Budget Holder</b>	Ms. Eriko Hibi	Eriko.Hibi@fao.org
<b>GEF Funding Liaison Officer, Investment Centre Division</b>	Yurie Naito	Yurie.naito@fao.org

## 1. Progress towards achieving project objectives and outcomes (cumulative)

Project objective and Outcomes	Description of indicator(s) <sup>7</sup>	Baseline level	Mid-term target <sup>8</sup>	End-of-project target	Level at 30 June 2019	Progress rating
Objective <sup>9</sup>						
<b>1. Global environment objective:</b> To strengthen biodiversity conservation and reduce forest and land degradation.	1. Capacity of stakeholders to conserve biodiversity and manage PAs.	1. Limited legal, technical and financial capacity reduces the stakeholders' efforts to successfully conserve biodiversity and manage PAs.		1. Project stakeholders have the legal, technical and financial capacity to protect biodiversity in the PAs established under the project.	The mechanisms to support build the project stakeholders' capacity are in place and being adopted. Stakeholders are better informed, better equipped with information, training and skills than before the project.	MS
	2. Forest and land management practices changed.	2. Current forest and land management practices result in forest and land degradation with negative impacts on the delivery of ecosystem services.		2. Barriers to sustainable land and forest management are removed in and around the Protected Areas established under the project.	Barriers are being addressed and progressively removed. LO Communities are taking lead role in awareness creation, participating in BD assessments and developing management regimes. Govt and NGO are better informed and supporting LO communities.	S

<sup>7</sup> This is taken from the approved results framework of the project. Please add cells when required in order to use one cell for each indicator and one rating for each indicator.

<sup>8</sup> Some indicators may not identify mid-term targets at the design stage (refer to approved results framework) therefore this column should only be filled when relevant.

<sup>9</sup> Applicable only for projects with objective level indicators.

Project objective and Outcomes	Description of indicator(s) <sup>7</sup>	Baseline level	Mid-term target <sup>8</sup>	End-of-project target	Level at 30 June 2019	Progress rating
<b>2. Project development objective:</b> To enhance the sustainable livelihoods of local communities living in and around protected areas.	1. Incentives for biodiversity conservation and SLFM.	Local communities are not sufficiently empowered to participate in biodiversity conservation and PA management and do not receive enough benefits as incentive for conservation.		1. Project activities are focused on local needs and priorities, resulting in permanent changes in behaviour that lead to more sustainable use of natural resources and higher incomes.	LO communities are involved in the establishment of livelihood and/or income generating activities through the sustainable use of BD and appreciate and receptive/opting to these incentives rather than forest harvesting. 12,000+ Ha have been consented for biodiversity conservation.	S

**Action plan to address MS, MU, U and HU rating <sup>10</sup>**

Outcome	Action(s) to be taken	By whom?	By when?

---

<sup>10</sup> To be completed by Budget Holder and the Lead Technical Officer

## 2. Progress in Generating Project Outputs

Outputs <sup>11</sup>	Expected completion date <sup>12</sup>	Achievements at each PIR <sup>13</sup>				Implementation status (cumulative)	Comments. Describe any variance <sup>14</sup> or any challenge in delivering outputs
		4 <sup>th</sup> PIR	5 <sup>rd</sup> PIR	6 <sup>th</sup> PIR	7 <sup>th</sup> PIR		
New policies and legislation enacted	June 2019	Tender for legislation and institutional review in preparation.	1 <sup>st</sup> review of policy, legal & institutional arrangements relevant to PAs by FELA 2 <sup>nd</sup> review by IUCN with local and national consultations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gaps identified and agreed on.</li> <li>Initial consultation completed.</li> </ul> (Draft) Framework for Terrestrial PA Management developed.	Development of a National Framework for PA for Fiji (marine and terrestrial)	90%	The framework development has progressed well but the enactment and transforming the framework to a formal policy/legislation will take more time and rests with the Govt.

<sup>11</sup> Outputs as described in the project logframe or in any updated project revision. In case of project revision resulted from a mid-term review please modify the output accordingly or leave the cells in blank and add the new outputs in the table explaining the variance in the comments section.

<sup>12</sup> As per latest work plan (latest project revision); for example: Quarter 1, Year 3 (Q1 y3)

<sup>13</sup> Please use the same unity of measures of the project indicators, as much as possible. Please be extremely synthetic (max one or two short sentence with main achievements)

<sup>14</sup> Variance refers to the difference between the expected and actual progress at the time of reporting.



	May 2018	-	-	Contract Agreement for Finalizing Typology for Terrestrial Protected Area operational but progress rate lower than expected	The typology completed	100%	<b><i>This work was not initially programmed BUT is critical to legal reform work.</i></b>
<b><i>Formalize/strengthen existing Protected Areas</i></b>  <b><i>Taveuni (17,576 Ha)</i></b>	May 2018	-	Contract Agreement developed with TLTB to develop land use plan & register boundaries	Contract Agreement is operational but progress rate lower than anticipated	Completed	100%	
<b><i>Creation of new Protected Areas.</i></b>  <b><i>Tomaniivi (2,499 Ha) Increase to 8,635 Ha</i></b>          <b><i>Delaikoro (new) Increase to 8,778 Ha</i></b>	July 2018	Additional 2700 ha PA consented and 5200 ha optional with CCAs.	Additional 3116 ha PA consented and 4784 ha optional with CCAs.	Additional 6147 ha PA consented and 1753 ha optional with CCAs.  Alternative livelihood and income generating activities in progress (Honey production, Tree Breeding, Bird Watching, Cattle Ranching)	Local communities have signed consent forms to secure additional area (but not formalized as the process is taking longer than anticipated.	80%	
		Landowning and local community consultations in progress	6778 Ha consented for PA establishment.	Work suspended. Status quo from previous PIR. NSC informed of status.		80%	

<b>Protected Area management plans produced for all project sites</b>	Taveuni – May 2018  Tomaniivi – July 2018	Delaikoro Baseline completed.	Taveuni – gap analysis completed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Taveuni baseline completed.</li> <li>Tomaniivi planning in progress</li> </ul>	-	50%	<i>The progress to complete the output stalled due to slow response from govt. counterparts.</i>
<b>High-priority management activities implemented</b>	June 2018	<p>Long term biodiversity monitoring carried out in Sovi Basin PA.</p> <p>Tomaniivi - Clearing of trails of cyclone damage debris in progress.</p> <p>LO training for Tomaniivi (16) &amp; Delaikoro (4) on shortened version of CBD &amp; PA Management conducted</p>	<p>Tomaniivi - New hiking trail constructed (1000 m) for Nabalasere venture.</p> <p>Cattle range mgt. with fencing initiated</p> <p>LO training for Sovi Basin PA &amp; NTF officers on shortened version of CBD &amp; PA Management conducted</p>	<p>Tomaniivi (2<sup>nd</sup>) Delaikoro (2<sup>nd</sup>) and Taveuni (1<sup>st</sup>) LO training on shortened version of CBD &amp; PA Management planned;</p> <p>Tomaniivi – baseline assessment planned;</p> <p>Tomaniivi management planning, boundary registration in progress</p> <p>Training of LO communities of Bua province (Wildlife Conservation Society program) on shortened version of CBD &amp; PA Management</p>	Tomaniivi management plan developed	70%	

				training planned			
<b>Data and information provided regularly to relevant conventions, international organisations and other international databases.</b>	June 2018	Reassessment of Sovi Basin PA baseline data available.  Establishment of GIS Unit for Min of Environment (focal point) – in progress.	GIS Unit for Min of Environment (focal point) – equipment & program procured.	Installation of GIS Unit (Min of Environment) and training of staff in progress	Completed	100%	
<b>National maps, databases &amp; websites on biodiversity &amp; Protected Areas updated &amp; operational</b>		National Map on PA (marine & terrestrial) and Proposed Sites endorsed by NEC.	Development of 3-D model (digital) for 2 sites completed.	Project data and information will be housed within Min of Forests database and promoted through Website – NSC meeting of 6 <sup>th</sup> June 2018.	-	50%	<b>No activities in this period</b>
<b>Awareness raising materials and facilities produced/installed</b>	June 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support to IDF celebration 2014/15/16</li> <li>• Launch of countrywide awareness creation campaign ‘Wakatu’</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Brochure for FTC Training program on CBD &amp; PA Management produced and in circulation.</li> <li>• Two audio-visuals produced and broadcasted.</li> <li>• Biodiversity Teaching tool kit for primary schools developed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WAKATU Campaign tools used by organizations for LO consultations under Sub-comp 2.1.1 (TLTB - Taveuni) and 2.2.1 (CI Fiji - Tomaniivi);</li> <li>• WAKATU Campaign tools incorporated into</li> </ul>	-	50%	<b>No activities during this period</b>

			<p>and handed over to Department of Education during public launching.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wakatu Fiji campaign implemented. More than 4400 face-book followers, 17 videos for you-tube and TV, Radio call back sessions, numerous campaign material produced including training flipcharts in English and Fijian,</li> <li>Trainers in SLFM for 241 participants from Government and communities conducted</li> </ul>	<p>shortened version of CBD &amp; PA Management training and will be used for Bua LO training under Sub-comp 2.3.2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Signboards installed for Nabalasere venture – 2 more signboards to be installed (in progress);</li> <li>Av-documentaries of all project achievements to be produced and aired on TV – in progress;</li> <li>Regional workshop-meeting to promote project achievements planned at end of project term – in progress</li> </ul>			
<b>Landowners, government staff and other relevant stakeholders trained</b>	June 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review of FTC Training program completed: (a) reform of forest technician course completed &amp; tested; (b) Development of CBD &amp; PA Management program – in</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BC &amp; PAM training course - 7 of 24 modules developed ready for teaching.</li> <li>One tailor made course for BC &amp;PAM for communities developed and field tested on Tomaniivi/Delaikoro</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BC &amp; PAM Training course – 22 of 24 modules developed and ready for teaching;</li> <li>30 Sovi Basin community members &amp; NTF officers trained on tailored</li> </ul>	Additional training conducted totally covering 110 communities	90%	<b><i>The output cannot be completed as there was no response from the govt. partner to conduct the final training</i></b>

		<p>progress</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Environmental Compliance &amp; Enforcement Skills training – 23 trained;</li> <li>• 100 (LO, NGO &amp; IAS Scientists) trained in BD Assessment &amp; Risk ID.</li> <li>• Training in SLM for Agricultural extension workers and communities in Taveuni, Tomaniivi and Delaikoro area.</li> <li>• Training in First Aid for guides and planning and construction of hiking trails for communities in Tomaniivi.</li> </ul>	<p>LO.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Capacity building tour for all FTC staff, national consultant and NPC in Northern Australia conducted.</li> <li>• Training of all FTC instructors in module development and teaching by IC.</li> <li>• Training for Department of Education staff in application of biodiversity school kit.</li> <li>• Training in SLM techniques by SPC staff on project sites for a total of 437 participants</li> <li>• Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Skills training – 43 trained.</li> <li>• Training in trail construction and maintenance conducted by DoC NZ staff for 12 forest officers and 52 villagers</li> </ul>	<p>(shortened) version of BC &amp; PAM training course.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bua LO communities (56 members) to be trained under shortened version of BC &amp; PAM training course – in progress</li> <li>• Taveuni, Tomaniivi and Delaikoro LO to be trained under shortened version of BC &amp; PAM training course under Sub-comp 2.1.1 and 2.2.1 – in progress</li> </ul>			
--	--	---	---	---	--	--	--

<b>Long-term financing needs for PAs assessed and financing strategy produced for each country.</b>	June 2018		Contract agreement with service provider has been prepared but stalled because of administrative reasons. The issue could not be solved before the official extension of the project for Fiji.	Contract agreement operational but rate of progress lower than anticipated. – 1 <sup>st</sup> consultations completed.	Assessment completed	100%	
<b>Protected Area management activities in Sovi Basin fully-funded from Trust Fund by M12.</b>	na		Administrative issues between FAO and HSBC Bank (Trust account holder) prevented the transfer of project funds to increase the Trust capital.	na	na	na	Funds have been redirected to other components.
<b>Evaluation/ Assessment of Sovi Basin Trust Fund produced.</b>	June 2018		Contract agreement with service provider has been prepared but stalled because of administrative reasons. The issue could not be solved before the official extension of the project for Fiji.	Contract agreement operational but rate of progress lower than anticipated. – 1 <sup>st</sup> consultations completed.	Evaluation completed	100%	
<b>Assessment of eco-cultural tourism development produced</b>	June 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feasibility study of project sites completed;</li> <li>• Feasibility study for eco-tourism in Sovi Basin PA completed.</li> <li>• Feasibility study for Eco-cultural tourism at Greater Tomaniivi Area in preparation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feasibility study for Eco-cultural tourism at Greater Tomaniivi Area in preparation (under contract of sub-comp 2.2.1).</li> <li>• Master plan to improve Thurston Botanical Garden, a national heritage site and part of the new tourism product “Suva Heritage trail”</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Contract agreement to conduct feasibility study of Eco-cultural tourism in Tomaniivi operational but progress lower than anticipated.</li> </ul>	Assessment conducted of opportunities and options, and submitted to the National Trust of Fiji	100%	

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Master plan to improve Thurston Botanical Garden, a national heritage site and part of the new tourism product “Suva Heritage trail” in progress</li> </ul>	completed and launched by HE, The President of Fiji, adopted by National Trust of Fiji, the Suva City Council and Fiji Museum – preparatory groundwork within the garden in progress.				
<b>Eco-cultural tourism enterprises established and operating successfully</b>	June 2018	Supporting Nabalasere venture (Tomanivi Site) – trail improvement and upgrading. Trail surveyed, improvements identified and material listing prepared with assistance of DoC (NZ)	Supporting Nabalasere venture (Tomanivi Site) – trail improvement and upgrading. Material procured and upgrading work completed with assistance of DoC (NZ). Trail and Venture launched by Minister of Forests.	Supporting Nabalasere venture (Tomanivi Site) – 2 signboards installed, and (a) installation of 2 additional signboards; (b) construction of Information Centre and Dormitory in progress.	-	50%	No major activities during this period
<b>Revenue-sharing mechanism established and operating successfully</b>	June 2018		Mechanism established in Tomanivi (Nabalasere) and Sovi Basin PA	<p>Nabalasere venture - Income increased from \$FJ3,000 (over 3-years) to \$FJ3,000 per month.</p> <p>Sovi Basin – linked to Sub-com 4.2.4</p>	-	50%	No major activities during this period.

<b>Assessment of non-wood forest product development produced.</b>	June 2018	Assessment in progress with SPC working on outcome 6.	Assessment completed.	NWFP work by SPC built upon by Southern Cross University to include potential crops and value adding opportunities in and around the project sites – completed.	-	100%	
<b>Non-wood forest product income generating activities operating successfully</b>			Demonstration plots (under Comp 6) established for intercropping and selected crops. Plots now under / monitored by Min of Ag. (extension).	Tomaniivi – Work on Honey Production in progress;  FAO-AAD Project to upscale ventures – fruit and nut production in Delaikoro	Delaikoro- Agroforestry and mix crop farms established	100%	



## Development Objective Ratings, Implementation Progress Ratings and Overall Assessment

	<b>FY2019 Development Objective rating<sup>15</sup></b>	<b>FY2019 Implementati on Progress rating<sup>16</sup></b>	<b>Comments/reasons justifying the ratings for FY2019 and any changes (positive or negative) in the ratings since the previous reporting period</b>
<b>Project Manager / Coordinator</b>	na	na	
<b>Budget Holder</b>	S	S	As mentioned in the previous PIRs, given the delay in the implementation due to the reasons provided in the terminal evaluation report, the implementation in the past three years has been consistently good. The period leading up to Jan 2019 saw the project completing some of the key outputs. The last few months the project could not close out certain activities due to very slow response from the Govt. partners. Overall the project has achieved substantial gains that will form the base for future conservation work in Fiji.
<b>Lead Technical Officer<sup>17</sup></b>	S	S	The project stalled at the start for various reasons already mentioned in other PIRs, but in the last two years going towards the expiratory date, the project has been very active, progressively implementing the activities and completed several components. The awareness, networking and partnership with Government, regional organizations and key NGOs (local & international) has increased project visibility and promoted Protected Area Management work in Fiji and neighbour countries. Work, studies, training modules and technical reports done will continue to assist, guide and provide “lessons learned” for other countries in the region.

<sup>15</sup> **Development/Global Environment Objectives Rating** – Assess how well the project is meeting its development objective/s or the global environment objective/s it set out to meet.

Ratings can be Highly Satisfactory (HS), Satisfactory (S), Moderately Satisfactory (MS), Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU), Unsatisfactory (U) or Highly Unsatisfactory (HU). For more information on ratings, definitions please refer to Annex 1.

<sup>16</sup> **Implementation Progress Rating** – Assess the progress of project implementation. For more information on ratings definitions please refer to Annex 1.

<sup>17</sup> The LTO will consult the HQ technical officer and all other supporting technical Units.

<b>GEF Funding Liaison Officer</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	The project completed the work after extension mainly caused by natural disasters. This project contributed to lay foundation for the future GEF-financed portfolio including the upcoming GEF6 project.
------------------------------------	----------	----------	--

### Information on Progress, Outcomes and Challenges on project implementation.

**Please briefly summarize main progress achieving the outcomes (cumulative) and outputs (during this fiscal year):**

**Max 200 words:**

- Drafting of the National Framework for PA (combined Marine/Terrestrial)
- Typology for Terrestrial Protected Area prepared
- Delaikoro project site- Agroforestry and mix crop farms established
- Assessment of Sovi Basin Trist Fund completed
- Tomaniivi PA management plan developed

**What are the major challenges the project has experienced during this reporting period?**

**Max 200 words:**

The main challenge faced in this period, especially the last six months was the slow response from the govt. partners in closing out certain activities and achieve outstanding outputs.

### 3. Risks

Please make sure that the below risk table include also Environmental and Social Management Risks captured by the Environmental and social Management Risk Mitigations plans.

**Environmental and Social Safeguards** (Under the responsibility of the LTO)

Overall Project Risk classification (at project submission)	Please indicate if the Environmental and Social Risk classification is still valid <sup>18</sup> . If not, what is the new classification and explain.
L	

Please make sure that the below risk table include also Environmental and Social Management Risks captured by the Environmental and social Management Risk Mitigations plans.

**Risk ratings**

RISK TABLE
The following table summarizes risks identified in the <b>Project Document</b> and reflects also <b>any new risks</b> identified in the course of project implementation. The <u>Notes</u> column should be used to provide additional details concerning manifestation of the risk in your specific project, <b>as relevant</b> .

---

<sup>18</sup> **Important:** please note that if the Environmental and Social Risk classification is changing, the ESM Unit should be contacted and an updated Social and Environmental Management Plan addressing new risks should be prepared.

	Risks <sup>19</sup>	Original rating in ProDoc	BH rating now	LTO <sup>20</sup> rating now	Notes from the BH and/or Project Management Unit	Notes from the LTO and/or GEF FLO
1	The Project is not implemented in accordance with FAO/Donor requirements	L	L	L		
2	The Project Management (NPCs, NTA) is not well resourced, and technically and administratively competent to implement the Project.	L	L	L		
3	Governance	L	L	L		
4	Co-financing	L	L	L		
5	Climate change (reduction in areas suitable for BD conservation, increased competition from invasive species, reduced productivity in forestry and agriculture).	L	L	L		

<sup>19</sup> Risk ratings will assess the overall risk of factors internal or external to the project which may affect implementation or prospects for achieving project objectives. Risks of projects should be rated on the following scale: **High Risk (H** - There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks); **Substantial Risk (S** - There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks); **Modest Risk (M** - There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/ or the project may face only modest risks.); **Low Risk (L** - There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/ or the project may face only modest risks.) **Please add any new risk not initially foreseen in the Project Document.**

<sup>20</sup> LTO = Lead Technical Officer - The LTO will consult the Budget Holder, the HQ technical officer and all other supporting technical Units.

	<b>Risks<sup>19</sup></b>	<b>Original rating in ProDoc</b>	<b>BH rating now</b>	<b>LTO<sup>20</sup> rating now</b>	<b>Notes from the BH and/or Project Management Unit</b>	<b>Notes from the LTO and/or GEF FLO</b>
<b>6</b>	Tropical cyclones (destruction of agricultural crops and forest degradation; increased soil erosion; reduced water quality; increased competition from invasive species; reductions in biodiversity).	S	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>		
<b>7</b>	Forest fires, pests and disease (Forest degradation, increased competition from invasive species, reductions in biodiversity).	L/M	<b>L/M</b>	<b>L/M</b>		
<b>8</b>	Potential sources of funding for PA are inadequate (available funding does not cover protected area management costs, incentives are too low to persuade landowners to change their behaviour).	S	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>		
<b>9</b>	Inadequate markets for biodiversity goods and services (market mechanisms provide very little funding for protected area management; market incentives are too low to persuade landowners to change their behaviour).	S	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>		
<b>10</b>	Limited support and implementation capacity in government (policy, legal and institutional changes will not occur; lower chance of long-term sustainability; progress on the project will be delayed).	L/M	<b>L/M</b>	<b>L/M</b>		
<b>11</b>	Inadequate enforcement (current threats to biodiversity conservation will not be reduced; forest degradation will continue	M	<b>M</b>	<b>M</b>		

**Project overall risk rating** (Low, Medium, Substantial or High):

<b>FY2018 rating</b>	<b>FY2019 rating</b>	<b>Comments/reason for the rating for FY2019 and any changes (positive or negative) in the rating since the previous reporting period</b>
Low	Low	

## 4. Adjustments to Project Strategy

Please report any adjustments made to the project strategy, as reflected in the results matrix, in the past 12 months<sup>21</sup>

Change Made to	Yes/No	Describe the Change and Reason for Change
Project Outcomes	No	
Project Outputs	No	

### Adjustments to Project Time Frame

If the duration of the project, the project work schedule, or the timing of any key events such as project start up, evaluations or closing date, have been adjusted since project approval, please explain the changes and the reasons for these changes. The Budget Holder may decide, in consultation with the PTF, to request the adjustment of the EOD-NTE in FPMIS to the actual start of operations providing a sound justification.

Change	Describe the Change and Reason for Change
Project extension	1 <sup>st</sup> extension period: July 2015 – June 2017 – due to late start-up time 2 <sup>nd</sup> extension period: July 2017 – June 2018 – due to natural disaster 3 <sup>rd</sup> extension period: July 2018 – Jan 2019 – due to natural disaster + possibility of completing project activities 4 <sup>th</sup> extension period: Jan 2019 to June 2019- possibility of completing project activities

---

<sup>21</sup> Minor adjustments to project outputs can be made during project inception. Significant adjustments can be made only after a mid-term review/evaluation or supervision missions. The changes need to be discussed with the FAO-GEF Coordination Unit, then approved by the whole Project Task Force and endorsed by the Project Steering Committee.

## 5. Gender Mainstreaming

**Information on Progress on gender-responsive measures as documented at CEO Endorsement/Approval in the gender action plan or equivalent (when applicable)?**

- a) Although the project respects gender equality, it also respects the Fijian culture and traditions, which is basically male dominated. However, more villagers are encouraging the participation and views of their womenfolk in decisions concerning the entire community. E.g. Nabalasere ecotourism venture – the tourism committee is dominated by womenfolk and youths;
- b) For Tomaniivi, the project is funding activities on a) Honey Production and b) sandalwood nursery specifically targeting women groups (Women In Business).
- c) The project encourages equal participation of women and youth during trainings, consultations and community meetings, and supports community women groups through catering services for community-based activities;
- d) Socio-economic surveys – segregation of views (men, women and youths).
- e) Biological assessments – women and youths are represented in the assessment teams (made up of scientists, porters, guides).



## 6. Indigenous Peoples Involvement

**Are Indigenous Peoples involved in the project? How? Please briefly explain.**

If applies, please describe the process and current status of on-going/completed, legitimate consultations to obtain Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) with the indigenous communities

The land tenure system in which the project sites are situated are native owned land and therefore the project involve indigenous people in/through: -

- a) Consultation and decision-making on alienating forest land for biodiversity conservation – respecting indigenous protocols
- b) Establishing alternative livelihood and income generating ventures
- c) Training and awareness campaign drives (e.g. WAKATU campaign)
- d) The indigenous based institutions, i.e. iTaukei Land Trust Board and Ministry for iTaukei Affairs – which administers the natural resources and welfare/rights of the indigenous communities;
- e) Support activities/organizations that assist in the development of village natural resource management committee and plans
- f) Engagement during biological assessments as guides and porters (conducting field training) – exchange of information and respect/acknowledging historical, traditional and cultural knowledge

## 7. Stakeholders Engagement

**Please report on progress, challenges and outcomes on stakeholder engagement (based on the description of the Stakeholder engagement plan included at CEO Endorsement/Approval (when applicable))**

If your project had a stakeholder engagement plan, specify whether any new stakeholders have been identified/engaged:

The main stakeholder engagement event during this reporting period was the terminal meeting, where the projects results and the key lessons learnt were discussed with all the relevant stakeholders.

## 8. Knowledge Management Activities

**Knowledge activities / products (when applicable), as outlined in knowledge management approved at CEO Endorsement / Approval**

- Please tell us the story of your project, focusing on how the project has helped to improve people's livelihood and how it is contributing to achieve the expected global environmental benefits
- Please provide the links to publications, video materials, etc.

No key knowledge management related activity was conducted during this period, except the production of a pamphlet for the terminal meeting.

## 9. Co-Financing Table

Sources of Co-financing <sup>22</sup>	Name of Co-financer	Type of Co-financing	Amount Confirmed at CEO endorsement / approval	Actual Amount Materialized at 30 June 2019-	Actual Amount Materialized at Midterm or closure (confirmed by the review/evaluation team)	Expected total disbursement by the end of the project
National Government	Government of Fiji	In Kind	1,557,640	1,557,640	1,557,640	na
Local Government	Suva City Council	In Kind	100,000	100,000	100,000	na
Local Government	Lautoka City Council	In Kind	100,000	100,000	100,000	na
CSO	Conservation International (Fiji)	In Kind	3,130,000	3,130,000	3,130,000	na
Private Sector	University of the South Pacific	In Kind	870,000	870,000	870,000	na
CSO	Nature Fiji – Mareqeti Viti	In Kind	1,040,000	1,040,000	1,040,000	na
National Government	National Trust of Fiji	In Kind	840,000	840,000	840,000	na
CSO	Bird Life International (Fiji)	In Kind	340,000	340,000	340,000	na

<sup>22</sup> Sources of Co-financing may include: Bilateral Aid Agency(ies), Foundation, GEF Agency, Local Government, National Government, Civil Society Organization, Other Multi-lateral Agency(ies), Private Sector, Beneficiaries, Other.

CSO	Wildlife Conservation Society	In Kind	94,000	94,000	94,000	na
Bilateral Aid	FAO – AAD Project	In Kind	0	1,500,000	0	na
<b>TOTAL</b>			8,571,640	10,071,640	8,071,640	na

**Please explain any significant changes in project co-financing since Project Document signature, or differences between the anticipated and actual rates of disbursement**

## **Annex 1. – GEF Performance Ratings Definitions**

**Development/Global Environment Objectives Rating** – Assess how well the project is meeting its development objective/s or the global environment objective/s it set out to meet. **DO Ratings definitions:** **Highly Satisfactory (HS)** - Project is expected to achieve or exceed **all** its major global environmental objectives, and yield substantial global environmental benefits, without major shortcomings. The project can be presented as “good practice”); **Satisfactory (S)** - Project is expected to achieve **most** of its major global environmental objectives, and yield satisfactory global environmental benefits, with only minor shortcomings); **Moderately Satisfactory (MS)** - Project is expected to achieve **most** of its major relevant objectives but with either significant shortcomings or modest overall relevance. Project is expected not to achieve **some** of its major global environmental objectives or yield some of the expected global environment benefits); **Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU)** - Project is expected to achieve of its major global environmental objectives with major shortcomings or is expected to achieve only **some** of its major global environmental objectives); **Unsatisfactory (U)** - Project is expected **not** to achieve **most** of its major global environment objectives or to yield any satisfactory global environmental benefits); **Highly Unsatisfactory (HU)** - The project has failed to achieve, and is not expected to achieve, **any** of its major global environment objectives with no worthwhile benefits.)

**Implementation Progress Rating** – Assess the progress of project implementation. **IP Ratings definitions:** **Highly Satisfactory (HS):** Implementation of all components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised implementation plan for the project. The project can be resented as “good practice”. **Satisfactory (S):** Implementation of most components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan except for only a few that are subject to remedial action. **Moderately Satisfactory (MS):** Implementation of some components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with some components requiring remedial action. **Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU):** Implementation of some components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with most components requiring remedial action. **Unsatisfactory (U):** Implementation of most components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan. **Highly Unsatisfactory (HU):** Implementation of none of the components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan.