

### ADB GEF PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)

(This report covers implementation period from July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022)

ADB Official Project Title: Secondary Green Cities Development Project

ADB Project Number: 47274-003

#### I. GEF PROJECT SUMMARY

## **Project Ratings:**

Development Objective Rating (DO): Satisfactory (S)

Implementation Progress Rating (IP): Satisfactory (S)

Risk Rating: Modest Risk (M)

# Information on Progress, challenges and outcomes on project implementation activities

The GEF grant is linked to the ADB financed Secondary Green Cities Development Project (SGCDP). There were changes to the project processing in terms of the lending modality, from a result-based lending (RBL) loan to a standard investment loan. In addition to this, the administration of the Technical Assistance (TA) Output 1 (National Policy Framework for Environmental Planning and Green Development) and Output 4 (Replication of Green City Action Plan (GCAPs) to Provincial /City Level) was delegated to the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (MONRE). The change of lending modality and delegation of administration caused some delays in the TA implementation. ADB also provides technical support to MONRE to prepare the project document for GOV approval, TOR development, training clinic on procurement and training on gender for implementation of delegated outputs and reviewing the procurement process and procedures. ADB is administering Output 3 (Disaster Risk Financing - UCCRTF) and Output 2 (Demonstration of GCAPs in Hue, Ha Giang, and Vinh Yen). The implementation of Urban Climate Change Resilience Trust Fund (UCCRTF) funded TA Output 3 (Disaster Risk Financing in Hue) has reached the final stage of piloting public asset disaster risk insurance, which required the Prime Minister's approval since this is the first in Viet Nam. With the support of Thua Thien Hue Provincial People's Committee and Ministry of Finance, the complicated and prolonged procedures have been proceed and the insurance contract is expected to be awarded in Q3/2022.

At the end of June 2021, ADB appointed a consulting firm (ICEM and Nippon Koei Joint Venture) to implement a large consulting contract to deliver most of the TA outputs under Output 2. Up to now, Output 2 consultant has conducted 2 missions (in January and April 2022) and consulted with relevant stakeholders (key stakeholders include MONRE PMU, three project city PMUs, Ministry of Construction) and completed several reports and studies. Specifically, the Output 2 consultant shared the Climate Vulnerability Assessment (CVA) methodology with MONRE PMU (to upgrade the national guideline for CVA) and the project cities; presented Nature based Solutions (NbS) Technical Manual to 3 project cities, identified and developed a portfolio of 7 demonstration projects at concept stage; reviewed 11 national technical standards TCVNs (Vietnam Standards), drafted 10 New Green Upgraded TCVNs; and prepared unit cost database and new cost norm for applying green adaptation solutions in consultation with the Ministry of Construction; presented the Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA) methodology to MONRE PMU and HuePMU, at the same time designed based line household survey for the evaluation of NbS project in Hue.



MONRE PMU's procurement progress accelerated significantly during Q1 and Q2, 2022. Until now, MONRE PMU has been staffed with 5 officials assigned from VEA and ISPONRE, 6 national individual consultants to support the project implementation. MONRE procurement plan consists of 8 individual consultant selection (including one newly added position due to the massive workload), 03 nontechnical package and 17 technical packages. Among 17 technical packages, 02 packages at contract negotiation stage; 10 packages have been received ADB No-Objection Letters (NOLs) on the Submission no.1 (Cost estimate, Shortlist Firms, Request for Proposal, and Narrative Evaluation Criteria), 02 packages in the process of Submission no.1 reviewed by the ADB and 03 packages in the process of TOR development and Submission no.1 preparation.

### Information on Progress, challenges and outcomes on Environment and Social Safeguards

GEF funds are used to provide associated technical support and capacity development to the baseline SGCDP project. There are no additional risks or concerns associated with the GEF funds over the baseline. Hence, the management of safeguards for GEF funds is through the management of safeguards of the baseline investment projects. The technical assistance is not anticipated to generate significant adverse environmental impacts during operation. The GEF administered funds will not be involved in the parts of the project related to involuntary resettlement, and will not fund activities related to involuntary resettlement. For the TA, ADB's Safeguard Policy Statement (SPS, 2009) will apply. As for the grant for efficient street lighting in Ha Giang city, there are ethnic minorities in Ha Giang province and ethnic minorities in urban wards in Ha Giang City who are integrated into the mainstream. The Resettlement and Ethnic Minorities Development Plan (REMDP) outlining the issues and actions for ethnic minority integration for the Ha Giang subproject has been approved and disclosed by Ha Giang CPC to the affected persons. ADB approved the Updated REMDP (uREDMP) in April 2021 and now Ha Giang PMU and local agencies are implementing the uREMDP. In accordance with ADB's Safeguard Policy Statement (2009), the baseline loan project cities Hue, Ha Giang, and Vinh Yen have been classified as Category "B" for Environment and "B" for Indigenous Peoples (IP).

### Information on Progress, challenges and outcomes on stakeholder engagement

A comprehensive stakeholder analysis was undertaken in a participatory manner during the preparatory phase of the SGCDP. The objectives of the stakeholder analysis were to (i) Identify the key stakeholders and their support for the project or possible vested interests that may be opposed to the project, and their means of doing so; and (ii) Develop measures to mitigate any identified resistance to and facilitate general agreement with the project. Further, following approval of the two PIFs for Special Climate Change Fund (SCCF) and Sustainable Cities Integrated Approach Pilot (SCIAP), a GEF-specific stakeholder consultation was undertaken to refine the components and outcomes. This included visits to each of the target cities for formal meetings with city officials and technical meetings with city and provincial staff and site visits to proposed demonstration projects. Additional high level and technical level discussions have also been held with key national government agencies (MOF, MONRE, MPI) to further develop the national perspective and city-national integration and national upscaling. The stakeholder analysis also included the mass unions, civil society, NGOs in Viet Nam and overseas, networks, professional associations, community-based organizations, affected communes and residents, vulnerable and other sectors of the society, and private sector. An inception workshop was held on April 9, 2021 with the steering committee members, representatives of the ADB loan project PMUs in Vinh Yen, Hue, Ha Giang, the MONRE team, the ADB project team (and Country Director), as well as representatives of researchers, professional associations, and private sector to update the project progress and further



implementation of delegated outputs to MONRE, and strengthening the overall coordination of the TA.

## Information on Progress on gender-responsive measures

The Secondary Green Cities Development Project (SGCDP) is classified as "Effective Gender Mainstreaming (EGM)". During the preparation of the SGCDP, a gender assessment was undertaken for each city, and a rapid assessment was undertaken for each priority sub-project. A gender action plan (GAP) was prepared to (i) ensure women participate in and benefit from the activities and (ii) monitor gender-specific aspects and benefits realization during the implementation phase. Gender Action Plan Monitoring Table was updated during ADB Review Mission from 23 March to 5 April 2022. Gender training was provided to MONRE PMU on 1 June 2021 by the ADB Gender Consultant with the participation of 6 members from the MONRE PMU. The gender training focused on the GAP, gender mainstreaming, gender indicators, and other gender related requirements for the TA.

## **Knowledge activities/ Products**

Many lessons will be learnt, both regarding engineering issues and policy issues. Hence, the lessons learned from the process will be disseminated, including by feeding into: (i) the preparation of new and/or updated green cities action plans (Output 1 and 4); (ii) the design of a new national policy framework and monitoring framework for sustainable cities (Output 1); and (iii) the design of a green financing mechanism for sustainable cities (Output 3). Information sharing on the process and the results will also be facilitated through the Viet Nam Sustainable Cities Cluster and Network.

As an SCIAP child project, the TA is supported by the Global Platform for Sustainable Cities (GPSC). GPSC supports knowledge capture and knowledge exchange, both amongst the SCIAP participating cities, and with external initiatives and cites. The proposed Project will be actively involved with the overall SCIAP, sharing knowledge on a regular basis through GPSC, and constantly learning from GPSC through its involvement in GPSC networks and learning events.

The Project also takes place within the broader ADB Green Cities Initiative. Through this network, the Project will share lessons and knowledge with cities across Asia. The ADB knowledge management resources support the urban development specialists and community practice members to collect data, learn lessons, and prepare documentation and publications. ADB has strong technical units responsible for collecting data, learning lessons and sharing knowledge notably through web events, conferences and publications. This includes close coordination with the Asia-Pacific Adaptation Network and Forum. For example, the study tour to Singapore further promoted the nature-based approach, which is incorporated in the green design, water sensitive features of the investments in the loan project as well as in the TA implementation in the development of scope of work and procurement for the demonstrated sustainable and resilient development in Hue, Ha Giang, and Vinh Yen (TA Output 2). ADB has also issued a knowledge product on water sensitive design, and published articles relating to the project (Dev Asia, Viet Nam Investment Review). A knowledge management consultant has been appointed to help prepare knowledge products (video material) and started filming in Hue at the end of May 2022.

Under Output 1, knowledge management and sharing aim to assemble and share knowledge generated by this project as a whole, in which, knowledge products such as technical manuals, user manuals, toolkits, pilot studies to be developed to support the national framework of environmental analysis, planning and management tools and policies for cities in Viet Nam. The project knowledge products will



be shared through the media, knowledge products, networks, seminars involving central agencies, provincial and city officials. This also supports to international sharing of the project outputs with the GEF Sustainable Cities Program, ADB and other development partners, and other international stakeholders working on similar guidelines and toolkits.

Output 2 consultant presented CVA methodology to MONRE PMU, review and development of new technical standards (TCVNs) to 3 project cities with female participation. The TA will draw on MONRE's experience as Executing Agency to ensure lessons are captured and knowledge is shared. Notably, active involvement is planned for the Viet Nam Environment Agency (VEA) and the Institute of Strategy and Policy on Natural Resources and Environment (ISPONRE). MONRE will ensure that knowledge is shared through the appropriate Vietnamese channels and this has been reflected in development of MONRE procurement plan.

## FOR SCCF/LDCF INDICATORS:

| Total Number of Beneficiaries                             | Will be in the mid-term report |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Ha of land better managed to withstand the effects of     | Will be in the mid-term report |
| climate change  | ·                              |
| No. of risk and vulnerability assessments, and other      | Will be in the mid-term report |
| relevant scientific and technical assessments carried out |                                |
| and updated   |                                |
| No. of people trained to identify, prioritize, implement, | Will be in the mid-term report |
| monitor and/or evaluate adaptation strategies and         |                                |
| measures  |                                |
| No. of regional, national and sub-national institutions   | Will be in the mid-term report |
| with strengthened capacities to identify, prioritize,     |                                |
| implement, monitor and/or evaluate adaptation             |                                |
| strategies and  |                                |
| measures  |                                |
| Contribute towards public awareness of climate change     | Will be in the mid-term report |
| impacts, vulnerability and adaption (Tick if relevant)    |                                |
| Expand access to improved climate information services    | Will be in the mid-term report |
| (Tick if relevant)  |                                |
| Expand access to improved climate related early-          | Will be in the mid-term report |
| warning information (Tick if relevant)                    |                                |
| No. of regional, national and sector-wide policies, plans | Will be in the mid-term report |
| and processes developed or strengthened to identify,      |                                |
| prioritize and integrate adaptation strategies and        |                                |
| measures  |                                |
| No. of sub-national plans and processes developed or      | Will be in the mid-term report |
| strengthened to identify, prioritize and integrate        |                                |
| adaptation strategies and measures                        |                                |



# II. Project Profile

|                       | 1        | GEF ID   | : 6924 / 9484   |
|-----------------------|----------|--|---|
|                       | 2        | Focal Area(s)  | : Climate Change (ID6924) / Multi Focal Area<br>(ID9484)  |
|                       | 3        | Region   | : Southeast Asia  |
| 1. General            | 4        | Country  | : Viet Nam  |
| Information           | 5        | GEF Project Title  | : Promoting Climate Resilience in Viet Nam<br>Cities (ID6924)/ Integrated Approaches for<br>Sustainable Cities in Viet Nam (ID9484) |
|                       | 6        | Project Size (FSP; MSP)  | FSP   |
|                       | 7        | Trust Fund (GEFTF; SCCF; LDCF)   | SCCF (ID6924) / GEFTF (ID9484)  |
|                       | 8        | GEF CEO Endorsement Date (mm/dd/yy)  | 30 Aug 2016 (ID6924)/ 14 Dec 2016 (ID9484)  |
|                       | 9        | ADB Approval Date if the GEF Fund (mm/dd/yy)   | 31 Oct 2017   |
|                       | 10       | GEF Grant Signing of the GEF Fund (mm/dd/yy)   | 27 Jun 2018   |
|                       | 11       | Implementation Start Date of the Project and of the GEF Component (mm/dd/yy)                                 | 17 April 2019   |
|                       | 12       | Date of 1st GEF Grant Disbursement (mm/dd/yy)  | 08 November 2019  |
| 2. Milestone<br>Dates | 13       | Final date of GEF Grant Disbursement (mm/dd/yy)  | N/A   |
|                       | 14       | Proposed/Revised Implementation End (mm/dd/yy)   | 30 Jun 2024   |
|                       | 15       | Actual Implementation End (mm/dd/yy)   | N/A   |
|                       | 16<br>17 | Expected Financial Closure Date (mm/dd/yy) Actual Financial Closure Date (mm/dd/yy)                          | 31 Dec 2024<br>N/A  |
|                       | 18       | PPG/PDF Funding (USD)  |   |
|                       | 19       | GEF Grant (USD)  | \$ 4,566,210 (ID6924)/ \$ 8,256,881 (ID9484)  |
|                       | 20       | Total GEF Fund Disbursement as of 30 June 2022 (USD)   | \$  |
| 3. Funding            | 21       | Confirmed Co-Finance at CEO Endorsement (USD)  | \$ 77,897,100 (ID6924)/ \$ 148,472,900<br>(ID9484)  |
|                       | 21       | Materialized Co-Finance at project mid-term (USD)  |   |
|                       | 22       | Materialized Co-Finance at project completion (USD)  |   |
|                       | 23       | Proposed Mid-term date (mm/dd/yy)  | 15/03/2023  |
|                       | 24       | Actual Mid-Term date - if applicable (mm/dd/yy)  |   |
| 4. Evaluations        | 25       | Proposed Terminal Evaluation date (mm/dd/yy)   | 15/12/2023  |
|                       | 26       | Actual Terminal Evaluation Date (mm/dd/yy)   |   |
|                       | 27       | Tracking Tools Required (Yes/No/ Focal Area TT)  |   |
|                       | 28       | Tracking Tools Date - if applicable (mm/dd/yy)<br>Midterm Tracking Tool<br>Terminal Evaluation Tracking Tool |   |



## III. Project Implementation

## A. Project Description:

The GEF Grant supports (i) TA 9417-VIE, a \$12.82 million Technical Assistance (\$ 10.82 million by GEF and \$2 million by UCCRTF) is attached to the Secondary Green Cities Development Project and (ii) Grant 0549-VIE, a \$2 million Grant Investment for Energy Efficiency for Street Lighting in Ha Giang is blended into the investment loan. The TA has 3 components and associated outcomes.

## 1. Component 1: Strengthen City Planning Processes

**Outcome 1.1**: **Integrated, resilient planning in 3 cities.** The Grant provides technical support to Ha Giang, Hue and Vinh Yen as they implement the existing GCAPs and then prepare updated, improved green city action plans (GCAP). Capacity will be built in the three cities through their involvement in these processes – i.e. on the job capacity development. This will result in a strengthened city planning process that mainstreams green planning/design approaches, resilience and the GCAP process into city master plans for spatial and socio-economic development.

**Outcome 1.2:** Replicating integrated, resilient planning in six cities: Six additional secondary cities will be selected based on (i) their readiness and commitment to take a GCAP approach, (ii) their potential for reducing GHG emissions, (iii) their vulnerability to climate and other risks; and (iv) assessments of infrastructure, services and capacity gaps. The alternative will support preparation of integrated urban development plans and build capacity in the six cities to prepare and implement plans.

#### 2. Component 2: Demonstrating Low Impact and Climate Resilient Development

Outcome 2.1: Demonstrating prioritized strengthening of resilience of urban investments. Outcome 2.1 will support a rigorous and cost-effective process that contributes to overall climate resilience at the city level. The process will involve (i) full definition of threats; (ii) selection of priority sub-projects and possibly some new investments for climate proofing; (iii) re-scoping or re-design of the priority sub-projects to ensure they are more resilient; (iv) supplying necessary goods/equipment as needed for the modified designs; and (v) capturing the knowledge. The whole process will be implemented through an approach that develops national and city capacity.

Outcome 2.2: Demonstrating an integrated package of investments that lead to transition to low impact, low carbon development in Ha Giang city. In Ha Giang, the alternative will demonstrate how to practically implement an integrated approach, how this can generate synergies, and how this can nudge a smaller secondary city onto a green, integrated, resilient pathway. The integrated package of technologies will contribute to reduced GHG emissions, improved urban livability, increased resilience of vulnerable populations, community development, and positive impacts on biodiversity.

**Outcome 2.3: Demonstrating community-based and insurance-based resilience.** This outcome is totally financed by UCCRTF co-financing and will be implemented within the framework of GEF activities, and through the same management, monitoring and learning framework.

## 3. Component 3. Improved Enabling Environment for Upscaling Integrated, Resilient Approaches

**Outcome 3.1: Strengthened enabling institutional environment.** The GEF supported alternative will strengthen the national framework that provides the foundation and incentives for the adoption of



integrated, low carbon, low impact, resilient planning by secondary cities across Viet Nam. This will facilitate replication, upscaling and institutionalization of the successes. The policy and technical support will focus mostly on MONRE and related institutes.

In terms of implementation activities of the TA in Viet Nam, the whole TA consists of 4 interrelated Outputs, 3 Outputs funded by GEF and one by UCCRTF as follows:

- **Output 1:** Formulated national regulatory framework for an enabling environment for sustainable and resilient cities.
- Output 2: Demonstrated sustainable and resilient development in Ha Giang, Hue, and Vinh Yen.
- Output 3: Prepared and tested innovative climate risk financing in Hue (funded by UCCRTF).
- Output 4: Enhanced and replicated integrated and resilient environmental planning at the city and provincial levels.

ADB administers Output 2 & 3. Administration of Outputs 1&4 was delegated to MONRE.

## B. Implementation Progress (IP) Rating:

The GEF grant was endorsed by the GEF CEO on August 30, 2016 under the Special Climate Change Fund (SCCF) and October 31, 2017 under GEF Trust Fund and linked to the Sustainable Cities Integrated Approach Pilot (SCIAP).

The GEF grant was initially proposed to be linked to the ADB financed Secondary Green Cities Development Project, implemented through a result-based lending (RBL) modality. However, subsequent to the GEF grant endorsement, ADB proposed to change the lending modality to a standard investment loan. This was done due to the limited experience in Viet Nam with result-based lending and concern that this may complicate implementation.

Subsequently, the lending modality was revised based on agreement with the State Bank of Viet Nam (SBV) and the 3 participating cities, as well as consultation with MONRE. This caused a delay in the approval of the ADB loan of approximately 11 months from December 2016 to November 2017.

During this period ADB and MONRE entered further consultations, with ADB sending an official request in June 2017 for MONRE to request approval from the Prime Minister for domestic approval of the GEF grant funds. In July 2017, ADB and MONRE agreed for delegation of administration of Output 1 to MONRE. ADB also provides technical support to MONRE to prepare the project document proposal for GOV approval. In May 2018, MONRE officially requested further discussion with ADB to further negotiate the implementation arrangements, including additional delegation and responsibility for additional project outputs. ADB issued the NOL to delegation of Output 4 to MONRE in October 2018. The delay occurred as ADB would like to ensure that MONRE has sufficient capacity and clear delegation of responsibilities to departments with an appropriate mandate and staff resources. The main reason for the unforeseeable delay is delegation of administration to MONRE and approval of GOV. In June 2022, due to the Covid-19 impacts and prolonged procurement process, MONRE PMU sent ADB official request for project extension (to December 2025) on 3 June 2022.

A more detailed chronological timeline is provided below.

February 24, 2016: ADB informed SBV a Loan Fact Finding Mission under Result-based lending



(RBL) modality to 3 Cities from February 25 to March 18, 2016. The mission was attended by GEF team led by Xiaomei Tan (GEF) and accompanied by GEF consultant teams

- August 30, 2016: GEF CEO Endorsement using Special Climate Change Fund
- October 31, 2016: GEF CEO Endorsement of sub-project under a Program using GEF Trust Fund
- In Nov 2016, SERD management decided to change the modality to a standard investment loan.
- November and December 2016: ADB held various meetings to inform GOV (MPI, MOF, SBV) the change of the loan modality from RBL to a standard investment loan;
- March 17, 2017: MOU of loan re-appraisal mission signed with 3 Provinces;
- May 25, 2017: ADB Management Review Meeting approved the proposed investment project, including the TA Concept;
- June 9, 2017: ADB sent official letter to MONRE requesting for preparation of Project Outline to be submitted to the Prime Minister for approval by July 10,2017, given ADB management's clearance to the TA Concept;
- July 28, 2017: ADB and MONRE agreed for delegation of administration of Output 1 to MONRE
- September 27, 2017: Loan negotiations held in VRM;
- October 6, 2018: ADB sent updated TA MOU to MONRE for delegation of administration of Output 1
- January 16, 2018: ADB sent official letter to MONRE requesting to prepare a Project Outline and submit to the Prime Minister at the earliest approval;
- May 28, 2018: MONRE sent ADB a written request for additional delegation of administration of TA Outputs 4 to MONRE
- June 29, 2018: GEF Grant agreement 0549-VIE (\$2 Million) grant for Ha Giang was signed by ADB and GOV
- October 1, 2018: ADB sent MONRE a NOL for delegation of administration of Output 4 to MONRE
- October 26, 2018: SBV sent ADB a written request for extension of ADB approval validity for 6 months from October 31, 2018 in order to complete the GOV approval procedures.
- April 15, 2019: ADB and MONRE signed the MOU on the TA
- April 19, 2019: State Bank of Viet Nam sent ADB the No-objection letter of GOV to the TA
- MONRE circulated the PO submission and Project Documents and collected comments from all line ministries and submitted to the Office of Government for Prime Minister approval at the end of July 2019. Project Documents (PO) of the TA was approved by GOV on 18 September 2019
- October 7-14, 2019: ADB Review Mission conducted meetings with MONRE and 3 Cities to review and update the project implementation schedule and discuss potential problems and viable solutions.
- December 5-6, 2019: a study tour was conducted in Singapore with the participation of 13
  Government officials from relevant cities to learn about Singapore's experience in implementing
  natured based-solutions for green and water sensitive city development (GEF Output 2)
- January 30, 2020: The Project Document was approved by MONRE leader (Decision Ref. 245/QD-BTNMT)
- June 26, 2020: Formation of MONRE Project Management Unit (PMU) was approved by MONRE.
   MONRE prepared the detailed implementation plan and procurement plan for MONRE
   administered Outputs 1 &4. ADB provided MONRE implementation plans for ADB administered
   Outputs 2 &3. The establishment of the steering committee and project management regulation
   were approved by MONRE.



- July 24, 2020: Issuance of Decision Ref. 1633/QD-BTNMT on Establishment of Project Steering Committee for "Mainstreaming Climate Resilience and Environmental Protection for Secondary Green Cities Development"
- November 9-19, 2020: ADB Review Mission conducted meetings with MONRE and 3 Cities to review and update the project implementation schedule and discuss potential problems and viable solutions.
- November 24, 2020: ADB sent MONRE PMU no objection on the overall work plan
- February 2021: ADB and MONRE PMU agreed on the procurement plan for the delegated Output 1 and 4.
- April 2021: MONRE Procurement Plan for delegated Output 1&4 was approved by MONRE leadership
- April 9, 2021: TA Inception workshop in Hanoi with the participation of MONRE Minister, Steering Committee members, loan project PMUs, and ADB VRM Country Director.
- April 14 May 5, 2021: ADB Review Mission conducted meetings with MONRE and 3 Cities to review and update the project implementation progress.
- May 21, 2021: Procurement training was provided to MONRE PMU by ADB VRM Procurement Consultant on ADB procurement guidelines.
- As for ADB administered Output 2, a large consulting contract (approximately \$2m) was signed on 15 June 2021 with the ICEM / Nippon Koei Joint venture. The Consultant's main focus is on demonstrated sustainable and resilient development in Hue, Ha Giang, and Vinh Yen
- August 10, 2021: A meeting between Output 2 consultants with MONRE and ADB team to brief the objectives and scope of TA Output 2 and to share the progress of TA Outputs 1 &4
- December 8, 2021: A review mission to update the TA progress, update on work plan
   2022 Contract award and Disbursement plan, procurement related issues, M&E and risk management (Covid impacts), and administration, communications and financial related issue
- March 23, 2022: A review mission to review TA implementation progress, update on the work plan, contract award and disbursement plan, procurement issues, M&E and risk management, administration/communication and financial issues, solid waste management discussion.
- June 3,2022: MONRE PMU sent official request to ADB for project extension until December 2025.
- Until now, MONRE PMU has been staffed with 5 officials assigned from VEA and ISPONRE, 6 national individual consultants to support the project implementation. MONRE procurement plan consists of 8 individual consultant selection (including one newly added position due to the massive workload), 03 non-technical package and 17 technical packages. Among 17 technical packages, 02 packages at contract negotiation stage; 10 packages have been received ADB No-Objection Letters (NOLs) on the Submission no.1 (Cost estimate, Shortlist Firms, Request for Proposal, and Narrative Evaluation Criteria), 02 packages in the process of Submission no.1 reviewed by the ADB and 03 packages in the process of TOR development and Submission no.1 preparation.

# a) GEF Grant Disbursement

Up to now, the total grant disbursement amounted \$1.073. The first disbursement of the TA is on 8 November 2019 under TA Output 2 administered by ADB. ADB administered Output 2 contract was signed and the consulting firm was mobilized on 8 July 2021. TA Outputs 1&4 were delegated to MONRE and MONRE has developed Terms of References for 17 packages in accordance with their



approved procurement plan. The total advance payment from the beginning of the project to June 2022 is \$ 520,107.73 (including bank fee charge by ADB).

As for the **Grant 0549-VIE: Energy Efficiency for Street Lighting System in Ha Giang** (HG-CS11): Ha Giang PMU engaged a consultant to prepare the bidding documents for the package HG-CS11 using GOV funding. ADB reviewed the design consultant's TOR and provided feedback to the PMU, which was partly reflected in an updated version of the feasibility study. The feasibility study document does not make a detailed estimate of the expected energy savings and the design has not been developed with an energy savings objective. Thus, ADB procured a consultant using CMS to further improve the detailed design for Grant 0549-VIE (HG-CW08). The PMU indicated that it would prefer a classic goods and works contract rather than a design-build works contract, where energy saving was one of the performance criteria for the contractor. Considering the nature of the package, both parties agreed that the current Procurement Plan has been updated to reflect this change.

ADB therefore included additional resources necessary for a detailed design of the proposed system in the TA so the bidding documents allow the PMU to immediately procure the lighting system. The international lighting system specialist was on board on 10 October 2019. After the site visit, review of detailed engineering design, as well as stakeholder consultation, a summary of recommendations was sent to Ha Giang PMU on 14 November 2019. The analysis indicates that QCVN 07-7:2016/BXD national lighting standards are much higher than the levels set by international standards (both in EU and North America), for comparable roads, and especially for smaller roads. The use of QCVN 07-07:2016/BXD will mostly likely result in the same (if LED) or increased (if conventional lighting) energy consumption and costs for provinces and cities, and further contribute to increase in global climate change, and local air and light pollution. However, the current design and specifications prepared for the equipment procurement require the design levels for main roads to meet QCVN 07-7:2016/BXD requirements. The specific design under the project can be changed to operate at lower lighting levels (i.e. those specified by international standards) in order to increase energy savings while reducing operating costs and pollution, should this later be accepted by the regulatory authorities.

Ha Giang PPC has sought MOC's endorsement/guidance on the expert's recommendations on the standard issue. On 13 December 2019, MOC's official response was sent to Ha Giang PPC stating that Ha Giang PPC responsible for their decision on the applicable international standards, however, ensuring compliance with the national lighting code on the National technical regulations on technical infrastructure of lighting works (i.e., QCVN07 – 7:2016/BXD). The detailed design for construction drawings was revised accordingly by the international lighting expert and submitted to ADB for comments by Ha Giang PMU. The detailed design and cost estimate were appraised and approved by relevant provincial agencies following GOV requirements. The draft Bidding Document (BD) was approved by ADB on 28 July 2021. Ha Giang PMU is now submitting the draft BD and seeking Ha Giang CPC's approval on additional budget (\$50,000) for proper disposal of the unused bulbs. The Bidding Document was issued on 2 September 2022. The 2<sup>nd</sup> revised BER was submitted to ADB in August 2022. The contact is expected to be awarded in October 2022.

## b) Stakeholders Engagement

An inception workshop was held on April 9, 2021 with steering committee members, representatives of the loan project PMUs in Vinh Yen, Hue, Ha Giang, the MONRE team, the ADB team, as well as representatives of researchers, professional associations, and the private sector to update the project



progress and further implementation of delegated outputs to MONRE, and strengthening the overall coordination of the TA. Stakeholders under baseline SCGDP have been engaged through the community led initiatives in Hue, Vinh Yen, funded under the UCCRTF grant (\$4m). However, repeated CoViD-19 outbreaks and the recent Delta variant outbreak has severely limited the ability of the project team and community led initiative design consultants to engage with the community on the ground on the second half 2021 and first quarter of 2022.

## c) Gender Action Plan Implementation Status

SGCDP is classified as "Effective Gender Mainstreaming (EGM)". During preparation of SGCDP, a gender assessment was undertaken for each city, and a rapid assessment was undertaken for each priority subproject. Accordingly, a gender action plan (GAP) was prepared, which covers both baseline and GEF supported activities. The GAP proposes a systematic framework for ensuring that women participate in and benefit from the activities. The GAP also provides a framework for monitoring gender-specific aspects of the impact of the project and benefits realization during the implementation phase.

The Gender Action Plan Monitoring Table was updated during ADB Review Mission (23 March- 5 April 2022) and attached to the Aide Memoire circulated to relevant agencies.

A gender training was provided to MONRE PMU on 1 June 2021 by ADB Gender consultant with the participation of 6 members from the PMU. MONRE PMU was advised to pay close attention and monitors the GAP indicators including: (i) at least 33% of participants in project management and green and resilient city planning capacity trainings should be women; (ii) capacity development on gender mainstreaming for PMU staff and 40% of EA and IA staff responsible for GCAP planning and implementation; and (iii) all GCAPs that are approved and implemented include gender-responsive and pro-poor features. The detailed number of female participants from which project city/province in which training should be clearly mentioned in project progress report.

MONRE PMU's package TA9417-ISP QCBS-03 committed that at least 30% of participants in the training will be women. They will evaluate the training courses to assess the effectiveness of the capacity building program, ensure at least 33% of participants as female in each training class.

# d) Social and Environmental Safeguard Plan Implementation Status

Overall supervision of the GEF funds is subject to the ADB due diligence and safeguards system and all environmental and social safeguards will be ensured through this system. GEF funds are used to provide associated technical support and capacity development to the baseline SGCDP project. There are no additional risks or concerns associated with the GEF funds over the baseline. Hence, the management of safeguards for GEF funds is through the management of safeguards of the baseline investment project. The GEF administered funds will not be involved in the parts of the project related to involuntary resettlement and will not be involved with activities related to involuntary resettlement.

The ADB Safeguard Policy Statement (SPS, 2009) will apply. The process to deliver and operationalize the SPS will be through the existing Vietnamese government legislation and procedures. No sub-project ranked as category A for environment, involuntary resettlement or indigenous people will be supported under the SGCDP or with GEF co-finance.



Indigenous people may be involved in activities in Ha Giang, both in the baseline sub-projects and GEF administered funds, as potential beneficiaries. The safeguard system will ensure that (i) full consultation with Indigenous People (IP) representatives is undertaken at all time; (ii) Vietnamese Government agencies receive necessary trainings and institutional strengthening related to working with IP; (iii) for category B sub-projects, an indigenous people plan (IPP), including assessment of social impacts, will be prepared during SGCDP implementation.

| Impact level  | Performance Indicators with Targets and Baselines                                      | Status  |
|---|--|---|
| Outcome   | 2023   |   |
| Integrated planning incorporating climate resilience and environmental protection mainstreamed in to urban planning processes in secondary cities in Viet Nam | The GrEEEn <sup>a</sup> Cities approaches integrated in to Viet Nam's planning process | Two of the MONRE TA packages explicitly seek to incorporate the GrEEn cities approach into planning for 6 target cities. However, this is not a national process because urban planning laws are not a MONRE mandate. |
|   |  | However, the TA is developing regulations around pollution generally which will be applicable to the national planning focus.   |
|   |  | The TA9417-VEA QCBS-02/-07/-08/-09/13 and TA9417-ISP QCBS-01 are related to environmental protection and/or climate resilience integration into urban planning in Viet Nam.   |
|   |  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-03 review and revise/develop policies on sustainable consumption, environmentally friendly production and climate change adaptation for developing secondary green cities in Viet Nam                 |
|   |  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-04 develop a set of ecolabel criteria for transportation products,  |



|   |   | carrying out the solutions on circular economy, sustainable/environment friendly production and consumption in the secondary cities  TA9417-VEA QCBS-10 review, evaluate the results of implementing pilot model of saving, reuse & applying new technology in wastewater treatment for a population/ urban production, business and services entities and replicate this model in other selected cities  TA9417-VEA QCBS-11 pilot at least 01 advanced technology to recycle wastes into environment-friendly products in 01 city  TA9417-VEA QCBS-12 develops |
|---|---|---|
|   |   | a list of hazardous chemical contaminated areas nationwide and restoration of the soil environment at polluted site, propose a plan to replicate it to urban areas with similar types of pollution to control land pollution for changing land use  |
| Outputs   | By 31/12/2023:  |   |
| Strengthened city planning and implementation processes (Inclusive) | 1a. 3 Updated GCAPs prepared for Hue, Vinh Yen and Ha Giang (2019) <sup>b</sup> | TA9417-VEA QCBS-07 update three GCAPs for Hue, Ha Giang, Vinh Yen for 2020-2030 and prepares two air quality management plans for project cities Hue and Vinh Yen; drafts the technical guidelines to develop GCAP for secondary  |



|  | cities in Viet Nam as the basis<br>for expanding GCAP<br>development to 6 other<br>provinces   |
|--|--|
|  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-02 prepare criteria and green city assessment.   |
|  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-02 develop technical guideline for GHG inventory and MRV for urban areas in Viet Nam. Three GHG inventory reports and need assessment for low carbon technology for Hue, Ha Giang and Vinh Yen City to be prepared as key inputs for the update of the relevant GCAPs.                               |
| 1b. GCAPs for 6 new secondary cities prepared <sup>1</sup>           | TA9417-VEA QCBS-08 & 09 prepare GCAPs and replicate the green city approach for 6 new secondary cities   |
| 1c. GCAPs mainstreamed into the Project Cities' Master Plans by 2023 | TA9417-VEA QCBS-07 update three GCAPs for Hue, Ha Giang, Vinh Yen for 2020-2030 and prepares two air quality management plans for project cities Hue and Vinh Yen; drafts the technical guidelines to develop GCAP for secondary cities in Viet Nam as the basis for expanding GCAP development to 6 other provinces |
|  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-02 prepare criteria and green city assessment.   |

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Totally financed by GEF/SCIAP and associated co-financing  $^{\rm 2}$  Range 1-5, where 5 is highest.



1d. Strengthened capacity of at least The gender monitoring table 200 professionals from the central was updated during the April and local governments with at least 2022 ADB review mission. 33% female to integrate green city principles into urban planning and **TA9417-VEA** QCBS-13: International consulting firm budgeting processes. provide technical assistance to VEA's activities related to urban environmental management and ISPONRE's activities related to GHG inventory, climate vulnerability assessment. developing training and communication programs TA9417-VEA QCBS-05 enhance management capacity and experience sharing on land-use environment. and climate resilience for MONRE officials TA9417-ISP QCBS-03 conduct capacity need assessment, develops and conducts training program for GHG inventory, low-carbon emission, climate risk vulnerability assessment, green public procurement for secondary cities TA9417-ISP QCBS-04 develop and implement communications activities on GHG inventory, climate risk vulnerability assessment, ecology assessment, circular economy, green public procurement for targeted groups, including authorities and policy makers. Gender balanced Gender Action Plan Monitoring and professionally staffed PMU with at

least 33% female (2019)

Table was updated during ADB

The gender training makes sure that MONRE needs to ensure

Review Mission in April 2022.



|  | 1e. Independent verification assistance (IVA) to assess performance of loan against  | the GAP indicators are included in the TOR of packages related to GCAPs and capacity building, such as: (i) All GCAPs that are approved and implemented include gender-responsive and pro-poor features; (ii) At least 200 government professionals (at least 33% women) gained new skills in green and resilient city concept and integrated planning; and (iii) Capacity development on gender mainstreaming for PMU staff and 40% of EA and IA staff responsible for GCAP planning and implementation.  Not applicable due to ADB's change of loan modality from |
|--|--|---|
|  | performance of loan against Disbursement linked indicators (DLIs)  | RBL to standard investment loan.  |
| 2. Enhanced low impact and climate resilient development | By 2022  2a. Recommendations for greening design and procurement for urban investments in project cities provided. (Baseline: N/A) | In Vinh Yen, ADB appointed two consultant landscape architects under the TA to assist in the inclusion of water sensitive design elements to the "green" packages under the loan, including VY CW01 (Dredging and Landscape Protection of Dam Vac Lake). This package is planned for advertising in June 2021, and as such the DED is now being finalized. The project team requested that the PMU facilitate the inclusion of water sensitive features into the design, in collaboration with the consultant landscape architects.                                 |
|  |  | Workshop were held in May and June 2021 to discuss both Hue and Vinh Yen community led  |



investments, and to explore opportunities to introduce water sensitive elements to improve environmental resilience and performance. The landscape architects appointed under Output 2 of the TA facilitated the workshops and reviewed the outline designs to introduce the water sensitive concepts.

As requested from the Hue PMU, ADB will send the national consultant landscape architect to Hue to support and provide instruction on "green" feature during the construction period.

Output 2 consultant demonstrated the Nature Based Solutions (NbS) Technical Manual to PMUs for scaling up the NbS at city level.

2b. 27 km of drainage improved (loan financed)

HG-CW05 - Embankment of Me Stream. Ha Giang PMU has requested the national consultant to revise the DED following Ramboll's recommendation on green features. Ha Giang PMU will develop additional design plan on the basis of the proposal of Ramboll. Then, the final design plan will be sent to ADB for consideration and concurrence/endorsement. An official letter on adjustment contents was sent to Ha Giang PPC on 5 February 2021 requesting for incorporation of ADB recommended water



sensitive design elements and river embankment protection. The updated DED will be sent to the Ha Giang Department of Construction for appraisal and Ha Giang PPC for approval. This contract is scheduled to be awarded in Q2 2023. ADB sent a letter to Thua Thien Hue PPC in August and October 2c. Disaster risk financing piloted in 2021 to request agreement on a one secondary city (funded by list of public asset and proposed UCCRTF) DRI; advise on policy beneficiary of an insurance policy. ADB held a meeting in November 2021 with Thua Thien Hue PPC and Insurance 2d. Determination of optimal Administration (MOF) on the insurance products that provide calculation method and pilot incentives to Vietnamese cities for purchase of public property adaptation through integrated green insurance. However, MOF have city planning. not submitted a list of specific assets that must be insured for disaster risks according to Public Property Insurance Decree 151/2017. The bid documents were approved by ADB in Q3 2021 and to be advertised in at the Replacement of at least 1000 end 2021, with the objective of street lights to energy efficient contract award in Q3 2022. lighting in Ha Giang city On-going



|  | 2f. At least [300,000] beneficiaries including [20,000] vulnerable beneficiaries have access to newly built infrastructure following low impact development and GrEEEn City approach |   |
|--|--|---|
| 3. Improved enabling environment for upscaling green cities approaches | By 31/12/2023: 3a. National regulation on green cities approved and issued.  3b. Technical Guidelines on implementing the national regulation on green cities (indicator 3a) issued. | TA9417-VEA QCBS-01 develops legal documents guiding the implementation of the Environmental Protection Law 2020 related to environmental protection and climate change response for developing secondary green cities in Viet Nam.  |
|  |  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-02 prepares criteria and green city assessment.   |
|  |  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-04 develops technical guidance document for assessment and certification of Viet Nam's eco-label and develop sets of eco-label criteria; pilot application of technical guidance on assessment and certification of eco-labels; advanced technologies for recycling waste and apply waste recycling technology and replicate it in urban areas. |
|  |  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-07 drafts the technical guidelines to develop GCAP for secondary cities in Viet Nam   |
|  |  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-13: International consulting firm contributing to developing technical guidelines on green cities indicators & policy frameworks, treatment of urban contaminated sites: serious  |



|  | polluting factories, and treatment of urban contaminated sites: serious polluting landfills.   |
|--|--|
|  | TA9417-ISP QCBS-01 review, develop the climate vulnerability assessment methodology and pilot in three cities: Hue, Ha Giang and Vinh Yen.   |
|  | TA9417-ISP QCBS-02 review, develop GHG inventory methodology and guidance for MRV monitoring; assess low-carbon technology need and emission reduction potential to propose low-carbon technology portfolio and pilot GHG inventory assessment and MRV in 3 cities (Hue, Ha Giang and Vinh Yen). |
| 3c. Government decision to establish a green city financing mechanism.                 | TA9417-VEA QCBS-03 review and revise/develop policies on sustainable consumption, environmentally friendly production and climate change adaptation for developing secondary green cities in Viet Nam.   |
|  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-13: International consulting firm contributing to policy formulation, communication and training activities regarding circular economy, green public procurement.  |
| 3d. Endorsed policy document on Climate Induced Disaster Risk Financing (CDRF) issued. | TA9417-VEA QCBS-10: Pilot model on urban wastewater reuse and replication for selected cities  |
|  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-11: Assess current situations of plastic waste recycling in a selected   |



|  | secondary city Identify suitable technologies for increasing plastic waste recycling rates in the selected city through piloting. Develop a roadmap for scaling up the piloted advanced recycling technologies in other urban areas.   |
|--|--|
| 3e. At least eight cities join the Viet Nam sustainable cities cluster.    | TA9417-VEA QCBS-07 update three GCAPs for Hue, Ha Giang, Vinh Yen for 2020-2030 and prepares two air quality management plans for project cities Hue and Vinh Yen; drafts the technical guidelines to develop GCAP for secondary cities in Viet Nam as the basis for expanding GCAP development to 6 other provinces |
|  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-08 & 09 prepare GCAPs and replicate the green city approach for 6 new secondary cities   |
|  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-13: International consulting firm contributing to partnership development and coordination, including support for Viet Nam's effective contribution to the international and regional forum on green cities  |
| 3f. Strengthened MONRE capacity to support integrated, resilient city plan | TA9417-VEA QCBS-05 enhances management capacity and experience sharing on environment, land-use and climate resilience for MONRE officials   |
|  | TA9417-VEA QCBS-06 develop<br>new technical guidelines on<br>design criteria for the national<br>and local environmental   |



| monitoring networks; technical guideline on processing and synthesizing environmental monitoring data for national monitoring stations located in ministries, sectors and provinces to send information to MONRE  |
|---|
| TA9417-ISP QCBS-03 conduct training needs assessment on GHG inventory, climate risk vulnerability assessment, circular economy, green public procurement, and low GHG emissions at central and secondary cities, focusing on 3 pilot cities (i.e. Hue, Ha Giang, Vinh Yen) with at least 120 participants in each cities will participate in the training programs. |
| TA9417-ISP QCBS-04 prepare communication and knowledge dissemination plans  |

# C. Global Environmental Benefits (GEB) Objective/ Development Objective (DO) Rating:

Following indicators could be applied for Global Environmental Benefits (GEB)

| Corporate Results  | Replenishment Targets   | Project Targets      |
|--|---|----------------------|
| 1. Maintain globally significant biodiversity and the ecosystem goods and services that it provides to society | Improved management of landscapes and seascapes covering 300 million hectares |                      |
| 2. Sustainable land management in production systems (agriculture, rangelands, and forest landscapes)          | 120 million hectares under sustainable land management                        | Hectares             |
| 3. Promotion of collective management of trans boundary water  | Water-food-ecosystems security and conjunctive management of surface and      | Number of freshwater |



| systems and implementation of the full range of policy, legal, and institutional reforms and investments                         | groundwater in at least 10 freshwater basins;  | basins                          |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
| contributing to sustainable use and maintenance of ecosystem services  | 20% of globally over-exploited fisheries (by volume) moved to more sustainable levels                                  | Percent of fisheries, by volume |
| 4. Support to transformational shifts towards a low-emission and resilient development path                                      | 750 million tons of CO <sub>2e</sub> mitigated (include both direct and indirect)                                      |                                 |
| 5. Increase in phase-out, disposal and reduction of releases of POPs, ODS, mercury and other chemicals of global concern         | Disposal of 80,000 tons of POPs (PCB, obsolete pesticides)   | metric tons                     |
|  | Reduction of 1000 tons of Mercury  | metric tons                     |
| -  | Phase-out of 303.44 tons of ODP (HCFC)   | ODP tons                        |
| 6. Enhance capacity of countries to implement MEAs (multilateral environmental agreements) and mainstream into national and sub- | Development and sectoral planning frameworks integrate measurable targets drawn from the MEAs in at least 10 countries | Number of<br>Countries:         |
| national policy, planning financial and legal frameworks   | Functional environmental information systems are established to support decision-making in at least 10 countries       | Number of Countries:            |



# D. Risk Rating:

| Risk and Description   | Impact & Probability <sup>2</sup> | Update on Countermeasures/Management response  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Overall project risks  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delayed start of project implementation  | I - 3<br>P- 5                     | Close consultation between GOV and ADB regarding project approvals is needed   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lack of coordination with other climate change adaptation projects and initiatives                 | I - 3<br>P- 1                     | Many climate change adaptation initiatives are already underway in Viet Nam. It is critical that these initiatives are coordinated to avoid duplication; to ensure that the various initiatives work together not in isolation; and to share knowledge. Consultation has already been undertaken with key Ministries (MONRE, MOC), development partners (World Bank, UNDP, GIZ) and NGOs (Association of Cities of Viet Nam) to mitigate this risk and will continue during the project preparation phase. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lack of sustained commitment to reforms to promote climate resilience and integrated city approach | I - 2<br>P- 2                     | As described above, GOV has taken a proactive approach to addressing the climate change and urbanization challenges. Strong government commitment exists at all levels (national, provincial, city) to promote resilient, low carbon urban systems.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lack of Coordination between and among relevant Agencies   | I - 4<br>P- 2                     | Initial consultations indicate the benefits of establishing high-level Project Steering Committee comprising senior national and provincial/city government officials, and chaired by MONRE to effectively coordinate the project.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low institutional capacity (technical, financial, environmental management                         | I - 3<br>P- 2                     | Technical Assistance will be provided under the baseline project for specialist assistance to participating cities   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lack of qualified and available local staff for project implementation                             | I - 3<br>P- 2                     | Mitigated by development of a staff recruiting strategy during project preparation. With many climate change related projects underway in Viet Nam, there is a growing pool of suitably-qualified local staff available.   |  |  |  |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Range 1-5, where 5 is highest.



| Risk and Description  | Impact & Probability <sup>3</sup> | Update on Countermeasures/Management response   |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Risks to All Project Outcomes   |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Lack of experience with the RBL modality leads to delays.  The RBL modality is new to Viet Nam, leading to some uncertainty, and to the danger that unexpected problems will be encountered. Further, there are some specific requirements that may take time to meet.                            | I - 3<br>P- 2                     | The GEF grant was initially proposed to be linked to the ADB financed Secondary Green Cities Development Project, implemented through a result-based lending (RBL) modality. However, subsequent to the GEF grant endorsement, ADB proposed to change the lending modality to a standard investment loan. This was done due to the limited experience in Viet Nam with results-based landing and concern that this may complicate implementation. ADB communicated this proposed change with the GOV (MPI, MOF & SBV) and three project executing agencies/implementing agencies in November and December 2016. |  |  |  |  |
| The impacts of climate change at the scale relevant to these infrastructure projects are not adequately known or understood.  The available data and available models make it very difficult to accurately predict climate change at the level of secondary cities, and the impacts it will have. | I - 2<br>P- 3                     | The impacts of climate change are known at a general level in the country level, and the best available models have been used in the preparation of this Project. Finally, there are many on-going activities to collect improved data and to improve measuring and modelling; this improved knowledge will be used as it becomes available.  Also, the Project strategy focuses on 'no regret' and 'low regret' options, i.e. interventions that increase resilience to climate change and have other benefits.  |  |  |  |  |
| Risks specific to Outcomes not bein   | ng achieved                       |   |  |  |  |  |
| Output 1: GCAPs are not integrated with other city level plans.   | I – 2<br>P- 2                     | The existing GCAPs – for Ha Giang, Hue and Vinh Yen, were approved by the concerned Peoples Committees.   |  |  |  |  |
| The GCAPs are somewhat innovative, and as of yet are not formally included in the national planning framework. This may lead to the GCAP's being implemented, but not institutionalized thereafter.   |                                   | Integration of GCAP into planning process has been made a target of the GEF support, so this risk will be addressed head on, with awareness raising, policy dialogue, national level advocacy, etc.  Importantly, the broad participatory process should ensure that all concerned stakeholders are   |  |  |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Range 1-5, where 5 is highest.



|  |               | engaged, and so willing to support this integration process, when necessary.   |
|--|---------------|--|
| Output 1: Cities are unable to meet gender targets.  The baseline loan has set ambitious targets for gender, in particular in the women involved in project implementation. This can be challenging at secondary city level in Viet Nam.                                       | I - 1<br>P- 3 | Whereas one or two cities may be challenged to meet the targets, the others should be able to meet them comfortably. Hence this is likely to be a risk at only one, or two, cities.  The GAPs address this issue, providing both incentives and support to meeting the targets. ADB will closely monitor this situation and provide support and guidance when necessary.   |
| Output 1: Recommendations are not applied to loan sub-projects.  Output 1.1.1 will lead to recommendations to improve the sub-projects, but the local authorities are not obliged to incorporate these.  | I - 2<br>P- 3 | The loan procurement process should ensure that the city level project implementation units have good 'ownership' over Output 1.1.1 and the related recommendations, and should treat the advice with due respect. Further, the ADB can ensure that the recommendations are based on both best international practices and sensitivity to Vietnamese circumstances — this should ensure that the recommendations are both pertinent and realistic. |
| Output 3: Insufficient impact into the national policies/ legislations to achieve sustainability.  Ultimately, to be sustained, changes will be necessary in terms of policy and legislation, this takes time in any country, and there is no guarantee it will be successful. | I - 2<br>P- 3 | This risk will be addressed head on with training, assessments, awareness raising, policy dialogue, national level advocacy, expert advisories, etc. Further, MONRE has committed to this project and its objectives and is committed to reaching this sustainability.  ADB will work closely with MONRE to identify and implement the best pathways to achieving the policy and legislative requirements.   |

# E. Overall Rating of the Project:

Overall Rating: Satisfactory (S)

## F. Additional Comments – Good Practices and Lessons Learned:

Approval of project and institutional arrangements took considerable time, and this caused delays in project start up.



## G. Knowledge activities/ products:

The ADB is committed to ensure that all knowledge generated by the project and all lessons learnt will be disseminated in an appropriate manner, through the ADB networks and partner organizations. The Project is also linked into several knowledge management mechanism and tools. First, as an SCIAP child project, it is supported by the Global Platform for Sustainable Cities (GPSC). GPSC supports knowledge capture and knowledge exchange, both amongst the SCIAP participating cities, and with external initiatives and cites. The proposed Project will be actively involved with the overall SCIAP, sharing knowledge on a regular basis through GPSC, and constantly learning from GPSC through its involvement in GPSC networks and learning events.

The Project also takes place within the broader ADB Green Cities Initiative. Through this network, the Project will share lessons and knowledge with cities across Asia. The ADB's knowledge management resources support the urban development specialists and community practice members to collect data, learn lessons, and prepare documentation and publications.

The Project is also co-financed by the Urban Climate Change Resilience Trust Fund (UCCRTF), making UCCRTF a key partner. UCCRTF builds resilience to the effects of climate variability and climate change within medium-sized cities in Asia, particularly working with the urban vulnerable and poor. It promotes a systems-centered approach that supports making climate change a central element of city planning. This is linked to the implementation of infrastructure and policy or institutional interventions, as well as strong knowledge, capacity building, and networking components. Managed within the ADB, the UCCRTF has mechanisms for capturing and sharing knowledge.

The TA will benefit from MONRE as the Executing Agency to ensure lessons are captured and knowledge is shared. Notably, an active involvement is planned for the National Environment Agency (NEA) and the Institute of Strategy and Policy on Natural Resources and Environment. MONRE will ensure that knowledge is shared through the appropriate Vietnamese channels.

Specifically, with regards to adaptation to climate change, ADB has been actively involved for nearly two decades in efforts to manage the risks associated with climate change on the region's development. In 2010, ADB launched its climate change strategy – Addressing Climate Change in Asia and the Pacific: Priorities for Action. This emphasized the integration of adaptation and disaster risk reduction into national development plans; the building of climate resilience of vulnerable sectors; the climate-proofing of projects; and prioritizing the adaptation needs of the most vulnerable, including women. Since 2012, ADB has been programing projects with adaptation elements amounting to approximately \$1 billion per year. As a result of all this groundwork, ADB has strong technical units responsible for collecting data, learning lessons and sharing knowledge notably through web events, conferences and publications. This includes close coordination with the Asia-Pacific Adaptation Network and Forum.

## H. Location Data: N/A

| Name              | Country              | <b>Feature Class</b> | Latitude      | Longtitude    |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Hue Imperial City | Viet Nam, Thua Thien | Monument             | N 16° 28' 31" | N 16° 28' 31" |
|                   | Hue                  |                      |               |               |
| Ha Giang City     | Viet Nam, Ha Giang   | City                 | 22.86         | 104.98        |
| Vinh Yen City     | Viet Nam, Vinh Phuc  | City                 | 21.31         | 105.5967      |



Source: www.geonames.org

Signature: (

Name of Project Officer: Alexander David Nash

Position: Urban Development Specialist

Date: 25 August 2022

Endorsed by: Division Director



#### **ANNEX C: DEFINITION OF RATINGS**

#### **Implementation Progress Ratings**

**Highly Satisfactory (HS):** Implementation of **all** components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised implementation plan for the project. The project can be presented as "good practice".

**Satisfactory (S):** Implementation of **most** components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan except for only a few that is subject to remedial action.

**Moderately Satisfactory (MS):** Implementation of **some** components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with **some** components requiring remedial action.

**Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU):** Implementation of **some** components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with **most** components requiring remedial action.

**Unsatisfactory (U):** Implementation of **most** components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan.

**Highly Unsatisfactory (HU):** Implementation of **none** of the components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan.

### **Global Environment Objective/Development Objective Ratings**

**Highly Satisfactory (HS):** Project is expected to achieve or exceed **all** its major global environmental objectives, and yield substantial global environmental benefits, without major shortcomings. The project can be presented as "good practice".

**Satisfactory (S):** Project is expected to achieve **most** of its major global environmental objectives, and yield satisfactory global environmental benefits, with only minor shortcomings.

**Moderately Satisfactory (MS):** Project is expected to achieve **most** of its major relevant objectives but with either significant shortcomings or modest overall relevance. Project is expected not to achieve **some** of its major global environmental objectives or yield some of the expected global environment benefits.

**Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU):** Project is expected to achieve of its major global environmental objectives with major shortcomings or is expected to achieve only **some** of its major global environmental objectives.

**Unsatisfactory (U):** Project is expected **not** to achieve **most** of its major global environment objectives or to yield any satisfactory global environmental benefits.

**Highly Unsatisfactory (HU):** The project has failed to achieve, and is not expected to achieve, **any** of its major global environment objectives with no worthwhile benefits.

## **Risk Rating**

Risk ratings will assess the overall risk of factors internal or external to the project which may affect implementation or prospects for achieving project objectives. Risks of projects should be rated on the following scale:

**High Risk (H):** There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks.

**Substantial Risk (S):** There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks.

**Modest Risk (M):** There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/ or the project may face only modest risks.

**Low Risk (L):** There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/ or the project may face only modest risks.